

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: I D 3723-140

College of Architecture

Section Title: Lighting Design

Instructor: Mia Kile

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.62857	5	0.84316	0.44	35	2.86	0.00	5.71	14.29	77.14		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.55882	5	1.02073	0.43	34	5.88	0.00	2.94	14.71	76.47		
Mia Kile													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	0.48	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: L A 4743-140

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Garden History

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.62857	5	0.84316	0.44	35	2.86	0.00	5.71	14.29	77.14		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.55882	5	1.02073	0.43	34	5.88	0.00	2.94	14.71	76.47		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	0.48	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: L A 5970-026

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Special Topics: Cmty Planning

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.62857	5	0.84316	0.14	35	2.86	0.00	5.71	14.29	77.14		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.55882	5	1.02073	0.43	34	5.88	0.00	2.94	14.71	76.47		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	-0.14	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: ARCH 4970-025 / ARCH 5990-025

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Study Abroad-Zambia

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	1.04630		20	5.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.62857	5	0.84316	0.44	35	2.86	0.00	5.71	14.29	77.14		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21053	5	1.27275		19	10.53	0.00	5.26	26.32	57.89		
	COLLEGE	4.55882	5	1.02073	0.43	34	5.88	0.00	2.94	14.71	76.47		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	1.20909		21	9.52	0.00	0.00	14.29	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.98077		21	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	71.43		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	0.48	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.98077		21	4.76	0.00	4.76	23.81	66.67		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: ARCH 3013-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Architecture for Non-Majors

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	5	1.09216		18	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	66.67	66.67	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	1.04630		20	5.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.62857	5	0.84316	-0.28	35	2.86	0.00	5.71	14.29	77.14		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.17647	5	1.33395		17	11.76	0.00	5.88	23.53	58.82	66.67	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.21053	5	1.27275		19	10.53	0.00	5.26	26.32	57.89		
	COLLEGE	4.55882	5	1.02073	-0.37	34	5.88	0.00	2.94	14.71	76.47		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	5	1.28973		18	11.11	0.00	0.00	16.67	72.22	25.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	1.20909		21	9.52	0.00	0.00	14.29	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	-0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	1.04162		18	5.56	0.00	5.56	22.22	66.67	25.00	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.98077		21	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	71.43		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	-0.21	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	5	1.03690		18	5.56	0.00	5.56	27.78	61.11	25.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.98077		21	4.76	0.00	4.76	23.81	66.67		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	-0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: CNS 3943-995

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Field Work

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.62857	5	0.84316	0.44	35	2.86	0.00	5.71	14.29	77.14		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.55882	5	1.02073	0.43	34	5.88	0.00	2.94	14.71	76.47		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	0.48	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: RCPL 5525-025

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Comprehensive Rcpl Project

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Harris

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.62857	5	0.84316	0.44	35	2.86	0.00	5.71	14.29	77.14		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.55882	5	1.02073	0.43	34	5.88	0.00	2.94	14.71	76.47		
John Harris													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	50.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	-0.35	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: ARCH 6156-280

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Graduate Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructors: Ken Marold / Samuel Callahan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	33.33	11.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	1.04630		20	5.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.62857	5	0.84316	-0.75	35	2.86	0.00	5.71	14.29	77.14		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	33.33	11.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.21053	5	1.27275		19	10.53	0.00	5.26	26.32	57.89		
	COLLEGE	4.55882	5	1.02073	-0.55	34	5.88	0.00	2.94	14.71	76.47		
Ken Marold													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	1.20909		21	9.52	0.00	0.00	14.29	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.98077		21	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	71.43		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	0.48	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.98077		21	4.76	0.00	4.76	23.81	66.67		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		
Samuel Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	1.20909		21	9.52	0.00	0.00	14.29	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.98077		21	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	71.43		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	0.48	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.98077		21	4.76	0.00	4.76	23.81	66.67		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2016

Course: RCPL 5960-025

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Directed Readings

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Harris

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.62857	5	0.84316	0.44	35	2.86	0.00	5.71	14.29	77.14		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.55882	5	1.02073	0.43	34	5.88	0.00	2.94	14.71	76.47		
John Harris													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.95077	0.32	36	5.56	0.00	0.00	8.33	86.11		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.61111	5	0.80277	0.48	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	22.22	72.22		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69444	5	0.78629	0.39	36	2.78	0.00	2.78	13.89	80.56		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent