

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructors: Todd Fagin / Noel McThompson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.43	63.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.29	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.13529		10	0.00	10.00	20.00	10.00	60.00	35.71	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.02	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.96609		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	30.00	60.00	35.71	47.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.14	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	50.00	45.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.23	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Todd Fagin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	66.67	61.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.32	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Noel McThompson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 2023-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / Noel McThompson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.82	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	21.43	27.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.23	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.79	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.58	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Noel McThompson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 2023-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / Noel McThompson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	64.29	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.21	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	92.86	77.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.49	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	78.57	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.48	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	57.14	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.25	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	54.17	47.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.21	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Noel McThompson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructors: Rebecca Loraamm / Kaitlin Williams

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.38095	5	0.97346		21	0.00	9.52	4.76	23.81	61.90	57.14	52.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.16	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.74642		21	0.00	4.76	0.00	28.57	66.67	71.43	61.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.35	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.92582		21	0.00	4.76	14.29	14.29	66.67	42.86	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.17	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	23.81	71.43	42.86	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.19	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80952	5	0.51177		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	85.71	70.83	63.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.33	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Kaitlin Williams													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 4013-011 / GIS 5013-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kaitlin Williams / Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Kaitlin Williams													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.75378		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	41.67	41.67	100.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.11765	4	0.85749		17	0.00	0.00	29.41	29.41	41.18		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	-0.30	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.90034		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	8.33	66.67	100.00	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.23529	5	0.97014		17	0.00	0.00	35.29	5.88	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	0.17	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.90034		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	33.33	58.33	100.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.35294	5	0.93148		17	0.00	5.88	11.76	23.53	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	-0.20	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52223		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.35294	5	0.70189		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	41.18	47.06		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	0.18	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	100.00	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.29412	4	0.68599		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	47.06	41.18		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	-0.05	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kaitlin Williams / Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Kaitlin Williams													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.09545		5	0.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.11765	4	0.85749		17	0.00	0.00	29.41	29.41	41.18		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	-0.90	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.09545		5	0.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.23529	5	0.97014		17	0.00	0.00	35.29	5.88	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	-0.44	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.09545		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	50.00	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.35294	5	0.93148		17	0.00	5.88	11.76	23.53	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	-0.48	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	50.00	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.35294	5	0.70189		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	41.18	47.06		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	-0.37	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	50.00	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.29412	4	0.68599		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	47.06	41.18		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	-0.21	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 4133-995 / GIS 5133-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 54

Section Title: Fundamentals of Remote Sensing

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kirsten De Beurs / Katherine Ho

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.61111	5	0.69780		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	72.22	85.71	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.41	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.61111	5	0.77754		18	0.00	5.56	0.00	22.22	72.22	85.71	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.39	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.78382		18	0.00	5.56	0.00	27.78	66.67	64.29	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.31	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38348		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	85.71	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.39	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Katherine Ho													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 4253-950 / GIS 5253-950

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 43

Section Title: GIS Applications

Course Level: All

Instructors: Rebecca Loraamm / Zhen Hong

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	35.71	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-0.03	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.17379		10	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	30.00	14.29	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.63	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		10	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	14.29	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-0.29	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	14.29	15.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-0.34	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	40.00	30.00	45.83	28.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-0.64	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Zhen Hong													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 4453-001 / GIS 5453-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Adv GIS & Spatial Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Wimberly

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.92796	-0.13	9	0.00	11.11	0.00	55.56	33.33	21.43	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705	0.33	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	64.29	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.09291	-0.05	9	0.00	11.11	11.11	22.22	55.56	28.57	29.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096	0.32	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	71.43	61.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Michael Wimberly													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096	0.29	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	62.50	57.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 4733-001 / PBIO 4733-001 / PBIO 5733-001 / GIS 5733-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Environmental Remote Sensing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xiangming Xiao

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	7.14	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-0.25	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	4.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-1.23	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	4.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-1.36	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	7.14	9.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-0.57	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Xiangming Xiao													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 5013-399

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructors: Rebecca Loraamm / Samuel Ross

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.30035		11	9.09	0.00	18.18	18.18	54.55	14.29	18.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-0.15	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.13618		11	0.00	18.18	0.00	36.36	45.45	28.57	29.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.13	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	5	1.07872		11	0.00	9.09	18.18	18.18	54.55	21.43	27.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-0.09	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	1.20605		11	9.09	0.00	0.00	27.27	63.64	21.43	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-0.15	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	27.27	63.64	50.00	40.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-0.10	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Samuel Ross													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 5013-996

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	1.19087		11	9.09	0.00	0.00	36.36	54.55	42.86	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.04	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	1.19087		11	9.09	0.00	0.00	36.36	54.55	42.86	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.05	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	27.27	63.64	50.00	52.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.20	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.90453		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	0.00	90.91	64.29	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.26	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	9.09	81.82	58.33	49.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.23	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 5013-997

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / Melissa Perkins

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	78.57	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.39	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	78.57	68.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.38	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	85.71	77.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.57	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	78.57	63.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.35	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Melissa Perkins													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 5013-998

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / Melissa Perkins

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	35.71	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-0.03	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.11	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	57.14	56.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.25	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	28.57	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.00	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	75.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.36	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Melissa Perkins													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 5013-999

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.88763		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	41.67	50.00	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.11	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	4	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.33	41.67	57.14	52.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.19	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	71.43	63.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.34	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	1.21356		11	9.09	0.00	0.00	9.09	81.82	35.71	36.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.06	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	79.17	73.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.45	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: GIS 5133-999

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Fundamentals of Remote Sensing

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kirsten De Beurs / Filoteo GÃ³mez MartÃ³nez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	92.86	81.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.84182		128	1.56	3.13	4.69	37.50	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.52	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39535	5	0.88758		129	0.78	5.43	6.20	28.68	58.91		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.78	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	92.86	86.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.44186	5	0.85611		129	0.00	6.20	5.43	26.36	62.02		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.63	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.64844	5	0.72731		128	1.56	0.78	3.13	20.31	74.22		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.58	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Filoteo GÃ³mez MartÃ³nez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.75781	5	0.57159		128	0.00	0.78	4.69	12.50	82.03		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 133

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	4	0.87590	-0.02	28	0.00	7.14	7.14	42.86	42.86	36.67	36.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.79015	0.21	28	0.00	3.57	7.14	32.14	57.14	53.33	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.32143	4	0.77237	0.06	28	0.00	3.57	7.14	42.86	46.43	43.33	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.53452		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	21.43	75.00	46.67	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.74074	5	0.59437	0.25	27	0.00	0.00	7.41	11.11	81.48	45.46	50.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jonah Pehl

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jonah Pehl													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	63.64	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	0.31	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	63.64	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	0.32	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	0.57	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	0.42	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	0.58	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Qing Niu

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Qing Niu													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	9.09	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	-1.08	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	4	1.16905		6	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	9.09	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	-1.07	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	36.36	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	-0.09	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.83666		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	0.00	18.18	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	-0.91	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	33.33	9.09	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	-0.66	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Emily Blumenauer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Emily Blumenauer</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	81.82	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	0.47	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	54.55	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	0.25	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	81.82	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	0.35	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	90.91	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	0.37	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.03280		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	54.55	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	-0.05	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laura Shedd

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Laura Shedd													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	81.82	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	0.47	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.21106		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	16.67	66.67	45.46	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	0.09	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.22474		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	83.33	36.36	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	-0.09	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.21106		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	16.67	66.67	36.36	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	0.00	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	36.36	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	-0.25	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alyssa Woodward

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Alyssa Woodward													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	45.46	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	0.16	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	72.73	76.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	0.35	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	72.73	76.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	0.30	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	72.73	76.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	0.29	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	63.64	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	0.27	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-910

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 99

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.23091		22	4.55	9.09	13.64	18.18	54.55	20.00	18.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-0.15	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	5	1.25874		22	9.09	0.00	13.64	18.18	59.09	33.33	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.04	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		22	4.55	4.55	22.73	22.73	45.45	23.33	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-0.29	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.96362		22	0.00	9.09	4.55	13.64	72.73	36.67	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.00	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68182	5	0.71623		22	0.00	4.55	0.00	18.18	77.27	39.39	43.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.18	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-911

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brandon Cohen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Brandon Cohen													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	54.55	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	0.25	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	81.82	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	0.42	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	54.55	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	0.13	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	90.91	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	0.37	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	72.73	76.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	0.35	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-912

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jonah Pehl

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jonah Pehl													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	18.18	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	-0.63	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.26491		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	36.36	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	-0.24	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	18.18	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	-0.30	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	27.27	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	-0.18	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	36.36	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	-0.25	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-913

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Qing Niu

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Qing Niu													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	36.36	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	0.03	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	1.18773		8	0.00	25.00	12.50	37.50	25.00	18.18	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	-0.61	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	45.46	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	0.08	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	45.46	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	0.05	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	45.46	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	-0.15	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1014-914

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Emily Blumenauer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Emily Blumenauer</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.95119		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	14.29	57.14	27.27	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	-0.26	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	5	1.38013		7	0.00	28.57	14.29	14.29	42.86	27.27	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	-0.53	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	5	1.21499		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	14.29	42.86	9.09	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	-0.93	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.42857	5	1.71825		7	14.29	28.57	0.00	14.29	42.86	9.09	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	-0.99	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	5	1.21499		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	14.29	42.86	18.18	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	-0.63	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Stang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.05935		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	43.33	43.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.07	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.82327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	50.00	40.00	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.08	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.84327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	53.33	47.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.14	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	36.67	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.00	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
David Stang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	80.00	42.42	45.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.20	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 1313-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Stang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.93744	-0.07	12	0.00	8.33	8.33	41.67	41.67	26.67	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856	0.36	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	60.00	63.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.88763	0.07	12	0.00	8.33	0.00	41.67	50.00	46.67	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.57735	0.39	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	0.00	91.67	66.67	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
David Stang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.86603	0.26	12	0.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	91.67	54.55	56.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 2213-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 52

Section Title: Phys Metr I: Thermodynamics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Phillip Chilson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.63702		24	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.11	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.91683		24	4.17	0.00	25.00	50.00	20.83	20.00	18.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.39	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.64690		24	0.00	0.00	8.33	45.83	45.83	50.00	43.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.12	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45833	5	0.58823		24	0.00	0.00	4.17	45.83	50.00	30.00	27.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-0.04	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Phillip Chilson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.33783		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	66.67	70.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.41	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 79

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Austin Dixon

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.50108	-0.05	22	0.00	0.00	4.55	72.73	22.73	30.00	27.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51177	0.28	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.67	56.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.63109	0.00	22	0.00	0.00	9.09	54.55	36.36	40.00	36.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.45584	0.26	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	50.00	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Austin Dixon													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	4	0.65795	-0.21	22	0.00	0.00	9.09	45.45	45.45	30.30	36.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 2603-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Severe/Unusual Weather(HONORS)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kelvin Droegemeier

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	83.33	86.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.67	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.78	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	83.33	86.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.63	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.58	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Kelvin Droegemeier													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 2603-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 79

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Noah Brauer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.41379	5	0.68229	0.19	29	0.00	0.00	10.34	37.93	51.72	53.33	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58621	5	0.56803	0.36	29	0.00	0.00	3.45	34.48	62.07	63.33	65.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58621	5	0.56803	0.34	29	0.00	0.00	3.45	34.48	62.07	63.33	65.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.82759	5	0.38443	0.38	29	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.24	82.76	60.00	65.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Noah Brauer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.93103	5	0.25788	0.47	29	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.90	93.10	75.76	77.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 2613-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 52

Section Title: Atmos In-Situ/Surface Measure

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bradley Illston

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.59761	0.36	21	0.00	0.00	4.76	33.33	61.90	66.67	68.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.49237	0.42	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	66.67	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.68182	5	0.56790	0.45	22	0.00	0.00	4.55	22.73	72.73	70.00	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.86364	5	0.35125	0.42	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.64	86.36	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Bradley Illston													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.29424	0.45	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	69.70	73.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 2613-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Lab-METR 2613-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Brian Greene / Bradley Illston

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Brian Greene													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	0.58	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	0.50	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.62158		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	8.33	83.33	63.64	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	0.24	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	63.64	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	0.27	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.91	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	0.45	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 2613-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-METR 2613-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kevin Thiel / Bradley Illston

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Kevin Thiel													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.91	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.56164	5	0.70684		73	0.00	0.00	12.33	19.18	68.49		
	COLLEGE	4.47778	5	0.75294	0.50	90	0.00	0.00	15.56	21.11	63.33		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	90.91	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.24658	5	1.02444		73	1.37	8.22	9.59	26.03	54.79		
	COLLEGE	4.24444	5	1.00907	0.47	90	1.11	6.67	14.44	22.22	55.56		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.91	92.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.61644	5	0.71938		73	0.00	2.74	5.48	19.18	72.60		
	COLLEGE	4.56667	5	0.76511	0.38	90	0.00	3.33	6.67	20.00	70.00		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	54.55	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.32877	5	0.95822		73	1.37	5.48	9.59	26.03	57.53		
	COLLEGE	4.33333	5	0.91184	0.26	90	1.11	4.44	10.00	28.89	55.56		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	81.82	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.39726	5	0.86184		73	0.00	4.11	12.33	23.29	60.27		
	COLLEGE	4.37778	5	0.82894	0.41	90	0.00	3.33	12.22	27.78	56.67		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 3011-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Broadcast Software Practicum

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Riley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666	0.29	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	60.00	63.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.21106	0.11	6	0.00	16.67	0.00	16.67	66.67	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.61	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	81.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650	0.19	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	40.00	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Shawn Riley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650	0.16	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	36.36	42.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 3123-950

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 57

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics II

Course Level: All

Instructors: Evgeni Fedorovich / Martin Satrio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.54545	4	0.85786		22	0.00	18.18	13.64	63.64	4.55	10.00	6.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-0.74	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.40909	4	0.90812		22	0.00	18.18	31.82	40.91	9.09	6.67	6.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.82	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.04545	3	0.99892		22	4.55	27.27	31.82	31.82	4.55	6.67	6.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-1.32	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.36364	3	0.84771		22	0.00	13.64	45.45	31.82	9.09	10.00	6.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-1.30	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Evgeni Fedorovich													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.76190	4	0.76842		21	0.00	4.76	28.57	52.38	14.29	15.15	26.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-0.93	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Martin Satrio													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.09524	4	0.88909		21	0.00	4.76	19.05	38.10	38.10	24.24	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-0.53	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 3223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 56

Section Title: Cloud Physics-Electric/Optics

Course Level: All

Instructors: Guifu Zhang / Jessica Blair

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.08696	4	0.84816		23	4.35	0.00	4.35	65.22	26.09	16.67	13.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-0.16	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91304	4	0.99604		23	4.35	4.35	13.04	52.17	26.09	26.67	22.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.31	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.04348	4	1.02151		23	4.35	4.35	8.70	47.83	34.78	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-0.24	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.26087	4	0.91539		23	4.35	0.00	4.35	47.83	43.48	20.00	18.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-0.27	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30435	4	0.76484		23	0.00	4.35	4.35	47.83	43.48	27.27	35.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-0.28	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Jessica Blair													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.04348	4	0.82453		23	0.00	4.35	17.39	47.83	30.43	21.21	31.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-0.59	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 4013-001 / METR 5013-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Science at the Tropopause

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.82	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	84.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.53	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	73.33	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.52	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	56.67	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.29	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 4403-001 / METR 5403-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Severe Thunderstorm Forecast

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Galarneau

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755	0.34	11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	63.33	65.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710	0.51	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	73.33	79.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452	0.59	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	76.67	79.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.58	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Thomas Galarnau													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.60302	0.34	11	0.00	0.00	9.09	0.00	90.91	60.61	64.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 4433-950

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 49

Section Title: Mesoscale Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructors: David Parsons / Morgan Schneider

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.61914		16	0.00	0.00	25.00	62.50	12.50	13.33	9.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-0.38	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.56250	4	0.72744		16	0.00	6.25	37.50	50.00	6.25	10.00	9.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.67	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.80623		16	0.00	6.25	18.75	56.25	18.75	16.67	13.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-0.42	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.61914		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	13.33	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-0.43	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.62915		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	43.75	50.00	33.33	38.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-0.12	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		
Morgan Schneider													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 4443-001 / METR 5443-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Intro-Tropical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Naoko Sakaeda

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	76.67	79.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.47	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.78	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.79	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.58	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Naoko Sakaeda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 4553-001 / METR 5553-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Climate and Renewable Energy

Course Level: All

Instructor: Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	4	0.48038	0.08	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	69.23	30.77	46.67	45.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.72501	0.01	13	0.00	0.00	15.38	46.15	38.46	36.67	36.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.43853	-0.04	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	76.92	23.08	33.33	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038	0.22	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	43.33	43.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553	0.37	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	63.64	68.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 4623-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Radar Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Biggerstaff

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.73205		3	33.33	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	6.67	4.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-1.32	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	13.33	13.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.56	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	5	2.08167		3	33.33	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	10.00	9.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-1.01	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	5	2.08167		3	33.33	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	6.67	4.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-1.33	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
<i>Michael Biggerstaff</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	5	2.08167		3	33.33	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	9.09	22.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-1.44	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 4743-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Apps of Weather Forecasting

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kevin Kloesel

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	86.67	88.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.70	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.78	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.79	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.58	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Kevin Kloesel													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 4922-950

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 49

Section Title: Senior Seminar II (Capstone)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jens Redemann

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.21739	4	0.90235		23	4.35	0.00	4.35	52.17	39.13	40.00	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-0.02	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.82609	4	1.11405		23	4.35	8.70	17.39	39.13	30.43	16.67	15.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-0.40	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.04348	4	0.76742		23	0.00	4.35	13.04	56.52	26.09	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-0.24	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.13043	4	0.91970		23	4.35	0.00	8.70	52.17	34.78	16.67	13.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-0.42	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Jens Redemann													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.04348	4	1.02151		23	4.35	4.35	8.70	47.83	34.78	21.21	31.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-0.59	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 5223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Atmospheric Radiation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Feng Xu

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246	-0.03	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	33.33	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	1.10050	-0.33	10	0.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	40.00	23.33	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650	-0.29	10	0.00	10.00	0.00	70.00	20.00	23.33	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921	-0.11	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	23.33	22.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Feng Xu													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164	0.32	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	57.58	61.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 5413-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Advanced Synoptic Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	1.93750	2	1.34009		16	50.00	31.25	6.25	0.00	12.50	3.33	2.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	-2.46	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.93750	2	1.23659		16	50.00	25.00	12.50	6.25	6.25	3.33	2.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	-2.30	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	2	1.52753		16	25.00	31.25	6.25	18.75	18.75	3.33	2.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-1.63	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.18750	2	1.37689		16	37.50	37.50	6.25	6.25	12.50	3.33	2.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	-2.65	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.43750	2	1.36473		16	31.25	25.00	25.00	6.25	12.50	6.06	21.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	-2.51	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 5433-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Adv. Statistical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jason Furtado

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.41	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.47	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.85	46.15	56.67	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.21	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	73.33	77.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.48	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Jason Furtado													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	72.73	75.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.46	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 5503-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Climate Dynamics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elinor Martin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	76.67	79.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.47	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.11	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	68.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.43	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.58	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Elinor Martin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 5803-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Forecast Evaluation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Harold Brooks

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.82	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	84.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.53	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.79	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.58	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Harold Brooks													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 6103-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Turbulence

Course Level: All

Instructor: Scott Salesky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.82	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.78	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.79	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.58	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Scott Salesky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 6313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Adv Data Assim Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	84.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.56	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.78	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	36.67	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	-0.02	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	56.67	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.29	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	54.55	56.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.26	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 6970-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: BUL Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346	0.82	509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256	0.78	509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913	0.79	511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309	0.58	509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Elizabeth Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455	0.56	551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 6970-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Convective Metr Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Corey Potvin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	4	0.51493	0.20	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.33	41.67	56.67	56.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134	0.11	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493	0.34	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	60.00	63.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925	0.39	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	66.67	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
Corey Potvin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227	0.26	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	54.55	56.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2021

Course: METR 6970-005

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Weather and Climate Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Parsons

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	0.89974	-0.10	7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	23.33	22.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.18373	4	0.95853		381	3.15	3.94	7.61	41.99	43.31		
	COLLEGE	4.23183	4	0.93346		509	2.75	3.73	6.88	40.86	45.78		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000	-0.23	5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	30.00	27.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.16579	4	1.02032		380	3.42	4.21	11.84	33.42	47.11		
	COLLEGE	4.22397	5	0.99256		509	2.75	4.52	10.41	32.22	50.10		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	0.97590	-0.75	7	0.00	14.29	28.57	42.86	14.29	13.33	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.20942	4	0.94639		382	2.09	4.97	8.90	37.96	46.07		
	COLLEGE	4.26810	5	0.92913		511	1.57	5.28	8.02	35.03	50.10		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	26.67	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44619	5	0.91212		381	2.36	2.89	6.30	24.67	63.78		
	COLLEGE	4.49705	5	0.87309		509	2.16	2.36	5.50	23.58	66.40		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.42857	5	1.51186	-1.33	7	0.00	42.86	14.29	0.00	42.86	12.12	24.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.46809	5	0.88874		423	1.65	3.31	7.09	22.46	65.48		
	COLLEGE	4.53539	5	0.83455		551	1.27	2.72	6.53	20.15	69.33		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A