

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION



UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS

Session CXIII

General Body Meeting

7:00 pm, Tuesday, February 25, 2025

Dale 103

Call to Order- 7:00 pm

Opening Roll Call

SGA Mission Statement: The mission of the University of Oklahoma Student Government Association is to support the University's advancement by prioritizing student voices at every degree level; to advocate for the holistic development of each student; and to promote civic engagement and community involvement across campus.

Mission Statement: The mission of the University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Undergraduate Student Congress is to represent the interests of undergraduate students in their pursuit of academic and individual achievement. The Undergraduate Student Congress is committed to building a strong campus community, promoting civic engagement, and enhancing the quality of the undergraduate experience at the University of Oklahoma.

Moment of Silence: 20 Second Moment of Silence to allow an opportunity for reflection.

On a motion to approve the minutes Richardson seconded by Vedra

Approval of Minutes | February 18, 2025

Officer Reports -

- **Chair's Report:** Hi everyone I hope you are having a good week. Just a couple of quick updates if you are interested in a College Bowl team we will actually send out a poll in the group chat, but let us know that is something we are thinking of doing for Congress. Also we are doing the Big Event as a group so if you are looking for someone to do the Big Event with please do it with us if you are not doing it with someone else. If you are doing it with someone else I understand that is awesome, to register with us you will go to the Big Event Registration and you will sign up under the orgs that say Undergraduate Student Congress. We don't get any special links to give out to people you do have to go in and do it yourself. We would love to have you. I will be there it will be fun. Chloe will be there it will be a grand ole time
- **Vice Chair's Report:** Basically, I do not have much for y'all, but please remember to submit your CSP if you haven't already. I just want to make sure that there isn't anyone that gets an unexcused absence because they didn't fill it out. This is currently the tracker right now for committee engagement as you can see people are catching up to WAM so this is an even greater reason if you are really close to start submitting them. Also one thing I wanted to do is the funniest light walk photo, I thought it was funny because I zoomed in and the side eye just had it right there. Other than that thank you for listening.

- **Secretary's Report:** Hi everyone. I hope you are all doing well. I just want to say you guys should all come to my office hours. It was really fun today and I have ring pops while supplies last, we are almost out now.

Committee Reports-

- **Campus Outreach, Safety, and Concerns:** Hey everyone! COSCO met officially this Sunday. We gave CR-113-03 a recommendation of do pass. We also had a light walk on Sunday. Thank you to everyone who showed up. I sent individual maintenance requests for each light so I could keep track of what got fixed and what did not. As of right now, Facilities Management has already fixed 21 lights on campus.
- **Congressional Administration:** ConAd officially met Sunday, and we gave bills CB-113-14 and 15 a Rec of Do Pass. We also appointed Taylor Day as the Ex-Officio to the Election Commission and went over all of our projects.
- **External Affairs:** Hi everyone! External affairs met officially this past Sunday. We discussed our most pressing projects, including our unhoused project in Norman, which is our effort to get a permanent shelter in Norman open. We are set to gather data from and petitioning Norman residents about the idea of a permanent homeless shelter in Norman on the last weekend of March. We also discussed our Q&A Spring Forum that will revolve around the issue of minimum wage, and we are currently working on inviting some Norman reps and people who are knowledgeable about the issue to come be a panelist for the Q&A forum. We are currently planning to host this on Friday, April 12. Finally, we have set a timeframe for the rescheduling of high education day. If we do not get a response from the state regents for higher education about an official reschedule date by spring break, we are going to go ahead with planning our own someday on the last week of March. Exact date TBD. I hope everyone has a lovely week and goes out and enjoys the weather!
- **Human Diversity:** HD met unofficially this past Sunday, and we followed up on projects and a new idea to take a twist on the IAC Potluck. In place of the potluck, we will be doing a discussion forum with multicultural organizations to talk about current issues students are facing, safety for international students, etc. and hopefully will be moderated by the OK ACLU. We are also working on an awareness bill possibly with the Black Emergency Response Team and reaching out to other public universities to work on DEI resources.
- **Sustainability:** Susty met during our regular meeting time this past Sunday for our trash walk. We had a great turnout of around 25 people and collected a ton of trash around the residential hall areas. Additionally, I am excited to announce that we have appointed a NEW VICE CHAIR —Owen Cunningham --- I am so excited to work together with him to bring positive change to our committee, and to support our ongoing projects.
- **University Policy:** Hello, all! UPOL met officially this weekend and discussed some updates from emails, explored Trello, which we will be using to publicly track our progress from now on. Associate Audrey Harbour and I also met today with Mason Barker, the Director of Residence Life today to discuss the training that RAs receive and determine how effectively they are trained to handle conflicts and mental health crises. Associate Cole Morgan and I will also be meeting with Dr. Mark Morvant on Thursday to discuss the process of adding a limit to the amount of midterms students may have on a single day. I also wanted to congratulate Representative Elias Hallum on his appointment as UPOL Vice Chair.
- **Ways and Means:** Hey everyone! Primary closed last Friday, and WAM will be meeting this weekend to process those applications. The committee has been working on a new Budget Procedures Act, so look forward to seeing that soon! Thank you!

Liaison Reports

Special Orders

Student Concerns

Items to Be Considered

On a motion to see CB-113-13 Oler seconded by Toyos

- CB-113-13 “Session 113 Expulsion Bill.” (Rec of no Rec) (Harris)

Authors Explanation:

This bill is an expulsion for Matthew Coronado. He is from HD and has not been to a single HD meeting this semester or the general body meetings. Also, last semester he had gotten close to 4 absences, but the session ended before I could expel him. He also never responded to my email that I sent about his excessive absences, so this is why we are seeing an expulsion bill.

7:41 remaining for questions

- **Kathuria-** What is the sad part about writing an expulsion bill?
 - The sad part is, is that I really hope that people who get involved in Congress love it like we love it, me and you Shrey, and want to be in Congress and want to participate and really help the OU community and when they have a lot of absences, they are not doing that. So, it makes me sad.
- **Donald-** Have we checked to make sure he is still alive?
 - I have reached out to him. He never responded and in the email, I say no response is subject to expulsion.
- **Richardson-** What ways did you reach out to this individual?
 - Through email, I reached out to him last semester and also this semester.

29-0-0

On a motion to see CB-113-14 Hallum seconded by Toyos

- CB-113-14 “No Confidence Revision Act.” (Rec of Due Pass) (Iglehart)

Authors Explanation:

This bill is amending the procedure for votes of no confidence in officers. The first change is adding an option to extend time for questions during said no confidence votes by 5 minutes exactly one time. This will if there is present concerns that need to be addressed this gives us more time to ask questions of our officers and this gives them a chance to address those concerns. The second change is extending time for debate to 60 minutes. The primary goal of this is just to ensure that we never run out of time. I can elaborate further on that if there is questions.

7:13 remaining for questions

- **Evans-** If you want to debate less than 60 minutes can you or does it have to be at least 60 minutes?
 - This is a maximum time. A representative can always motion to exit executive session early, realistically it is never going to take 60 minutes, but the purpose of setting it to 60 is to ensure that we never worry about running out of time so if it only takes say 30 minutes 40 minutes to discuss any concerns that we may have then a representative can always motion to exit. I want to emphasize this is a maximum, a hard cap, not a minimum.
- **Benitez-Mendoza-** Would you accept a friendly amendment in Section 3 for time for questions can be extended one time by at least 10 minutes?
 - I would not.
- **Kathuria-** Could you please explain why time for questions could only be extended once by 5 minutes rather than extending it by more time?

- **Iglehart-** The goal with this is under normal circumstances in the majority of the time we are not going to have concerns about our officers so 10 minutes should be sufficient. In the cases where we do have concerns 5 additional minutes gives time to ask questions regarding those questions.
- **Chair Rhoads-** Typically the no confidence process is already a longer process. It has been 10 minutes for forever and that has worked out perfectly fine. The reason we are limiting it to 10 minutes and then you can extend once for 5 minutes is because when we are doing our no confidence votes we still have our right for 5 minutes so 15 minutes in total. The questions have a tendency to become repetitive in general and also this is not your only time to express your concerns, this is just one of the times that you can ask us questions. So within our positions you can really ask us questions at any time. This is just one of the only times that you can ask us in front of all of body, even though you kind of could if you really wanted to. This is not like oh this is something that 10 minutes makes or breaks it. We do a lot of discussion in executive session. I think everyone saw that when we saw the actually no confidence votes as well. This is just to be sure that these move in a timely manner. It is like when I limit executive session to 30 minutes or an hour that one time.
- **Kathuria-** Does it state anywhere in the Code Annotated that the Chair has the discretion for a cap of how many minutes we can remain in debate or executive session?
 - **Chair Rhoads-** That is something that is up to the discretion of the Chair when you look in Roberts rules of order. I can set the executive session. I have talked to George about setting the time in executive session. In the bylaws it is 60 minutes because we just take longer than 10 minutes but after a certain point I think we all know that executive session becomes unproductive.
- **Carter-** Why do you think that 60 minutes is a necessary time?
 - I wanted to ensure there was enough time so we didn't need to extend time any further. I considered alternative times such as 30 minutes 45 minutes, but what I was worried about is if I set it too short and the body has not, there are still aspects of concerns that need to be discussed. That time may also not be enough just like 10 is not enough, so I intentionally set it further out than what may be strictly necessary so we don't interrupt the flow of debate by seeking extensions or violate the Bylaws like we accidentally have in the past.
- **Toyos-** Would this make a difference could we amend it to say that this is a limit?
 - I did talk about this with Kyle and the interpretation that we came to is that by saying 60 minutes shall be allowed for debate implies this is a hard limit.

On a motion to extend time by 5 minutes Aslam seconded by Richardson

- **Aslam-** Can you explain why in Section 3A you allowed 10 minutes for questions and then allow for an extension by 5 minutes as opposed to allowing 15 minutes and then offering no extensions especially given that requesting for an extension takes more time?
 - **Vice Chair Gilson-Bond-** How it was originally whenever Nick wrote it, he wrote it where it was 15 minutes. In the past we haven't needed 10 minutes. I think the most productive time in no confidence votes in general is during executive session. People come in with their own thoughts, they come in with what they want to contribute with statements and questions don't always highlight everything. So what this does it, whenever he changed it he put it to 15 minutes instead what this does is it allows you to be empowered to make it 15 minutes if you want to, but keeps it to what it has

always been it has always been 10 minutes for questions the only thing that is being changed that wasn't followed before was the time for debate.

- **Vedra**- Would you be open to a friendly amendment to correct the scrivener's error in Section 3B?
 - Yes.
- **Harris**- Do you feel a 60-minute time frame truly improves efficiency?
 - I would say it does yes, mainly because we want to follow the bylaws as much as possible that is the whole point of these rules being in place, to help manage the processes that we do things. So I think having it building in the time to be able to discuss that stuff but having the option to be able to make that shorter if it doesn't require 60 minutes I believe that does help to improve efficiency. No extensions because you are having to interrupt the flow of debate and overall I would say yes.
- **Robinson**- Just to confirm your intention with this bill is to match what we already do because we don't follow this, you just want to ensure that we are following what is currently in the Bylaws?
 - Yes.

27-1-0

On a motion to see CB-113-15 Christiansen seconded by Hallum

- CB-113-15 "Section 4 Revision." (Rec of Due Pass) (Day)

Authors Explanation:

So, this bill is a friendly amendment to section 4 of the Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws. The main purpose is basically to clarify the Vice Chair and Secretary roles. The key changes to this role is expanding the Vice Chair's duties in communication, public relations, and event organizing as well as revising the secretary's responsibilities for record keeping, posting meeting agendas, tracking attendance and voting records. Lastly adjusting office hour requirements to align with the stipend changes.

7:22 remaining for questions

- **Kathuria**- Why do you believe that it is important for the Vice Chair to have roles specifically listed in the Bylaws compared to past precedent?
 - I believe that it is important for the Vice Chair to have roles that are specifically listed in the bylaws because there are certain things in there that he just doesn't have access to that Chloe has access to and also it is just giving him more say and more responsibilities just so that he can be more involved as a Vice Chair so that he just wouldn't be sitting here.
- **Wintory**- On a scale of one to ten how much did you love writing this bill?
 - Honestly it was pretty fun writing this bill because Aaron was sitting there, and he was like what, you are going to add to my job? So, it was pretty fun.
- **Kathuria**- Are you aware there is a scrivener's error?
 - Yes, I am aware we did do a friendly amendment on Sunday in ConAd to change that.

28-0-0

On a motion to see CR-113-03 Aslam seconded by Carter

- CR-113-03 "Project Callisto Awareness Resolution." (Rec of Due Pass) (Christiansen)

Authors Explanation:

So this resolution is something that we worked with Election Commissioner Savage and COSCO, we all converged on this. What this resolution would do is it would acknowledge the resources that

the Callisto Project provides to victims of sexual assault. Specifically, victims of sexual assault on college campuses. So Project Callisto is a nonprofit organization that operates nationwide, but they are looking to expand their reach so they have been working with the ICCW program. If you don't know what that is they are like a non-profit consulting student firm on campus and so they have been working with them and one of Commissioner Savage's idea is to help get their awareness up was going through Congress and getting a resolution passed. They provide a lot of different things, they have a wide scope, but they split their services into two main things. One is a fault program, as they call it, so one of the big barriers of addressing sexual assault and specifically at college campuses is that a lot of victims don't want to go to the authorities for a multitude of reasons, but obviously that is their choice, and we respect their choice to do that. So their fault program provides an alternative way to report a sexual assault that occurred without having it be transferred to the police. So their fault program is an encrypted thing where they go to enter the details of what happened, the perpetrator, and things like that and then it is basically just stored securely in their vault. Then if you look at some of the facts here 9% of sexual assault on campus are committed by serial offenders when they receive multiple reports of a sexual assault occurring by the same perpetrator multiple times they can connect those people and then they can talk anonymously or not anonymously it is up to them and then they can come together and help them overcome the event without having to be involved with the police and things like that. So that is one of their big things and then they just also provide a lot of resources to sexual assault victims in general like they have resources that connect them like helping them study for exams after an event happens, contacting the authorities if they want to do that. They have a whole list on their website that they also help connect people with, so it is kind those two parts to their program here. With this resolution we would send it to the people down here to help bring awareness.

5:00 remaining for questions

- **Wintory-** If this Project Callisto received a subpoena or court order to provide those reports or documents would they be required to hand them over?
 - **Aslam-** That is a really good question. Their website does not specifically explain what they would do if their would be a subpoena or court order that is something we would have to look over, but the main purpose of Project Callisto is that they will, not report it to police it just tells you the resources if a survivor wants to report it so nothing is reported automatically. Unless there might be a court order that is something we would have to look into later.
- **Kathuria-** Have you gotten into contact with any of the Its on Us Taskforce executive leadership team about Project Callisto?
 - We have not but we would love to perhaps in a friendly amendment to the bill here send it to them and as well as perhaps collaborate with them on spreading awareness.
- **Estrada-** Just to clarify Project Callisto is an online resource, right?
 - Yes, it's a website that victims can go to
- **Benitez-Mendoza-** How would the information from the victims be used by the University?
 - The idea is it is not so much for someone else to use. It is for them to store it and just keep a record of it, but in a way that it does not have to be acted upon unless the victims wanted it to. So, when someone puts it in their vault it is stored in their secured server encrypted. And then no one has access to that except the victim and it is up to the victim if they want to share that information with anyone else. It all goes through the victim.

- **Keupen-** Would you accept an amendment to Section 5 adding a copy to be sent to Nathaniel Carver Chief of the Police Department and the It's on Us Taskforce?
 - I would.
- **Burnett-** How often is this online platform used?
 - **Aslam-** Project Callisto does not specifically list how many people use their resource it just lists various statistics about sexual assault, but the actual usage rate of this platform is not public information.
- **Wintory-** Do you know that these reports that are valuated and can be used at a later date do they have the same credibility as a police report filed after the incident?
 - **Aslam-** Basically whenever someone inserts their information into the vault it is not just an information database. Project Callisto will also pair survivors of sexual assault with a legal options counselor so that information they will give you guidance on what you can do with that information, and they can give you advice on whether or not it would be worth reporting. So it is not just a platform to store stuff you also get actual advice about what you should do.
- **Wintory-** By credibility I meant more like treated the same in court can you maybe go into more depth about that?
 - **Aslam-** Basically this just stores information so there would be no technical difference between the information that you say directly to a judge as opposed to information that you store on here which would then eventually get reported. It is essentially a database that you can store stuff so there is not reason to assume that it would be treated differently in the legal system.
 - **Christiansen-** I believe if action were to be taken by authorities it would have to be done by the proper channels like a police report and things like that, but like Representative Aslam added Project Callisto helps facilitate that as well through the legal counselors, storing the data so it is not just gone, so it is there to use.

On a motion to extend time for question 5 minutes Keupen seconded by Higareda-Castillo

- **Evans-** Have you thought of sending this to the OU Training people to get this a part of the training?
 - Yeah so one of the people that it would be sent to, a couple of directors I believe are a part of that training and one of our aims for this is to hopefully get it in some way shape or form on the syllabus so that the information would be standardized readouts for all the syllabi have to have for every class, so that it would be a resource that they would have access to there as well.
- **Evans-** Would you consider adding the Head of the Title IX officer to this list?
 - Yes.
- **Keupen-** Would you accept a friendly amendment to accept me as a co-sponsor?
 - Yes.
- **Wintory-** How did you become aware of it?
 - It was Election Commissioner Baylor Savage who came and spoke to COSCO two or three weeks ago now. So he is working with them through ICCW through that program so he really is a driver of this and so he brought it to COSCO so that we can help increase outreach about it.
- **Kathuria-** Would you accept a friendly amendment to section 5 adding Marci Gracey, she is the Institutional Equity Officer & Title IX Coordinator?
 - Yes I would.

27-0-0

Follow-Up Reports

- **Aslam-** So COSCO is working on a project to get bathrooms on campus fixed and we have reported a bunch to facilities management and some of them they just declined they wrote no work needed, but one of them they actually put up a stall door, so now the stall in the bottom floor of George Lynn Cross Hall, it is the plant biology building that has the greenhouse attached to it, the bathroom has a new stall that is actually useable now.
- **Kathuria-** Regarding the Universal TopHat Act, I will be meeting with the Faculty Senate on Monday March 10th at 3:30 pm to discuss the importance of our University going to a universal TopHat system, so that you are not having to pay for multiple clicker systems.

Announcements and Comments

- **Vice Chair Gilson-Bond-** I keep forgetting to talk about it but next week is the first meeting of the month of March and there is a theme for it. The theme is going to be twins, so dress as a twin find someone to dress up with or you just do group costumes if your whole committee wants to get together and do something. That is going to be next week!
- **Christiansen-** Some of you may have seen me before on a tour, that is because I am a tour guide and we are now hiring new tour guides for next fall so if you are interested in being the next awesome Tour guide, Aaron is also one, but it is super fun and you get paid to do it so you guys should totally come interview if you are interested. I will give a flyer to anyone that is interested, or you can ask me questions too.
- **Vice Chair Gilson-Bond-** Also I just wanted to let everyone know to wish Shrey a Happy early Birthday. He is turning the big 21! (Actually 22, it was a joke!).

Final Roll Call

On a motion to adjourn Burnett seconded by Oler

Adjourn- 7:49 pm

Chair: Hope Rhoads

Vice Chair: Aaron Gilson-Bond

Secretary: Chloe Harris

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

February 25, 2025

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-13

AS INTRODUCED

A Congressional Act of Procedure expelling an associate from the Congressional Body; providing short title; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS:

Section 1: Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Session 113 Expulsion Bill.”

Section 2: Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to expel a member for excessive unexcused absences. Matthew Coronado has accrued excessive unexcused absences, the membership of the aforementioned is pending expulsion.

Section 3: In accordance with Section 3.4, subsection 2, subsection e of the SGA Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws, “*Upon accruing a fourth absence, the Student Congress Secretary shall prepare an act of procedure to expel any member or committee officer who has accrued excessive unexcused absences.*”

Expulsion: Matthew Coronado

Section 4: This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): Secretary Chloe Harris, Undergraduate Student Congress

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Oler seconded by Representative Toyos

Action taken by Congress: 29-0-0

Verified by Chair: _____ Date: _____

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

February 25, 2025

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-14

AS INTRODUCED

A Congressional Act of Procedure to update section 4.5 of the existing bylaws; providing short title; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS:

- Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “No Confidence Revision Act.”
- Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to amend section 4.5 of the Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws.
- Section 3:** AMENDATORY: The Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws Section 4.5 are amended as follows. New Additions shall be denoted by an underline, and deletions shall be denoted by a strikethrough.

Section 4.5: VOTES OF NO CONFIDENCE IN OFFICERS

1. REGULAR VOTES OF NO CONFIDENCE. At the second meeting of each off-numbered session, Student Congress representatives shall hold a no-confidence vote on its incumbent officers.
2. The agenda for this meeting shall include planned Executive Session during the no confidence item of Special Orders, in accordance with the Oklahoma Open Meetings Act.
3. PROCEDURE FOR VOTES OF NO CONFIDENCE
 - a. Ten (10) minutes shall be allowed for questions from members and the gallery. ~~No follow-up questions may be granted.~~ Time for questions may only be extended once by 5 minutes.
 - b. ~~Ten (10) minutes~~ Sixty (60) minutes shall be allowed for debate.
 - c. The officer being voted upon shall not be allowed in the chamber during debate, through a form of Executive Session in which all additional non-essential personnel shall be ordered to leave the room.
 - d. The Student Congress Secretary, or the Congressional Administration Committee Chair if the Student Congress Secretary is the officer in question, shall hold a roll call vote on whether the officer in question shall be removed.
 - e. An officer who is also a representative shall be permitted to vote on the no confidence decision.
4. EFFECTS OF VOTES OF NO CONFIDENCE
 - a. Officers shall retain the offices upon the failure of the no-confidence vote.
 - b. If a no-confidence vote passes upon approval by a majority of the representatives present and voting, the office shall become vacant and nominations for that office shall be opened immediately.

Section 4: This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): Nicholas Iglehart, Associate Undergraduate Student Congress

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Hallum seconded by Representative Toyos

Action taken by Congress: 27-1-0

Verified by Chair: _____ Date: _____

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

February 25, 2025

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-15

AS INTRODUCED

A Congressional Act of Procedure; to amend section 4 of the Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws. providing short title; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS:

- Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Section 4 Revision.”
- Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to amend Section 4 of the Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws.
- Section 3:** AMENDATORY: The Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws Sections 4.2 and 4.3 is amended as follows. New additions shall be denoted by an underline, and deletions shall be denoted by the ~~strike through~~.

Section 4.2: CONGRESS VICE CHAIR

1. The Vice Chair is the second-highest ranking officer of Student Congress. The Vice Chair shall strive to facilitate the execution of Student Congress’ mission.
2. The Vice Chair shall advise and assist the Student Congress Chair on matters pertaining to the Congress.
3. The Vice Chair shall preside over general meetings and Executive Committee meetings in the absence of the Student Congress Chair and shall preside over the Committee of the Whole.
4. The Vice Chair shall organize and direct the orientation, as specified elsewhere in these Bylaws.
5. The Vice Chair shall write, organize, maintain, and update a Student Congress Handbook of resources as specified within these Bylaws.
6. The Vice Chair shall serve as the Associate Coordinator and shall work towards the successful integration of newly appointed associates into Student Congress.
7. The Vice Chair shall be a voting ex-officio member of all committees. The Vice Chair shall guide, advise, and assist the appointed Chairs of all established committees in their duties and responsibilities to the best of their ability. The Vice Chair shall report on committees as necessary to the Student Congress Chair.
8. The Vice Chair shall oversee matters of morale and personnel not otherwise specified within these Bylaws, including, but not limited to, logistics for food and transportation for Student Congress events.
9. ~~The Vice Chair shall be responsible for updating and maintaining an updated version of the Student Congress Bylaws, as well as forwarding updated versions to the Student Congress Secretary to be posted on the Congress website.~~
10. The Vice Chair shall be required to complete no less than 8 hours of “office hours” per week in accordance with the monthly stipend awarded to the position. Should the stipend change, this section shall be amended to reflect the new stipend.
11. The Vice Chair shall be responsible for advising the Chair on all matters of communications and public relations on any of the Undergraduate Student Congress’s activities with or to the public.

12. The Vice Chair shall be responsible for ensuring that the Undergraduate Student Congress provides its members with at least two (2) Congressional Service opportunities occurring on at least two separate days of the week during the months wherein Congressional Service requirements exist. Additionally, the Vice Chair shall be responsible for tracking the completed monthly Constituent Service Point Requirements for all members of the Congressional Body and relay the completed tracking of the completed/missing points for each member of the body to the Congress Secretary.
13. The Vice Chair can request assistance from members of the body for matters regarding Communications, Public Relations, and event programming.
14. The Vice Chair shall be responsible for meeting with committee Vice Chairs monthly to receive insight on committee highlights and events for the social media page.

Section 4.3: CONGRESS SECRETARY

1. The Secretary is the third-highest ranking officer of Student Congress. The Secretary shall strive to facilitate the execution of Student Congress' mission.
2. The Secretary shall preside over general meetings and Executive Committee meetings in the absence of the Student Congress Chair and Student Congress Vice-Chair.
3. The Secretary shall be responsible for all records of Student Congress not otherwise specified in these Bylaws. ~~The Secretary shall be responsible work with the SGA Administrative Assistant and SGA Webmaster for to conspicuously posting post,~~ in the SGA offices as well as on the SGA Congress website, a printed agenda for each general meeting and each meeting of the Executive Committee.
4. The Secretary shall take minutes at general meetings.
5. The Secretary shall be responsible for recording and reading all submitted amendments to legislation pending before Student Congress.
6. The Secretary shall be responsible for roll calls of Student Congress and for taking roll call votes.
7. The Secretary shall be a voting member of the Congressional Administration standing committee.
8. The Secretary shall keep a record of the terms of districts and offices held by all members and must publish a review of updated membership, no later than the last academic day of every month in which Congress is in session, to be reviewed by the Executive Committee. Upon review, the record shall be given to the SGA Webmaster to be posted to the SGA website.
9. The Secretary shall keep a record of all attendance, including general meetings, committee meetings, and office hours. The Secretary must weekly post this record.
10. The Secretary shall keep a record of all votes cast in general meetings by all representatives. The Secretary shall weekly post conspicuously in the SGA offices as well as on the SGA Congress website a record of all votes cast in general meetings on legislation, amendments to legislation, or elections and on any other questions deemed appropriate by the Secretary or higher-ranking officer of Student Congress.
11. The Secretary shall keep a record of all associates and representatives of the body who have been expelled from congress. This record will be saved to an online storage system at the chair's discretion.
12. The Secretary shall be ultimately responsible for maintaining and updating all records and documents which are required to be posted on the website of Student Congress.
13. The Student Congress Secretary shall forward all documents which are required to be posted on the SGA Student Congress website to the Student Congress Webmaster, which will in turn, post all said documents on the SGA Student Congress website.
14. The Secretary shall have the sole right to author any acts of procedure expelling a member for excessive absences. The Secretary shall do so in a timely manner upon the accrual of excessive absences by a member, as specified elsewhere in these Bylaws.
15. The Secretary shall be required to complete no less than 8 hours of "office hours" per week in accordance with the monthly stipend awarded to the position. Should the stipend change, this section shall be amended to reflect the new stipend.

16. The Secretary shall be responsible for updating and maintain an updated version of the Student Congress Bylaws.

Section 4: This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): Taylar Day, Associate Undergraduate Student Congress

Co-Author(s): Secretary Chloe Harris, Undergraduate Student Congress

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Christiansen seconded by Representative Hallum

Action taken by Congress: 28-0-0

Verified by Chair: _____ Date: _____

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

February 25, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE
SENATE SESSION GS25
Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL RESOLUTION CR-113-03
SENATE RESOLUTION NO. GS25-XX

AS INTRODUCED

A Concurrent Resolution supporting and empowering
victims of sexual assault and providing for distribution.

- Whereas: Two and a half million college students are the victim of sexual assault each year¹; and
- Whereas: Ninety percent of on-campus sexual assaults are committed by serial offenders, those who sexually assault others more than once¹; and
- Whereas: Serial offenders sexually assault others an average of six times before they graduate²; and
- Whereas: Only six percent of sexual assaults that occur on college campuses are reported to the relevant Title IX office or police department¹; and
- Whereas: Only 0.25 percent of sexual assaults result in an arrest, felony conviction, and incarceration of the perpetrator²; and
- Whereas: There were 99 reported incidents of sexual assault on the campus of the University of Oklahoma from 2021-2023³; and
- Whereas: Project Callisto is a nonprofit organization focused on supporting survivors of sexual assault on college campuses; and
- Whereas: Project Callisto has an online platform that enables sexual assault survivors to document their experiences confidentially, either to save for future reporting or to submit to authorities when they feel ready; and
- Whereas: Project Callisto uses a matching system to identify serial offenders; and

¹ “Project Callisto.” Callisto. Accessed February 19, 2025. <https://www.projectcallisto.org/>.

² “Mission + Vision,” Callisto, accessed February 19, 2025, <https://www.projectcallisto.org/about>.

³ Nathaniel Tarver, Annual Security and Fire Safety Report 2024-2025, accessed February 19, 2025, <https://www.ou.edu/content/dam/clery/documents/asr.pdf>.

Whereas: Project Callisto connects survivors with Legal Options Counselors to guide survivors through the reporting process and facilitate coordinated action; and

Whereas: There is no cost to sign up for Project Callisto, and anyone with a .edu email address can access its resources; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION THAT:

Section 1: Title: This resolution shall be known and may be cited as the “Project Callisto Awareness Resolution.”

Section 2: Upon the authorization of this resolution, the University of Oklahoma Student Government Association officially recognizes Project Callisto’s efforts to support and empower survivors of sexual assault.

Section 3: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association acknowledges the importance of sexual assault resources for survivors.

Section 4: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association recognizes that Project Callisto can significantly reduce barriers to reporting sexual assault and seeking assistance for survivors.

Section 5: Copies of this resolution will be sent to:

- William Wayne, Associate Vice President of Student Affairs and Director of Health Services, Goddard Health Center
- Wesley Andrews, Interim Chief of Medical Staff, Goddard Health Center
- Scott Miller, Director of University Counseling Center, Goddard Health Center
- Brittany Stewart, Clinical Services Director, Goddard Health Center
- Maggie Pool, Clinical Administration Director and Health Promotion Manager, Goddard Health Center
- Marci Gracey, Institutional Equity Officer & Title IX Coordinator
- Nathaniel Tarver, Chief of the OU Police Department
- It’s On Us Taskforce
- OU Daily
- OU Nightly

Author(s): Henry Christiansen, Undergraduate Student Congress Business Representative

Co-Author(s): Syed Aslam, Undergraduate Student Congress Campus Outreach, Safety, and Concerns Committee Chair

Co-Sponsor(s): Baylor Savage, Election Commissioner
Ben Keupen, Arts and Sciences Representative

Submitted on a motion by: Representative Aslam seconded by Representative Carter

Action taken by Congress: 27-0-0

Verified by Chair of Congress: _____ Date: _____

Submitted on a motion by:

Action taken by Senate:

Verified by Chair of Senate: _____ Date: _____

Verified by SGA President: _____ Date: _____

Last	First	CB-113-13	CB-113-14	CB-113-15	CR-113-03
Aslam	Syed	y	y	y	y
Ball	Reese	y	y	y	y
Beasley	William	y	y	y	y
Benitez Mendoza	Delia	y	y	y	y
Bento	Marilou	y	y	y	y
Bertotti	Hayden	y	y	y	y
Burnett	Ava	y	y	y	y
Carter	Lauren	y	y	y	y
Christiansen	Henry	y	y	y	y
Cunningham	Owen	y	y	y	y
Duncan	Elizabeth	y	y	y	y
Estrada	Nicole	y	y	y	y
Fazzani	Diego	y	y	y	y
Finley	Jael	y		y	y
Fisher	Mecca				
Hallum	Elias	y	y	y	y
Harris	Hudson	y	y	y	y
Hawley	Kayla				
Higareda Castillo	Lilian	y	y		y
Kathuria	Shrey	y	y	y	y
Keupen	Ben	y	y	y	y
Koneru	Aniketh				
Lapp	Bridget	y	n	y	y
Martin	Kyle	y	y	y	y
Ogle	Pierce				
Oler	Kyle	y	y	y	y
Pruitt	Aydan				
Reynolds	Michael				
Richardson	Thomas	y	y	y	y
Robinson	Caleb	y	y	y	
Schonfield	Jacob				
Schroeder	Brooklyn				
Toyos	Alexandra	y	y	y	y
Vedra	Hayden	y	y	y	
Walker	Cade	y	y	y	y
Walker	Cody	y	y	y	y
Wang	Andy				
Wintory	Michael	y	y	y	y
Yes		29	27	28	27
No		0	1	0	0
Abstain		0	0	0	0

			25-Feb
Last	First	Intial	Final
Aslam	Syed	a	x
Ball	Reese	x	x
Beasley	William	x	x
Benitez Mendoza	Delia	x	x
Bento	Marilou	x	x
Bertotti	Hayden	x	x
Burnett	Ava	x	x
Carter	Leo	a	x
Christiansen	Henry	x	x
Cunningham	Owen	x	x
Duncan	Elizabeth	x	x
Estrada	Nicole	x	x
Fazzani	Diego	x	x
Finley	Jael	x	x
Fisher	Mecca	a	a
Hallum	Elias	x	x
Harris	Hudson	a	x
Hawley	Kayla	ex	ex
Higareda Castillo	Lilian	a	x
Kathuria	Shrey	x	x
Keupen	Ben	x	x
Koneru	Aniketh	ex	ex
Lapp	Bridget	a	x
Martin	Kyle	x	x
Ogle	Pierce	a	a
Oler	Kyle	x	x
Pruitt	Aydan	ex	ex
Reynolds	Michael	a	a
Richardson	Thomas	x	x
Robinson	Caleb	ex	ex
Schonfield	Jacob	ex	ex
Schroeder	Brooklyn	ex	ex
Toyos	Alexandra	x	x
Vedra	Hayden	x	a
Walker	Cade	x	x
Walker	Cody	x	x
Wang	Andy	ex	ex
Wintory	Michael	x	x
PRESENT		23	27
ABSENT		8	4
EXCUSED		7	7