

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 3011-140

College of Education

Section Title: Productivity Tools for Educ

Total Enrollment: 24

Instructor: Jacqueline Goldman

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Section Size: Medium 20-60

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.12500	3	1.19100		24	8.33	20.83	37.50	16.67	16.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.12500	3	1.19100	1.29	24	8.33	20.83	37.50	16.67	16.67		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.56	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.83333	4	1.34056		24	8.33	8.33	20.83	16.67	45.83		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.83333	4	1.34056	0.87	24	8.33	8.33	20.83	16.67	45.83		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.37500	3	1.17260		24	8.33	8.33	41.67	20.83	20.83		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.37500	3	1.17260	1.39	24	8.33	8.33	41.67	20.83	20.83		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.93	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	2.91667	3	1.21285		24	12.50	25.00	33.33	16.67	12.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	2.91667	3	1.21285	1.17	24	12.50	25.00	33.33	16.67	12.50		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.12	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.08333	3	1.44212		24	16.67	20.83	25.00	12.50	25.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.08333	3	1.44212	1.33	24	16.67	20.83	25.00	12.50	25.00		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 3011-141

College of Education

Section Title: Productivity Tools for Educ

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Jacqueline Goldman

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	3.64000	4	1.03602		25	4.00	8.00	28.00	40.00	20.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	0.34	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.40	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.90000		25	0.00	4.00	16.00	24.00	56.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	0.65	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.40000	4	1.32288		25	12.00	12.00	24.00	28.00	24.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	0.95	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.93	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.52000	4	1.41774		25	16.00	4.00	24.00	24.00	32.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	0.72	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.36260		25	12.00	8.00	8.00	36.00	36.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	0.76	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDAH 5023-140

College of Education

Section Title: Admin of Adult & Higher Educ

Total Enrollment: 17
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Juanita Vargas

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	64.00	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.40	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.47	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	44.00	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.20	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.27	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	56.00	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.28	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.40	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	44.00	41.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.19	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.34	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	48.00	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.28	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.38	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 6930-140

College of Education

Section Title: Mixed Methods Research

Total Enrollment: 12
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: William Frick

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.85	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.88	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	84.00	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.74	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.83	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDAH 5013-140

College of Education

Section Title: The Adult Learner

Total Enrollment: 15
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Kirsten Edwards

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	68.00	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.50	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.56	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	40.00	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.15	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.22	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	40.00	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.15	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.00	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	28.00	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-0.06	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.12	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	64.00	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.37	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.47	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDAH 5033-140 / EDS 5033-140

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Critical Lit--Adult/Higher Ed

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Theodis Dancy

Section Size: Medium 20-60

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.36364	4	1.50151		11	18.18	9.09	18.18	27.27	27.27		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.72727	4	1.27920	0.53	22	9.09	9.09	13.64	36.36	31.82		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.31	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	5	1.53741		11	9.09	18.18	9.09	9.09	54.55		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.13636	5	1.20694	0.55	22	4.55	9.09	9.09	22.73	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.36	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.07872		11	0.00	9.09	36.36	18.18	36.36		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.59091	3	0.95912	0.64	22	0.00	9.09	45.45	22.73	22.73		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.18	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.72727	5	1.79393		11	18.18	18.18	0.00	0.00	63.64		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.59091	4	1.40269	1.00	22	9.09	13.64	27.27	9.09	40.91		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.72727	5	1.48936		11	9.09	18.18	9.09	18.18	45.45		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.59091	4	1.25960	0.96	22	4.55	18.18	22.73	22.73	31.82		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.59	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDEN 5283-900 / EDEN 4283-900

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Mediacy and the Pop Culture

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Crag Hill

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	33.33	60.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.64103	5	0.53740		39	0.00	0.00	2.56	30.77	66.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.33	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.40	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.87500	5	0.40430		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	7.50	90.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	55.56	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.67178		40	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.45	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.56	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.82500	5	0.44650		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	12.50	85.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	66.67	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.49355		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	20.00	77.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.51	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.59	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDPC 5513-995

College of Education

Section Title: Intro to Abuse and Addictions

Total Enrollment: 17
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Paula Mcwhirter

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.57735		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	71.43	14.29	55.56	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.87037	4	0.99140		54	1.85	5.56	27.78	33.33	31.48		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-0.19	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.08	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		7	0.00	0.00	42.86	14.29	42.86	33.33	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.29630	5	1.03941		54	1.85	3.70	20.37	11.11	62.96		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-0.58	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.49	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	1.27242		7	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14.29	33.33	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.96296	4	1.13209		54	3.70	9.26	14.81	31.48	40.74		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.58	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.40	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.15470		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	55.56	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.11592		54	1.85	11.11	16.67	25.93	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-0.40	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.18	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.95119		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	14.29	57.14	66.67	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.92593	4	1.14681		54	0.00	16.67	18.52	20.37	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-0.01	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.13	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 5033-995

College of Education

Section Title: Intro to Research & Eval in Ed

Total Enrollment: 14
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Maeghan Hennessey

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	33.33	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.87037	4	0.99140		54	1.85	5.56	27.78	33.33	31.48		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-0.54	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.40	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	44.44	20.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.29630	5	1.03941		54	1.85	3.70	20.37	11.11	62.96		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-0.40	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.31	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	66.67	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.96296	4	1.13209		54	3.70	9.26	14.81	31.48	40.74		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.02	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.15	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	55.56	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.11592		54	1.85	11.11	16.67	25.93	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-0.40	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.18	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.26491		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	55.56	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.92593	4	1.14681		54	0.00	16.67	18.52	20.37	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-0.29	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-0.13	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 5631-995

College of Education

Section Title: Open Educational Resources

Total Enrollment: 10
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Stacy Zemke

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	77.78	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	3.87037	4	0.99140		54	1.85	5.56	27.78	33.33	31.48		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.07	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.16	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29630	5	1.03941		54	1.85	3.70	20.37	11.11	62.96		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96296	4	1.13209		54	3.70	9.26	14.81	31.48	40.74		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.85	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.93	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	77.78	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.11592		54	1.85	11.11	16.67	25.93	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.38	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.50	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.89	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.92593	4	1.14681		54	0.00	16.67	18.52	20.37	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.46	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.54	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 5643-995

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Motivated Change

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Benjamin Heddy

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.87037	4	0.99140		54	1.85	5.56	27.78	33.33	31.48		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.85	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.88	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29630	5	1.03941		54	1.85	3.70	20.37	11.11	62.96		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96296	4	1.13209		54	3.70	9.26	14.81	31.48	40.74		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.85	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.93	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.11592		54	1.85	11.11	16.67	25.93	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.92593	4	1.14681		54	0.00	16.67	18.52	20.37	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 5970-983

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Measmnt/Assess for Deepr Lrng

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Jordan Ware

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	32.00	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-0.19	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.08	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.85	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.93	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: ILAC 4003-025

College of Education

Section Title: Partnerships: Parents & Commun

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Aiyana Henry

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	57.14	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.86907		52	1.92	1.92	7.69	30.77	57.69		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	0.21	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.28	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	71.43	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.64706	5	0.59409		51	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	0.30	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.31	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.30931		8	12.50	0.00	37.50	25.00	25.00	28.57	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.09615	4	1.05272		52	3.85	1.92	21.15	26.92	46.15		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	-0.33	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.47	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.92582		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	71.43	76.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.51923	5	0.75382		52	0.00	0.00	15.38	17.31	67.31		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	0.27	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.27	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	71.43	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.84621		52	0.00	3.85	11.54	25.00	59.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	0.29	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.32	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 5333-900

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Politics in Educational Admin

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Hollie Mackey

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.85	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.88	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.00	16.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.48	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.31	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 5233-900

College of Education

Section Title: The Organization of Education

Total Enrollment: 12
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Jeffry Tribble

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	48.00	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.02	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.11	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	24.00	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-0.15	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.06	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.00	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.45	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.56	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	56.00	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.22	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.36	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	56.00	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.31	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.41	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 6503-900

College of Education

Section Title: Ethics in Educ Administration

Total Enrollment: 17
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: William Frick

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	56.00	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.22	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.31	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	56.00	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.29	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.36	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	48.00	41.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.05	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.18	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	56.00	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.22	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.36	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	44.00	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.11	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.23	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 6103-980

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Visionary Leadership in Educ

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Deborah Gist

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	76.00	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.70	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.75	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	64.00	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.36	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.42	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	56.00	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.28	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.40	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	68.00	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.49	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.59	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	68.00	60.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.42	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.51	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 6203-980

College of Education

Section Title: Instruction Leadership--Admin

Total Enrollment: 10
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Curt Adams

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.85	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.88	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.85	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.93	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDMA 3353-980

College of Education

Section Title: PK-3 Mathematics Concepts

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Laura Latta

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.43	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.86907		52	1.92	1.92	7.69	30.77	57.69		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	0.34	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.40	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	42.86	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.64706	5	0.59409		51	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	-0.04	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.04	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	57.14	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.09615	4	1.05272		52	3.85	1.92	21.15	26.92	46.15		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	0.24	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.15	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	42.86	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.51923	5	0.75382		52	0.00	0.00	15.38	17.31	67.31		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	0.11	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.12	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	42.86	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.84621		52	0.00	3.85	11.54	25.00	59.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	-0.03	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.02	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDS 4003-980

College of Education

Section Title: Schools in American Cultures

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Maria Laubach

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	0.23	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.31	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	-0.18	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.06	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	100.00	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	0.27	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.18	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	0.18	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.18	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	100.00	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	0.00	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.05	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDSP 3054-900 / EDSP 5413-900

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Students with Exceptionalities

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Polly Oliver

Section Size: Medium 20-60

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.25357		15	13.33	20.00	33.33	20.00	13.33	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.12500	3	1.19100		24	8.33	20.83	37.50	16.67	16.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.12500	3	1.19100	-0.10	24	8.33	20.83	37.50	16.67	16.67		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-1.04	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.40408		15	13.33	6.67	20.00	26.67	33.33	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.83333	4	1.34056		24	8.33	8.33	20.83	16.67	45.83		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.83333	4	1.34056	-0.17	24	8.33	8.33	20.83	16.67	45.83		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.91	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	1.05560		15	6.67	6.67	40.00	33.33	13.33	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.37500	3	1.17260		24	8.33	8.33	41.67	20.83	20.83		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.37500	3	1.17260	0.02	24	8.33	8.33	41.67	20.83	20.83		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.56	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	2.80000	3	1.08233		15	13.33	20.00	46.67	13.33	6.67	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	2.91667	3	1.21285		24	12.50	25.00	33.33	16.67	12.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	2.91667	3	1.21285	-0.10	24	12.50	25.00	33.33	16.67	12.50		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-1.26	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	2.93333	3	1.22280		15	13.33	20.00	40.00	13.33	13.33	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.08333	3	1.44212		24	16.67	20.83	25.00	12.50	25.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.08333	3	1.44212	-0.10	24	16.67	20.83	25.00	12.50	25.00		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-1.09	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 5970-980

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Understanding Poverty

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Beverly Edwards

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.00	60.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.33	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.40	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	48.00	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.24	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.31	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.60	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.70	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	40.00	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.12	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.27	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	72.00	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.46	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.54	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 6663-980

College of Education

Section Title: Special Education Law

Total Enrollment: 10
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Debra Burchfield

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	77.78	22.22	52.00	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.04	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.14	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	40.00	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.15	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.22	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	44.44	33.33	22.22	28.00	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.37	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.21	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	20.00	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-0.17	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.02	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	24.00	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-0.07	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.07	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDAH 5413-995

College of Education

Section Title: Design/Delivery Of Distance Ed

Instructor: Doo Lim

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	0.94388		11	0.00	0.00	36.36	18.18	45.45	36.00	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-0.10	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.01	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	1.10371		11	0.00	9.09	18.18	9.09	63.64	20.00	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-0.28	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.20	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.63636	4	1.20605		11	9.09	9.09	9.09	54.55	18.18	12.00	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.51	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.34	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	1.02691		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	18.18	63.64	32.00	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-0.02	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.15	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.94868		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	10.00	60.00	28.00	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.01	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.14	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDAH 5693-995

College of Education

Section Title: Prof Development in Athletics

Total Enrollment: 12
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Siduri Haslerig

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	0.95743		4	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	8.00	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-1.49	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-1.28	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.25831		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	12.00	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-0.86	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.75	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	24.00	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.40	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.24	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	16.00	16.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-0.66	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.40	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.25831		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	16.00	20.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-0.54	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-0.36	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDEN 4273-240 / EDEN 5273-240

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Creativity-Teach Composition

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Michael Angelotti

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.33333	4	1.52753		3	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	0.00	14.29	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.86907		52	1.92	1.92	7.69	30.77	57.69		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	-1.88	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-1.68	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	28.57	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.64706	5	0.59409		51	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	-0.73	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.49	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	2.33333	4	1.52753		3	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	0.00	14.29	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.09615	4	1.05272		52	3.85	1.92	21.15	26.92	46.15		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	-1.34	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-1.56	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	28.57	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.51923	5	0.75382		52	0.00	0.00	15.38	17.31	67.31		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	-0.49	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.48	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	4	1.15470		3	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	0.00	14.29	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.84621		52	0.00	3.85	11.54	25.00	59.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	-1.44	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-1.33	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDEN 5253-240

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Research in English Education

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Crag Hill

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	88.89	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.64103	5	0.53740		39	0.00	0.00	2.56	30.77	66.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.64	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.69	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.87500	5	0.40430		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	7.50	90.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	55.56	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.67178		40	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.45	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.56	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.82500	5	0.44650		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	12.50	85.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	66.67	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.49355		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	20.00	77.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.51	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.59	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDLT 3913-240

College of Education

Section Title: Literacy in the Primary Grades

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Barbara Bayless

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	85.71	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.86907		52	1.92	1.92	7.69	30.77	57.69		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	0.56	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.61	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	85.71	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.64706	5	0.59409		51	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	0.35	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.34	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.82542		14	0.00	0.00	21.43	28.57	50.00	85.71	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.09615	4	1.05272		52	3.85	1.92	21.15	26.92	46.15		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	0.34	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.26	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	85.71	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.51923	5	0.75382		52	0.00	0.00	15.38	17.31	67.31		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	0.46	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.47	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	85.71	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.84621		52	0.00	3.85	11.54	25.00	59.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	0.42	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.45	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 3473-240

College of Education

Section Title: Ed Psy Childhood & Adolescence

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructors: Jacqueline Goldman / Teresa Debacker

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	60.00	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.64000	4	1.03602		25	4.00	8.00	28.00	40.00	20.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	-0.17	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.08	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	60.00	76.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.90000		25	0.00	4.00	16.00	24.00	56.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	0.37	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.36	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	80.00	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.40000	4	1.32288		25	12.00	12.00	24.00	28.00	24.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	0.27	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.18	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.73205		5	20.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	80.00	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	3.52000	4	1.41774		25	16.00	4.00	24.00	24.00	32.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	-0.19	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.18	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	80.00	76.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.36260		25	12.00	8.00	8.00	36.00	36.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	0.38	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.41	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 5023-995

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Analysis-Quantitative Data I

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Howard Crowson

Section Size: Medium 20-60

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.94388		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	45.45	36.36	100.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.09091	4	0.94388		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	45.45	36.36		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.72727	4	1.27920	0.28	22	9.09	9.09	13.64	36.36	31.82		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.01	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	100.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.13636	5	1.20694	0.26	22	4.55	9.09	9.09	22.73	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.01	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.36364	3	0.80904		11	0.00	9.09	54.55	27.27	9.09	100.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.36364	3	0.80904		11	0.00	9.09	54.55	27.27	9.09		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.59091	3	0.95912	-0.24	22	0.00	9.09	45.45	22.73	22.73		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.60	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.45455	3	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	54.55	18.18	18.18	100.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.45455	3	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	54.55	18.18	18.18		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.59091	4	1.40269	-0.10	22	9.09	13.64	27.27	9.09	40.91		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.67	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.45455	4	1.03573		11	0.00	18.18	36.36	27.27	18.18	100.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.45455	4	1.03573		11	0.00	18.18	36.36	27.27	18.18		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.59091	4	1.25960	-0.11	22	4.55	18.18	22.73	22.73	31.82		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-0.62	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: ILAC 5003-240

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Models of Instruction

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Neil Houser

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	66.67	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.64103	5	0.53740		39	0.00	0.00	2.56	30.77	66.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.59	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.64	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.87500	5	0.40430		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	7.50	90.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	66.67	72.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.67178		40	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.48	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.58	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	55.56	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.82500	5	0.44650		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	12.50	85.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.51	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.61	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.49355		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	20.00	77.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: ILAC 5143-240

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Theory & Research in Education

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Neil Houser

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	66.67	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.64103	5	0.53740		39	0.00	0.00	2.56	30.77	66.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.59	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.64	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	33.33	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.87500	5	0.40430		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	7.50	90.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.24	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.31	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	33.33	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.67178		40	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.35	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.47	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	33.33	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.82500	5	0.44650		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	12.50	85.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.38	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.50	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	44.44	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.49355		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	20.00	77.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.46	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.54	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: ILAC 6033-240

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Critical Research Paradigms

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Neil Houser

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	88.89	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.64103	5	0.53740		39	0.00	0.00	2.56	30.77	66.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.64	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.69	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.87500	5	0.40430		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	7.50	90.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	11.11	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.67178		40	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.25	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.37	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	44.44	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.82500	5	0.44650		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	12.50	85.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.43	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.54	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	22.22	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.49355		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	20.00	77.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.11	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.23	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: ILAC 6013-980

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Proseminar-Instr Leadrshp/Curr

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Vickie Lake

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	33.33	60.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.64103	5	0.53740		39	0.00	0.00	2.56	30.77	66.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.33	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.40	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.87500	5	0.40430		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	7.50	90.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	33.33	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.67178		40	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.35	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.47	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.82500	5	0.44650		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	12.50	85.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.49355		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	20.00	77.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 6693-985

College of Education

Section Title: Educ Technology Leadership

Total Enrollment: 12
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Jeffrey Maiden

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.25000	1	1.75255		8	62.50	0.00	0.00	25.00	12.50	4.00	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-2.00	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-1.76	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	2.62500	2	1.76777		8	37.50	25.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	4.00	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-2.09	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-1.94	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.30931		8	12.50	25.00	25.00	25.00	12.50	4.00	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-1.15	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.94	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	2.87500	2	1.80772		8	25.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	37.50	4.00	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-1.58	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-1.19	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	2	1.85164		8	50.00	12.50	0.00	12.50	25.00	4.00	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-1.79	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-1.48	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDPY 6920-001

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Internship in Educ--Doctoral

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Denise Beesley

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.00000		0.00000		1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.87037	4	0.99140		54	1.85	5.56	27.78	33.33	31.48		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-3.30	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-2.96	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	1.00000		0.00000		1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.29630	5	1.03941		54	1.85	3.70	20.37	11.11	62.96		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-3.87	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-3.66	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96296	4	1.13209		54	3.70	9.26	14.81	31.48	40.74		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-2.15	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-1.87	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	1.00000		0.00000		1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.11592		54	1.85	11.11	16.67	25.93	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-3.53	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-2.88	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.92593	4	1.14681		54	0.00	16.67	18.52	20.37	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-2.29	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-1.93	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDSC 5990-001

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Independent Study

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Timothy Laubach

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.64103	5	0.53740		39	0.00	0.00	2.56	30.77	66.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-1.23	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-1.04	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.87500	5	0.40430		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	7.50	90.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-1.68	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-1.55	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.67178		40	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.85	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.93	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.82500	5	0.44650		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	12.50	85.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-1.45	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-1.08	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.49355		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	20.00	77.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-1.29	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-1.03	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 5343-995

College of Education

Section Title: Financial Leadership--Schools

Total Enrollment: 14
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Jeffrey Maiden

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.41421		4	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	12.00	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-1.23	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-1.04	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.63299		4	25.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	8.00	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-1.68	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-1.55	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.25831		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	24.00	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.40	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.24	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	1.50000		4	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	8.00	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-1.19	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.86	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.15470		4	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	8.00	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-1.29	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-1.03	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 5693-985

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Technology in Educ Admin

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Jeffrey Maiden

Section Size: Medium 20-60

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	1.51658		6	33.33	16.67	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.36364	4	1.50151		11	18.18	9.09	18.18	27.27	27.27		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.72727	4	1.27920	-0.96	22	9.09	9.09	13.64	36.36	31.82		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-1.52	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.67332		6	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	5	1.53741		11	9.09	18.18	9.09	9.09	54.55		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.13636	5	1.20694	-0.94	22	4.55	9.09	9.09	22.73	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-1.55	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	3	1.22474		6	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.07872		11	0.00	9.09	36.36	18.18	36.36		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.59091	3	0.95912	-0.09	22	0.00	9.09	45.45	22.73	22.73		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.47	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	2	1.86190		6	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.72727	5	1.79393		11	18.18	18.18	0.00	0.00	63.64		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.59091	4	1.40269	-0.66	22	9.09	13.64	27.27	9.09	40.91		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-1.38	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	2.83333	3	1.47196		6	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.72727	5	1.48936		11	9.09	18.18	9.09	18.18	45.45		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.59091	4	1.25960	-0.60	22	4.55	18.18	22.73	22.73	31.82		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-1.18	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 5920-980

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Internship in Educ--Master's

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Beverly Edwards

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.85	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.88	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.85	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.93	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 5970-982

College of Education

Section Title: Social/Emotional Learning

Total Enrollment: 11
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Beverly Edwards

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.85	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.88	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.00	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.35	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.47	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 6253-995

College of Education

Section Title: Financing Education

Total Enrollment: 12
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Jeffrey Maiden

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	48.00	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.02	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.11	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	28.00	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.07	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.15	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.00	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.45	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.56	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	56.00	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.22	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.36	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	56.00	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.31	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.41	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 6673-995

College of Education

Section Title: Advanced Inquiry

Total Enrollment: 12
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Jeffrey Maiden

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	48.00	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.02	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.11	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	56.00	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.29	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.36	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.00	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.45	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.56	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	64.00	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.43	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.54	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	44.00	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.11	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.23	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDEC 3573-980

College of Education

Section Title: Diverse Learners

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Libby Ethridge

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.95119		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	14.29	57.14	42.86	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.86907		52	1.92	1.92	7.69	30.77	57.69		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	0.12	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.20	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	57.14	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.64706	5	0.59409		51	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	0.06	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.12	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	85.71	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.09615	4	1.05272		52	3.85	1.92	21.15	26.92	46.15		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	0.34	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.26	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	57.14	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.51923	5	0.75382		52	0.00	0.00	15.38	17.31	67.31		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	0.20	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.21	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	57.14	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.84621		52	0.00	3.85	11.54	25.00	59.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	0.22	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.26	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDEC 6533-280

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: ECE Assessment & Program Eval

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Lisa Monroe

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.64103	5	0.53740		39	0.00	0.00	2.56	30.77	66.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.85	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.88	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.87500	5	0.40430		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	7.50	90.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.67178		40	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.85	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.93	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.82500	5	0.44650		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	12.50	85.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.49355		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	20.00	77.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.71	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.77	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDLT 3911-001

College of Education

Section Title: Language/Literacy Practicum

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Barbara Bayless

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	28.57	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.86907		52	1.92	1.92	7.69	30.77	57.69		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	-0.17	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.08	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	14.29	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.64706	5	0.59409		51	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	-1.42	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-1.02	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	42.86	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.09615	4	1.05272		52	3.85	1.92	21.15	26.92	46.15		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	-0.19	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.31	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	28.57	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.51923	5	0.75382		52	0.00	0.00	15.38	17.31	67.31		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	-0.49	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.48	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	28.57	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.84621		52	0.00	3.85	11.54	25.00	59.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	-0.50	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-0.43	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDMA 3353-340

College of Education

Section Title: PK-3 Mathematics Concepts

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructors: Tracy Huntley / Kansas Conrady

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.86907		52	1.92	1.92	7.69	30.77	57.69		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	0.57	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.62	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.64706	5	0.59409		51	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	0.52	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.47	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	100.00	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.09615	4	1.05272		52	3.85	1.92	21.15	26.92	46.15		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	0.72	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.68	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.51923	5	0.75382		52	0.00	0.00	15.38	17.31	67.31		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	0.64	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.64	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	100.00	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.40385	5	0.84621		52	0.00	3.85	11.54	25.00	59.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	0.59	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.61	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDPC 5923-002

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Internship - Prof Counseling

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Calvin Stoltenberg

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	88.89	60.47
	DEPARTMENT	3.87037	4	0.99140		54	1.85	5.56	27.78	33.33	31.48		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.33	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.40	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29630	5	1.03941		54	1.85	3.70	20.37	11.11	62.96		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.78	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.96296	4	1.13209		54	3.70	9.26	14.81	31.48	40.74		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.35	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.47	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.11592		54	1.85	11.11	16.67	25.93	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.89	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.92593	4	1.14681		54	0.00	16.67	18.52	20.37	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.46	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.54	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDSP 3054-025

College of Education

Section Title: Students with Exceptionalities

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: James Gardner

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	80.00	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	3.64000	4	1.03602		25	4.00	8.00	28.00	40.00	20.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	-0.00	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.08	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.90000		25	0.00	4.00	16.00	24.00	56.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	0.42	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.39	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.04881		6	0.00	16.67	33.33	33.33	16.67	40.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.40000	4	1.32288		25	12.00	12.00	24.00	28.00	24.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	-0.33	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.47	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	80.00	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	3.52000	4	1.41774		25	16.00	4.00	24.00	24.00	32.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	-0.19	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.18	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	60.00	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.36260		25	12.00	8.00	8.00	36.00	36.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	0.13	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.17	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 3043-025

College of Education

Section Title: Learning with Educational Tech

Total Enrollment: 13

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Theresa Cullen

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.57143	3	0.97590		7	14.29	28.57	42.86	14.29	0.00	20.00	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.64000	4	1.03602		25	4.00	8.00	28.00	40.00	20.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	-1.63	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-1.45	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	28.57	28.57	20.00	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.90000		25	0.00	4.00	16.00	24.00	56.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	-1.12	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.79	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	2	1.15470		7	42.86	28.57	14.29	14.29	0.00	20.00	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	3.40000	4	1.32288		25	12.00	12.00	24.00	28.00	24.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	-1.62	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-1.87	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	2.14286	3	1.21499		7	42.86	14.29	28.57	14.29	0.00	20.00	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	3.52000	4	1.41774		25	16.00	4.00	24.00	24.00	32.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	-1.88	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-1.85	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	2.14286	2	1.46385		7	42.86	28.57	14.29	0.00	14.29	20.00	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.36260		25	12.00	8.00	8.00	36.00	36.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	-1.94	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-1.80	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 3043-900

College of Education

Section Title: Learning with Educational Tech

Total Enrollment: 24

Instructors: Theresa Cullen / Cathryn Jackson

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Section Size: Medium 20-60

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	0.51640		6	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.12500	3	1.19100		24	8.33	20.83	37.50	16.67	16.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.12500	3	1.19100	-0.38	24	8.33	20.83	37.50	16.67	16.67		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-1.36	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.32916		6	0.00	16.67	33.33	0.00	50.00	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.83333	4	1.34056		24	8.33	8.33	20.83	16.67	45.83		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.83333	4	1.34056	0.00	24	8.33	8.33	20.83	16.67	45.83		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.66	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	0.83666		6	16.67	16.67	66.67	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.37500	3	1.17260		24	8.33	8.33	41.67	20.83	20.83		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.37500	3	1.17260	-0.75	24	8.33	8.33	41.67	20.83	20.83		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-1.41	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	2	1.22474		6	16.67	50.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	2.91667	3	1.21285		24	12.50	25.00	33.33	16.67	12.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	2.91667	3	1.21285	-0.34	24	12.50	25.00	33.33	16.67	12.50		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-1.53	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	2	1.64317		6	33.33	33.33	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.08333	3	1.44212		24	16.67	20.83	25.00	12.50	25.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.08333	3	1.44212	-0.40	24	16.67	20.83	25.00	12.50	25.00		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-1.48	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 3483-980

College of Education

Section Title: Cognition/Motivatn/Classrm Mgt

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: Upper 3000 - 4000

Instructor: Barbara Jones

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.64000	4	1.03602		25	4.00	8.00	28.00	40.00	20.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.17073	4	0.97885	-0.38	82	2.44	3.66	14.63	32.93	46.34		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.27	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.90000		25	0.00	4.00	16.00	24.00	56.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53086	5	0.72606	-1.01	81	0.00	1.23	9.88	23.46	65.43		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.70	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	60.00	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	3.40000	4	1.32288		25	12.00	12.00	24.00	28.00	24.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89024	4	1.16541	-0.08	82	6.10	4.88	21.95	28.05	39.02		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.19	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.52000	4	1.41774		25	16.00	4.00	24.00	24.00	32.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20732	5	1.09700	-0.37	82	4.88	1.22	18.29	19.51	56.10		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.36	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	40.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.36260		25	12.00	8.00	8.00	36.00	36.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.19512	5	1.05922	-0.18	82	3.66	4.88	10.98	29.27	51.22		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-0.13	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 5653-995

College of Education

Section Title: Tech & Social Media in Educ

Total Enrollment: 10
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Theresa Cullen

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	66.67	37.21
	DEPARTMENT	3.87037	4	0.99140		54	1.85	5.56	27.78	33.33	31.48		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-0.04	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.06	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	66.67	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.29630	5	1.03941		54	1.85	3.70	20.37	11.11	62.96		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.04	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.12	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	42.86	42.86	14.29	44.44	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.96296	4	1.13209		54	3.70	9.26	14.81	31.48	40.74		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.43	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.27	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.21499		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	14.29	57.14	66.67	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.11592		54	1.85	11.11	16.67	25.93	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-0.25	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.05	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	42.86	14.29	28.57	33.33	16.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.92593	4	1.14681		54	0.00	16.67	18.52	20.37	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-0.72	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-0.52	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 6023-995

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Analysis-Quantitative Data II

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Howard Crowson

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	0.88641		8	0.00	12.50	62.50	12.50	12.50	22.22	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.87037	4	0.99140		54	1.85	5.56	27.78	33.33	31.48		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-0.97	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.80	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.88641		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	55.56	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.29630	5	1.03941		54	1.85	3.70	20.37	11.11	62.96		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-0.31	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.22	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.28174		8	12.50	12.50	25.00	37.50	12.50	22.22	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.96296	4	1.13209		54	3.70	9.26	14.81	31.48	40.74		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.90	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.70	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	0.70711		8	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	22.22	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.11592		54	1.85	11.11	16.67	25.93	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-1.19	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.86	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.12500	3	1.12599		8	0.00	37.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	22.22	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.92593	4	1.14681		54	0.00	16.67	18.52	20.37	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-1.17	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-0.92	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EIPT 6313-995

College of Education

Section Title: Multimedia Design & Developmnt

Total Enrollment: 14
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Xun Ge

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.69231	4	1.10940		13	0.00	15.38	30.77	23.08	30.77	44.44	20.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.87037	4	0.99140		54	1.85	5.56	27.78	33.33	31.48		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-0.51	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.37	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.22474		13	0.00	15.38	23.08	7.69	53.85	33.33	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.29630	5	1.03941		54	1.85	3.70	20.37	11.11	62.96		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-0.58	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.49	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.15470		13	0.00	15.38	15.38	23.08	46.15	55.56	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.96296	4	1.13209		54	3.70	9.26	14.81	31.48	40.74		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.15	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.00	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.16575		13	0.00	23.08	7.69	38.46	30.77	33.33	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	1.11592		54	1.85	11.11	16.67	25.93	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.39	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.69231	4	1.18213		13	0.00	23.08	15.38	30.77	30.77	44.44	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.92593	4	1.14681		54	0.00	16.67	18.52	20.37	44.44		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-0.60	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-0.41	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 5403-260

College of Education

Section Title: Inquiry Perform Improvement

Total Enrollment: 12
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Angela Urick

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	16.00	16.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-0.71	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.56	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	16.00	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	-0.58	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	-0.49	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	40.00	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.15	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.00	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	12.00	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	-0.92	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	-0.63	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	12.00	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	-0.79	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	-0.58	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EACS 6263-980

College of Education

Section Title: Educ & Community Relations

Total Enrollment: 18
Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up
Section Size: Small 0-19

Instructor: Keith Ballard

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	87.50	72.00	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	0.59	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	0.64	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	68.00	60.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.38	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.44	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.19523		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	12.50	50.00	40.00	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	-0.15	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	-0.00	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	60.00	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.25	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.38	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	60.00	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.33	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.43	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: EDS 5933-260

College of Education

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Girls, Women, & Educ Studies

Course Level: Graduate 5000 and up

Instructor: Susan Laird

Section Size: Small 0-19

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	32.00	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.17647	4	1.00283		136	4.41	1.47	11.76	36.76	45.59		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18341	4	0.96495	-0.19	229	3.06	2.18	13.97	34.93	45.85		
	COLLEGE	4.08123	4	1.03945	-0.08	357	3.64	4.20	15.69	33.33	43.14		
3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52555	5	0.93996		137	2.92	2.92	5.11	16.79	72.26		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.53247	5	0.91249	0.51	231	2.16	2.60	8.23	13.85	73.16		
	COLLEGE	4.46089	5	0.94521	0.57	358	2.23	3.07	9.50	16.76	68.44		
4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	76.00	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.08759	4	0.98872		137	1.46	5.84	18.25	31.39	43.07		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.14719	4	0.99781	0.52	231	1.73	5.63	16.02	29.44	47.19		
	COLLEGE	4.00279	4	1.06885	0.62	359	3.06	5.85	20.89	28.13	42.06		
6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41176	5	0.94650		136	1.47	4.41	10.29	19.12	64.71		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.38696	5	0.95890	0.64	230	1.30	5.22	10.43	19.57	63.48		
	COLLEGE	4.19832	5	1.10881	0.72	358	3.35	6.15	14.80	18.72	56.98		
8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	32.00	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.30147	5	0.99866		136	2.94	3.68	10.29	26.47	56.62		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.29130	5	1.00105	0.04	230	1.74	6.09	10.87	23.91	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.14525	5	1.11074	0.17	358	3.35	7.54	12.57	24.30	52.23		

Response Key

2. Extend to which the instructor contributed to your learning

1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average

3. Instructor displayed a thorough knowledge of the subject

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

4. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

6. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

8. Overall, the instructor's teaching effectiveness was

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent