

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION



UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS
Session CIII

General Body Meeting
7:00 pm, Tuesday, January 21st, 2020
Devon Hall 120

Roll Call
Mission Statement
Moment of Silence
Approval of Minutes

Motion to approve the minutes
Representative Biškup seconded by Representative Tucker

Chair's Report – Hello all, and welcome back to Congress! I am so excited to see what work we will do this semester. There are a few housekeeping tidbits that I should mention:

1. Office hours for all of exec start this week. Feel free to stop by and say hello! The Conoco is usually bustling with some sort of activity.
2. My office hours are going to be strange this week and next week. The changed days will be in the minutes and on a sticky note on my door:
 - o 10:15-1:15 on Wednesday, January 22nd
 - o 10-12-15 on Friday, January 24th
 - o 1-3:30 on Sunday, January 26th
 - o 12:15-1:15 on Monday, January 27th
 - o 10-1 on Wednesday, January 29th
3. If you don't think you've been assigned to a committee, please talk to me after the meeting and we'll fix that by next meeting.

We are doing several things differently this semester:

- Bill submission: this will be on OrgSync. I will only assign bill numbers to the bills that go through the OrgSync form.
- The Congress calendar is in the window of my office. It should be updated regularly with what will happen during meetings as well as what Congress events are happening.
- I will be updating you more frequently regarding administration; one of my main responsibilities is to connect you to people around campus, so please let me know if you need help.

My personal goals:

- More debates and more discussion within the body. Remember that conversation we had in the GroupMe about what food to have at orientation? I am looking forward to having equally as passionate discussions during the meetings.

- Helping you all as much as possible! I will be your go-to person other than your committee chairs for legislative direction or help. Please don't hesitate to ask me any questions.

That's all that I have for now! Thank you all.

Vice Chair's Report – This is going to be kind of long, so I apologize. If you don't have a liaison, please let me know. Orientation is going to be Sunday February 9th. There will be hamburgers, but I understand that this is not dietary restriction friendly. Now is the time thinking about running for big exec. Please let me know if you want to run for Vice Chair. There are pronoun stickers for the name tags and there is merch coming soon. Tonight, we will either be going to Fuzzy's or The Mont tonight!

Secretary's Report – Welcome back!! I hope you all had a great break. Your congressional service hours will not be a requirement for January. But please be mindful of that come February. Also, over the break I created a google docs for all things congress related. So, you all will be working out of that for all your projects. More info on that to come. Lastly, I also created a legislative cheat sheet. This sheet will show you step by step how to create legislation and it will also give you an insight on what template to use to avoid confusion in the future. Also, to remind you, I have to be notified before 5pm on Tuesday if you are going to be absent from congress.

Committee Reports-

- **Campus Outreach, Safety, and Concerns:** My name is Mark Mayes, my pronouns are he/him/his, I am the supreme leader for Campus Outreach Safety and Concerns. We are in charge of engaging campuses. Currently we are looking into the opinions about the black face bill last year. Trying to work with admin about child care opportunities. We also want to make sure that all building are ADA compliance.
- **Congressional Administration:** My name is Malachi; my pronouns are he/him/his. I am the chair on ConAd committee. Supreme leaders don't exist. We deal with the internal rules SGA operates on. We do a lot with simplifying the Code Annotated, getting rid of contradictions. Making certain sections of the Code are more congruent with how they operate today.
- **External Affairs:** My name is Taylor, my pronouns are she/her/hers, EA works outside of the University, stat, federal, city, and tribal. We deal with Higher-Ed Day, voter registration drives, voter guide, and we will be following the Impeachment proceedings.
- **Human Diversity:** My name is Santana. I am the Vice Supreme leader. My pronouns are he/him/his, Lauren Miller is the chair, but she has class from 6-9 on Tuesdays. We are teaming up with student democrats for affordable and access to resources for housing. We are planning on seeing more resolutions for this semester.
- **Sustainability:** My name is Gina, my pronouns are she/her/hers, Susty is about improving environmental sustainability on OU. We are planning a reusable container program and healthy eating program, and trash walks.
- **University Policy:** My name is Audrey, she/her/hers. We are working on parking, and mental health, we have a couple things dealing with football game related bills.
- **Ways and Means:** My name is Lauren, my pronouns are she/her/hers, funding organizations. I am catching up on all of the submissions over break. There are about 70. There will be a longer meeting on Sunday then back to auxiliary. Then we will be working with organizations for understanding. My office hours are on my window. They are Tuesday Wednesday and Thursday from 1 to 3pm.

Liaison Reports

Tucker: Aster review due Jan 31th, guidelines asterreview.com

Special Orders

Motion to move to committee of the whole

Representative Thompson seconded by Representative Werdel

Committee of the Whole

Motion to see bill

Associate Bouch seconded by Representative Carmona

- CB-103-12 Associate Defense Act (South)

South: This is a motion to remove the suspension of speaking privileges for associates. There is no realistic reason for this to be used. If there were an issue happening the chair would be more than adequate of gaveling them down. I can only assume this to be a check on the power of associates. This is not necessary and could be used as a weapon rather than a legit checking power.

Harman: In section 6, when it refers to officers, who is that in reference to?

South: *point to exec* them.

Tucker: Is there anything similar that can suspend for representatives?

South: Not really no, this is not a check for reps.

Hillis: Shouldn't there be a difference in rules for people elected rather than those who aren't?

South: Basically, associates are appointed by the body of the whole instead of representing an individual constituency they represent the student body as a whole. They are still a part of undergrad student congress non the less. This is inappropriate as a whole.

Patton: Would you agree that associates already have limited power?

South: Yes

Patton: So, they have checks if they don't do duties?

South: Expulsion

Spangler-Day: This seems to fit all the characteristics of a check?

South: There is not a legitimate need to use this is just an open threat that we don't need.

Hillis: This is not used normally?

South: I've never seen it.

Hillis: Aren't there impeachments?

South: Only applies to officers of the congress. This is an open threat to associates that we don't need.

Spangler-Day: Would the only way to punish be expulsion?

South: Yes, but that's what we have for representatives too.

Hillis: Do you recognize that a defense act is overexaggerating?

South: yes, but I couldn't think of a better name.

Bell: Does this suspend talking privileges of all as a group?

South: This motions all associates to silence until the end of the meeting.

Tucker: What kind of change will this make in the long run?

South: Not a substantive difference per se. This will be removing this as a possible tool.

Motion to extend time for questioning by 5 minutes

Associate Bouch seconded by Representative Sertovich

Bouch: Have associates rights or privileges been in question until this point?

South: No.

Lange: Even allowing a scenario to completely deny associates these rights is strange.

South: I agree

Bouch: So, like, congress has the ability to censure legislators

South: I suppose

Spangler-Day: Wouldn't any privilege a rep gets be a weapon against associates?

South: No, to deny an entire class of people the right to speak is a weapon.

Spangler-Day: What about not getting a right to vote?

South: Not as a weapon but that's how a representative body works. They don't have the right to vote on legislation.

Bouch: When a student comes, they can speak without objection?

South: Yes

Bouch: Would removing their speaking privileges, reduce them to student status?

South: That's a question for the superior court.

Bouch: So, they would still be allowed to speak as a student?

South: I suppose but then this still serves no purpose.

Hillis: Hypothetically, if the business district wanted to, they could Phil buster?

South: As I have stated previously, any situation where this can arise, the chair is fully in power to not recognize people.

Motion to extend time for questioning by 5 minutes

Representative Marvin seconded by Representative Harman

Lange: Would you say that even though nobody maybe looking the optics, but having that as a piece of parliamentary procedure, would be considered elitism?

South: Sure.

Harman: why do u believe the reasoning this was in the bylaws?

South: I searched through all records. I just know that its there and I don't think it looks good.

Bouch: aren't the optics bad. Associates have privileges that reps don't have mean that reps are elites.

South: removing any kind of silencing of people is good.

Spangler-day: How different wouldn't you say that removing speaking privileges, than all associates having to leave the room?

South: at least in my personal view, there are times when we have a closed session, that's a legislative thing. Closed session. Similar to when we only have reps in the room. Impeachment of officers and votes of no confidence.

Spangler-Day: Are you comparing associates to congressional reporters?

South: It's a comparable situation.

Motion to recess for 2 minutes

Associate Bouch seconded by Representative Marvin

Patton: I am on the proponent side of this because associates already have less power. Only in committee of the whole is it even possible to make motions. I understand that we are not elected, and that representatives have more power. Even though none of us were aware of this clause, I will be uncomfortable knowing it's there and knowing that any one of you could take away my voice. A student can speak without objection. Students can be taken on an individual level. Not allowing associates as a whole to speak in general is unfair and really mean, especially by a group of people who talk a lot about getting involved and talking more.

Bouch: I think it's ironic 2 associates are spilt on the sides here. This is why I think we shouldn't pass this. It's important to have the right to censure members or groups of bodies when necessary. The Chair can be called under suspect with backing of the body. Secondly, it is an essential part of parli pro, state legislatures have that. I think that the Congressional Administration committee should have seen this piece of legislation. I want this bill to be referred back to the ConAd committee so that we can improve on it. If the committee wants to improve.

Tucker: So yeah if we do need something to censure individuals, this is the first step to making it less of an attack on a certain group. If the ConAd committee would like to put a more generalized term in there that's something they could do. It's not a good look on the body to vote to censure a certain group of individuals. We elected the chair to determine this and she does a great job. That's why I'm in proponency.

Buxton: We came to a conclusion before he spoke, south was very against separation into classes. Representatives have to answer to their constituents, associates are appointed. Reps have

some sort of power, that is the structure of congress. Every congressional body has the power to censor, McCarthy was censored. Important power.

Garg: I don't have much to say, voice to associates is important for representation. A lot of seats go empty, associates do a great job of filling in for them, filling out the exact representation we need in congress.

Hillis: I don't want to repeat anything anyone said. The stark difference in sides, yes this is something we need. They are talking mainly about optics, optics go away. Associates speak on behalf of themselves, representatives are held to a different standard.

Patterson: Censoring a group of people in general is inappropriate. The fact that we are already referring to associates as a separate class of people should signify the kind of power differences we have established subconsciously. I think this bill needs to be sent back to ConAd. All the associates have been appointed by us, why should we silence them when they are students anyways. We have been shortening the bylaws all year, so logically why is this one any different.

Bouch: I would just like to say once again to send this back to ConAd. They didn't have a chance to see it before the body. There is a lot more discussion the body should have. Not even ConAd has a unified opinion, so there is a conversation that needs to be had there. We could poll the body more to talk about different language. I still think that censorship is important. Associates aren't a class, that wording was only used by the proponent.

Patton: In response to the last comment, class word that was brought, giving a label to the power dynamic already there. Negation brought up the inherent power dynamic. There is a difference with reps having power over associates, and the reps wielding it and that any point the motion could be brought up. There are not set qualifications that outline what would cause this to happen to associate. The reps would not censor themselves. This gives them the power to take away all rights for an entire meeting of associates and that power would never once be used to censor yourselves.

Motion to move bill to Congressional Administration Committee Representative Broadbent seconded by Representative Marvin

Motion to see bill

Associate Bouch seconded by Representative Marvin

- **CB-103-13 An Act Appointing Parking Court Justices, Spring 2020 (Norris)**

Schmenk: I have been in the parking appeals and serving as Chief Justice for quite a while now. Tessa martin couldn't be here today, I have full confidence in her, and her abilities to be Chief Justice. Parking services and the Graduate Student Senate have all ratified her as the chief justice. Be aware that this is going to happen this semester. My resignation is a Friday at 12. We are hiring judges for the parking appeals court. They are not supposed to know the ins and outs but demonstrate leadership. Applications were open for a 3-week period. There were 25 applicants to interview, which was about a 3rd of the applicants. These students were selected and are taking over empty positions.

Bouch: How many members throughout your tenure would be on the court at one time?

Schmenk: That varies depending on the board, when I first served there were 5 members but the demand has increased. Currently there are 20.

Broadbent: Would they be serving on the side of parking services or for the students?

Schmenk: Good question but doesn't apply to me.

Patton: If we appoint these students, how big is the increase from the previous year?

Schmenk: It would be an increase of one because of myself. However, I will be taking a more hands back approach for semester period. Just until the end of the semester.

Buxton: To piggy back on Representative Broadbent, do you feel like your job is to represent the students or the university?

Solorzano: Represent both.

Wallaert: I want to make sure students know and understand what the process looks like to help them know how to park.

Casauay: We are all students and we are into the issues. But we have that perspective. Inherently we are going to be advocates for the students, but it is also important to be impartial.

Shepard: More of a neutral stance and biases exists and I want to represent both as a whole. I would like to honor students and lead them through the appeals process.

Cain: I want to take a more neutral approach, being fair to students as we see fit. Listening to each student's case.

Patel: I wish to stay neutral too. We all come from different backgrounds, so I believe that we represent the students well. Legitimacy and leniency

Motion to extend time for questioning by 5 minutes
Representative Heuser seconded by Representative Marvin

Patel: We are liaisons between students and how the system works. I have a couple points. 1: I felt up in the air with the proceedings and that there was not enough communication. I would like to extend communication and give people a chance but keep it fair. 2: This is not a student body v the university kind of thing. We need to advocate when fit but upholding the rules the university set in place is important. I didn't know that this existed. I think that's important for more students to know that they have this chance.

Shaw: My response is 2-fold. It will be benefiting the students either way. They will have safe parking and it will be lenient because we are all students on that grind. We are still people, but we work for the university.

Long: Students are the university I am both.

Buxton: Does the decision come down to the board?

Schmenk: Cases only happen within this court.

Buxton: What is the ratio in siding with the student versus the University?

Schmenk: Can't give numbers but they are all based on individual cases.

Harman: A couple of you all wanted to increase communication, how?

Wallaert: social media and OUMM. Word of mouth, advertising opportunity.

Patel: Maps for certain things like where you can park. These can be advertised during Student Orientation Week, where to go and find parking. A lot of it is available.

Long: All of our websites have all this information. Maybe making a quick link for appeals.

Wertheimer: More individual communication.

Motion to extend time for questioning by 5 minutes
Representative Buxton seconded by Associate Bouch

Wertheimer: about when appeals are coming up. There can be an email sending a formal letter to the board.

Shah: I was not satisfied with the OU parking website, but I heard it's in the works.

Marvin: Improving tech with OU app and the parking spots is important. All these lots that don't get used. How can this be helped?

Schmenk: As far as implementing new tech, parking services initiates it. How they can do better? Adding app functions is a question for parking services.

South: Why can't you release those stats?

Schmenk: We are all bound by confidentiality laws. You would have to submit a formal request to get those numbers. I also don't have the numbers and this time. request.

South: What is the specific form?

Schmenk: Go to parking services because we can't.

Buxton: I'm confused. We can't properly oversight the appeals boards if you can't release the numbers. What is the point of appointing the judges if we can't get information on how the job is going now?

Schmenk: In the 4 years I've been here, there has been no issue yet. Parking services can do better. Not a single complaint has been about the board itself. I have full confidence in Tessa's abilities.

Lange: To what degree does the board itself interact with parking services?

Schmenk: Parking has zero ability to overturn what this court does.

Thompson: You said that the board has increase from 5 to 20. How many citations have been submitted to warrant that aggressive increase?

Schmenk: The turnaround time has decreased from 3 months to one week.

Motion extend time for questions to the end of the list and close the list by the suspension of the rules

Associate Bouch seconded by Representative Buxton

Thompson: you have a board of 20 appeals justices, each see how many a week?

Schmenk: Each see about 200-300 cases a week. We work in groups. Each member of the appeals hears every case.

Broadbent: How many applicants applied?

Schmenk: 120 a month.

Hillis: We have heard of all the ideas from the justices, my question is do the justices have the power to make these changes?

Schmenk: According the the rules and bylaws, they do not let them do so, but we can make suggestions to parking services

Grebe: To the candidates, what are their opinion on the barnacle?

Schmenk: As of right now they are not expected to answer this due to the sensitivities surrounding this issue, but we are releasing a statement later.

Bouch: What are ways you believe SGA could change the code to better meet the needs of parking appeals court?

Schmenk: There are lots of ways we can do better. We are behind about 100 cases.

Patterson: Why do you have 20 people working in two groups if all of them are just going to hear the case?

Schmenk: It benefits our turnaround time, best way to explain it is we have two meetings, one on Tuesday and on Friday. More meetings mean more cases we can get through. We need a certain number of judges to meet quorum.

Patterson: So did you increase the days to meet along with the increase in number of students on the board?

Schmenk: No, due to scheduling conflicts we have 2 per week.

Hillis: Take me through the process of appealing a ticket.

Schmenk: Once there is an appeal, we get what is called a docet. Each has a number and an option to sustain; pay all, reduce; pay a little, void pay; none of it. Photos that parking services took and information that the student gives are all in that docet. Then all the judges come together to agree on a decision.

Marvin: You mentioned you meet twice a week. How many hours a week does each judge work?

Schmenk: They are set times so about 4-5 hours a week.

Broadbent: If there are 200-300 cases, do you not see a problem in general with parking services?

Schmenk: That is typical the past 4 and a half years I've been here. People want justice for their case. To understand how large our campus is, 4 of 5 students park on campus. 200 is very minimal for what we should except.

Broadbent: How much are they paid?

Schmenk: 10 per hour.

Motion to give bill a recommendation of do pass
Associate Bouch seconded by Representative Bell

Motion move out of committee of the whole
Associate Bouch seconded by Representative Carmona

Student Concerns

Old Business

Items to Be Considered

Motion

Representative Heuser seconded by Representative Harman

CB-103-13 An Act Appointing Parking Court Justices, Spring 2020 (Norris)

Schmenk: I have nothing left to explain.

Spangler-Day: I'm just curious, can students get representation for their appeal?

Schmenk: Well, the board is the appeals for this. They represent the students in their appeals.

Patterson: Who funds their salary?

Schmenk: The parking office.

Patterson: How much do they spend on this per semester?

Ahmadi: \$3500-4000

Flaggart: What happens if this bill fails?

Schmenk: Out of my years I haven't seen it fail.

Harman: If the board represents the students but also represents the university, can students come to meetings to give more representation to ourselves?

Schmenk: The judges are hearing and are impartial to bias. Students can also bring additional representation for an in-person appeal such as a professor or a friend.

Harman: How would a student go about setting up this meeting?

Schmenk: Select "in-person" appeal. The court has 3-5 business days schedule appeal.

Marvin: I work on the committee that a lot of students go to with parking concerns. Would it be more beneficial to go to you with parking concerns, instead of parking services?

Schmenk: Yes, we like to be transparent in what we do. We listen to complaints and ways that parking can be changed.

Buxton: There was some shock with the \$3500-4000 dollars. Is the \$10 an hour that these students earn fair?

Schmenk: Very much so. Very fair to the work they are doing. Sometimes they spend hours on end on a single case. Not to include that the pressure they are in. They walk down the south oval and people know they represent parking services. Sometimes I think they should earn more.

Flaggart: If this were to fail, would the court not appeal tickets until new board can come in?

Schmenk: I believe the old board would continue. But right now they are 2-3 weeks out on appeals. Don't have the numbers.

Buxton: You say that appeals values transparency, but parking services won't allow you to release appeal numbers? So, congress can't have proper oversight.

Schmenk: Transparency in the way we do our job. Properly and fairly. There are a lot of sensitive information with the numbers.

Patterson: How many people need to be present to see cases?

Schmenk: 1/2 of the board

Hillis: We as congress or SGA what kinds of oversight do, we have? What is our oversight on this committee?

Schmenk: You appoint the board. We have our own internal procedures to remove chief justice.

Motion to extend time for questioning by 5 minutes
Representative Marvin seconded by Representative Broadbent

Patterson: So, more people helps with case load?

Schmenk: Yes because of the difficulty with getting around student schedules.

Patterson: How does 10 people in a group differ from 5 people in a group efficiency wise?

Schmenk: Fewer members of the board, we cannot see the cases with the same frequency, each case is not going to be heard at the same length, expanding the board would give the board and the students the opportunity.

Marvin: Only half of the board needs to be there, how can you keep them all accountable?

Schmenk: We have an attendance policy, if you break it, you are automatically withdrawn.

Broadbent: Could you not redact the private identification and then release just the numbers?

Schmenk: When we assign a decision to a case, we automatically assigned it to the student, removing every single private information we cannot because its owned by parking services.

Mayes: Any time at which parking appeals board are doing its duties while the other members of SGA are in recess?

Schmenk: No, we operate Friday and Tuesday before 5. Even during holiday hours, we are getting appeals in. We can't let those pile up. Next semester when transfer students come in, it'll be a lot too. It kind of depends. Yes, we still work on winter and spring break.

Bouch: You are not at liberty; we have to file an open record with them?

Schmenk: Through an open records request. This actually went to court. The OU daily wanted this, the university decided it was a violation of FERPA and went to court.

Motion to extend time to the end of the list and close the list Representative Buxton seconded by Representative Sertovich

Ahmadi: I have the numbers right here; they spent a little under \$7000 per semester last semester.

Buxton: Does SGA pay for these student salaries or parking?

Ahmadi: Does not come from student fees.

Mayes: Do you think that it would be a move to recess appoint judges, during times with spring and fall?

Schmenk: The judges are appointed through congress and GSS. Theoretically it would work but right now it would not. All students are required to sign confidentiality agreement. Yes and no. Parking services and SGA would continue on this same course we have.

Buxton: We have a census, we just release numbers, could anyone on the board just get the numbers?

Schmenk: No, because Chief is the only one that is allowed to speak on behalf of the court. Go through open records.

Follow-Up Reports Items for Future Agenda

Patton: auxiliary 13

Announcements and Comments

Marvin: Use student concerns form or Orgsync!

Buxton: I think we did a really good job. With both pieces of legislation. I am really proud of you guys for this meeting.

Merchant: Fuzzy's after!

DeAngeli: Good job being respectful, not letting it get too personal. Not to say that that happens during this meeting.

Bouch: Sorry if anyone felt like I was being aggressive. I look forward to Sunday's meeting. Our committee is split. Looking forward to hearing what everyone else has to say. If you want to come, it will be 5pm in the conference room.

Ahmadi: In 2012, the name traffic court was changed to board because SGA thought "board" represented what the body did more. There is no appealing of the decision of the appeals board. The name change, your power is invested in the fact that you all still get to appoint these members. The board has performed really

well under his leadership. Having a lot of people is a good function. A lot of complaints from parking office before Nathan came in and I don't think I've had one under his leadership.

Motion to skip final roll call and adjourn

Representative Carmona seconded by Representative Tucker

Final Roll Call

Adjourn

Chair: Emma DeAngeli

Vice Chair: Mahak Merchant

Secretary: Savanah Patterson

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CIII

January 21st, 2020

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-103-12

AS INTRODUCED

A Congressional Act of Procedure amending the Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws; providing short title; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS:

Section 1: Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Associate Membership Defense Act.”

Section 2: Purpose: The purpose of this act is to amend the Bylaws of the Undergraduate Student Congress to ensure that Associates maintain their full appointed membership status.

Section 3: AMENDATORY: Section 3.3 of the Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough. All items added shall be denoted with an underline.

5. Motion to Suspend the Membership Privileges of Associates
 - a. A motion to suspend the membership privileges of Associates shall temporarily suspend all membership privileges of associates, including but not limited to motion making, voting on procedural questions, and automatic recognition to speak.
 - b. A motion to suspend the membership privileges of associates shall take precedence over all other legislation, motions, business, speeches, or activity of Student Congress. This motion may be made at any time.
 - c. The motion requires a second and shall pass upon a majority vote of all Representatives present and voting at a given general body meeting or committee meeting. Associates may not vote on the question during a committee meeting.
 - d. Suspension may not be limited to a subset of associates smaller than the complete set of associates. Suspension may not be limited to a subset of privileges lesser than the complete set of membership privileges.
 - e. Suspended membership privileges of associates shall be restored upon a majority vote of representatives present and voting at a general meeting or committee meeting.
 - f. Unless a time is otherwise specified by the motion to suspend the membership privileges of associates or said privileges are restored by a later motion, said privileges shall be restored at the completion of the general meeting or committee meeting in which said motion to suspend the membership privileges of associates was made.

Section 4: All further subdivisions of Section 3.3 of the Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws shall be re-numbered to reflect the removal of Section 3.3.5.

Section 5: AMENDATORY: Section 4.2.5 of the Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws is amended as follows:

d. GENERAL MEETINGS

- i. As members, duly appointed associates have automatic speaking privileges, except as temporarily suspended.
- ii. No associate may vote in a general meeting except during Committee of the Whole. No associate may make any motion in a general meeting except during Committee of the Whole. No associates may object to motions in general meetings except during Committee of the Whole
- iii. All associates, unless they have a previously mentioned longstanding time conflict, will be required to attend one general meeting every month.

e. COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

- iv. Associates have automatic speaking privileges during Committee of the Whole, except as temporarily suspended.
- v. Associates may vote on all questions in Committee of the Whole, except as voting privileges are temporarily suspended. The request of any one representative with the second of another shall temporarily suspend voting privileges of associates for a vote on a recommendation of “Do Fail.”

f. COMMITTEE MEETINGS

- vi. Associates have automatic speaking privileges at committee meetings, except as temporarily suspended.
- vii. Associates may vote on all questions in committee except as voting privileges are temporarily suspended.
- viii. Associates may make any motion otherwise in order in committee

Section 6: AMENDATORY: Section 4.3.2.a of the Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws is amended as follows:

Representatives, ~~Officers, and Associates~~ and officers of the Congress have speaking privileges at all committee meetings. Associates have speaking privileges except as temporarily suspended. All individuals recognized for presentations in Special Orders, authors of past legislation during follow-up reports, and authors of currently moved legislation during author’s explanation, questions to the author, and author’s summation have speaking privileges at the respective times. All other individuals present may be granted speaking privileges at a committee meeting with the consent of a majority of members present and voting.

Section 7: This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): Crispin South, Engineering Representative

Co-Author(s): Mahak Merchant, Undergraduate Student Congress Vice Chair

Co-Sponsor(s):

Submitted on a Motion by:
Action taken by Congress

Verified by Chair: _____ Date: _____

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CIII

January 21, 2020

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE
SENATE SESSION GS20

January 19, 2020

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-103-13
SENATE BILL NO. GS20-01

AS INTRODUCED

An Act of Procedure appointing Parking Court
Justices, providing for a short title, providing for
codification, and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT ASSOCIATION:

- Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “An Act Appointing Parking Court Justices, Spring 2020.”
- Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to appoint student members to the Student Parking Appeals Court.
- Section 3:** In accordance with Title IV, Chapter 4, section 15, which delineates the appointment process for students on the court, the following students shall thus be appointed:
- Abigail Solorzano
 - Alexis Shepard
 - Andrew Wertheimer
 - Austin Kang
 - Bia Shah
 - Carly Wells
 - Christiana Nettey
 - Ethan Boos
 - Jed Casauay
 - Matt Long
 - Sarah Wallaert
 - Uma Patel
- Section 4:** This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.
- Author(s): Justin Norris, President of the Student Government Association

Co-Author(s): Melissa Maxey, Chair of the Graduate Student Senate
 Emma DeAngeli, Chair of the Undergraduate Student Congress
 Nathan Schmenk, Chief Justice of the Parking Appeals Board

Co-Sponsor(s):

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Heuser seconded by Representative Harman

Action taken by Congress: 25-0-0

Verified by Chair of Congress: _____ Date: _____

Submitted on a Motion by:

Action taken by Senate:

Verified by Chair of Senate: _____ Date: _____

Approved by SGA President: _____ Date: _____

Session 103	General
Alpert, Lucy	x
Bell, Aspen	x
Biskup, Emma	x
Broadbent, Taylor	x
Buxton, Nate	x
Carmona, Ismael	x
Carson, Jada	abs
Chism, Hennessey	ex
Coen, Noah	abs
Douglas, Zoe	abs
Emini, Renata	abs
Flaggert, Jayke	x
Garg, Nishit	x
Grebe, Jordan	x
Harman, Caitlyn	x
Heuser, Audrey	x
Hillis, Foster	x
Kordic, Seth	abs

Lange, Jake	x
Lissau, Zack	abs
Long, Matt	x
Marvin, Alexis	x
Mayes, Mark	x
McGee, Tess	x
Milchesky, Corbin	abs
Miller, Lauren	ex
Mohamoud, Abdifatah	abs
Moreno, Nara	abs
Murdock, Max	x
Schneider, Caitlin	ex
Sertovic, Adia	x
Shaw, Carson	abs
Spangler-Day, Santana	x
South, Crispin	x
Stormer, Sam	x
Thomas, Christopher	abs
Thompson, Gabriela	x
Tucker, Emily	x
vonHartzsch, August	x
Werdel, Gina	x
Present	26
Excused	10
Absent	2

Session 103	CB-102-13
Alpert, Lucy	y
Bell, Aspen	y
Biskup, Emma	y

Broadbent, Taylor	y
Buxton, Nate	y
Carmona, Ismael	y
Carson, Jada	
Chism, Hennessey	
Coen, Noah	
Douglas, Zoe	
Emini, Renata	
Flaggert, Jayke	y
Garg, Nishit	y
Grebe, Jordan	y
Harman, Caitlyn	y
Heuser, Audrey	y
Hillis, Foster	y
Kordic, Seth	
Lange, Jake	y
Lissau, Zack	
Long, Matt	y
Marvin, Alexis	y
Mayes, Mark	y
McGee, Tess	y
Milchesky, Corbin	
Miller, Lauren	
Mohamoud, Abdifatah	
Moreno, Nara	
Murdock, Max	y
Schneider, Caitlin	
Sertovic, Adia	y
Shaw, Carson	
Spangler-Day, Santana	y
South, Crispin	y
Stormer, Sam	y
Thomas, Christopher	

Thompson, Gabriela	y
Tucker, Emily	y
vonHartitzsch, August	y
Werdel, Gina	
Yes	25
No	0
Abstain	0