

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2014

Course: ARCH 4433-140

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Rendering

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.60	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	50.00	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.39	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.49	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.40	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2014

Course: ARCH 4970-140

College of Architecture

Section Title: CASA Playhouse Project

Total Enrollment: 8

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.14	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	37.50	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.34	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.21106		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	16.67	66.67	33.33	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.39	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	44.44	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.46	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	33.33	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.62	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2014

Course: I D 4970-240

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Historic Preservation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.16	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	100.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.07	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Mia Kile													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.19	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	100.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.04	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	100.00	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.20	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2014

Course: ARCH 3013-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Architecture for Non-Majors

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
8. Amount you learned in this class	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	50.00	68.85
	DEPARTMENT	3.92857	4	1.26881		14	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	42.86		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.89912	4	0.95209	0.37	1,021	1.57	6.27	22.62	39.76	29.77		
	COLLEGE	3.69760	4	1.00060	0.63	32,285	3.01	7.44	29.39	37.12	23.05		
11. Overall, this course was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	5	1.23146		14	7.14	0.00	21.43	14.29	57.14		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.94990	4	1.08192	0.71	1,018	3.54	6.58	20.14	30.84	38.90		
	COLLEGE	3.67761	4	1.14144	0.90	32,265	4.88	10.40	26.02	29.51	29.20		
David Boeck													
4. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	50.00	76.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.14114		14	7.14	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.11840	4	1.02020	0.58	1,022	2.54	4.40	18.30	28.18	46.58		
	COLLEGE	3.78772	4	1.14111	0.69	33,593	4.30	9.30	24.27	27.59	34.54		
5. Instructor's ability to stimulate continuing interest in the subject matter	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	50.00	74.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	5	1.20667		14	7.14	0.00	21.43	21.43	50.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.02838	4	1.13280	0.56	1,022	4.01	7.05	17.51	24.95	46.48		
	COLLEGE	3.66169	4	1.26105	0.71	33,614	7.84	10.78	22.74	24.62	34.01		
6. Overall instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21429	5	1.12171		14	7.14	0.00	7.14	35.71	50.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.07640	4	1.07742	0.70	1,021	3.53	5.88	15.67	29.29	45.64		
	COLLEGE	3.75565	4	1.21157	0.81	33,583	6.16	10.28	20.81	27.34	35.41		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2014

Course: ARCH 5960-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Directed Readings

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.60	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.54	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-2.07	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-1.79	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-3.68	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2014

Course: L A 4970-025 / RCPL 4970-025 / L A 4970-025

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Zambia Service Learning

Course Level: All

Instructors: John Harris / David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
8. Amount you learned in this class	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	29.41	38.55
	DEPARTMENT	3.48620	4	1.02605		761	4.73	8.94	36.27	33.11	16.95		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.66994	4	0.97762	-0.25	7,126	2.85	7.16	31.17	37.81	21.02		
	COLLEGE	3.69760	4	1.00060	-0.29	32,285	3.01	7.44	29.39	37.12	23.05		
11. Overall, this course was	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	35.29	36.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.45263	3	1.13878		760	6.71	11.18	33.29	27.76	21.05		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.68997	4	1.10947	-0.29	7,122	4.23	9.73	27.30	30.31	28.43		
	COLLEGE	3.67761	4	1.14144	-0.30	32,265	4.88	10.40	26.02	29.51	29.20		
John Harris													
4. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	17.65	25.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.58648	4	1.12875		769	4.55	11.44	31.21	26.40	26.40		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.81553	4	1.10972	-0.42	7,172	3.50	8.94	24.68	28.28	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.78772	4	1.14111	-0.38	33,593	4.30	9.30	24.27	27.59	34.54		
5. Instructor's ability to stimulate continuing interest in the subject matter	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.00000		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	29.41	26.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.37158	3	1.26155		767	10.04	13.43	30.12	22.16	24.25		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.67475	4	1.21952	-0.53	7,176	6.55	10.83	24.12	25.60	32.90		
	COLLEGE	3.66169	4	1.26105	-0.49	33,614	7.84	10.78	22.74	24.62	34.01		
6. Overall instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	35.29	36.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.64935	4	1.22359		770	6.36	12.60	22.47	26.88	31.69		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.81558	4	1.17639	-0.41	7,163	5.19	9.51	20.42	28.30	36.58		
	COLLEGE	3.75565	4	1.21157	-0.33	33,583	6.16	10.28	20.81	27.34	35.41		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2014

Course: L A 5970-025 / ARCH 5970-025 / L A 5970-025

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Zambia Service Learning

Course Level: All

Instructors: John Harris / David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
8. Amount you learned in this class	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	4	0.63332		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	50.00	42.86	75.00	84.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.24101	3	1.01899		1,390	6.04	13.88	40.72	28.63	10.72		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.52478	4	1.02517	0.50	5,972	4.07	9.28	35.35	32.72	18.59		
	COLLEGE	3.69760	4	1.00060	0.37	32,285	3.01	7.44	29.39	37.12	23.05		
11. Overall, this course was	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.63332		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	71.43	83.33	96.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.08153	3	1.18833		1,386	11.18	18.76	35.28	20.27	14.50		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.50872	4	1.18170	0.72	5,962	6.54	12.75	28.95	26.82	24.94		
	COLLEGE	3.67761	4	1.14144	0.56	32,265	4.88	10.40	26.02	29.51	29.20		
John Harris													
4. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.75593		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	83.33	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.03820	3	1.23241		2,094	12.99	19.58	33.57	18.34	15.52		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.53599	4	1.20619	0.62	7,030	6.77	12.33	29.08	24.17	27.65		
	COLLEGE	3.78772	4	1.14111	0.43	33,593	4.30	9.30	24.27	27.59	34.54		
5. Instructor's ability to stimulate continuing interest in the subject matter	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.13873		14	7.14	0.00	7.14	28.57	57.14	83.33	80.95
	DEPARTMENT	2.79790	3	1.31063		2,093	20.83	21.50	28.71	14.95	14.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.38095	3	1.31701	0.46	7,014	11.41	14.00	26.39	21.50	26.70		
	COLLEGE	3.66169	4	1.26105	0.22	33,614	7.84	10.78	22.74	24.62	34.01		
6. Overall instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.57893		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	85.71	83.33	93.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.00813	3	1.30743		2,090	15.74	20.62	27.89	18.56	17.18		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.51240	4	1.26177	0.75	7,018	8.54	13.35	24.59	25.38	28.14		
	COLLEGE	3.75565	4	1.21157	0.62	33,583	6.16	10.28	20.81	27.34	35.41		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent