Inequities in the Academic Research and Creative Activity Enterprise

Rapid Response Seed Grant Opportunity
(review process)

Following receipt of 25 applications to this RFP, each proposal was assigned three reviewers to read, score and discuss at a Zoom panel meeting held on July 7, 2020. The primary review criteria were the relevance of the proposed project to this RFP, potential for the project to lead to longer term external funding, and potential impact on improving the research and creative activity enterprise. Additional contributing criteria included applicant qualifications and track record, scope of work, multidisciplinarity and appropriateness of the budget.

The review process was consistent with guidelines and procedures implemented for the OU Research Council review of Faculty Investment Program proposals as described here. In particular, the policy and procedures outlined for Conflicts of Interest* was strictly enforced.

List of Reviewers:
1. Lisa Byers, Department of Social Work, OU-Tulsa
2. David Ebert, School of Electrical and Computer Engineering and School of Computer Science
3. Kirsten Edwards, Department of Educational Leadership and Policy Studies
4. Lee Fithian, Division of Architecture
5. Rebecca Huskey, Department of Classics and Letters
6. Jennifer Kisamore, Department of Psychology, OU-Tulsa
7. Samuel Perry, Department of Sociology
8. George Richter-Addo, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry
9. Carol Silva, Department of Political Science
10. Ann West, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry

Please direct any questions about the review process to Ann West (awest@ou.edu), and not to the individual members of the review panel, as the panel discussions are generally considered confidential, and their role is to make recommendations to the VPRP. The final decision on funding was made by the VPRP.

*Conflict of Interest policy: Reviewers must recuse themselves from participating (including by leaving the room), in any way, in the review of a proposal, nomination, or other activity if they:

- Are formally appointed within the department(s)/programs(s) in which the principal investigator or other listed investigators has a formal appointment;
• Are named as an investigator with the submitting investigator(s) on projects submitted to this RFP or pending before Research Council, by other University entities, or by external sources including State and Federal agencies;
• Have a financial interest or formal relationship with any company or other non-University organization involved in the proposal;
• Are related to the proposal author or coauthor, nominee, or participant in the activity under review;
• Believe that participating in the discussion will compromise the integrity of the process.