

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: GEOG 4263-025

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Latin Amer Geog Through Film

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.44	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	42.86	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.03448	4	1.20957		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	41.38	41.38		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360		65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772	0.17	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	57.14	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.06897	4	1.22273		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	37.93	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789		65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772	0.19	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	42.86	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.28174		29	10.34	3.45	6.90	34.48	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653		64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.52	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	5	1.07822		29	3.45	6.90	3.45	24.14	62.07		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524		65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.46	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.43333	5	1.22287		30	10.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	73.33		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743		64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-100

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Ashley Swamy / Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	71.43	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.03448	4	1.20957		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	41.38	41.38		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	0.51	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06897	4	1.22273		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	37.93	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	0.73	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.28174		29	10.34	3.45	6.90	34.48	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	0.74	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	5	1.07822		29	3.45	6.90	3.45	24.14	62.07		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	0.52	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Ashley Swamy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.43333	5	1.22287		30	10.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	73.33		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	0.46	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.43333	5	1.22287		30	10.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	73.33		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	0.46	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: GEOG 3233-100

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Principles of Sustainability

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03448	4	1.20957		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	41.38	41.38		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	0.84	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06897	4	1.22273		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	37.93	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	0.73	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.28174		29	10.34	3.45	6.90	34.48	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	0.74	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	5	1.07822		29	3.45	6.90	3.45	24.14	62.07		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	0.52	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.43333	5	1.22287		30	10.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	73.33		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	0.46	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: GIS 2023-100

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	0.84	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.94868		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	40.00	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	0.27	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	0.74	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	0.52	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	0.46	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: METR 1014-997

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	0.88056		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	53.85	34.62		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	0.25	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	60.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.93562		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	34.62	53.85		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	0.17	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	60.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.89184		26	3.85	0.00	3.85	42.31	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	0.19	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	40.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.73077	5	0.72430		26	0.00	3.85	3.85	7.69	84.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	0.07	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	60.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.61373		25	0.00	0.00	8.00	12.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	0.04	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: METR 1014-998

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-997

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ryann Wakefield

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Ryann Wakefield													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.78571	4	1.25137		14	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.78571	4	1.25137	0.65	14	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	35.71		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.50000	4	1.40055		14	7.14	21.43	21.43	14.29	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.50000	4	1.40055	0.79	14	7.14	21.43	21.43	14.29	35.71		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.57143	4	1.50457		14	14.29	14.29	7.14	28.57	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.57143	4	1.50457	0.68	14	14.29	14.29	7.14	28.57	35.71		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.64286	4	1.21574		14	0.00	21.43	28.57	14.29	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.64286	4	1.21574	0.79	14	0.00	21.43	28.57	14.29	35.71		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.78571	4	1.18831		14	0.00	21.43	14.29	28.57	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.78571	4	1.18831	0.69	14	0.00	21.43	14.29	28.57	35.71		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: METR 2603-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Dylan Lusk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	100.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	0.88056		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	53.85	34.62		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	0.25	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.93562		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	34.62	53.85		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	0.55	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.89184		26	3.85	0.00	3.85	42.31	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	0.56	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.73077	5	0.72430		26	0.00	3.85	3.85	7.69	84.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	0.52	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Dylan Lusk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.61373		25	0.00	0.00	8.00	12.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	0.46	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Emily Thompson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	28.57	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.03448	4	1.20957		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	41.38	41.38		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	-0.15	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	28.57	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.06897	4	1.22273		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	37.93	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	-0.05	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	28.57	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.28174		29	10.34	3.45	6.90	34.48	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	0.13	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	28.57	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	5	1.07822		29	3.45	6.90	3.45	24.14	62.07		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	-0.04	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Emily Thompson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	37.50	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.43333	5	1.22287		30	10.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	73.33		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	0.11	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: GEOG 2603-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.57143	4	1.51186		7	42.86	0.00	14.29	42.86	0.00	14.29	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.03448	4	1.20957		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	41.38	41.38		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	-1.58	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.71429	4	1.60357		7	42.86	0.00	0.00	57.14	0.00	14.29	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.06897	4	1.22273		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	37.93	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	-1.41	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.28571	3	1.38013		7	42.86	14.29	14.29	28.57	0.00	14.29	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.28174		29	10.34	3.45	6.90	34.48	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	-1.73	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.41421		7	14.29	28.57	14.29	28.57	14.29	14.29	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	5	1.07822		29	3.45	6.90	3.45	24.14	62.07		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	-1.74	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
<i>Bret Wallach</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.14286	5	2.03540		7	42.86	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	12.50	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.43333	5	1.22287		30	10.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	73.33		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	-1.48	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: GEOG 3513-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Political Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.59	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	85.71	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.03448	4	1.20957		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	41.38	41.38		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360		65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.50	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	71.43	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.06897	4	1.22273		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	37.93	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789		65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.28	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	57.14	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.28174		29	10.34	3.45	6.90	34.48	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653		64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.24	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	57.14	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	5	1.07822		29	3.45	6.90	3.45	24.14	62.07		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524		65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.20	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	50.00	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.43333	5	1.22287		30	10.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	73.33		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743		64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: GEOG 4523-200 / GEOG 5523-200

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Life Cycle Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	71.43	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.03448	4	1.20957		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	41.38	41.38		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	0.51	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	42.86	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.06897	4	1.22273		29	10.34	0.00	6.90	37.93	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	0.11	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	71.43	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.28174		29	10.34	3.45	6.90	34.48	44.83		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	0.44	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	42.86	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	5	1.07822		29	3.45	6.90	3.45	24.14	62.07		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	0.14	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	37.50	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.43333	5	1.22287		30	10.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	73.33		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	0.11	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: GIS 4013-200 / GIS 5013-200

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	50.00	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	0.22	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.03510		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	37.50	50.00	50.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.94868		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	40.00	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	0.03	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	42.86	42.86	50.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	-0.04	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	-0.04	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	50.00	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	-0.07	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: METR 1014-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.73205		4	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	20.00	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	0.88056		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	53.85	34.62		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	-0.65	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.73205		4	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	20.00	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.93562		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	34.62	53.85		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	-0.67	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.50000		4	25.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	20.00	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.89184		26	3.85	0.00	3.85	42.31	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	-0.85	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.50000		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	20.00	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.73077	5	0.72430		26	0.00	3.85	3.85	7.69	84.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	-0.33	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	40.00	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.61373		25	0.00	0.00	8.00	12.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	-0.07	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: METR 1014-996

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-995

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ryann Wakefield

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Ryann Wakefield</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.73205		4	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.78571	4	1.25137		14	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.78571	4	1.25137	-0.23	14	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	35.71		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.73205		4	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.50000	4	1.40055		14	7.14	21.43	21.43	14.29	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.50000	4	1.40055	0.00	14	7.14	21.43	21.43	14.29	35.71		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.25831		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.57143	4	1.50457		14	14.29	14.29	7.14	28.57	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.57143	4	1.50457	0.12	14	14.29	14.29	7.14	28.57	35.71		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.25831		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.64286	4	1.21574		14	0.00	21.43	28.57	14.29	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.64286	4	1.21574	0.09	14	0.00	21.43	28.57	14.29	35.71		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.25831		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.78571	4	1.18831		14	0.00	21.43	14.29	28.57	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.78571	4	1.18831	-0.03	14	0.00	21.43	14.29	28.57	35.71		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: METR 2021-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Instructor: Manda Chasteen

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Manda Chasteen</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	0.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.78571	4	1.25137		14	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.78571	4	1.25137	-0.47	14	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	35.71		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	2.40000	3	0.54772		5	0.00	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.50000	4	1.40055		14	7.14	21.43	21.43	14.29	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.50000	4	1.40055	-0.79	14	7.14	21.43	21.43	14.29	35.71		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	2.40000	4	1.51658		5	40.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.57143	4	1.50457		14	14.29	14.29	7.14	28.57	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.57143	4	1.50457	-0.78	14	14.29	14.29	7.14	28.57	35.71		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	2.60000	3	0.54772		5	0.00	40.00	60.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.64286	4	1.21574		14	0.00	21.43	28.57	14.29	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.64286	4	1.21574	-0.86	14	0.00	21.43	28.57	14.29	35.71		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	0.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.78571	4	1.18831		14	0.00	21.43	14.29	28.57	35.71		
	COLLEGE	3.78571	4	1.18831	-0.66	14	0.00	21.43	14.29	28.57	35.71		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: METR 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Veronica Fall

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	60.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	0.88056		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	53.85	34.62		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	0.18	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	80.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.93562		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	34.62	53.85		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	0.27	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	80.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.89184		26	3.85	0.00	3.85	42.31	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	0.44	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.73077	5	0.72430		26	0.00	3.85	3.85	7.69	84.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	0.33	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Veronica Fall													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.61373		25	0.00	0.00	8.00	12.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	0.46	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2017

Course: METR 2603-996

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Dylan Lusk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	40.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	0.88056		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	53.85	34.62		
	COLLEGE	4.15385	4	1.00360	-0.15	65	6.15	0.00	6.15	47.69	40.00		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	40.00	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.93562		26	3.85	0.00	7.69	34.62	53.85		
	COLLEGE	4.21538	5	1.06789	0.11	65	6.15	1.54	6.15	36.92	49.23		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	40.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.34615	5	0.89184		26	3.85	0.00	3.85	42.31	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.18750	4	1.09653	0.13	64	6.25	3.13	4.69	37.50	48.44		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.73077	5	0.72430		26	0.00	3.85	3.85	7.69	84.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53846	5	0.88524	0.33	65	1.54	4.62	3.08	20.00	70.77		
Dylan Lusk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	40.00	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.61373		25	0.00	0.00	8.00	12.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.56250	5	0.95743	-0.07	64	4.69	0.00	4.69	15.63	75.00		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A