eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: CEES 4273-140

Section Title: WaTER Technical Field Methods

Instructor: Jim Chamberlain

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Jim Chamberlain								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	0.00	33.33	36.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.30470	4	0.83909		745	1.07	3.36	8.05	39.06	48.46	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.12	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	100.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.07796	4	1.01369		744	2.28	6.85	13.44	35.62	41.80	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.54	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28687	4	0.86107		739	1.08	3.11	10.96	35.72	49.12	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.64	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	100.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.89581	4	1.06876		739	3.11	8.66	18.13	35.72	34.37	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.54	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14113	4	0.99134		744	2.42	5.78	11.16	36.56	44.09	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.68	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: AME 2213-140

Section Title: Thermodynamics

Instructor: Fengchyuan Lai

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Fengchyuan Lai								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	80.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.20627	4	0.98047		766	2.35	4.96	10.84	33.42	48.43	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.40	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	20.00	63.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.94778	4	1.13754		766	4.05	10.18	12.53	33.42	39.82	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.39	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	0.00	80.00	52.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.06562	4	1.05482		762	3.02	7.22	12.73	34.25	42.78	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.13	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	0.00	20.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.81699	4	1.19903		765	5.88	9.80	17.91	29.54	36.86	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.18	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	0.00	20.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.97908	4	1.12775		765	4.58	7.71	13.99	32.68	41.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-0.23	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: AME 3143-140

Section Title: Solid Mechanics

Instructor: Kuang-Hua Chang

Total Enrollment: 16

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
*Kuang-Hua Chang*														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20627	4	0.98047		766	2.35	4.96	10.84	33.42	48.43	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.76	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94778	4	1.13754		766	4.05	10.18	12.53	33.42	39.82	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.76	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	0.00	100.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.06562	4	1.05482		762	3.02	7.22	12.73	34.25	42.78	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.47	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	0.00	100.00	86.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.81699	4	1.19903		765	5.88	9.80	17.91	29.54	36.86	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.58	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.97908	4	1.12775		765	4.58	7.71	13.99	32.68	41.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.68	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: AME 3723-140

Section Title: AME Numerical Meth Engr Comput

Instructor: Sezin Kadioglu

Total Enrollment: 39

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Sezin Kadioglu								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	0.00	40.00	52.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.20627	4	0.98047		766	2.35	4.96	10.84	33.42	48.43	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.27	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	0.00	90.91	0.00	80.00	86.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.94778	4	1.13754		766	4.05	10.18	12.53	33.42	39.82	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.56	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.92442		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	36.36	54.55	0.00	40.00	38.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.06562	4	1.05482		762	3.02	7.22	12.73	34.25	42.78	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-0.01	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	0.00	80.00	69.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.81699	4	1.19903		765	5.88	9.80	17.91	29.54	36.86	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.35	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	80.00	72.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.97908	4	1.12775		765	4.58	7.71	13.99	32.68	41.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.46	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ECE 2723-140

Section Title: Electrical Circuits I

Instructor: Chad Davis

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Chad Davis								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	85.71	86.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.24390	4	0.94250		615	2.28	3.58	10.73	34.31	49.11	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.55	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	0.00	14.29	36.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.09091	4	1.04291		616	2.92	6.01	14.61	31.98	44.48	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.12	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	0.00	14.29	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.29624	5	0.93334		611	2.95	2.13	9.00	34.21	51.72	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.23	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	57.14	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	1.09314		612	5.07	4.41	13.40	34.15	42.97	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.54	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	57.14	72.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.15285	4	1.05209		615	4.07	5.20	8.78	35.28	46.67	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.46	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 2002-026

Section Title: Professional Development

Instructor: Thomas Landers

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
Thomas Landers														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	83.33	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.49	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	0.00	58.33	38.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.07	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	0.00	91.67	77.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.52	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	0.00	83.33	61.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.20	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	0.00	75.00	77.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.54	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ISE 3293-140 / ISE 5013-140

Section Title: Applied Engineering Statistics

Instructor: Charles Nicholson

Total Enrollment: 43

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
Charles Nicholson														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	33.33	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.18824	4	0.94537		425	2.59	2.82	12.94	36.47	45.18	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.40	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	0.00	66.67	52.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.97636	4	1.12886		423	5.20	6.86	13.00	34.99	39.95	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.30	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	16.67	75.00	0.00	33.33	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.14762	4	1.04419		420	3.33	4.76	13.81	30.00	48.10	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.30	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	0.00	66.67	63.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.90865	4	1.13279		416	5.53	7.93	12.02	39.18	35.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.29	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	66.67	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.07092	4	1.08181		423	4.73	4.96	11.82	35.46	43.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.31	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

Course: ISE 4223-140

Section Title: Fundamentals-Engineering Econ

Instructor: Babur Pulat

Total Enrollment: 26

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Babur Pulat								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	0.00	100.00	72.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.18824	4	0.94537		425	2.59	2.82	12.94	36.47	45.18	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.45	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	14.29	71.43	0.00	33.33	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.97636	4	1.12886		423	5.20	6.86	13.00	34.99	39.95	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.13	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	0.00	100.00	72.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.14762	4	1.04419		420	3.33	4.76	13.81	30.00	48.10	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.50	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	28.57	57.14	0.00	33.33	38.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.90865	4	1.13279		416	5.53	7.93	12.02	39.18	35.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.05	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	0.00	33.33	36.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.07092	4	1.08181		423	4.73	4.96	11.82	35.46	43.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.05	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: C S 1313-910

Section Title: Programming for Non-Majors

Instructor: Asif Adnan

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Asif Adnan								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421		7	14.29	28.57	14.29	28.57	14.29	0.00	40.00	5.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.10927	4	1.08111		604	4.30	5.13	12.25	31.95	46.36	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-1.39	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	3.14286	3	1.46385		7	14.29	14.29	42.86	0.00	28.57	0.00	20.00	2.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.99169	4	1.13113		602	5.65	5.48	13.95	33.89	41.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-1.28	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	3.28571	3	1.49603		7	14.29	14.29	28.57	14.29	28.57	0.00	80.00	11.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.96333	4	1.17372		600	5.83	6.17	17.17	27.50	43.33	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-1.12	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	3.42857	3	1.27242		7	0.00	28.57	28.57	14.29	28.57	0.00	20.00	5.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.89333	4	1.16800		600	6.50	5.67	18.00	31.67	38.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-1.03	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	2.83333	3	1.72240		6	33.33	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	0.00	20.00	2.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.06116	4	1.11636		605	5.45	4.96	11.74	33.72	44.13	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-1.69	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: C S 1313-911

Section Title: Lab-C S 1313-910

Instructor: Asif Adnan

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Asif Adnan								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.87083		5	40.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	5.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.10927	4	1.08111		604	4.30	5.13	12.25	31.95	46.36	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-1.39	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	3	1.51658		5	0.00	40.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	40.00	5.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.99169	4	1.13113		602	5.65	5.48	13.95	33.89	41.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-1.00	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	2.00000		5	40.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96333	4	1.17372		600	5.83	6.17	17.17	27.50	43.33	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-1.41	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.67332		5	20.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	13.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.89333	4	1.16800		600	6.50	5.67	18.00	31.67	38.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.83	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	2.04939		5	40.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	0.00	40.00	5.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.06116	4	1.11636		605	5.45	4.96	11.74	33.72	44.13	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-1.29	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: AME 2113-240

Section Title: Statics

Instructor: Mrinal Saha

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Mrinal Saha								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	0.00	20.00	47.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.20627	4	0.98047		766	2.35	4.96	10.84	33.42	48.43	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.22	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	0.00	40.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.94778	4	1.13754		766	4.05	10.18	12.53	33.42	39.82	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.45	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	14.29	71.43	0.00	60.00	44.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.06562	4	1.05482		762	3.02	7.22	12.73	34.25	42.78	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.06	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	4	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	60.00	47.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.81699	4	1.19903		765	5.88	9.80	17.91	29.54	36.86	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.11	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	40.00	44.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.97908	4	1.12775		765	4.58	7.71	13.99	32.68	41.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.21	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 2431-340

Section Title: Electrical Circuits

Instructor: Chad Davis

Total Enrollment: 43

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Chad Davis								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.96732		36	0.00	11.11	27.78	36.11	25.00	0.00	16.67	13.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-0.58	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.94112		36	0.00	13.89	11.11	52.78	22.22	0.00	16.67	13.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.52	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.90633		36	0.00	5.56	13.89	30.56	50.00	0.00	33.33	30.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-0.13	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.13889	4	0.96074		36	2.78	2.78	13.89	38.89	41.67	0.00	41.67	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.22	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	3.94444	4	0.92410		36	0.00	8.33	19.44	41.67	30.56	0.00	25.00	19.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-0.48	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: CEES 3422-022

Section Title: WaTER Immersion Experience

Instructor: Jim Chamberlain

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Jim Chamberlain								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	66.67	47.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.30470	4	0.83909		745	1.07	3.36	8.05	39.06	48.46	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.22	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	33.33	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07796	4	1.01369		744	2.28	6.85	13.44	35.62	41.80	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.21	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	66.67	52.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.28687	4	0.86107		739	1.08	3.11	10.96	35.72	49.12	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.13	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	33.33	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.89581	4	1.06876		739	3.11	8.66	18.13	35.72	34.37	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.37	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	33.33	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.14113	4	0.99134		744	2.42	5.78	11.16	36.56	44.09	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.13	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ECE 5990-981
Section Title: Special Studies
Instructor: Hazem Refai

Total Enrollment: 3
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Hazem Refai								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	42.86	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24390	4	0.94250		615	2.28	3.58	10.73	34.31	49.11	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.40	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	42.86	63.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.09091	4	1.04291		616	2.92	6.01	14.61	31.98	44.48	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.39	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29624	5	0.93334		611	2.95	2.13	9.00	34.21	51.72	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.64	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	28.57	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	1.09314		612	5.07	4.41	13.40	34.15	42.97	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.39	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	28.57	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.15285	4	1.05209		615	4.07	5.20	8.78	35.28	46.67	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.31	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 4510-001

Section Title: Selected Topics

Instructor: Mary Delaney

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Mary Delaney								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	83.33	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.49	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	66.67	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.21	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	66.67	52.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.13	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	83.33	61.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.20	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	58.33	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.40	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 4510-980

Section Title: ENGR Internship

Instructor: Pramode Verma

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
Pramode Verma														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	41.67	30.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.04	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00	50.00	27.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.34	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00	8.33	19.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-0.38	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	58.33	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.01	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	41.67	30.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-0.05	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: TCOM 5960-980

Section Title: Readings in Telecommunications

Instructor: Pramode Verma

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Pramode Verma								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	28.57	47.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.24390	4	0.94250		615	2.28	3.58	10.73	34.31	49.11	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.22	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	28.57	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09091	4	1.04291		616	2.92	6.01	14.61	31.98	44.48	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.21	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29624	5	0.93334		611	2.95	2.13	9.00	34.21	51.72	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.64	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	14.29	61.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	1.09314		612	5.07	4.41	13.40	34.15	42.97	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.20	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	14.29	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.15285	4	1.05209		615	4.07	5.20	8.78	35.28	46.67	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.13	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: TCOM 5960-983

Section Title: Readings in Telecommunications

Instructor: Kam Wai Chan

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
Kam Wai Chan														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24390	4	0.94250		615	2.28	3.58	10.73	34.31	49.11	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.76	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09091	4	1.04291		616	2.92	6.01	14.61	31.98	44.48	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.76	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29624	5	0.93334		611	2.95	2.13	9.00	34.21	51.72	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.64	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	1.09314		612	5.07	4.41	13.40	34.15	42.97	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.77	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.15285	4	1.05209		615	4.07	5.20	8.78	35.28	46.67	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.68	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 3431-340

Section Title: Electromechanical Systems

Instructor: Chad Davis

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Chad Davis								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	0.00	33.33	27.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-0.10	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00	50.00	27.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.34	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	0.00	25.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-0.18	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	83.33	61.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.20	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	70.00	30.00	0.00	33.33	27.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-0.09	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: AME 2533-340
Section Title: Dynamics
Instructor: Zahed Siddique

Total Enrollment: 18
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Zahed Siddique								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	0.00	60.00	55.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.20627	4	0.98047		766	2.35	4.96	10.84	33.42	48.43	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.36	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	60.00	80.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.94778	4	1.13754		766	4.05	10.18	12.53	33.42	39.82	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.48	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.51186		8	12.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	37.50	0.00	20.00	13.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.06562	4	1.05482		762	3.02	7.22	12.73	34.25	42.78	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-0.90	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	0.00	40.00	44.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.81699	4	1.19903		765	5.88	9.80	17.91	29.54	36.86	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.05	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	0.00	60.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.97908	4	1.12775		765	4.58	7.71	13.99	32.68	41.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.27	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

Course: C S 1323-995
Section Title: Intro to Computer Programming
Instructor: Mahendran Veeramani

Total Enrollment: 38
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Mahendran Veeramani								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.17851		10	0.00	30.00	10.00	40.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.10927	4	1.08111		604	4.30	5.13	12.25	31.95	46.36	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-0.85	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.07497		10	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.99169	4	1.13113		602	5.65	5.48	13.95	33.89	41.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.78	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	70.00	10.00	0.00	100.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96333	4	1.17372		600	5.83	6.17	17.17	27.50	43.33	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-0.59	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.07497		10	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	13.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.89333	4	1.16800		600	6.50	5.67	18.00	31.67	38.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.83	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	1.17379		10	10.00	10.00	20.00	50.00	10.00	0.00	60.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.06116	4	1.11636		605	5.45	4.96	11.74	33.72	44.13	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-1.07	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ECE 2214-340

Section Title: Digital Design

Instructor: Clifford Fitzmorris

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
Clifford Fitzmorris														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	28.57	47.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.24390	4	0.94250		615	2.28	3.58	10.73	34.31	49.11	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.22	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	71.43	80.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.09091	4	1.04291		616	2.92	6.01	14.61	31.98	44.48	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.48	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29624	5	0.93334		611	2.95	2.13	9.00	34.21	51.72	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.64	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	42.86	77.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	1.09314		612	5.07	4.41	13.40	34.15	42.97	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.48	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.15285	4	1.05209		615	4.07	5.20	8.78	35.28	46.67	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.68	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ECE 2214-341

Section Title: Lab-ECE 2214-340

Instructor: Clifford Fitzmorris

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Clifford Fitzmorris								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	71.43	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24390	4	0.94250		615	2.28	3.58	10.73	34.31	49.11	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.49	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09091	4	1.04291		616	2.92	6.01	14.61	31.98	44.48	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.76	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29624	5	0.93334		611	2.95	2.13	9.00	34.21	51.72	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.64	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	1.09314		612	5.07	4.41	13.40	34.15	42.97	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.77	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	42.86	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.15285	4	1.05209		615	4.07	5.20	8.78	35.28	46.67	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.40	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ECE 2713-340

Section Title: Digital Signals and Filtering

Instructor: Clifford Fitzmorris

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
Clifford Fitzmorris														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	71.43	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24390	4	0.94250		615	2.28	3.58	10.73	34.31	49.11	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.49	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	71.43	80.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.09091	4	1.04291		616	2.92	6.01	14.61	31.98	44.48	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.48	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29624	5	0.93334		611	2.95	2.13	9.00	34.21	51.72	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.64	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	1.09314		612	5.07	4.41	13.40	34.15	42.97	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.77	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.15285	4	1.05209		615	4.07	5.20	8.78	35.28	46.67	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.68	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 2002-340
Section Title: Professional Development
Instructor: Chad Davis

Total Enrollment: 40
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Chad Davis								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	0.00	58.33	52.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.27	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	9.09	81.82	0.00	83.33	69.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.46	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	0.00	75.00	63.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.46	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.78625		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	36.36	45.45	0.00	50.00	36.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.06	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	0.00	66.67	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.48	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.   1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ISE 5013-995

Section Title: Stat Analysis-System Design

Instructor: Kash Barker

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Kash Barker								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	0.00	100.00	72.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.18824	4	0.94537		425	2.59	2.82	12.94	36.47	45.18	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.45	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	100.00	63.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.97636	4	1.12886		423	5.20	6.86	13.00	34.99	39.95	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.39	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	0.00	66.67	61.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.14762	4	1.04419		420	3.33	4.76	13.81	30.00	48.10	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.35	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	0.00	100.00	88.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.90865	4	1.13279		416	5.53	7.93	12.02	39.18	35.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.60	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	0.00	100.00	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.07092	4	1.08181		423	4.73	4.96	11.82	35.46	43.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.36	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 2531-340

Section Title: Electrical Circuits II

Instructor: Chad Davis

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Chad Davis								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.76	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.76	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	0.00	83.33	72.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.50	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.77	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.68	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: C S 5005-995

Section Title: Computing Structures

Instructors: Sudarshan Dhall / Sridhar Radhakrishnan

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Sudarshan Dhall								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	0.00	80.00	19.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.10927	4	1.08111		604	4.30	5.13	12.25	31.95	46.36	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-0.31	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	0.00	80.00	27.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.99169	4	1.13113		602	5.65	5.48	13.95	33.89	41.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.34	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	2	1.63299		7	14.29	42.86	0.00	14.29	28.57	0.00	60.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96333	4	1.17372		600	5.83	6.17	17.17	27.50	43.33	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-1.41	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	0.00	100.00	30.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.89333	4	1.16800		600	6.50	5.67	18.00	31.67	38.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.21	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	28.57	28.57	0.00	80.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.06116	4	1.11636		605	5.45	4.96	11.74	33.72	44.13	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-0.73	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		
						Sridhar Radhakrishnan								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	0.00	100.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.10927	4	1.08111		604	4.30	5.13	12.25	31.95	46.36	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-0.16	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	0.00	100.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.99169	4	1.13113		602	5.65	5.48	13.95	33.89	41.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.18	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.52753		7	14.29	28.57	28.57	0.00	28.57	0.00	60.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96333	4	1.17372		600	5.83	6.17	17.17	27.50	43.33	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-1.41	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	0.00	100.00	30.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.89333	4	1.16800		600	6.50	5.67	18.00	31.67	38.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.21	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	0.00	100.00	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.06116	4	1.11636		605	5.45	4.96	11.74	33.72	44.13	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-0.26	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: CH E 3473-270

Section Title: Chemical Engr Thermodynamics

Instructor: Miguel Bagajewicz

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
Miguel Bagajewicz														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	4	0.59362		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	66.67	20.00	0.00	100.00	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.29339	5	0.89204		484	1.24	3.72	10.74	33.06	51.24	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-0.24	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.91548		15	0.00	0.00	33.33	20.00	46.67	0.00	100.00	30.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.05579	4	1.00875		484	2.69	6.20	13.43	38.22	39.46	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.19	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.86667	5	0.35187		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	86.67	0.00	100.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.33951	5	0.80588		486	0.41	3.50	8.23	37.45	50.41	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.51	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.50709		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	0.00	100.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.02686	4	0.95081		484	1.45	5.79	17.77	38.64	36.36	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.31	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.50709		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	0.00	100.00	47.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.17113	4	0.90772		485	1.65	4.54	10.52	41.65	41.65	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.24	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 2002-025

Section Title: Professional Development

Instructors: Alfred Striz / Pramode Verma

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
Alfred Striz														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.46385		7	14.29	0.00	14.29	28.57	42.86	0.00	25.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-0.47	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	0.00	50.00	27.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.34	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	0.00	16.67	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-0.24	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	3	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	42.86	14.29	28.57	0.00	16.67	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.86	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	1.39728		7	14.29	0.00	28.57	28.57	28.57	0.00	8.33	11.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-0.88	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		
Pramode Verma														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.60357		7	14.29	14.29	0.00	28.57	42.86	0.00	8.33	11.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	-0.62	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	28.57	28.57	0.00	8.33	11.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.65	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	0.00	41.67	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-0.09	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	3.42857	3	1.27242		7	0.00	28.57	28.57	14.29	28.57	0.00	8.33	5.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-1.03	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	28.57	28.57	0.00	16.67	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-0.73	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class. — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material. — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research. — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities. — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively. — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 4510-025

Section Title: Structure:Antiquity/Modern Age

Instructor: Alfred Striz

Total Enrollment: 18

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Alfred Striz								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.09	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.92582		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	62.50	25.00	0.00	50.00	27.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	-0.34	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	0.00	58.33	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.00	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	50.00	12.50	0.00	25.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.66	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	-0.01	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 4510-027

Section Title: Notions of Privacy & Security

Instructor: Pramode Verma

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
Pramode Verma														
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.40	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.76	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	50.00	36.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	-0.04	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.73205		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	22.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	-0.37	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.68	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2015

College of Engineering

Course: ENGR 4003-001

Section Title: Engineering Practice II

Instructor: Chad Davis

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Percent #6	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Chad Davis								
2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.23426	4	0.88583		1,541	1.56	3.31	10.97	38.48	45.68	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.22875	4	0.93169	0.76	5,189	2.06	3.80	10.81	35.86	47.47	0.00		
3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	0.00	75.00	63.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.81123	4	1.18610		1,531	5.88	8.95	19.40	29.72	36.05	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.96116	4	1.11558	0.39	5,175	4.35	7.63	15.25	33.10	39.67	0.00		
5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22896	4	0.90928		1,533	1.63	3.46	12.33	35.55	47.03	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.19170	4	0.97421	0.64	5,159	2.48	4.25	12.08	34.02	47.18	0.00		
6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	0.00	91.67	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.50521	4	1.22938		1,536	8.01	12.83	25.98	27.02	26.17	0.00		
	COLLEGE	3.79961	4	1.16474	0.39	5,160	5.64	8.88	19.38	32.09	34.01	0.00		
10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04616	4	1.02338		1,538	3.51	4.88	14.43	37.84	39.34	0.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07814	4	1.04541	0.68	5,183	3.72	5.44	12.37	36.23	42.23	0.00		

Response Key

2. The instructor was well-organized and made adequate preparation for class.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Class time was well used and contributed to my learning of the material.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. The instructor related course material to professional practice and/or research.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

6. The way in which the course was taught helped me develop analysis and design abilities.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

10. In general, the instructor taught this course effectively.

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree