

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION



UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS Session CI

General Body Meeting
7:00pm, Tuesday, March 5, 2019
Devon Hall 120

Session CI

Roll Call – 7:02pm

Flag Salute

Representative Patterson

Approval of Minutes

Representative Merchant seconded by Representative Stack

Chair's Report – This week we are finished with filing. If you are running in the elections you should be sure to attend the candidate's meetings. You don't want to start the campaign with points against your campaign. There is going to be an Executive Branch Progress Review that you are welcome to attend and that the President will be talking about tonight. You should start to finish up your projects soon. If you are considering running for one of these three positions next year or for committee chair positions, talk to us or the office holders and make sure that you have fleshed out all of your ideas and know what is going on.

Vice Chair's Report – I have sent out an email about liaisons on the Primary Funding form. I still need info from those organizations about their meeting times so tell them to fill out the additional form.

Secretary's Report – Hey everyone! We're getting to that point in the semester where your absences are starting to catch up with you all. Don't worry, just make sure that you are communicating with me and your committee chair or secretary if you ever have a scheduling conflict. We cannot help you if you aren't talking to us, but I know that all of them are willing to work with you if you just reach out. Don't forget that you are eligible for expulsion after 4 absences, so be careful if you are at two or three. Other than that, if you are looking to write a bill, you need to be communicating with your committee chair and the three of us up here. You cannot just come to us one day with a bill and expect it to go onto the agenda! If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to ask us how the process works.

Committee Reports-

- **Congressional Administration:** CB-101-24 got a rec of no rec. Ways and Means also saw this bill.
- **External Affairs:** We are working on the voter registration drive and the city council projects. Get your congressional service hours here.
- **Human Diversity:** We didn't meet this week, but we will be talking about the professor and the pay gap issue.
- **Problems and Projects:** We talked about the international students and retention incentives for upperclassmen. We also discussed concerns about the international students from the suggestion box and concerns about parking. We have information about this, but we as representatives need to do a much better job about advertising this.

- **Sustainability:** We are working on our long term projects including recycling and the bill tonight about sustainable funding practices for student organizations. This will be a series of projects that we work on to be sure that the university funds only sustainable things.
- **University Policy:** We are talking to Health Promotion about spreading HPV and vaccine awareness at OU, working with OUR Mental Health to prepare a mental health module for freshmen, using Texas A&M's hate speech policy and other texts to model a new hate speech policy for OU, trying to make a Volunteerism Week for OU where we display volunteer opportunities on the South Oval, and working on bringing peer mentors in some capacity to OU.
- **Ways and Means:** We saw Auxiliary Allocation 17 and the Sustainable Funding Bill. We gave both bills recs of do pass.

Liaison Reports

Special Orders

- Update on the Executive Branch by President Gibbs
 Gibbs: I am here to update you all on our projects including the gym improvement project. We have compiled the things that students want to change about the gym into a couple of different projects. One thing is to divert the high traffic areas and make sure that people don't feel uncomfortable in the gym. This might include getting rid of one of the racquetball courts and adding more fitness areas. We got proposals about this and it wasn't very expensive, around \$150,000. The entire gym project with new floors, reduced maintenance costs, and new machines would take about the time of the summer. We are always thinking about the colors of the walls and potentially doing an art contest that would improve the interior design. On the high end of all of this, there would be about a \$400,000 project. This is about 1/5 of the Student Activity Fees Reserve Fund. We are not going to worry about the basketball courts since they are so new. In the conversations that we have had with OU Fit and Rec, students want a lot of these things. To address student's needs, we are thinking about doing a random survey for people leaving the gym. This would be to get numbers on the usage of the different areas in the gym, what the best time to do the renovations would be, and what the best strategy would be. I told the Interior Department that we want a rough draft deliverable done by the Spring. We are going to be having a progress review on the first floor of the Conoco on Monday. Please come and ask questions, especially if you are a committee chair. I would love to hear the questions. This will be Monday at 8:00pm.
 Williams: Last time you spoke in front of us, you were speaking about the incidents of blackface on campus. What has been done to ensure that this doesn't happen again?
 Gibbs: Unfortunately, statistics show that this likely will happen again, but we are doing everything we can to change the culture that is allowing acts like this. We are establishing groups with students and faculty for international student services, faculty and student services, curriculum changes, housing and food, and some other areas. We want to ensure that we are establishing the different areas of oppression on campus and fixing those.
 Williams: How would the Multicultural Advancement Committee be different than Campus Climate Board of Advisors?
 Gibbs: They will be separate groups, but fundamentally different in that the faculty will be a part of these committees. President Gallogly has agreed to meet with us later in the Spring and then hopefully we can have a conference to update the public on our progress.
 Felkner: What are your thoughts and plans on how to improve the freshman diversity training specifically?
 Gibbs: I am not totally sure yet. That's why we want these committees that will look into this. There are empirical studies to show that these trainings don't address the people that need the training.
 Williams: Are you going to codify the Multicultural Advancement Committee?
 Gibbs: I don't think so, simply because at this point we are providing the administration with the different perspectives on the matter.
 Swearingin: Would you be willing to provide us with spreadsheets delineating the impact on the budget in terms of where the money is coming from?
 Gibb: Absolutely

Spangler-Day: What would the effect of the racquetball courts be in terms of how expensive the plan is?

Gibbs: I think we want the court plan to be included, but if there is data that suggests that it is not the best option, we won't take it.

Williams: Where are you with the elections?

Gibbs: We want to create a proposal for next semester.

Williams: What is the progress on the non-voting member of the Board of Regents?

Gibbs: The connection that we had to the Board of Regents was unfortunately with one of the members who passed away. We are looking at other legislative options, but that will be difficult.

Williams: Where are you with the indigenous land acknowledgements?

Gibbs: We have two types of acknowledgements that we would like to use. Short-term ones for organizations and long-term ones for graduation proceedings. Our inclusivity Co-Chair Taylor has been talking to Bree Ferris, the indigenous advisor in Student Life. We are wondering if that is the appropriate avenue to use for that community. I am not indigenous myself, so I want to be sure we get input from that community to know what the land acknowledgements should look like, how they should be implemented, and how we should move forward. They meet weekly about this.

Williams: What is the Food Recovery Network progress?

Gibbs: Karla and Abbey took that on in Congress. They have completed this, so we aren't going to claim credit for it.

Student Concerns

Schoonover: I'm Logan Schoonover and I'm the Chair of College Republicans. I am here to talk about the Auxiliary Funding allocations given to us. We applied for Auxiliary Funding for the rest of the year, and we were given \$150. I am asking to amend the bill to give us \$350 for the rest of the year for tabling. We have been told about our bad fiscal history, but we have 110 members now and over 350 members on our OrgSync. We have new leadership and a practically new organization. We applied for Primary Funding in the past, but it was not used. After talking to Tom, I now know that the spending this year is not considered in the Auxiliary request from this past month. We asked for money to sell food at the table, and this was denied, but we were not aware that this was a non-allowable item, and it looked like the committee did not know either. This is language you should clarify in the Code Annotated, because it shouldn't be counted the same as physical giveaways. We also didn't get much of the rest of the money we asked for for tabling supplies. We were also docked for not filling out the form correctly, but this was not made clear to us as we filled it out. One of the members suggested funding us \$150-\$300, and we were given the low end of that range. We are not sure why, and we would like an explanation about that. We need every penny that we applied for. I know that you all did voter registration drives, and we also did these. We are tabling a lot this year, and we are making a big difference on campus this year. The \$150 we were given this year is not even enough for food for more than one meeting this year. I know that some organizations will spend their money to cater nice places, but we are just trying to feed the members that we have with pizza. We just need money to feed our members during meetings. I know that Auxiliary Funding is running out, but we didn't get any Primary Funding because of the Treasurer filling out the form incorrectly. We have grown a lot this year despite this. We deserve more Auxiliary Funding, and it is not our fault that we didn't get the Primary Funding form filled out correctly, because the Treasurer was the former leadership.

Motion to extend time for questions by 5 minutes

Representative Merchant seconded by Representative Rojas

Williams: Can Sam produce the funding sheets?

Chair: During the bill

Chism: There is a section on the application form to explain the change in leadership. Did you do this?

Schoonover: We did this on the Auxiliary Funding application last semester when we applied for \$800 and got \$250. I re-chartered the organization after it had fallen apart. I re-elected a new executive board. I don't think the allocations this year are reflective of the progress that we have made this year. I don't think the actions of the past leadership were acceptable either. I think that at this point we have proven ourselves again

and again. The money that we are fundraising has to go back into the organization, so the money from SGA is critical.

Patterson: How much did you get total last year?

Schoonover: \$250

Merchant: How many meetings per year do you all have?

Schoonover: Five per month, leaving us with about ten the rest of the year. We have lots of events outside of meetings as well.

Williams: What is your ideal allocation?

Schoonover: I would say the money for tabling and food. We applied for \$600 and we applied for \$2,200 next year, but \$350 for tonight would be enough.

Motion to extend time for questions by 5 minutes
Representative Stack seconded by Representative Douglas

Chism: Has it been explained to you all that the Auxiliary Funding application process is three times per semester?

Schoonover: Yes, but I don't think that we should have to jump through all of those hoops to get funding when other organizations are getting hundreds of dollars per request. We are working diligently.

Swearengin: You mentioned that you all received \$0 in Primary Funding. Was that a failure to apply correctly or a rejection?

Schoonover: It was an application error. The form was not filled out correctly.

OI Chair: You have to do the budget and the information form and the information form was missing.

OC Quick: The Code Annotated needs the organization to be registered for a consecutive year and reregister in the fall.

Stack: Do you feel like this is discrimination against College Republicans?

Schoonover: I feel like there is a bias against political organizations on college campuses. I think that people stay away from College Republicans and College Democrats that they think are stirring up the pot. I don't know for sure, but this is a bias I think might exist.

Swearengin: Prior to this role, I served in the Ways and Means committee for a year. Are you aware that the committee is very apolitical committee that is comprised arguably of more conservatives than liberal people?

Schoonover: It is something that I've heard about, but I have not heard of people in Congress standing people up for conservative issues. College campuses and SGAs tend to lean more to the left.

Swearengin: This is a student concern about the elections that I run. The filing period has ended. I was hoping to speak to you all before it closed, but I was unable to because of closures. I have a meeting at 6:00pm tomorrow for those who filed and at 4:30pm on Friday. Email electionchair@ou.edu if you are unable to make these meetings by 5:00pm so I do not have to punish you. If you have questions during the elections time frame, I am happy to help you all with that. If you are looking to register materials, they are on the elections portal. If you see violations occurring during the elections, there are two forms that you can fill out on the OrgSync. If you are aware of these things, please get photo evidence and get it to us, and I will let you know of a decision within 24 hours. I am hoping that we don't have too many infractions occurring this semester. The Campus Activities Council Chair race is uncontested. The University College is the most contested. Most representative seats are contested, and I can provide info about who has filed. I can provide Freedom of Information Act requests about the candidates. This can be for competitors or candidates in general.

Williams: How many people applied for the Spring Social Science Seat?

Swearengin: Two

Chism: Does it matter what meeting the candidates go to even though they selected a specific one?

Swearengin: No, that was for guidance. If the meeting times do not work for you, I can meet with you next week. I have attendance sheets and if I see that there is a vacancy on the sheets, they will be notified by the Election Board of the violation.

Felkner: How many applied in the engineering?

Swearingin: I will let you know.

Vakulick: I am an aviation freshman. I have a concern about the College Republicans funding issue. I would like to defend Logan about the email from earlier today. Representative Hart called the email vulgar. The definition for vulgar is an explicit reference to sex or a bodily function that is rude. That was not accurate. In terms of the bias, I have not attended these meetings before, but reading the minutes from the meeting, it seemed that they were fishing for reasons to pick the lower end of the range. There was no reason to pick the \$150. Conservatives on campus tend to keep quiet, because campuses tend to be left-leaning. I have statistics about events that have occurred against conservatives on campus.

Maddy: What was the email about?

Vakulick: It was an email to the budget committee speaking about the budget.

Quick: Are you aware that four of six members present during this Ways and Means are registered Republicans?

Vakulick: No

Spangler-Day: Do you have any specifics about the minutes that led you to believe that there was bias?

Vakulick: We are all human. On the outside looking in, I cannot be sure, but we all have bias. I was not aware that there were Republicans on the committee, but I know that there are biases.

Spangler-Day: What about the minutes?

Vakulick: It went from the discussion about the budget to about why they wanted pizza. They also gave no reason as to why the number was chosen.

Maddy: Were you referring to the Iranian Student Association with regards to the minutes?

Vakulick: I was speaking specifically about the decision regarding our student organization. I have looked over the rest of the minutes.

**Motion to extend time for questions by 5 minutes
Representative Stack seconded by Representative Hobson**

Stack: You were asking for \$600 and got \$150, which I understand, but do you think that there was a specific targeting of the College Republicans in terms of bias?

Vakulick: I did before hearing the number, but now I just don't know why the number was chosen at all.

Stack: Are you aware that there is not much money left in the Auxiliary Funding account and that food costs aren't prioritized?

Vakulick: I would like to point out that half of the money asked for was for tabling materials, and I also want to point out that we have fundraised thousands of dollars on our own.

Schoonover: The money we are trying to raise on our own is to bring a conservative speaker to campus. This hurts us when we raise money on our own and then have to dip into that money to pay for future fundraisers. I understand that money is tight right now, but \$150 is not enough to support the size of our organization, especially when we are trying to host speakers at our meetings. I am a part of an organization that gets money for fuzzy's for ten people. This organization appears to use all of their money, but it is all spent on food. We are just trying to meet the bare minimum and trying to increase our memberships for the future elections. The bias is also not a bias towards ours but towards political organizations in general. We don't know what kinds of biases people will have.

Vakulick: My first meeting, there were only eleven people there, and we had a city council member and Brian Vineyard show up. Now, we get an average of thirty people showing up. We have put in a lot of work and we have a lot more people showing up. We volunteer with other organizations, we do fundraisers, and we are doing a lot of important work.

**Motion to extend time for questions to the end of the list and close the list
Representative Merchant seconded by Representative Spangler-Day**

Merchant: Do you think OU College Republicans fiscal history plays a part?

Vakulick: I believe that they mentioned this and that it did play a part.

Swearengin: Could you describe, after talking about the bias and the Republicans on Ways and Means, what exactly your objective is? To allege a bias? To obtain funding?

Vakulick: Both. We want to obtain the funding, but before speaking to Representative Quick, I felt it was safe to assume the bias. Now, I want to get to the bottom of why only \$150 was given and why it was bumped to the lowest range.

Darabaris: Are you aware that many other clubs who have received \$25,000 in funding receive additional funding, and that another club was given \$50 more than College Republicans to “play with” for no reason.

OI Stack: Which club was that?

Darabaris: Black Student Association and Cycling Club

Vakulick: I was aware of those, and that is part of where the alleged bias idea came from. I am not throwing accusations, but elections are coming up, and I don’t know why we got the low end of the scale.

Cain: I want to read some of the information that has been referenced. I don’t think that there is a political bias, but there are discrepancies in the number. Throughout the legislation there are several organizations that received more than double the amount of money that College Republicans received and had equally bad fiscal history and similar situations.

Swearengin: As a former member of the committee, I can tell you today that the numbers that they came up with are based on the fiscal history, the applications, and that they go through a very methodical process.

How do these numbers bring up discrimination against College Republicans?

Cain: I have not alleged that there is bias. I am here to say that other organizations who requested similar amounts and had similar history received more than twice as much. I wish to suggest that there was a bad result where College Republicans was given far less money than similar organizations.

OI Merchant: Can the meeting minutes be found online?

Chair: Not yet

OI Quick: I plan on reading these later during my bill presentation.

Powers: Are you aware that each organization is considered independently based on their own fiscal history and on what they specifically are requesting?

Cain: I realize that, and I think that College Republicans should be considered as a new organization because of the recent overhaul in leadership.

Motion to extend time for questions by 2 minutes
Representative Stack seconded by Representative Kuketz
Objection Representative Powers
Motion fails by a voice vote

Motion to extend time for questions by 7 minutes
Representative Felkner seconded by Representative
Objection Representative Stack
Motion passes by a voice vote

OI Powers: Is it in the Chair’s opinion that representatives should be using their inside voices during voice votes?

Chair: Yes

Stack: Taking into account all of the information we have today, do you think that this is a biased opinion of the Ways and Means committee? It sounds like there have been claims of bias.

Cain: I do not wish to debate the concerns of bias. I am not on the executive committee of College Republicans, and I am mostly just a conservative person who falls in line with Republican principles. I want to speak on this issue. I have no say about what else was posted.

Schoonover: The email states that there was suspicion of bias, not that there was certain bias. I just want to clarify that I said that there may be political bias. When I say this, I cannot be sure of what people will say in response to this. I don’t feel that this was me stepping out of bounds, and people can voice their concerns.

MacDonald: The organizations are considered individually. Is SGA doing enough to ensure that new members are aware of the SGA procedures and to ensure that the organizations with new leaderships are aware of how things work?

Cain: I am not an active member of SGA, and I have joined College Republicans late in the year. I am now aware that there are forms for new organizations and changes in leadership. There are also guidelines about the amount of funding given to organizations.

OI Rojas: Can we speak about the Ways and Means process to clarify things?

Chair: Sam will during the bill

Hart: I am concerned that people don't understand what the purpose of Ways and Means is. Ways and Means allocates funding that SGA provides to the committee. We see a lot of organizations, and we give out a lot of money. I am a Republican, although I don't think I should have to say that right now. In regards to the comment about being vulgar, I was catching up with a friend about what is going on in my life. I mentioned that we had gotten an email from College Republicans where the sender was very angry. I was talking to my friend about this and a person felt the need to interrupt the conversation and confront us about it. It was not a public announcement, it was private. I respect everyone in this room, and I respect College Republicans, but I feel that the statement was represented as being public. This was a coincidence, and I told him that he should come to the meeting and express the concern.

Motion to suspend the rules and move into Items to be Considered
Representative Quick seconded by Representative Bouch

Old Business

Items to Be Considered

AB-101-08 Auxiliary Allocation Act 17 of 2018-2019 (Quick) (WAM → rec of do pass)

Representative Quick seconded by Representative DeAngeli

Quick: Funding is the most important thing that SGA does, and it affects people's lives across campus. Our top goal as a committee is to be fair in the funding process. We constantly change it. We removed the interview process in recent years, for example, and we are updating the Code Annotated in the near future as well. During meetings, we see budgets and information forms that organizations submit. The five criteria we use in the Code Annotated are as follows: the degree to which the programs affect the university, the scope of the organization, the percent of funds used in the past, the quality of the budget, and the degree to which the request will accomplish the organization's goal. There is a clarifying component that the committee has discretion over the weights. I want to give context about the requests.

Motion to extend time for questions by 5 minutes
Representative Stack seconded by Representative Rojas

Quick: We hadn't met for two weeks because I had been out of town and once because I gave my committee the week off. We saw 30 requests this week and we rejected three of them. We had \$28,000 left in the Auxiliary Funding account before this meeting. We were requested for \$107,000 in this meeting alone. We gave away half the budget in this meeting. The process is to remove any bias. We had four of six people present who would consider themselves conservative politically. The minutes say, that College Republicans is requesting \$600, that they received money in the past and spent their money from Primary Funding in one year, and they did not spend any the next two years. They did not receive Primary Funding because of registration break. We saw them in Auxiliary Funding before. They cannot apply for food for resale. They had bad fiscal but new leadership and membership. One member says, "I say \$150-\$300." One person's opinion about the range does not indicate the entire committee's view. This is why we do entire committee votes. The decision was unanimous. During the Title X code rewrite, we are going to be making it clear that you cannot resell things you buy with SGA funds. I run a tight ship, and I don't care what an organization does. We fund organizations that look for ghosts. I don't think that this bill should be amended because Ways and Means makes these decisions, and they can reapply for funding.

Swearengin: Are you recommending that they reapply using one of their remaining Auxiliary Funding requests?

Quick: Reapply. I am not open to amending this bill.

Cain: These are your procedures, but the key driving funding is the prior misuse. Since this organization was completely new, I believe this should be reconsidered. Do you?

Quick: Delta Gamma Pi received money for three years straight and used none. Public Health used none for two years. Another organization spent 1% of their allocation and 0% another year. My initial reaction is to give nothing to an organization that doesn't spend any money in the past. Then I go back to look at the context. We weren't going to fund the resale items because that has never been a practice of ours. I thought the \$150 was generous, because you can always apply again for funding.

Cain: I'm not sure if you were aware of the significance of the leadership change. I think that enough change has occurred that this should be considered new. Do you?

Quick: This is not how we have operated in the past, and I don't think that we should do that now. We did not use this year's fiscal history at any point during the year to consider Auxiliary Funding requests.

MacDonald: With regards to the misuse, once you have started to rebuild and spend appropriately, is it a way to see how the organization restructures and test the length of the leash?

Quick: This is a way for us to provide a negative incentive for those who don't spend their money well.

Rojas: Is it true that you can apply three times for anything during the semester? Can you get reimbursed with SGA funds for an event after it has occurred?

Quick: I think it is 30 days, and I want to expand that. I don't think that organizations should have to jump through that many hoops.

Swearengin: There were \$28,000 in the account before?

Quick: There is around \$14,000 left in the budget now.

Motion to extend time for questions by 10 minutes Representative Stack seconded by Representative Rojas

Darabaris: Last semester this organization got \$250 and now only \$150. After putting that money to good use, why would we not consider further extension of the leash?

Quick: We don't use this year's fiscal in considerations, and I haven't looked at that past request.

Spangler-Day: Is this the only organization that didn't receive what they requested?

Quick: BSA requested \$9,000 got \$500. ISA applied without Primary Funding and asked for \$10,600, but they received \$2,900. Other orgs were in similar situations.

Nadolski: Can you talk about how often you give organizations the entire amount?

Quick: I can't answer that accurately because I don't exactly remember. We gave four to six organizations the fully funded amount this time, the minimum allocation being \$16.

Maddy: Would this small ask in additional allocation have a significant impact on the future budget? You gave \$200 away for them to "play around with."

Quick: I don't remember that being said although obviously something to that nature was said. Everyone who applies needs the money that they request. It is unfortunate that we can't fund everything, but that is why several applications are allowed.

Merchant: Can you talk about the break in registration?

Quick: You have to apply for registration every spring and then re-register in the Fall. They did not do this last fall for this primary. College Republicans failed to do this, and this is a break in registration.

Swearengin: Knowing that we have about \$13,600 remaining, and 8 academic weeks remaining, that is about \$1,700 per week. Is it your opinion that we should be especially frugal moving forward?

Quick: We should be good stewards of Auxiliary Funding. This is funded by last year's reversions. Auxiliary Funding is first come, first serve for this reason. We are always trying to be fair, not just right now. I think we were as fair now as in Auxiliary Funding Allocation 1.

Bouch: Does Auxiliary Funding from the previous year count in fiscal history?

Quick: It is something that we could incorporate. As long as we do this for every organization, that is something we could do.

Schoonover: There have been questions about the full amount being funded. We are asking right now for \$350. We wouldn't be here for something insignificant, and I am aware that not many organizations do this, so I think it should be clear that this is an important request.

McCans: Can you comment on the fiscal history of the Cycling Club?

Quick: They spent 97% last year, 100% the year before, and 0% the year before that.

Motion to extend time for questions to the end of list and close the list
Representative Bouch seconded by Representative Thompson

Debate Secretary Holt

Motion to recess for 2 minutes
Secretary Holt seconded by Representative Quick

Gavel in – 8:55pm

Proponency
Swearengin
Felkner

Opponency

Motion to rise from Debate
Representative Bouch seconded by Representative Merchant

Quick: I would like to make a few more points. We try to be as fair as possible with the funding process laid out in the Code Annotated. If we make a change this year, that change would be for the next year of funding. If we wanted to talk about adjusting the process so that organizations could be considered new, I would be open to that.

Motion to go to a roll call vote
Representative Felkner seconded by Representative Hobson

Passed by a roll call vote of 30-0-0

CB-101-24 Act Establishing Environmentally Sustainable Funding (DeAngeli/Hobson) (WAM → rec of do pass) (ConAd → rec of no rec)

Representative Stack seconded by Representative Hobson

DeAngeli: This bill is to establish sustainable funding for OU. There are a lot of unsustainable things being funded. There is a scrivener's mistake with the numbering. There is a new section 15 where balloons and glitter would not be funded by SGA. We also added clauses to 14 and 19 that say that we want to have RSO's provide a plan for cleanup. Especially for events that use a lot of recyclables. There is another section that says all decorations that are not balloons or glitter will be funded. We limited RSO's to two banners per year. Hobson: This is to make sure that organizations are responsible for their cleanup and their purchases. This is not to limit organizations in any way. If organizations need these items or cannot provide cleanup, we can work with them.

Stack: Would you accept a friendly changing the glitter language for loose glitter?

DeAngeli: Curt processes the receipts but having lots of specific things on the list is hard for Curt to keep track of. If Curt sees something on a receipt that he doesn't know what it is, he won't approve it. The balloons and glitter are difficult to keep track of. So yes.

Quick: Are you aware that I am going to go over the non-allowable list and be sure that the organizations know that they cannot resell funded objects?

DeAngeli: Yes

Swearingin: Regarding the banners, they are limited to two per year. How will enforcement for this be done? Obviously more than two on one banner would be easy to catch, but would several over the course of the year be tracked?

DeAngeli: It seems like Curt would be able to keep track of this and we would also hopefully incorporate several different ways to track the banner process. This is more of a trial run.

Quick: This is a great bill. There is one thing that I missed. Under section 3 subsection e which asks for the cleanup, I'm concerned about the enforceability. There is really no way for any of us to enforce any of that. Would you accept a friendly striking that language?

OC DeAngeli: There are a lot of events on campus that don't use recycling. If there is no conceivable way for that to be enforced, I wouldn't want it on there. Is there another way to do that?

Quick: Perhaps the word attempt being put in there. But I don't think that the Code is the place for that.

DeAngeli: Yes, I would accept that friendly.

Spangler-Day: The numbering issue is a matter of fixing after?

DeAngeli: Yes

**Motion to extend time for questions by 5 minutes
Representative Bouch seconded by Representative Douglas**

Rojas: The allowable items subsection would only be the usage of SGA funds for the banners, not outside funds.

DeAngeli: We thought about the fact that they are very reusable. Even though the materials are so bad for the environment, they can be used for many years.

Hobson: We also are thinking that the organizations will be able to use the banners several times

Passed by unanimous consent

OI Powers: Is it in the Chair's opinion that we should skip everything else and adjourn?

Chair: Yes

**Motion to skip all other business and Adjourn
Representative Quick seconded by Representative Bouch**

Follow-Up Reports

Items for Future Agenda

Announcements and Comments

Final Roll Call

Adjourn – 9:15pm

Chair: Thomas Cassidy

Vice Chair: Mackenzie Cordova

Secretary: Richard Holt

Session 101	General
Alpert, Lucy	x
Bell, Aspen	x
Biškup, Emma	ex
Bonić, Karla	x
Bouch, Malachi	x
Bridwell, Trey	x
Chism, Hennessey	x
Cordova, Mackenzie	x
DeAngeli, Emma	x
Del Rio, Paulina	abs
Douglas, Zoe	x
Felkner, Katy	x
Gau, Dalton	x
Guido, Trinity	ex
Hardt, Peter	x
Hart, Harrison	x
Haugland, Sara	x
Hobson, Sarah	x
Holt, Richard	x
Kim, Jiyeon	x
Kolker, Sephra	x
Kuketz, Tim	x
Liu, Shihui	abs
Matthews, Will	ex
Mayes, Mark	x
McCans, Hunter	x
Merchant, Mahak	x
Mosley, Jordan	x
Nadolski, Karley	x
Papanicolaou, Peter	ex

Patterson, Savannah	x	
Powers, Shayla	x	
Quick, Samuel	x	
Rojas, Julio	x	
Sallee, Sophie	x	
Schneider, Caitlin	x	
Spangler-Day, Santana	x	
Stack, Hunter	x	
Thompson, Gabriela	x	
Vanderford, Elliot	ex	
Wegrzynski, Abbey	x	
Williams, Dan	x	
Wilson, Taylor	ex	
		35
		2
		6

Session 101	AB-101-08	CB-101-24
Alpert, Lucy	y	
Bell, Aspen	y	
Biškup, Emma		
Bonić, Karla	y	
Bouch, Malachi	y	
Bridwell, Trey	y	
Chism, Hennessey		
Cordova, Mackenzie	y	
DeAngeli, Emma	y	
Del Rio, Paulina		
Douglas, Zoe	y	
Felkner, Katy	y	
Gau, Dalton	y	
Guido, Trinity		
Hardt, Peter	y	
Hart, Harrison		
Haugland, Sara		
Hobson, Sarah	y	
Holt, Richard	y	
Kim, Jiyeon	y	
Kolker, Sephra	y	
Kuketz, Tim	y	
Liu, Shihui		
Matthews, Will		
Mayes, Mark	y	
McCans, Hunter	y	
Merchant, Mahak	y	
Mosley, Jordan	y	
Nadolski, Karley	y	
Papanicolaou, Peter		

Patterson, Savannah	y	
Powers, Shayla	y	
Quick, Samuel	y	
Rojas, Julio		
Sallee, Sophie		
Schneider, Caitlin	y	
Spangler-Day, Santana	y	
Stack, Hunter	y	
Thompson, Gabriela	y	
Vanderford, Elliot		
Wegrzynski, Abbey	y	
Williams, Dan	y	
Wilson, Taylor		
Yes	30	0
No	0	0
Abstain	0	0