

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: AGSC 3011-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Career Planning & Development

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kari Snider

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	100.00	68.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.53	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	100.00	54.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.34	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	100.00	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.55	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Kari Snider													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 167

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.05683		56	5.36	8.93	14.29	51.79	19.64	26.00	20.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.34	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.76364	4	1.13796		55	5.45	10.91	12.73	43.64	27.27	36.00	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.23	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.09959		56	3.57	12.50	16.07	41.07	26.79	36.00	27.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.24	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.19643	5	1.10239		56	5.36	3.57	8.93	30.36	51.79	36.00	31.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.05	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.23214	5	1.09530		56	5.36	3.57	7.14	30.36	53.57	27.45	25.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.16	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Tanya Woody

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	30.00	23.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.25	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	0.89443		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	0.00	26.00	22.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.37	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	0.89443		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	0.00	28.00	22.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.38	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	64.00	55.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.35	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Tanya Woody													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	1.34164		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	39.22	37.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.01	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kenneth Gill

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666	0.43	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	72.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.72	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	86.00	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	86.00	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	82.00	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Kenneth Gill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.45	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	68.63	66.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kenneth Gill

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	72.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.43	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	86.00	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.72	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.00	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.61	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	82.00	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.58	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Kenneth Gill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	68.63	66.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.45	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kenneth Gill

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.60	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	63.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.43	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	72.00	69.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.51	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Kenneth Gill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Ciarlante

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.33333	3	1.15470		3	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	4.00	2.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-1.69	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	0.57735		3	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00	6.00	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-1.20	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00	16.00	14.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.63	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	20.00	16.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.58	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Ashley Ciarlante													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.15470		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	7.84	6.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-1.08	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Ciarlante

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	50.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.27	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	70.00	63.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.43	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	66.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.45	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	70.00	59.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.41	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Ashley Ciarlante													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	68.63	66.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.45	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kenneth Gill

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	94.00	88.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.78	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	80.00	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.62	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	74.00	71.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.52	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	60.00	53.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.32	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Kenneth Gill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	70.59	68.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.48	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-018

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Ciarlante

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	42.00	33.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.06	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	52.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.16	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	1.34164		5	0.00	40.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	22.00	18.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.57	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	38.00	32.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.05	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Ashley Ciarlante													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.09545		5	0.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	40.00	13.73	13.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.60	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 93

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.53846	4	1.02202		39	5.13	10.26	23.08	48.72	12.82	20.00	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.51	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.30451		39	7.69	15.38	12.82	30.77	33.33	28.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.31	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.35897	4	1.28733		39	10.26	15.38	25.64	25.64	23.08	18.00	16.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.61	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.61538	4	1.18356		39	7.69	7.69	25.64	33.33	25.64	16.00	14.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.63	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.87179	4	1.32147		39	7.69	10.26	15.38	20.51	46.15	17.65	16.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.53	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.53846	4	0.77625		13	0.00	7.69	38.46	46.15	7.69	20.00	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.51	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.69231	4	0.94733		13	0.00	7.69	38.46	30.77	23.08	30.00	27.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.29	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.38462	4	1.04391		13	0.00	23.08	30.77	30.77	15.38	20.00	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.58	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.69231	4	0.85485		13	0.00	7.69	30.77	46.15	15.38	22.00	18.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.56	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.69231	4	1.10940		13	0.00	15.38	30.77	23.08	30.77	11.77	10.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.72	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.26930		10	10.00	10.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	16.00	15.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.55	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	4	1.41814		10	10.00	10.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	32.00	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.28	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.17379		10	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	30.00	28.00	22.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.38	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.18182	3	1.25045		11	9.09	18.18	36.36	18.18	18.18	12.00	8.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-1.06	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	1.25045		11	9.09	0.00	27.27	27.27	36.36	15.69	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.59	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.63299		7	28.57	14.29	0.00	42.86	14.29	10.00	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-1.04	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.14286	4	1.21499		7	0.00	42.86	14.29	28.57	14.29	14.00	13.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.78	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.85714	4	1.57359		7	28.57	14.29	14.29	28.57	14.29	6.00	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-1.07	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	0.81650		6	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	0.00	8.00	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-1.58	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.29099		7	14.29	14.29	42.86	14.29	14.29	5.88	5.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-1.42	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1103-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	72.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.43	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	63.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.43	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.45	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	74.00	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.50	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1113-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: The Language of Maps

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452	0.57	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	78.00	69.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755	0.47	11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	74.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755	0.50	11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	70.00	68.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.67420	0.38	11	0.00	0.00	9.09	18.18	72.73	66.00	56.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710	0.34	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	56.86	52.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 106

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	4	1.04826	-0.12	30	0.00	13.33	16.67	33.33	36.67	34.00	27.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.03333	4	1.12903	0.01	30	3.33	10.00	10.00	33.33	43.33	48.00	42.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.03333	4	0.85029	0.02	30	0.00	3.33	23.33	40.00	33.33	46.00	36.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.23333	4	0.81720		30	0.00	0.00	23.33	30.00	46.67	40.00	33.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		-0.02	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.26667	5	0.82768	-0.13	30	0.00	0.00	23.33	26.67	50.00	29.41	29.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lora LaPree

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Lora LaPree													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	25.00	50.00	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-0.54	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	50.00	37.50	12.50	20.00	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-0.92	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	50.00	25.00	20.00	20.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	-0.79	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	1.12599		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	37.50	20.00	20.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	-0.61	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.03510		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	37.50	25.00	20.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	-0.77	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Virginia Silvis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Virginia Silvis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	100.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.56	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	100.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.25	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.84327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	-0.17	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.84327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	-0.03	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	100.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.33	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lora LaPree

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Lora LaPree													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.38	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.06066		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	25.00	62.50	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-0.02	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	1.06066		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	87.50	70.00	45.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.10	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	90.00	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.21	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.48805		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	0.00	75.00	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	-0.19	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Virginia Silvis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Virginia Silvis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	0.00	10.00	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-1.55	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	0.00	10.00	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-1.19	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	2.83333	3	1.47196		6	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	10.00	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	-2.02	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	1.04881		6	16.67	33.33	33.33	16.67	0.00	10.00	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	-2.10	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	0.75277		6	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	0.00	10.00	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	-1.44	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 188

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hernan Moreno

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.67708	4	0.92332	-0.37	96	3.13	6.25	26.04	48.96	15.63	24.00	19.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88660	4	0.97763	-0.12	97	3.09	6.19	16.49	47.42	26.80	40.00	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.71134	4	0.96780	-0.28	97	3.09	6.19	27.84	42.27	20.62	30.00	23.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.05155	4	0.89409	-0.20	97	0.00	5.15	21.65	36.08	37.11	28.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Hernan Moreno													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.32292	5	0.99995	-0.07	96	4.17	2.08	7.29	30.21	56.25	33.33	31.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joshua Hatzis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.84190		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	42.86	50.00	50.00	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-0.10	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.91387		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	40.00	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-0.12	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75955		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	64.29	60.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	-0.05	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.63332		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	71.43	100.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.23	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.06904		14	0.00	14.29	0.00	28.57	57.14	40.00	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	-0.15	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 37

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kelly Jones

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Kelly Jones</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.52632	5	0.69669		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	26.32	63.16	60.00	45.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.10	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.42105	5	0.96124		19	0.00	10.53	0.00	26.32	63.16	70.00	45.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.04	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.84211	5	0.37463		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.79	84.21	90.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.35	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.52632	5	0.77233		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	31.58	63.16	60.00	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.10	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.52632	5	0.77233		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	31.58	63.16	70.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.13	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joshua Hatzis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	90.00	70.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.40	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.76795		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	30.77	53.85	60.00	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-0.01	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	80.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.27	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	80.00	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.20	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	90.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.32	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kelly Jones

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Kelly Jones</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.18322		11	9.09	0.00	9.09	45.45	36.36	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-0.54	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.13818		12	8.33	0.00	0.00	41.67	50.00	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-0.17	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		12	8.33	0.00	0.00	33.33	58.33	40.00	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	-0.25	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.21543		12	8.33	0.00	8.33	25.00	58.33	40.00	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	-0.20	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		12	8.33	0.00	0.00	33.33	58.33	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	-0.10	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-025

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Virginia Silvis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Virginia Silvis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.05409		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	40.00	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-0.40	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	80.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.07	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	40.00	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	-0.25	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	-0.23	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	60.00	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.03	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1114-026

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 37

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joshua Hatzis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.58824	5	0.50730		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.18	58.82	70.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40000	5	0.85635		115	1.74	1.74	8.70	30.43	57.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.18	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.47059	5	0.79982		17	0.00	5.88	0.00	35.29	58.82	90.00	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.30435	5	0.88033		115	0.87	4.35	9.57	33.91	51.30		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.10	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.88235	5	0.33211		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	88.24	100.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48276	5	0.88938		116	1.72	3.45	6.03	22.41	66.38		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.40	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.58824	5	0.79521		17	0.00	5.88	0.00	23.53	70.59	70.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35345	5	0.94413		116	1.72	4.31	9.48	25.86	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.17	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.49259		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.29	64.71	80.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.37931	5	0.90077		116	1.72	4.31	5.17	31.90	56.90		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.27	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1123-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Introduction to Geohumanities

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nicholas Bauch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.40789		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.50	75.00	52.00	48.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.31	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.38873		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	25.00	62.50	54.00	51.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.20	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.41421		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	87.50	66.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.45	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.40789		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.50	75.00	46.00	38.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.12	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
<i>Nicholas Bauch</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.40789		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.50	75.00	35.29	33.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.02	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 1203-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 101

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.02941	4	1.16737	-0.03	34	5.88	5.88	11.76	32.35	44.12	44.00	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.70588	4	1.36025	-0.28	34	8.82	14.71	11.76	26.47	38.24	34.00	29.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.82353	4	1.24245	-0.18	34	8.82	5.88	14.71	35.29	35.29	38.00	29.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.14706	4	1.01898	-0.10	34	2.94	2.94	17.65	29.41	47.06	30.00	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	0.94448	-0.12	33	3.03	0.00	15.15	30.30	51.52	31.37	30.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 2603-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 48

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.26667	4	1.33452	-0.78	15	13.33	13.33	26.67	26.67	20.00	12.00	9.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.53333	4	1.45733	-0.43	15	6.67	26.67	13.33	13.33	40.00	20.00	18.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.26667	4	1.33452	-0.69	15	13.33	13.33	26.67	26.67	20.00	14.00	13.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.35225		15	6.67	20.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	14.00	13.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.34519	-0.74	15	6.67	20.00	6.67	33.33	33.33	9.80	9.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 2603-996

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 106

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Boyer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40541	5	0.83198	0.34	37	0.00	5.41	5.41	32.43	56.76	56.00	53.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.51351	5	0.98943	0.44	37	2.70	5.41	2.70	16.22	72.97	72.00	64.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27778	5	1.18590	0.25	36	8.33	2.78	0.00	30.56	58.33	52.00	44.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40541	5	1.14162	0.15	37	2.70	10.81	2.70	10.81	72.97	50.00	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
John Boyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.77868	0.34	36	0.00	5.56	2.78	5.56	86.11	54.90	51.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Principles of Phys Geog

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Messick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553	0.77	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	92.00	85.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038	0.60	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	78.00	71.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.63043	0.63	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	15.38	76.92	88.00	84.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.74	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jennifer Messick													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.62	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3243-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Principles-Economic Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.88641	-0.30	8	0.00	12.50	12.50	62.50	12.50	28.00	21.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.87500	3	0.99103	-1.02	8	0.00	50.00	12.50	37.50	0.00	8.00	6.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.88641	-0.24	8	0.00	12.50	12.50	62.50	12.50	36.00	27.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	1.35620	-0.37	8	12.50	0.00	12.50	37.50	37.50	24.00	20.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.99103	-0.27	8	0.00	12.50	0.00	50.00	37.50	25.49	22.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 44

Section Title: Environmental Conservation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	33.33	60.00	76.00	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.47	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	0.91548		15	0.00	6.67	6.67	20.00	66.67	62.00	57.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.40	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.50709		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	78.00	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.55	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.82542		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	7.14	85.71	72.00	61.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.46	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		15	0.00	6.67	0.00	13.33	80.00	52.94	47.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.28	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3443-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mary Lawhon

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.51640	0.47	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.67	53.33	76.00	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.82808	0.34	15	0.00	6.67	0.00	40.00	53.33	58.00	54.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	0.51640	0.42	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.33	46.67	54.00	54.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.56061	0.55	15	0.00	0.00	6.67	6.67	86.67	76.00	68.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Mary Lawhon													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404	0.42	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	60.78	60.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3443-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Randy Pepler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	1.06010		15	6.67	0.00	0.00	26.67	66.67	60.00	56.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.40	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	1.03280		15	6.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	93.33	82.00	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.63	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	1.06010		15	6.67	0.00	0.00	20.00	73.33	68.00	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.48	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.91026		15	0.00	6.67	6.67	6.67	80.00	64.00	55.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.35	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Randy Pepler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.56061		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	6.67	86.67	60.78	60.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.42	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3443-025

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	0.57735		4	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	6.00	3.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-1.53	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.75000	2	0.95743		4	50.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-2.02	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.75000	2	0.95743		4	50.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	2.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-2.10	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.25000	2	0.50000		4	0.00	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	6.00	3.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-1.99	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.25000	2	0.50000		4	0.00	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	1.96	1.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-2.19	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3443-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.70588	5	0.46967	0.64	17	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.41	70.59	90.00	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.88235	5	0.33211	0.77	17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	88.24	88.00	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.60634	0.59	17	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59	80.00	78.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.82353	5	0.39295	0.57	17	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	82.35	78.00	69.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.62	17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3773-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.90034		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	33.33	58.33	58.00	55.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.35	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.77850		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	56.00	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.28	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	76.00	72.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.53	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	56.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.25	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.79296		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	25.00	58.33	43.14	39.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.03	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3890-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Enviro and Political Landscape

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Shafer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.60	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	60.00	56.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.36	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	44.00	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.01	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	60.00	53.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.32	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Mark Shafer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 3924-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Quantitative Methods

Course Level: All

Instructors: John Greene / Joshua Wimhurst

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.05556	4	0.93760		18	0.00	11.11	5.56	50.00	33.33	46.00	36.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.00	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	4	1.24722		18	5.56	22.22	5.56	44.44	22.22	22.00	19.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.41	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	1.02262		18	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	50.00	39.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.09	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.85749		18	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	38.89	34.00	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.08	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.41176	5	0.87026		17	0.00	5.88	5.88	29.41	58.82	41.18	38.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.02	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		
Joshua Wimhurst													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.32338		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	72.55	72.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.51	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4273-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Regional Climatology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	96.00	90.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.83	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	90.00	88.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.78	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	66.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.45	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4293-001 / GEOG 5293-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Hydrologic Science

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hernan Moreno

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	4	1.13039		9	0.00	22.22	22.22	33.33	22.22	22.00	18.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.49	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	4	1.23603		9	0.00	33.33	11.11	33.33	22.22	16.00	16.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.51	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.88889	4	1.69148		9	33.33	11.11	11.11	22.22	22.22	8.00	5.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-1.04	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.11803		9	11.11	0.00	11.11	66.67	11.11	20.00	16.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.58	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
<i>Hernan Moreno</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.22474		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	55.56	33.33	23.53	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.40	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4523-001 / GEOG 5523-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 45

Section Title: Life Cycle Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.93744		12	8.33	0.00	0.00	83.33	8.33	32.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.22	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.58333	4	0.99620		12	8.33	0.00	25.00	58.33	8.33	24.00	20.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.39	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.05529		12	8.33	0.00	16.67	58.33	16.67	36.00	27.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.24	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	1.11464		12	8.33	0.00	0.00	50.00	41.67	34.00	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.08	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.12815		12	8.33	0.00	8.33	50.00	33.33	23.53	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.40	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4863-025

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Indigenous Media

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	2	0.81650		4	25.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-2.02	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.25000	3	0.95743		4	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	2.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-1.57	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.75000	2	0.50000		4	25.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	2.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-2.10	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.25000	3	0.95743		4	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	6.00	3.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-1.99	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	0.57735		4	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	3.92	2.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-1.93	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4953-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Capstone

Instructor: John Greene

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421	-0.06	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	42.00	33.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421	-0.02	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	46.00	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711	0.45	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711	0.25	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711	0.11	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.98	43.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4953-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Capstone

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.60	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	76.00	70.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.58	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	90.00	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.76	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	70.00	59.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.41	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	68.63	66.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.45	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4953-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Capstone

Total Enrollment: 2

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-1.04	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	10.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.91	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	9.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.94	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-2.24	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4953-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Capstone

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.92	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4953-005

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Capstone

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Koch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	72.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.43	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4953-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Capstone

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nicholas Bauch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.92	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Nicholas Bauch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4953-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Capstone

Course Level: All

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	72.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.43	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	46.00	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.02	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4953-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Capstone

Instructor: Hernan Moreno

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	42.00	33.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.06	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	10.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.91	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	9.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.94	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-1.25	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Hernan Moreno													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	23.53	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.40	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4953-017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Capstone

Total Enrollment: 2

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421	-0.06	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	42.00	33.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421	-0.02	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	46.00	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421	-0.01	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	44.00	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	26.00	23.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711	0.11	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.98	43.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 4970-001 / GEOG 5970-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Integrated Env Modelling

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Koch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.60	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.00	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.61	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	44.00	36.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.08	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 5253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Economics of Sustainability

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	3	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	25.00	16.00	15.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.55	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.00000		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	18.00	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.46	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	44.00	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.01	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	42.00	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.00	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	50.98	43.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.11	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 5333-001 / GEOG 4333-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Corporate Environ Strategy

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	54.00	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.34	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	52.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.16	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.14018		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	28.00	22.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.38	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	48.00	40.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.15	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	39.22	37.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.01	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 5970-002 / GEOG 4970-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Digital GeoHumanities

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nicholas Bauch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.60	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Nicholas Bauch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 5990-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Selected Studies in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	72.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.43	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	63.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.43	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.45	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GEOG 6953-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Geographic Research & Writing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mary Lawhon

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.22103	0.03	11	9.09	0.00	9.09	36.36	45.45	48.00	39.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.00327	4	1.03385		611	3.44	6.22	13.91	39.44	36.99		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	1.32802	-0.18	11	9.09	9.09	9.09	36.36	36.36	38.00	33.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.01800	4	1.12702		611	3.93	9.17	11.46	32.08	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.94388	0.07	11	0.00	9.09	9.09	45.45	36.36	48.00	38.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.95425	4	1.11435		612	4.58	7.19	15.36	33.99	38.89		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	1.03573	0.20	11	0.00	9.09	9.09	9.09	72.73	52.00	42.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.21405	5	1.02741		612	2.45	5.39	13.40	25.82	52.94		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Mary Lawhon													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	1.03573	0.06	11	0.00	9.09	9.09	9.09	72.73	45.10	40.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.37380	5	0.99802		626	2.72	4.31	8.79	21.25	62.94		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GIS 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Koch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14815	4	0.81824	0.09	27	0.00	3.70	14.81	44.44	37.04	14.29	42.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.70158		108	0.00	1.85	6.48	37.04	54.63		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18519	5	1.07550	0.15	27	3.70	7.41	3.70	37.04	48.15	57.14	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.33028	5	0.93348		109	0.92	6.42	7.34	29.36	55.96		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.29630	4	0.72403	0.26	27	0.00	0.00	14.81	40.74	44.44	28.57	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.55046	5	0.65949		109	0.00	0.92	6.42	29.36	63.30		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.51852	5	0.89315	0.27	27	0.00	7.41	3.70	18.52	70.37	42.86	48.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.66972	5	0.69462		109	0.00	3.67	1.83	18.35	76.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.75107	0.17	27	0.00	3.70	3.70	25.93	66.67	42.86	44.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.59259	5	0.78582		108	0.93	2.78	4.63	19.44	72.22		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GIS 2023-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582	0.71	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	85.71	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.70158		108	0.00	1.85	6.48	37.04	54.63		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.59362	0.63	15	0.00	0.00	6.67	13.33	80.00	71.43	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.33028	5	0.93348		109	0.92	6.42	7.34	29.36	55.96		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.61721	0.61	15	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	73.33	71.43	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.55046	5	0.65949		109	0.00	0.92	6.42	29.36	63.30		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.93333	5	0.25820	0.68	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	93.33	85.71	77.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.66972	5	0.69462		109	0.00	3.67	1.83	18.35	76.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.53452	0.48	14	0.00	0.00	7.14	0.00	92.86	57.14	68.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.59259	5	0.78582		108	0.93	2.78	4.63	19.44	72.22		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GIS 2023-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	100.00	89.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.70158		108	0.00	1.85	6.48	37.04	54.63		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.82	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	85.71	77.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.33028	5	0.93348		109	0.92	6.42	7.34	29.36	55.96		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.67	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55046	5	0.65949		109	0.00	0.92	6.42	29.36	63.30		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	71.43	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.66972	5	0.69462		109	0.00	3.67	1.83	18.35	76.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.63	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59259	5	0.78582		108	0.93	2.78	4.63	19.44	72.22		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.41176	5	0.71229		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	35.29	52.94	57.14	54.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.70158		108	0.00	1.85	6.48	37.04	54.63		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.35	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.94118	4	1.02899		17	0.00	11.76	17.65	35.29	35.29	14.29	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.33028	5	0.93348		109	0.92	6.42	7.34	29.36	55.96		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.07	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.49259		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.29	64.71	57.14	78.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.55046	5	0.65949		109	0.00	0.92	6.42	29.36	63.30		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.59	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.47059	5	0.79982		17	0.00	5.88	0.00	35.29	58.82	14.29	43.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.66972	5	0.69462		109	0.00	3.67	1.83	18.35	76.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.22	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.17647	4	0.88284		17	0.00	5.88	11.76	41.18	41.18	14.29	23.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.59259	5	0.78582		108	0.93	2.78	4.63	19.44	72.22		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.22	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GIS 4013-011 / GIS 5013-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.88235	5	0.33211		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	88.24		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.68	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	50.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.88235	5	0.33211		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	88.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.58	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.54	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	50.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.88235	5	0.33211		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	88.24		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.48	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	50.00	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.64706	5	0.70189		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	11.76	76.47		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.24	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	50.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.88235	5	0.33211		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	88.24		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.41	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	100.00	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.88235	5	0.33211		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	88.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.60	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.54	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	100.00	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.88235	5	0.33211		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	88.24		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.50	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	100.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.64706	5	0.70189		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	11.76	76.47		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.29	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GIS 4233-001 / GIS 5233-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Digital Image Processing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582	0.71	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	85.71	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.70158		108	0.00	1.85	6.48	37.04	54.63		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314	0.74	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	85.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.33028	5	0.93348		109	0.92	6.42	7.34	29.36	55.96		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.92	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55046	5	0.65949		109	0.00	0.92	6.42	29.36	63.30		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.74	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66972	5	0.69462		109	0.00	3.67	1.83	18.35	76.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.62	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59259	5	0.78582		108	0.93	2.78	4.63	19.44	72.22		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GIS 4253-001 / GIS 5253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: GIS Applications

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.26316	4	0.80568	0.20	19	0.00	5.26	5.26	47.37	42.11	42.86	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.70158		108	0.00	1.85	6.48	37.04	54.63		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.05263	5	1.12909	0.03	19	0.00	15.79	10.53	26.32	47.37	28.57	43.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.33028	5	0.93348		109	0.92	6.42	7.34	29.36	55.96		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.36842	5	0.83070	0.33	19	0.00	5.26	5.26	36.84	52.63	42.86	48.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.55046	5	0.65949		109	0.00	0.92	6.42	29.36	63.30		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.47368	5	0.84119	0.22	19	0.00	5.26	5.26	26.32	63.16	28.57	44.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.66972	5	0.69462		109	0.00	3.67	1.83	18.35	76.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.21053	5	1.13426	-0.19	19	5.26	5.26	5.26	31.58	52.63	28.57	24.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.59259	5	0.78582		108	0.93	2.78	4.63	19.44	72.22		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: GIS 4453-001 / GIS 5453-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Adv GIS & Spatial Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.46291	0.19	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	28.57	44.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.70158		108	0.00	1.85	6.48	37.04	54.63		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087	0.09	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	42.86	44.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.33028	5	0.93348		109	0.92	6.42	7.34	29.36	55.96		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087	0.10	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	14.29	40.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.55046	5	0.65949		109	0.00	0.92	6.42	29.36	63.30		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291	0.50	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	57.14	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.66972	5	0.69462		109	0.00	3.67	1.83	18.35	76.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355	0.49	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	71.43	70.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.59259	5	0.78582		108	0.93	2.78	4.63	19.44	72.22		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1014-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Casey Griffin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Casey Griffin													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	91.67	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.59	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	91.67	95.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.64	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.54	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.62	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.58	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1014-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joel McAuliffe

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joel McAuliffe													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	63.64	27.27	41.67	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-0.32	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	4	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.64	36.36	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-0.03	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	33.33	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.00	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	41.67	45.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.12	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.80904		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	27.27	54.55	33.33	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	-0.06	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1014-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Briana Lynch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Briana Lynch													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	66.67	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.35	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	41.67	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.08	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	75.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.43	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	66.67	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.42	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	58.33	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.26	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1014-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Benjamin Lehrman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Benjamin Lehrman</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.11803		9	0.00	11.11	22.22	22.22	44.44	25.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-0.54	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.11803		9	0.00	22.22	11.11	44.44	22.22	16.67	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-0.87	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	4	1.23603		9	11.11	0.00	33.33	33.33	22.22	8.33	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	-1.17	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	4	1.39443		9	22.22	0.00	22.22	44.44	11.11	8.33	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	-1.32	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.22474		9	0.00	22.22	22.22	22.22	33.33	16.67	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	-0.87	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1014-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Briana Lynch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Briana Lynch													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	4	1.33749		10	0.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	40.00	16.67	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-0.90	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.13529		10	0.00	20.00	10.00	40.00	30.00	25.00	20.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-0.71	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.13529		10	0.00	20.00	10.00	40.00	30.00	25.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	-0.88	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.17379		10	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	30.00	25.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	-0.90	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.22927		10	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	25.00	20.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	-0.71	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1014-911

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Benjamin Lehrman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Benjamin Lehrman</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.83666		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	0.00	8.33	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-1.15	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	0.81650		6	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	0.00	8.33	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	-1.27	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.50555		6	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	33.33	16.67	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	-1.04	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.37840		6	16.67	0.00	16.67	50.00	16.67	16.67	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	-1.01	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.04881		6	0.00	16.67	33.33	33.33	16.67	8.33	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	-1.06	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1014-912

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Daniel Tripp

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Daniel Tripp													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	58.33	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.27	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	83.33	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.53	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	41.67	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.15	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.26	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.29	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1014-913

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joel McAuliffe

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joel McAuliffe													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	-0.39	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.13	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	58.33	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.24	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	33.33	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.08	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	41.67	45.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.10	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1014-914

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Casey Griffin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Casey Griffin													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.68	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.73	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.54	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	58.33	70.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.40	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.44	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443	0.34	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	52.94	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.51658	-0.37	5	20.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	23.53	22.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.55	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	79.41	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	38.24	40.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443	0.01	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	38.46	37.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 1313-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.60093		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	66.67	22.22	38.24	40.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.05	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.83333		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	66.67	11.11	32.35	31.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.22	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	62.50	12.50	26.47	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.13	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	47.06	51.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097	0.30	344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	43.59	47.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.28	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2011-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Blumberg

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
William Blumberg													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.44	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.49	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.54	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.62	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.44	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elinor Martin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	73.53	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.73	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	73.53	79.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.69	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.36	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Elinor Martin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	64.10	60.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.42	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2021-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Daniel Cornish

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Daniel Cornish</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	83.33	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.51	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	66.67	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.39	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	66.67	70.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.37	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.46	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	91.67	95.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.51	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2021-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Daniel Cornish

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Daniel Cornish													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	50.00	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.41414	5	0.82078		99	0.00	5.05	6.06	31.31	57.58		
	COLLEGE	4.44156	5	0.82082	0.22	231	0.87	3.03	6.93	29.44	59.74		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	58.33	70.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.40404	5	0.80710		99	0.00	5.05	5.05	34.34	55.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38961	5	0.83128	0.28	231	0.43	4.33	6.93	32.47	55.84		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	58.33	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.53535	5	0.84893		99	2.02	2.02	5.05	22.22	68.69		
	COLLEGE	4.54310	5	0.84661	0.24	232	1.72	2.59	5.17	20.69	69.83		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	83.33	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.44444	5	0.93919		99	3.03	2.02	7.07	23.23	64.65		
	COLLEGE	4.43103	5	0.91854	0.48	232	2.16	3.02	7.76	23.71	63.36		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	50.00	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.41837	5	0.84857		98	0.00	5.10	8.16	26.53	60.20		
	COLLEGE	4.41558	5	0.86503	0.24	231	0.87	4.33	6.93	28.14	59.74		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2023-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 43

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology II

Course Level: All

Instructors: Cameron Homeyer / Daniel Phoenix

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.45774		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.67	73.33	64.71	78.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.66	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	67.65	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.62	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	40.00	53.33	58.82	54.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.42	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	33.33	60.00	44.12	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.28	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.59362		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	13.33	80.00	53.85	54.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.35	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		
Daniel Phoenix													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.45774		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.67	73.33	53.85	54.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.35	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2023-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Intro to Meteorolgy II(HONORS)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.92	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	73.53	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.45	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructors: Madeline Clark / Ryann Wakefield

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.81650		16	0.00	6.25	0.00	31.25	62.50	58.82	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.43	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.50000		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	61.77	68.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.54	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.62915		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	43.75	50.00	55.88	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.40	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44721		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	61.77	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.50	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Madeline Clark													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.93750	5	0.25000		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	93.75	76.92	73.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.56	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		
Ryann Wakefield													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.34157		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	71.80	70.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.49	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2603-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Instructor: John Snow

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	52.94	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.34	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	79.41	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.72	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	73.53	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.45	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	67.65	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.58	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
John Snow													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	69.23	66.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.45	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2603-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 71

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Dylan Lusk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.59409		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	55.56	38.89	47.06	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.27	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51450		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	52.94	63.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.43	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27778	4	0.66911		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	50.00	38.89	41.18	44.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.25	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.46089		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	72.22	55.88	63.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.47	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Dylan Lusk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.46089		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	72.22	48.72	51.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.34	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 2603-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11765	4	0.99262	0.06	17	5.88	0.00	5.88	52.94	35.29	41.18	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.52941	5	1.00733	0.45	17	5.88	0.00	0.00	23.53	70.59	55.88	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23529	5	1.03256	0.21	17	5.88	0.00	5.88	41.18	47.06	38.24	42.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.99632	0.39	17	5.88	0.00	0.00	11.76	82.35	50.00	57.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88235	5	0.48507	0.50	17	0.00	0.00	5.88	0.00	94.12	74.36	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 3011-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Broadcast Software Practicum

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Riley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.43	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	58.82	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743	0.20	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	47.06	51.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.45	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	73.53	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	35.29	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		-0.00	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61		
Shawn Riley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743	-0.15	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	30.77	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 3123-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	4	0.75955	0.02	13	0.00	7.69	0.00	69.23	23.08	35.29	38.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.59914	0.19	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	61.54	30.77	44.12	48.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	0.92681	0.20	13	0.00	7.69	7.69	38.46	46.15	35.29	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	4	0.75955	-0.17	13	0.00	0.00	23.08	46.15	30.77	32.35	27.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.96077	-0.01	13	0.00	7.69	7.69	23.08	61.54	35.90	34.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 3223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Cloud Physics-Electric/Optics

Course Level: All

Instructors: Guifu Zhang / Daniel Tripp

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.41667	4	1.24011	-0.63	12	8.33	16.67	16.67	41.67	16.67	14.71	11.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.83333	3	1.40346	-1.05	12	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	5.88	5.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.07692	4	1.32045	-0.87	13	15.38	23.08	7.69	46.15	7.69	11.77	10.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.38462	4	1.26085	-0.86	13	7.69	23.08	7.69	46.15	15.38	11.77	10.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.61538	4	1.19293	-0.79	13	0.00	23.08	23.08	23.08	30.77	10.26	8.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		
Daniel Tripp													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711	-0.15	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	30.77	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 3890-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Meteorology Internship

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-1.04	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	11.77	10.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.91	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	8.82	9.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.94	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-1.25	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	5.13	5.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-1.42	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4323-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Weather Simulation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	0.57735	-0.71	3	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00	11.77	10.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.57735	-0.31	3	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	29.41	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000	-0.01	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	32.35	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	26.47	23.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000	-0.40	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	23.08	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4403-001 / METR 5403-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Severe Thunderstorm Forecast

Course Level: All

Instructors: Matthew Flournoy / Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	61.77	77.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.64	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	50.00	56.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.36	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	76.47	71.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.52	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	70.59	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.60	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Matthew Flournoy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.64317		5	20.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	15.39	13.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.60	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4433-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 43

Section Title: Mesoscale Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructors: Steven Koch / Noah Brauer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.03333	4	0.85029		30	0.00	6.67	13.33	50.00	30.00	32.35	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.02	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.88668		30	0.00	13.33	10.00	60.00	16.67	35.29	32.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.20	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.99655		30	0.00	13.33	20.00	40.00	26.67	23.53	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.20	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.03448	4	0.90565		29	0.00	6.90	17.24	41.38	34.48	29.41	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.22	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Steven Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.23333	4	0.81720		30	0.00	3.33	13.33	40.00	43.33	25.64	26.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.16	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		
Noah Brauer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.79310	5	0.55929		29	0.00	0.00	6.90	6.90	86.21	61.54	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.41	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4443-001 / METR 5443-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Intro-Tropical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Naoko Sakaeda

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000	0.19	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	44.12	44.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650	-0.02	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	41.18	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.45	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	73.53	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	41.18	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Naoko Sakaeda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.37	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	58.97	56.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4543-001 / METR 5543-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Global Climate Change

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Richman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.92	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4553-001 / METR 5553-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Climate and Renewable Energy

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.97183		9	0.00	11.11	22.22	44.44	22.22	20.59	22.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-0.28	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.11111	4	1.36423		9	11.11	33.33	0.00	44.44	11.11	14.71	11.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-0.81	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.32288		9	22.22	0.00	44.44	22.22	11.11	8.82	9.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-0.94	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.97183		9	0.00	11.11	22.22	44.44	22.22	20.59	19.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-0.47	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.11803		9	0.00	11.11	22.22	22.22	44.44	23.08	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-0.40	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4624-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Radar Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Biggerstaff

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.92	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	64.71	70.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.58	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	47.06	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.30	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
<i>Michael Biggerstaff</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	43.59	47.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.28	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4633-001 / METR 5633-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.92	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	73.53	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.45	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4743-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Apps of Weather Forecasting

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kevin Kloesel

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404	0.73	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	73.53	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404	0.69	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	73.53	79.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.86667	5	0.35187	0.79	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	86.67	88.24	88.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.74	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Kevin Kloesel													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.62	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 4922-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 46

Section Title: Senior Seminar II (Capstone)

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Parsons

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.82353	4	0.95101	-0.23	17	5.88	0.00	17.65	58.82	17.65	23.53	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.35294	4	1.05719	-0.59	17	5.88	11.76	35.29	35.29	11.76	20.59	15.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.58824	4	1.00367	-0.39	17	0.00	17.65	23.53	41.18	17.65	20.59	19.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.58824	4	1.00367		17	5.88	5.88	23.53	52.94	11.76	14.71	11.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.82353	4	1.23669	-0.58	17	11.76	0.00	11.76	47.06	29.41	17.95	15.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 5103-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Boundary Layer Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Evgeni Fedorovich

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.76	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	76.47	84.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.72	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	79.41	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640	0.61	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	82.35	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.58	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	67.65	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Evgeni Fedorovich													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.45	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	69.23	66.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 5330-995 / METR 4330-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Information Technology Skills

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000	-0.06	3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	29.41	33.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.52753	-0.31	3	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	29.41	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470	0.30	3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	47.06	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	26.47	23.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470	-0.06	3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	33.33	32.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 5413-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Advanced Synoptic Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.57143	2	1.71825		7	28.57	42.86	0.00	0.00	28.57	2.94	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	-1.46	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.28571	2	1.60357		7	42.86	28.57	0.00	14.29	14.29	2.94	3.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	-1.54	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.42857	3	1.61835		7	42.86	14.29	14.29	14.29	14.29	2.94	3.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	-1.47	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.71429	2	1.60357		7	14.29	57.14	0.00	0.00	28.57	2.94	5.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	-1.53	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.71429	3	1.70434		7	28.57	28.57	14.29	0.00	28.57	2.56	3.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	-1.71	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 5433-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Adv. Statistical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jason Furtado

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	79.41	88.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.78	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	82.35	85.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.74	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	52.94	51.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.39	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	52.94	61.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.46	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Jason Furtado													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	46.15	48.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.33	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 5803-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Polar Meteorology

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.92	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 6313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Adv Data Assim Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.92	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 6970-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: BUL Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877	0.92	1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476	0.87	1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564	0.92	1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484	0.74	1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833	0.62	1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 6970-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Convective Metr Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Louis Wicker

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.66667	0.71	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	88.89	67.65	79.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.88192	0.48	9	0.00	0.00	22.22	0.00	77.78	58.82	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.66667	0.71	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	88.89	85.29	85.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Louis Wicker													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.62	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2018

Course: METR 6970-005

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Weather and Climate Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650	-0.06	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	29.41	33.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.02624	4	1.05515		343	2.92	7.87	13.12	35.86	40.23		
	COLLEGE	4.05811	4	1.01877		1,067	2.91	6.28	12.84	38.05	39.93		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650	-0.02	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	41.18	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.91813	4	1.16612		342	5.56	8.77	12.57	34.50	38.60		
	COLLEGE	4.01968	4	1.12476		1,067	4.12	8.72	11.34	32.71	43.11		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650	-0.01	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	32.35	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.93605	4	1.06985		344	3.78	7.27	15.99	37.50	35.47		
	COLLEGE	4.01215	4	1.07564		1,070	3.83	6.54	14.58	34.67	40.37		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.50	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	61.77	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.17442	5	1.02097		344	1.74	6.98	13.37	27.91	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25140	5	1.00484		1,070	1.96	5.70	12.15	25.61	54.58		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.37	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	58.97	56.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.35971	5	0.99281		417	2.40	4.32	10.31	20.86	62.11		
	COLLEGE	4.39187	5	0.97833		1,156	2.42	4.15	8.91	20.85	63.67		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A