

STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION SUPERIOR COURT

Validation of the Election Report and Results of the 2025 Fall Student Government Association Election – No. 2

[November 14, 2025]

PER CURIAM.

The Court has not yet received any appeals or notices of unresolved complaints pertaining to either round of the presidential election in the Fall 2025 SGA Elections. The Court presumes administrative regularity under SGACA Tit. VI, Ch. 5, § 12, and accordingly has not found any irregularity within the Election Report to rebut that presumption. The Election Report is hereby validated as it pertains to both stages of the Fall 2025 SGA Election for SGA President.

It is so ordered.

Young and Wright, JUSTICES, took no part in the consideration of this order.

**UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION FALL 2025
UNOFFICIAL ELECTION REPORT**

Baylor Savage, SGA Election Commissioner

Election Commission Staff: Emma Seager, Hannah Smith, Kennedy Stewart, Milton Chamblee, Ally Burwell, Morgan Hauz (ex officio)

Pursuant to SGACA Tit. VI Ch. 5 § (1)(h), the following report details the results of the Fall 2025 SGA Presidential Run-Off Election. Voting for this election took place November 6th, 2025.

Projected winners of each race are bolded and indicated by an *

The Election Commission received a total of 4 complaints:

- 4 were heard as a formal petition. The decisions of these petitions are included in this report.
- 0 were received as unofficial notification.

SGA President & SGA Vice-President 2 tickets

*Mercer Martin / Josephine Nicholson	1653	50.45%
Kristen Nicole Mitei / Kendall Rench	1623	49.54%

Rulings on Infractions

FA25-009 *Unofficial notification filed by Nicholas Iglehart Commissioner regarding campaign materials in the Union*

FA25-010 *Petition filed by Henry Christiansen regarding campaigning on OU IT*

FA25-011 *Unofficial notification filed by anonymous regarding statements of approval on campaign materials*

FA25-012 *Official Petition filed by Jayden Bass regarding harassing students to vote*

Total Points Assigned

Kristen Nicole Mitei & Kendall Rench received points 3.5 points

SGA Election Commission

Petition Filed by Election Commissioner Baylor Savage

FA25-09 Decided November 4th, 2025

Holding

5-0 In Favor of Candidates

Mercer Martin and Josephine Nicholson received 0 points

Facts of the Petition

FA25-009 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on November 2nd, 2025, by Nick Iglehart via the "Election Notification Fall 2025." This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members with an electronic form for reporting potential campaign infractions. The notification alleges that the Martin-Nicholson campaign posted campaign materials in the Memorial Union, which is an infraction of the SGA code. Mercer Martin explained that these handouts were given to those in the Union, but not posted there. Upon reviewing the evidence, the campaign received 0 points.

Discussion

The Election Commission reasoned that it was very likely that those who were handed the materials left them at their table in the Union. Considering there was only one instance discovered, this was more likely than intentional posting. Because the candidates can't control the actions of those who receive their informational materials, the commission found it right to forgo any punishment.

It is so ordered.

SGA Election Commission

Petition filed by Election Commissioner Baylor Savage

FA25-010 Decided November 4th, 2025

4-0 In Favor of Petition.

Holding

Points are assigned as follows:

Kristen Mitei & Kendall Rench received 3 points

Facts of the Petition

FA25-010 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on November 2nd, 2025, by Henry Christiansen via the "Formal Election Petition Form Fall 2025" This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members an electronic form for reporting suspected campaign infractions. The petition alleges the Mitei-Rench Campaign of election fraud, posting campaign materials on Canvas, and sending unsolicited messages through Canvas. Screenshots attached appear to show members of the Delta Delta Delta sorority posting a Canvas assignment titled "Vote Kristen SGA" and submissions possible. Members encouraged votes for Kristen with "dots" as an incentive. Kristen Mitei argued that, while the allegations are true, she was not aware of them at the time. 2 points were awarded for the infraction of campaigning on Canvas, and 1 point was awarded for unsolicited messaging on Canvas. The election commission unanimously voted to send the alleged election fraud to the general council, pursuant to the code.

Discussion

When discussing the Class B infraction of campaigning on Canvas, the commission reasoned that "Vote Kristen" is a clear call to encourage the voting of a certain party. In terms of the Class C infraction of unsolicited messaging on OU IT, the commission agreed that members of the Delta Delta Delta page did not solicit this information. Furthermore, the posting on a sorority canvas page was in clear violation of the rules regarding canvas. While Kristen Mitei stated that the impact of these instances were limited, there was no attempt to deny their legitimacy. Ultimately, it falls on a campaign to ensure that those advocating for them follow the rules, and that was not the case in this instance.
It is so ordered.

SGA Election Commission

Petition filed by Ellie Wolthuis
FA25-011, Decided November 9th, 2025
4-0 in Favor of Petition.

Holding

Mitei-Rench received .5 points

Facts of the Petition

FA25-011 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on October 26th, 2025, by anonymous via the “Unofficial Notification Form Fall 2025.” This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members with an electronic form for reporting potential campaign infractions. The notification alleges that the Mitei-Rench campaign failed to add an “Approved by” statement to a banner painted and hung on election day. A picture of the poster was submitted, and no response from the Mitei-Rench campaign was given. Upon reviewing the evidence, Mitei-Rench received 2 points.

Discussion

The Election Commission reasoned that, though it was a simple mistake, it was a clear class C infraction. With no response from the alleged violators, the commission was only able to use the picture submitted as evidence, which did not show any “Approved by” statement on the clear campaign material. With this in mind, the commission awarded .5 points.

It is so ordered.

SGA Election Commission

Petition Filed by Election Commissioner Baylor Savage

FA25-012 Decided November 9th, 2025
4-0 In Favor of the Petition.

Holding

Points are assigned as follows:

Mitei-Rench received 0 points

Facts of the Petition

FA25-012 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on November 6th, 2025, by Jayden Bass via the “Election Formal Petition Form Fall 2025. This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members with an electronic form for reporting suspected campaign infractions. The petition alleged that a classmate informed him of the Mitei-Rench campaign harassing students to vote “right then and there” and watching the students to ensure they voted for Mitei-Rench. The student was encouraged to send the complaint to the General Counsel.

Discussion

In the petition, Bass cited election fraud and obstruction of voters’ rights as the reason for his complain. Per the code, complaints of election fraud are decided by the General Counsel, not the Election Commission. Following precedent, the commission agreed that Bass should contact the General Counsel to request a formal review of the facts.

It is so ordered.

Additional Information

As of November 9th, two (2) separate reports of election fraud were filed with the OU SGA General Counsel. One report was filed against the Mitei-Rench campaign and the Martin-Nicholson campaign each. After review of the allegations, the General Counsel made the decision that no further investigation would be required. Both campaigns were informed of the decision, and no further action was taken in either case.

**UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION FALL 2025
OFFICIAL REPRESENTATIVE ELECTION REPORT**

STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION SUPERIOR COURT

Validation of the Election Report and Results of the 2025 Fall Student Government Association Election

[November 7, 2025]

PER CURIAM.

The Court has not yet received any appeals or notices of unresolved complaints pertaining to any Representative election in the Fall 2025 SGA Elections. The Court presumes administrative regularity under SGACA Tit. VI, Ch. 5, § 12, and accordingly has not found any irregularity within the Election Report to rebut that presumption.

There are still Election Commission judgments pertaining to the presidential election (including the Election Report for the presidential runoff, which we have not yet received) that are within the appealable period until Tuesday, November 11. Accordingly, we cannot confidently say that all disputes are fully resolved, given the contentious nature of this election thus far, including certain allegations that the two campaigns have made against one another.

The Election Report is validated as it pertains to all races for Representative seats. Additionally, we hereby stay the validation of the original presidential race and the runoff; the passage of one week will not constitute an automatic validation. See SGACA Tit. VI, Ch. 5, § 12(b). The primary and runoff elections for SGA President will be validated if and when we are assured that no pending complaints, allegations, or controversies remain. Assuming no appeals, we would expect validation to be appropriate within the next week.

It is so ordered.

Wright, JUSTICE, took no part in the consideration of matters related to the presidential election.

Young, JUSTICE, took no part in the consideration of this order.

Baylor Savage, SGA Election Commissioner
Election Commission Staff: Emma Seager, Hannah Smith, Kennedy Stewart, Milton Chamblee, Ally Burwell, Morgan Hauz (ex officio)
Pursuant to SGACA Tit. VI Ch. 5 § (1)(h), the following report details the results of the Fall 2025 SGA General Elections. Voting for these elections occurred on October 30th and 31st, 2025.

Projected winners of each race are bolded and indicated by an *

Run-off election participants will be indicated with an **

The Election Commission received a total of 5 complaints:

- 5 were heard as a formal petition. The decisions of these petitions are included in this report.
- 3 were received as unofficial notification. A summary of the issue raised is included in this report.

SGA President & SGA Vice-President 3 tickets

**** Kristen Nicole Mitei / Kendall Rench 1336, 48.67%**

****Mercer Martin / Josephine Nicholson 1181, 43.02%**

Bridget Lapp / Andy Wang 228, 8.3%

Undergraduate Student Congress Representative:

Architecture District:

1 candidate, 1 open seat

***Evan Pfeffer 65, 82.27%**

Prefer Not to Vote 14, 17.72%

Arts and Sciences District:

18 candidates, 8 open seats

***Ava Burnett 602 , 9.99%**

***Reese Ball 489, 8.11%**

***Ellie Wolthuis 488, 8.1%**

***Lila Lawrence 463, 7.68%**

***Alexandra Toyos Perez 444, 7.37%**

***Jaycee Gideon 358 , 5.94%**

***Jacob Schonfield 332 , 5.51%**

***Anayat Yousuf 330, 5.47%**

Angel Martinez 329, 5.46%

Jayden Bass 303 , 5.02%

Vera Demla 275, 4.56%

Benjamin Keupen 272, 4.51%

Khrish Patel 266 , 4.41%

Sitara Popal 225, 3.73%

Syed Mohammad 221, 3.66%

Daniel Shamsee 214, 3.55%

Samuel Bregnard 213, 3.53%

Oaron Canady 200, 3.32%

Business District:

7 candidates, 5 open seats

*Henry Christiansen	540 , 17%
*Justin Jackson	485 , 15.27%
*Ian Cox	472 , 14.86%
*Isaac Schmitz	457 , 14.38%
*Delia Benitez Mendoza	425, 13.38%
Alonzo Del Pozzo	410, 12.9%
Isaiah Wick	387, 12.18%

Earth and Energy District:

2 candidates, 1 open seat

*Hayden Vedra	10, 62.5%
Trey Brite	6 , 37.5%

Education District:

1 candidate, 1 open seat

*Reagan Hicks	67, 90.54%
Prefer Not to Vote	7, 9.45%

Engineering District:

7 candidates, 3 open seats

Mecca Fisher	126, 25.45%
Cade Walker	89, 17.97%
Aaron Gilson Bond	59, 11.91%
Vincent Le	58, 11.71%
Marilou Bento	56, 11.31%
Andrew Simon	56, 11.31%
Joshua Sterling	51, 10.3%

Fine Arts District:

2 candidates, 1 open seat

*Lauren Carter	35, 76.08%
Christian Toombs	11, 23.91%

Journalism and Communication District:

1 candidate, 1 open seat

*Hope Rhoads	187, 85%
Prefer not to vote	33, 15%

Professional and Continuing Studies District:

1 candidate, 1 open seat

*Dorina Kurtz	16, 94.11%
Prefer not to vote	1, 5.88%

Pre-Health Sciences District:

2 candidates, 2 open seats

*Avery Pitts	565, 63.48%
---------------------	--------------------

*Nadesh Vaithianathan	325, 36.52%
------------------------------	--------------------

Rulings on Infractions

FA25-001 *Petition filed by Election Commissioner regarding not attending meetings*
FA25-002 *Unofficial notification filed by anonymous regarding campaigning as an OU employee*
FA25-003 *Petition filed by Ellie Wolthuis regarding campaign materials in the Union*
FA25-004 *Petition filed by Election Commissioner regarding not attending meetings*
FA25-005 *Petition filed by Election Commissioner regarding missing final financial reports*
FA25-006 *Unofficial notification filed by anonymous regarding campaigning by OU employees*
FA25-007 *Unofficial notification filed by anonymous regarding campaigning on Instagram*
FA25-007 *Unofficial notification filed by anonymous regarding nothing*

Total Points Assigned

Daniel Shamsee received 5 points
Khrish Patel received 5 points
Krishen Raju received 5 points
Anayat Yousuff received 4.5 points
Dorinda Kurtz received 2.5 points
Lauren Carter received 3 points
Marilou Bento received 2 points

SGA Election Commission

Petition Filed by Election Commissioner Baylor Savage
FA25-01 Decided October 21st, 2025

Holding

4-0 In Favor of Petition

Daniel Shamsee received 3 points
Dorinda Kurtz received 2.5 points
Khrish Patel received 3 points
Krishen Raju received 3 points
Lauren Carter received 3 points

Facts of the Petition

FA25-001 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on October 19th by Election Commissioner Baylor Savage. A formal petition was filed via email against the 6 candidates with them each getting an opportunity to respond to the petition. The petition alleged that the 6 candidates did not attend the mandatory informational despite being told multiple times via email that it was mandatory and giving them options to do so. After holding a meeting for review of FA25-001, the Election Commission determined that the candidates committed a Class A infraction by not attending the mandatory informational meeting. The Election Commission determined the candidates who didn't attend the mandatory informational should be assigned 3 points and 2.5 points due to this infraction.

Discussion

When determining the Class A infraction, the Election Commission considered how many points should be awarded to the people deemed to not attend the mandatory informational meetings. The

points were determined by the rationale that Dorina Kurtz made an effort to respond and meet with the commissioner, and the others did not attempt to address the petition at all. As a result, the commission felt it necessary and proper to award Dorinda 2.5 points, and Daniel, Khrish, Krishen, Lauren, and Vienna 3 points.

It is so ordered.

SGA Election Commission

Petition filed by Election Commissioner Baylor Savage

FA25-002 Decided October 28th, 2025

4-0 In Favor of Kristen Mitei and Kendall Rench.

Holding

Points are assigned as follows:

Kristen Mitei received 0 points

Kendall Rench received 0 points

Facts of the Petition

FA25-002 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on October 24th, 2025, anonymously via the "Election Notification Fall 2025. This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members an electronic form for reporting suspected campaign infractions. The petition states prior findings by the Commission that candidates Kristen Mitei and Kendall Rench campaigned while being paid as OU employees, in violation of the Code. SGACA Tit. VI Ch. 4 § 5(b)(vi). The petition alleged that photographs taken for an Instagram story was taken by Kristen while she worked as an OU Tour Guide. When notified of the issue, Kristen sent her timesheet for the week in question (this was not requested), which confirmed she was not working during that time or even that day.

Discussion

When determining the Class A infraction, the Election Commission considered all available facts and ultimately relied on the time sheet and first hand accounts from other tour guides. Because no one could place her as a working employee when the post was made, the commission found it right to award Kristin Mitei 0 points.

It is so ordered.

SGA Election Commission

Petition filed by Ellie Wolthuis
FA25-003, Decided October 30th, 2025
4-0 in Favor of Peition.

Holding

Anayat Yousuf received 2 points

Facts of the Petition

FA25-003 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on October 26th, 2025, by Ellie Wolthuis via the “Formal Election Petition Form Fall 2025.” This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members with an electronic form for reporting potential campaign infractions. The notification alleges that Anayat posted campaign materials in the Conoco Leadership Center, which is an infraction of the SGA code. Anayat claimed that he had not posted any materials in this area, and was unaware of how they were placed there. Upon reviewing the evidence, Anayat received 2 points.

Discussion

The Election Commission reasoned that there was no materials of any other campaign posted in the Conoco at any point throughout the campaign timeframe. Furthermore, the commission was concerned that multiple pieces of evidence were found by multiple individuals after the initial petition was made. Ultimately, there was no realistic alternative the commission could find for the campaign materials being placed in the Conoco. The commission found it fit to award 2 points to Anayat.

It is so ordered.

SGA Election Commission

Petition Filed by Election Commissioner Baylor Savage

FA25-004 Decided October 30th, 2025
4-0 In Favor of the Petition.

Holding

Points are assigned as follows:

Anayat Yousuf received 2.5 points

Facts of the Petition

FA25-004 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on October 28th, 2025, by the SGA Election Commissioner via the “Election Formal Petition Form Fall 2025. This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members with an electronic form for reporting suspected campaign infractions. The petition alleged that Anayat did not attend the mandatory informational despite being told multiple times via email that it was mandatory and giving them options to do so. During the week of mandatory meetings, Anayat reached out and asked if it was possible to set a different time to meet. The Election Commissioner responded with his office hours, but did not hear back until a week later, half way through the election cycle. Upon review, each of these candidates was named as a Respondent to this petition and informed that they had 24 hours to file a response or request an oral hearing.

Discussion

When determining the Class A infraction, the Election Commission considered that Anayat did reach out, although later than expected. However, Anayat did not attempt to set up a meeting, but obtain a list of rules that could be emailed to him instead. The commission felt that, due to his effort, 2.5 points would be a fitting amount.

It is so ordered.

SGA Election Commission

Petition Filed by Election Commissioner Baylor Savage

FA25-005 Decided November 3rd, 2025

4-0 In Favor of the Petition.

Holding

Points are assigned as follows:

Daniel Shamsee received 2 points

Khrish Patel received 2 points

Krishen Raju received 2 points

Marilou Bento received 2 points

Facts of the Petition

FA25-005 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on November 2nd, 2025, by the SGA Election Commissioner via the “Election Formal Petition Form Fall 2025. This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members with an electronic form for reporting suspected campaign infractions. The petition states prior findings by the Commission that several candidates for office in the Fall SGA General Elections failed to file financial reports that were correct and complete in form, in violation of the Code. SGACA Tit. VI Ch. 4 § 5(b)(vi). Upon review, each of these candidates was named as a Respondent to this petition and informed that they had 24 hours to file a response or request an oral hearing. Some candidates submitted their financial reports after being put on notice, and the petition against them was dismissed.

Discussion

When determining the Class B infraction, the Election Commission considered who did and didn’t submit a financial report at all. Greenlee and Martin did not submit points, nor did they respond within the 24-hour notification window, and thus received 2 points.

It is so ordered.

SGA Election Commission

Unofficial Notification Submitted by Anonymous

FA25-006 Submitted October 29th, 2025

Facts of the Notification

FA25-0067 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on October 29th, 2025, by an anonymous user via the “Unofficial Notification Form Fall 2025. This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members with an electronic form for reporting suspected campaign infractions. The notification mentions that the Mitei-Rench campaign were endorsed by an OU student, David Dimandja, who listed campus employment under his involvement. The commissioner explained that, while OU employees can’t campaign while on duty, this was simply listing employment. The commissioner reached out to the Mitei-Rench campaign, who removed the employment in order to avoid further confusion.

SGA Election Commission

Unofficial Notification Submitted by Anonymous

FA25-007 Submitted October 31st, 2025

Facts of the Notification

FA25-007 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on October 31st, 2025, by an anonymous user via the “Unofficial Notification Form Fall 2025. This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members with an electronic form for reporting suspected campaign infractions. The notification alleges that Bridget Lapp encouraged voters to vote for the Lapp-Wang ticket via Instagram comments. The notifier was informed that this was not an infraction nor did it break campaign guidelines.

SGA Election Commission

Unofficial Notification Submitted by Anonymous

FA25-008 Submitted October 31st, 2025

Facts of the Notification

FA25-008 was submitted to the Student Government Association Election Commission on October 31st, 2025, by an anonymous user via the “Unofficial Notification Form Fall 2025. This form was established in accordance with the SGA Code Annotated to provide SGA members with an electronic form for reporting suspected campaign infractions. The notification was left blank, with “none” submitted as the election irregularities.