

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION



UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS
Session XCIV

General Body Meeting
7:00 pm, Tuesday, November 17th, 2015
Devon Hall 120

Session XCIV

Roll Call - 701

Flag Salute

Approval of Minutes

Riley sec Trinh

Chair's Report- Again, if you are making motions raise your hand. Congrats to all who won your election!! YAY. We also have a new vice president and president. Congrats to Daniel Pae and Michael Lutter! Inauguration 30th of November 1-3 in Beard Lounge, casual attire. Elections are over so don't forget to submit expense reports. New members going to finish session 94, if you are new you cannot make motions and cannot vote, if you have any questions please say On Information and will answer any questions. Reps DO NOT LEAVE we have to keep quorum. Feel free to get food, be respectful above all things. No committee meetings until January. If you want to change committees please send me an email so I can draft that legislation come January. We got a very lovely email from student body president from UCO as well as the letter from OSU in response to condolence legislation.

Vice Chair's Report- Hi guys! Don't have much but it has been a wonderful session with all of you! Come get food! Everybody is getting new nametags and new plate next general meeting!

Secretary's Report- Hi guys! I am so proud of all of your hard work throughout the semester! Attendance was fabulous and I couldn't be more proud of all of you! Let's continue this throughout the next session ☺ I've really enjoyed being your secretary for the past semester and I hope everyone has a good Thanksgiving! – xoxo Gossip Girl #PaeDay

Committee Reports-

- Academic Affairs- So we had a short meeting this past weekend, wrapped everything up that is going to follow into next semester.
- Congressional Administration- Thanking my committee for being so great in the session we saw so much legislation we had a great and productive session and congrats to Daniel! We gave rec of do pass to title 12 bill, appointment procedures, etc.
- External Affairs- Not much, but the weekend before we talked a lot about higher education day and how to make it more efficient and how to work with the delegates so they know what they need to be talking about. Also talked about potentially reaching out to tribes in Oklahoma to see how they feel about the word 'sooner', not doing any steps now but just talked about the possibilities and seeing if there is a difference between tribe feelings and others.

- Human Diversity- Thanks to my committee for great semester! We had bittersweet meeting, we talked about this last semester and everything we have done UWC forum, etc. It has been a pleasure being your committee chair and I hope the next one will continue that hard work.
- Problems and Projects- We had just discussed some projects we think our committee will work on next semester. Discussed things that will help the campus move forward
- Public Relations- We discussed because licensing is terrible we will definitely have t-shirts out and rolling and other designs on first meeting of next semester. Thank you for the patience! Also discussed laying ground work for sponsorship, efforts next semesters and thank you to my committee you guys are awesome and cannot wait to work with you all again next semester!
- Ways and Means- We conducted emergency interviews and you will see that tonight, also for the new members, I am the budget chair and I lead the committee that does funding for RSOs, if you have any questions please come talk to me!

Liaison Reports

Kelling- Last week was Veterans Appreciation Week, wanted to say thank you for everyone for passing that. It was super successful, we met a lot of people that were veterans and who didn't know they had a organization working for them! And I hope you all saw the ribbons, and the flag too. Thank you to everyone for making last week happen!

Special Orders

Sean Christiansen- Monthly SFC report, we had four meetings since last time I spoke. We had SGA night we had Chloe Alex Emily and Chelsea came and talk to us about the different branches, which is great for the mentor program coming up! Then we had Abbey Taylor come and gave a presentation about elections. As well as Darcy Lambath who was Chloe's campaign manager, had them do their own mock elections, and gave them a case study and then present. Presidential and VP debate fell during meeting, made everyone submit a questions, past week we had a meeting focused on RSO we had George come talk to us about his role and their history and relation with SGA, Matt came and explained the different ways RSOs get funding. I know I learned stuff Matt Rogers came and talked about his own RSO and next week we are going to build off of that and if any SFC-er have questions about that we will work with them to make mock constitution and mock budget, that is all I have for now!

Student Concerns

Old Business

940106 Presidential Transition Act (Sample/Pavlovsky)(Rec of do pass)

Trinh sec Nguyen

Sample: This is just updating code to reflect changes about moving president terms, it means one week after validation of president after new president elected. One-week transition week.

Kelling: Accept friendly amendment to change the date to today date.

Watts: Can you just talk about how validation time table process

Process conducted by superior court, if they don't get together between 96 hours it automatically validates,

George: If not within a week then validated.

Consent

940108 Polling Fairish Act (Watts) (Rec of do pass)

Buxton sec Nguyen

Watts: Last session we passed the polling fairness act, which essentially mandated that on elections the names on ballot be organized randomly, so that when you refresh page it would be another random, this was to eliminate any bias. Since we have moved to orgsync, new software doesn't allow for that. So this is about the next step, the language actually leaves the order up to election board, but was done last election, it will be done in some sudo random order, cant change every time but moves bias away from alphabet.

Halpin: accept to strike the word refreshed?

Watts: Yes

Crisp: Should that be considered scrivener's errors?

Sample: No

Kelling: Can you explain why it is the polling fairish act?

Watts: I thought it was a funny joke, the last one was the polling fairness this is a little less fair so fairish

Nguyen: So what kind of software will allow us to have refreshing in orgsync?

Watts: That is something I would like to see

George: Well it is something we can go back to orgsync with, it has already been noted but it just doesn't allow it.

Crisp: Do you feel that the change in the code should dictate or mandate that the decision on election board made of a random drawing or okay to leave up to discretion board?

Watts: we have a lot of faith in election board, most people don't have an issue with that, I feel the language of what we do is essentially what I talked about with abbey, was essentially lottery that is hard to put in language that is concise and clear to make sense so simpler to leave it up to election board.

Kelling: I don't mean to throw nails into works but yes we put a lot of faith in elections but trying to move them away from alphabet, It say they get what they chose so they could choose to go back to alphabet form

Watts yes

Reid: Wouldn't giving power to election board possibly instill some amount of bias, since most people running for election might know the election board and that could affect things?

Watts: Possibly yes but practically I don't think so. Talking with abbey about maybe making it a public event making the choosing transparent, this is credit on those running and election board.

Cramm: Have you talked to abbey about everything you have written and what you have said?

Yes

Cramm: What was her opinion?

Watts: Last time we talked about it was a month ago and since the election was eminent at that point, we had to put most of the discussion on back burner but not like a well look into it, it is something for further discussion

Crisp: Based off of the way election board appointment process works, is it true we will receive a new election board in future?

Yes

Crisp: If possibility to changing this to fairish, new chairs or members that bias could play into that situation

Kelling sec Trinh extend by 5 minutes

Crisp: do you feel by having new election chairs this could allow bias of creation of ballot and revert this back to what you are originally trying to stop?

Watts: If we are going to have efforts to change in orgsync, to resemble what passed in spring, then anything between now and spring, I'm not opposed to amending this with language specifying and I think it needs to be good language, and someone has one that seems suitable and proper enough to put in

Kelling: Would accept friendly amendment to add language, determine by the election board excluding alphabetical order?

Watts: No, if going to demonstrate lack of trust there is a million ways besides alpha, I'm not for restricting but if done needs to be fully

Crisp: Appreciate your diligence, I have a friendly amendment changing to say on "the ballot should be determine by a raffle drawn by the election board." therefore we are having a randomize list by a randomized drawing.

Watts: Accept that friendly amendment. The order of candidate names on the ballot shall be determined

Trinh: How many people do you need for quorum today?

Sample: 20

Trinh: How many are here now?

Rains: Unless someone left we had 25 when started

Sample: We still have quorum

Kelling: I think most of these have wrong date,

Consent

940402 A Resolution Regarding Guns on Campus (Brown) (Rec of do pass)

Sample: Just to clarify as long as no one asks for a quorum call our meeting can continue.

Crisp sec Williams

Brown: This is restating that the university does not want guns allowed on campus for safety of student body faculty and to ensure that law enforcement doesn't accidentally mistake a civilian for a gunman

Thompson: We are doing this concordance with the FSS and board of regents and DBo this is a statement that brings unity to the universities statement that we agree with all of them,

Brown: As well as OUPD chief, Elizabeth.

Lefler: Thanks for writing this; but I have several issues with the writing of it, what is house bill 2513? Mentioned in the 5th whereas clause? Is that something going to be considered in Oklahoma Legislature session?

Brown: That has already been seen but it is going to be somewhat revamped to be seen in next session

Lefler: Section 2 is that statement necessary and why?

Brown: Simply for reinforcement as to why we feel that this needs to be passed and background as to why and a part of our unity of stance

Halpin: The footnote, there nothing in the agenda? There is no appendix, where is quote from?

Brown: From DBo's statement on Jan 24th that statement can be found online.

Watts: How many times has been amended? Looks like motives all over the place?

Brown: I would like to correct myself for Halpin that was from Texas senate bill 11.

Thompson: Yes it has been tabled for 3 weeks since when we first saw this and this was quite heavily amended then

Halpin: I have that senate bill in front of me and nothing like that language is in front of me, where is it from?

Brown: Did you look at the transcript? That is where it is.

Watts: Would you be in favor of amending it to make it a little cleaner, it is open ended. I would like the motives to be clearer, every whereas clause says their own thing, they don't seem together?

Thompson: I would have to see the specific amendment

Kelling: Can section 3 refer to a campus wide no guns on campus campaign is that done by website or by us?

Brown: Will be by us

Kelling: Who is planning that running it and managing it?

Brown: It will be me and few other people

Droege: Is it incredibly necessary that we say we don't support the Texas legislation, I'm not 100% sure but I think TX is like the 9th state to approve that so why necessary?

Brown: Because this is in reply, because it is a university very close to us, but if you want to change it I would be open to seeing what you have to say.

Rash: Last time you talked about it you said you were going to poll the student body, would you elaborate the polling results?

Thompson: We never said we would actually poll the students, because that would require legislation, it was up to reps to talk to your constituents which is important for you all to do regardless.

Byron: It is important to keep in mind that you are all reps of your constituents and you represent what they want.

Motion to extend time by 10 minutes Reid sec Lefler

Byron: So I think it takes power out of your hands to talk to your constituents and hopefully you have done that, we do have number though. We talked to Dr. Gaddie, if familiarly with the American Federal Government class that freshman have to take. A lot of students took this, typically freshmen-sophomore, but we surveyed all the students in these classes and we get their opinion on a whole range issue and guns on campus one of them We have reliable info for past 3 years approximately 69% of students disagree with having guns on campus and therefore support this legislation and 57% strongly disagree with guns on campus, so upholding this legislation will be crucial to doing what students really want here.

Lefler: My issue is not with the validity of no guns on campus but with the wording on it, it looks weak. Would you be willing to get rid of 2nd whereas clause with quotation of random news articles?

Brown: Sure

Lefler: Ending at threat it could impose on student's faculty and staff. Starting it as interpreted and scratch that only because we don't have a citation for what was said in the news article?

Byron: Yes we would be willing to accept that

Crisp: Can the second whereas clause is read?

Sample: President Dbo does not agree with guns on campus because of threats on student's staff and faculty
Park: Seeing as it is important, accept a friendly amendment to add citation info?

Brown: Yes

Trinh: So basically with amendment it would read with citation?

Brown: No with footnote at bottom

Trinh: This may seem repetitive but would you accept friendly amendment In front of Dbo that is had been know that Dbo etc etc.

Byron: Not at this time, but not opposed to seeing that later.

Watts: Do you feel the 4th whereas clause started to reiterate might serve better in sections rather than in sections?

Brown: Sure

Watts: Would you accept a friendly amended adding new section 3 stating language in 4th whereas clause and striking the 4th whereas clause

Brown: Yes would accept that

Watts: That language doesn't make sense, accept friendly amendment changing section 3 semicolon and adding in the beginning the Undergraduate Student Congress reiterates the disapproval of Texas bill 11 to discourage those carry guns without specialized training?

Crisp: Did that amendment have change to be with or without?

Brown: Without

Watts: Would you accept amendment saying expresses?

Byron: Yes

Thompson: Says expresses, without, strikes and and semicolon

Williams: So it has been move to new section and changed?

Yes

Crisp: Senate bill is mandating that guns should be allow to be carried for those who have specialized training?

Brown: Yes but we can talk about how we want to interpret, in this bill it means SWAT not the 8 hours you have to get just to get gun handlers permit, it would be specialized training that you must have specialized training

Thompson: We would accept amendment changing wording

Motion to extend time by 15 minutes Watts sec Nguyen

Accepted friendly amendment.

Droege: Would you accept another amendment in first whereas clause, that says it is important that OU does not support change to the University of Oklahoma does not support any piece of legislation that will allow guns to be carried on campus?

Brown: Yes

Kelling: Would accept amendment to further amend the 4th whereas clause those without specialized training and change it to non law enforcement personal?

Watts: We know that is now the new section 3 but referring to 4th whereas clause?

Brown: Yes accept that amendment

Crisp: can third section be read: The undergrad student congress expresses disapproval of TX bill 11 and future legislation being passed and any one allowing

Lefler: How would you all feel about or would you accept a friendly amendment to combine the third and fifth whereas clauses, the 2nd whereas clauses that mentions the Oklahoma house bills, can we combine those and get rid of police chief quotes?

Thompson: I'm confused as to why we would combine the two if we are removing the quote since that is the entire purpose?

Lefler: House bill 2513 is referred to in that whereas clause while 3 others are refereed to it in the 3rd whereas clause, for clarifying would look better to have them all together and I don't know why we need to be mouth piece of president and police chief?

Lefler: Why are quoting people when we could just say in solidarity with them and use our own voice, secondly can we just say all of the house bills and say what session?

Brown: Saying those quotes and taking stuff from different speeches, it brings the point home in the fact that you will have people saying when did he say this and why and this is to reiterate and put emphasis on it.

Thompson: Including quotes doesn't mean we don't use our own voice.

Halpin: Would be willing to accept a friendly amendment to the second whereas clause to the last whereas clause??

Brown: Yes

Buxton: are we in debate or about to be?

Sample: No still in questions, not in debate

Crisp: A lot of speculation going around speaking with the mouthpiece of other people on this campus, do you as authors feel is our responsibility as representatives to have and be that voice for that people on this university. Which is our purpose?

Byron: This is for those we represent, it is also saying we support the others also supporting this movement

Kovach: As of November 1st the state of Oklahoma passed a bill to allow police officers off duty to carry their weapon, does this need to say whether they are on duty and to make sure that off duty police officers are included so not also to get them to carry them on campus when off duty, in 3rd whereas clause as well as section 2 just to clarify ether amending or clarifying wording, specialized training to active duty voice or something to that affect?

Kelling: Just out of curiosity, I think restricting badge police offers, regardless I think it is illegal to tell officers they cannot carry their gun.

Watts: How long is the list?

Sample: 5 people and 5 minutes for questions

Byron: Not going to accept that because it is not what we are trying to say in this bill.

Park: For the campaign is that going to be a designated week?

Brown: A designated week time TBD somewhat along the lines based on the women outreach center campaign for the Halloween costumes or something like that for simple reminder throughout campus

Lefler: Would you all consider striking section 2 based on the broadness of the statement that seems unrelated to campuses and unnecessarily political and broad?

Bryon: Clarify?

Lefler: Doesn't seem clear it has to be with people carrying guns on campus but that he is opposed to those carrying guns in general, too broad and political and outside scope of this bill, it is alienating those people who carry guns when not on campus.

Scott: What were you referring this??

Lefler: Section 2

Brown: Yes accept amendment

Sample: So I noticed in the receipt portion that the SGAs of all these universities what about those additional list of same university, will that be going to the university president?? Who is receiving that?

Byron: President of that university

Crisp: Senate bill 11 specifically discusses university campuses?

Brown: Yes

Sample: In the new section 3 the SGA congress expresses disapproval 11 to disapprove of any non-government. Cannot extend any more time at this time must move to extend to end of list,

Motion to move extend questions to end of list Crisp sec Trinh

Insert "on university campuses to the new section 3?"

Brown: Sure

Watts: Given that we have torn this apart do you think that it might be prudent to table?

Sample: We cannot table since last meeting, would have to be reintroduced in next session

Watts: Should we kill it and reenter and not make a thousand amendments?

Byron: I think we have made good groundwork already and I think to do that now would be futile

Kelling: Would accept a friendly amendment to change the 6th whereas clause just to add article a wielding a fire arm

Brown Yes

Lefler: Why is representative sally kern only legislative person who will be contacted?

Brown: Because she was a prevent roll in two of these bills that have been reintroduced, saying that we are sending it to her

Lefler: Send it to other authors of bill?

Brown: Yes

Reid: Being that it may be the entire tooth of this bill, accepting a friendly amendment to re-add section 2?

Brown: Yes

Byron: Important to say that Dbo's position and whole context of this legislation it wont be read or misconstrued

Halpin: can you read the footnotes?

Brown: That was for my personal noting, we can take all of them out except for the relevant one

Lefler: Would you also add to people going to send to several news outlets?

Brown: Suggestion?

Lefler: Journal record, Oklahoma, Oklahoma gazette, KJO news?

Brown: Yes, how many?

Lefler: 5

Kelling: Back a little bit ago, the question about representative kern?

Why is she included is because she was an entitled part of the bill?

Brown: we amendment to add other people who have author or coauthored those bills and we said yes

Kelling: What we were just talking to, make it go to the entire Oklahoma house? Just a copy however that does that a copy is going to House Session?

Crisp: In reference to that, you can send piece of legislation it would go to their legislative assistants and it would be copied and forwarded out to all members?

Sample: Yes I believe so

Consent

Objection

Debate

Objection

Sample: 23 don't want to debate and 29 in this room so no debate so move to roll call vote

Motion to table bill indefinitely Watts

Objection

If we say yes you want to table the bill.

Halpin: Can we see those citations?

Kelling: Is it possible to see another bill and then come back to this?

Sample: We are in line for a roll call vote

Halpin: can we call debate again?

Sample: No objection has already been heard

Halpin: I would like to see the citations

Motion to move for a two-minute recess Watts

Objection

Sample: Objection out of order

Crisp: While Vice Chair Chelsea finds the information, would it be viable for us to move into roll call vote?

Sample: Yes

Roll call vote results: **22-2-5**

Halpin: Whereas clause one is from Campus Carry general info?

Brown: They will be cited in a footnote format

This bill does pass!

Items to Be Considered

940109 Appointment Procedures Act (Byron/Thompson) (Rec of do pass)

Nguyen sec Long

Byron: Reaffirming something that is already in the code but I want to clarify portion of the code that is in the section you normally search for to make sure more prevalent so when people searching about interview process and they don't miss these steps, they are normally in the legislative part of code and when people

searching through unless reading all the pages of code they most likely haven't seen and if they miss that procedure it doesn't serve the students well, doesn't change anything, just makes it more apparent for those people going through interview processes

Watts: Concerning appointees to the superior court, am I correct it is not necessary to be at committee or general meeting?

Byron: Typically they should go to general body, but usually you guys are lenient and let them go to committee and act as reps for students

Crisp: Based off what Watts brought up do you think it is respectable to stipulate them to go to each and every committee meeting if unable to go to general meeting or giving small body number that duty?

Byron: Procedural doesn't make sense, I think concerning of every student in this room asking question, and you have opportunity to table this if you don't agree with it.

Consent

940111 Appointment Efficiency Act of 2015 (Capehart)(Rec of do pass)

Trinh sec Nguyen

Nigh: This is something been wanting to do for long time, if you are new you fill out application and next day you get an email saying show up to interview next two days and we lose people because of the fast turn around because people aren't informed about the application and the interview dates, so now that we are appointing committee chair in spring, it would allow the chair to write it, and say when the interviews will be and I think it will really streamline that and get us more attention!

Watts: Is there a line in how ConAd would decide these things?

Nigh: would be at the committee of the whole discussion. Brainstorm

Halpin: Did Tanner Capehart serve as an associate or rep?

Rains: Associate

Nigh: If language says that, that is what he put that down, it is a scrivener's error

Consent

940112 Economic Review Act Part 1 (Cramm/WAM) (Rec of do pass)

940113 Economic Review Act Part 2 (Cramm/WAM) (Rec of do Pass)

940114 Economic Review Act Part 3 (Cramm/WAM) (Rec of do Pass)

Motion to see 940112/113/114 as a block Crisp sec Nguyen

Trinh move to extend authors explanation 10 minutes instead of three sec Nguyen

Rash: I'm an associate for engineering we have been working on this for past few weeks, on the first part we clarified fiscal year, we just clarified use of funded by SGA so people who print or have events by SGA know when to use two different disclaimers. For release of liability we pulled that from later in the chapter, fits better there. In chapter 2 we reorganized first paragraph so it matches the format better. Moved SGA accounts from later in chapter and clarified language in the next few paragraph, for non allowable items we separated those a bit for clarity, and we removed travel and lodging, because of line item we check everyone we fund. We are allowing decorations so removed 8 and 16. For 9 we separated into two parts, making it clearer and easier for RSOs to read. Section 15 we are allowing personal clothing for any RSO with the exception of those that would be capital investments, ex. Sporting teams that would buy uniforms. Helps us so not wasting money. Allowable items. Big section crossed out is what we moved up to chapter 1. For the rest of it up till clothing on based of capital investments clarifying it is in allow items. For capital items we moved next paragraphs for flow. Moving on to release of funds we clarified names of accounts, signature required clarified university signatures. Added student initiatives funds which is what we have access for students made that a permanent account.

Rash: Deleting all of chapter 3 and moving it to title 10 chapter 3, majority of it was duplicated from title ten so amendment done in here are just clarifying language and additional funding we removed that completely because we don't take that into consideration. Title 10 sec 7 we clarified use of space for more flexibility, on notification process we just clarified that little more, some of what we moved from title 12

Echols: On to finance Ch 4, we move the names and formatted that better, interview scheduling deadline, took out constitutions because elsewhere in code, references in post budget cutting we don't do that just funding, made it represent format we have now. We clarified eligibility for primary, subsidiary and emergency for how we have that now, a lot of this is just copied between sections. Changed availability, again all of these

changes to show what we currently do. All in ineligibility all in additional submissions we don't do, took out student operators, WAM utilized, reimbursement acceptance of current process. Took out last section because we don't utilize the periods. Under responsibilities subsection a after the student members of student activity fees committee insert the words as defined by the regent policy.

Kelling: Would you accept a friendly amendment as defined by the regent policy and strike as members of the committee?

Echols: Yes

Trinh: Just to clarify, your saying now personal clothing is an admissible item for SGA funds?

Echols: If it is for a capital investment, so only if going to be used over a long period of time. 3 years time.

Trinh: So if organizations didn't have it as a capital investment can they change it to a capital investment?

Rash: Have to do a line item request transfer, which must go through the WAM committee.

Sample: Just to clarify this is the chapter that discusses all of the funding for RSOs, which WAM handles

Crisp: Under title 12 chapter 1 sec subsection 31 under fiscal year all the way at the beginning, Just in regards of the end of the fiscal year, do you think there should be an end of fiscal year to June 30th-31st to give RSOs ability to access funds over summer for them to host events over summer?

Cramm: Since I have been chair I have not heard many issues in which they had an event in the end of the fiscal year and they bough all supplies of year, in my tender I have not seen an organization that that affects

Crisp: Seeing that as a budgetary committee, should we predict that that could happen?

Cramm: We also don't do a committee over summer so we could not approve or see it

Crisp: Can you answer my second question?

Rash: Scope helps for the RSOs

Reid: When it comes to all of these funds and initiatives like that, which of these could say a PR committee taps into?

Echols: What exactly are you asking?

Reid: Is there an initiative that our PR committee could use?

Sample: So the committee would be under the chair and VC who are signatures on our account, this is the overall process and that is outside scope of this legislation

Motion to extend time by ten minutes Crisp sec Trinh

Watts: Under chapter 4, section 40 and 41 primary and subsidiary budgetary processes, subsection a, given that sub subsections I II III V and VI do not actually all qualify as requisites, would you be in favor of eliminate little language in-between a and I.

Echols: Important to state in what has to happen for funding

Watts: Given that each of the individual subsections says you must do this to be eligible for deadline, given that they all contain that language is it not redundant to also have that language outside?

Rash: I don't feel it is necessary because RSOs are not familiar with this language so that helps with readability and shows them what needs to happen

Crisp: Would be will accept friendly amendment sec 31 the whole thing would state the beginning of fiscal year shall be July 1st and end will be last day of June in semester. After the last day of classes in spring semesters RSO's shall only be allowed to do withdrawals from account?

Echols and Rash: No wording is good as is

Halpin: Under Ch. 1 sec 33 and 34 under opinions expressed can you clarify word by communications?

Echols: Any communications from RSOs to student body

Halpin: Since not all communications include editorial fees or broadcasts that would change that language to that communications through media sources?

Echols: No I think that wording is good as is and I think it is important to remove us from liability

Halpin: As one of authors states communication means any communication from RSO's to members and this section address editorial sections and broadcasts, which are not all communications they will have, that language is weird.

Sample: Any communications using university language so I think that any communication using these things, so I think enough of narrow margin that amendment not necessary

Watts: Do numbering errors consider scriveners errors?

Sample: Addressed by GC later

Kelling: In title 12 paragraph 2 sec 39, violation of financial responsibility sections. If states restricted to SGA members that those to students, to make sure that any student could end up violating that?

Echols: Reflect original intent of statement, any member at OU is technically member of SGA; it makes more sense under old name of UOSA. Make it more readable for original intent

Crisp: Under allowable items in title 12 Ch. 2, e subsection 7, intermural and tournaments for RSO's sports club, is this saying only RSOs with sports allowed to use funds for intermural rules?

Yes

Crisp: is this breaking intermural rules that's says you can only have 3 club members on a team?

Rash: just sports club

Crisp: would you accept friendly amendment striking through sports club?

Yes

Motion to extend time by ten minutes Watts sec Crisp

Watts: Does removing the sport club language allow for entry fees to be allowable for any organization now?

Echols: yes but not an issue in my opinion since it comes through WAM if not important to organization we would not allow it

Trinh: when you say entry fees for tournament have to be by OU?

Echols: No, a lot of clubs compete international, etc.

Watts: Thanks for patience, concerning eligibility, is it not, logically false to have a header you must meet these requirements and then have subsections that are not concerning requirements

Echols: I think removing the wording could do more harm than good.

Halpin: Under allowable items subsection 15 change multicultural to community engagement events given student affairs have now decided to change that wording? Friendly amendment?

Echols: If we make change it would make scope larger than what we intend, worried that someone outside of that community could use it

Cramm: That wording basically extends to all RSOs

Halpin: Aren't all RSOs limited to what Student Affairs say?

Echols: We want to try to make this as readable as possible

Motion to move to a roll call vote Rains sec Trinh

Objection Watts

Majority

29-0-0 passed

YAY ☺

940115 Survey Reform Act (Williams/Brown) (Rec of do pass)

Pae sec Crisp

Williams: This legislation is to amend the code annotated survey section we are pretty much amending that the congressional administration can hold surveys and say they can be conducted at discretion of election chair and author of said surveys.

Halpin: Can you explain why the election chair is also giving approval as well as congress? In section g?

Williams: The election chair is already supposed to originally give approval so its just us not taking away their duty

Watts: Is the language in section G supposed to say at discretion of election chair with author?

Williams: Yes

Watts: So up to author when to post the survey?

Brown: Yes the author can go to election chair and say is it ok if conducted at this time?

Williams: It used to be that you had to do it immediately and sometimes author doesn't want it done then

Crisp: This now allows us to send survey out at whatever point and time we deem necessary?

Brown: Yes with approval from the election chair

Kelling: So if I want to get survey about gun bill, I could've now sent it to con ad who could send it to the election chair and then she could send them out?

Brown: Yes

Lefler: Why does it have to be approved by election chair when been approved by committee chair?

Williams: It has previously been done by the election chair

Sample: The reason is because everyone has access to orgsync page, and that is not necessarily the case with our page and it was great with last software and works great with current software.

Lefler: Better to do it through elections.ou.edu instead of orgsync?

Sample: electionchair.ou.edu directs to orgsync

Brown: election chair approves all surveys and this follows all guidelines concerning election chair

George: Going back to integrity and clarity, you said does this goes to ConAd then to the election chair then out there?

Williams: It goes to both bodies then con ad then out there

Kelling: ConAd shall see the bill approve it and send the bill, and then it says it goes to GSS

Sample: In first sentence of section F, it shall not be amendable except for scrivener's errors.

Consent

Objection

Lefler: Do you see any value in removing necessity of election chair in order to get survey through easier?

Any value in getting rid of election chair approval?

Brown: No I think it definitely needs to go through election chair since it is us kind of telling them to put this through, I think it is a necessary step

Echols: Can you explain why you feel it is necessary?

Williams: The whole idea is that it has to go thru orgsync on elections, so I don't understand why you would do that if you don't have the approval of the election chair.

Brown: It is simply saying yes we do approve this.

Motion to extend questions by five minutes Kelling

Objection

Objection

Motion to move to a roll call vote Crisp sec Reid

28-0-0 passes!!!

940116 An Act Determining Committee Chair Absences (Elliott/Steward) (Rec of do pass)

Scott sec Crisp

Elliott: So me and Sarah reading bylaws and saw that the way it is now says committee chairs are in charge of all committee attendance which includes their own so they can excuse their own attendance, you can still get absences if you miss committee meetings and chairs could excuse themselves, which could potentially be a problem. So we just want to clarify in the bylaws that they cannot do that and that they have to get approval through the VC.

Lefler: How many absences for chairs and vice chairs are allowed?

Elliott: You get 3 for all absences

Lefler: What is purpose of allowing them as many excuses as necessary?

Brown: No those are 3 unexcused absences, so if committee chair sees it fits, as it pertains to themselves as well

Watts: The 3 absences apply to reps and associates?

Rains: Yes to both

Crisp: Accept friendly amendment to underline portion the attendance of committee chair be under discretion of VC. The congress VC may give as many absences as deemed necessary

Yes

Consent

Objection

Lefler: I would like to reword that from committee VC to the congress VC?

Elliott: Referring to that the whole time it just does not explicitly say that so amendment is doing that

Motion to move to a roll call vote Kelling sec Reid

28-0-0 passes

940707 Appointment of General Counsel (Thompson/Byron/Pavlowsky)(Rec of do pass)

Steward sec Williams

Thompson: So all this does is appoint Jordan who has already been doing this job since last spring, her name is already on window, just moving her into official capacity, just officializing her role as GC.

Byron: She is extremely well qualified and can tell you more about herself and her role ac GC

Soto: I am a 3L went to OSU for undergrad then came here, so I've been doing this since last spring, work with students who have been turned in for violations about integrity or violations of code. I also put out opinion about any wording of legislation etc.

Consent

940509 Emergency Allocation #6 (WAM) (Rec of do pass)

Watts sec Nguyen

Rash: Emergency allocation 6, and these are the funds we allocated to them. For AGSA we allocated \$500 for PEA, crimson chord \$200 for PEA, autism speaks for \$50 for office and \$200 for PEA. Sooner for Israel \$400 for PEA.

Droege: pending passage of this how much is left in emergency allocation funds?

Rash: it is close to \$13,500.

Kelling: Excuse my ignorance, why is undergraduate's student congress funding graduate association? Shouldn't that be GSS?

Rash: No because GSS gives out grants and undergraduate congress gives out funding for RSOs, which include graduate student RSOs

Sample: GSS is seeing this too

Rash: We also have a GSS member that helps dibby out money to RSOs

Watts: Can you run through expenses for each organization.

Rash: PEA for AGSA was for hosting a thanksgiving dinner, basically for all of their people to go and get to know each other and have fun and relax before up coming break, Crimson Chords was for recording fees, Autism Speaks for \$200 for talent show and promotion, Sooners for Israel \$400 for banquet

Watts: What are Crimson Chords?

Rash: They are an accapella group

Watts: Is Crimson Chords what used to be The Redliners that changed their name?

Sample: Yes

Nguyen: What is Sooner for Israel?

Z: This is request for \$2400 for banquet, in which the interview they said they need \$600 for reimbursement

Cramm: It is a coalition of student who are promoting Israel

Crisp: With Autism Speaks OU is this a new org on campus?

Cramm: Yes

Crisp: How much did they ask for?

Rash: Will look that up

Trinh: Is that a scrivener's error for crimson cord change to chords

Rash: Yes

Kelling: If I understand were they are going, if this is an new organization we might want to give them more if they ask for more?

Cramm: They asked for \$750, they wanted to rent out Lloyd noble, but you can rent out Meacham, which is much more cost efficient

Crisp: Do we feel that we may be saying that that organization for that talent show are not qualified enough to go to the Lloyd noble center??

Rash: No we do not

Motion to move to a roll call vote Pae sec Kelling

28-0-0 passes

New Business

Follow-Up Reports

Items for Future Agenda

Announcements and Comments

Final Roll Call

Motion to suspend rules and adjourn sine die Reid sec Trinh

Adjourn Sine Die