

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: AGSC 3011-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Career Planning & Development

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kari Snider

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.96	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.68	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.69	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
<i>Kari Snider</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	5	1.70294		10	20.00	10.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	11.63	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.40	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	5	1.63639		10	20.00	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	18.61	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.39	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.49071		10	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	60.00	34.88	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.12	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	5	1.59513		10	20.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	50.00	13.95	14.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.48	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.63299		10	20.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	9.30	13.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.48	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 147

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.41538	5	0.72656	0.35	65	0.00	0.00	13.85	30.77	55.38	72.09	64.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.47692	5	0.66398	0.36	65	0.00	0.00	9.23	33.85	56.92	67.44	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43939	5	0.70446	0.33	66	0.00	0.00	12.12	31.82	56.06	67.44	60.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77273	5	0.52022	0.46	66	0.00	0.00	4.55	13.64	81.82	74.42	65.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.41448	0.42	66	0.00	0.00	1.52	13.64	84.85	67.44	60.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	83.72	76.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.51	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	93.02	84.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.63	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	76.74	68.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.39	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Swamy

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795	0.21	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	65.12	53.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795	0.18	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	48.84	48.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593	0.17	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	58.14	48.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	0.40	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	67.44	61.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Ashley Swamy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.60	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Swamy

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.78174	0.03	9	0.00	0.00	22.22	44.44	33.33	41.86	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.70711	0.22	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	53.49	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452	0.39	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	76.74	68.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333	0.59	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	90.70	80.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Ashley Swamy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000	0.24	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	48.84	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.59914	0.16	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	61.54	30.77	60.47	51.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.65044	0.27	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	58.14	56.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.65044	0.27	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	62.79	55.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553	0.54	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	86.05	76.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735	0.52	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	79.07	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	74.42	65.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.35	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	65.12	60.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.30	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	76.74	68.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.39	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	83.72	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.53	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	76.74	68.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.51	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	4	0.60768	0.32	18	0.00	0.00	5.56	50.00	44.44	69.77	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.48507	0.55	18	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.37	78.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.51131	0.44	18	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	79.07	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.57451	0.41	18	0.00	0.00	5.56	16.67	77.78	69.77	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.94444	5	0.23570	0.54	18	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.56	94.44	81.40	70.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Swamy

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.73786		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	30.00	39.54	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.02	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.84327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	62.79	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.29	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	76.74	68.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.39	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	90.00	79.07	71.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.49	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Ashley Swamy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	90.00	62.79	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.39	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-018

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Swamy

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291	0.70	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.70	85.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355	0.75	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	97.67	90.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755	0.51	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	86.05	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355	0.57	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	88.37	79.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Ashley Swamy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355	0.47	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	74.42	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 76

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.22581	4	0.84497		31	0.00	3.23	16.13	35.48	45.16	58.14	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.15	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.71842		32	0.00	3.13	3.13	34.38	59.38	76.74	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.39	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.84242		32	0.00	6.25	6.25	43.75	43.75	55.81	47.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.14	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	0.61892		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	31.25	62.50	46.51	43.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.23	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71875	5	0.58112		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	15.63	78.13	51.16	47.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.30	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Diana-Beth Derry

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	0.60093		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	66.67	11.11	23.26	22.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.20	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	34.88	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.10	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	48.84	44.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.11	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	44.19	42.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.23	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
<i>Diana-Beth Derry</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	37.21	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.12	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Diana-Beth Derry

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	95.35	89.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.79	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	95.35	88.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.71	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.89	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	65.12	57.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.35	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Diana-Beth Derry													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Diana-Beth Derry

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711	-0.09	9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	34.88	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333	0.12	9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	44.19	46.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	0.78174	-0.23	9	0.00	0.00	33.33	44.44	22.22	20.93	21.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705	0.23	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	44.19	42.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Diana-Beth Derry													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705	0.12	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	37.21	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Diana-Beth Derry

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.21499		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	14.29	57.14	44.19	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.06	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.21499		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	14.29	57.14	41.86	43.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.04	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		7	0.00	28.57	0.00	14.29	57.14	34.88	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.12	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	20.93	18.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.38	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Diana-Beth Derry													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	39.54	39.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.14	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1113-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: The Language of Maps

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667	0.15	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	55.81	48.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.11803	-0.10	9	0.00	11.11	22.22	22.22	44.44	34.88	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.30171	0.11	9	11.11	0.00	0.00	33.33	55.56	48.84	44.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.86603		9	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	20.93	18.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333	-0.24	9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	16.28	18.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 41

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laura Alvarez Rueda

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.80645	4	1.04624		31	0.00	16.13	16.13	38.71	29.03	16.28	18.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.29	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22581	4	0.66881		31	0.00	0.00	12.90	51.61	35.48	46.51	47.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.12	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.67742	4	1.07663		31	0.00	19.35	19.35	35.48	25.81	11.63	13.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.44	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25806	4	0.57548		31	0.00	0.00	6.45	61.29	32.26	32.56	28.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.10	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Laura Alvarez Rueda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45161	5	0.72290		31	0.00	0.00	12.90	29.03	58.06	27.91	29.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.01	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Braga-Henebry

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Thomas Braga-Henebry													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.45455	4	1.03573		11	0.00	18.18	36.36	27.27	18.18	10.00	20.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.68	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.36364	4	1.02691		11	0.00	27.27	18.18	45.45	9.09	10.00	20.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.65	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.72727	4	0.90453		11	0.00	0.00	54.55	18.18	27.27	10.00	20.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.52	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.36364	4	1.28629		11	9.09	18.18	18.18	36.36	18.18	10.00	20.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.68	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	0.94388		11	0.00	0.00	45.45	18.18	36.36	30.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.22	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Braga-Henebry

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Thomas Braga-Henebry													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	30.00	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.08	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	1.30247		8	0.00	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	20.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.64	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	1.24642		8	0.00	25.00	0.00	37.50	37.50	30.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.38	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	1.18773		8	0.00	25.00	12.50	37.50	25.00	30.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.44	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	1.06066		8	0.00	12.50	37.50	25.00	25.00	20.00	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.49	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 179

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hernan Moreno

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.57391	4	1.06837		115	4.35	12.17	24.35	40.00	19.13	9.30	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.53	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.93103	4	0.95757		116	2.59	3.45	23.28	39.66	31.03	25.58	27.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.17	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.82759	4	0.98930		116	1.72	7.76	25.00	37.07	28.45	18.61	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.29	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.89565	4	1.04608		115	4.35	4.35	20.87	38.26	32.17	11.63	13.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.49	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Hernan Moreno													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28696	5	1.04935		115	4.35	2.61	10.43	25.22	57.39	25.58	24.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.17	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Caroline Pavlowsky													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.87706		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	23.08	69.23	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.36	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	1.10940		13	0.00	15.38	0.00	23.08	61.54	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.22	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	1.19829		13	7.69	0.00	7.69	7.69	76.92	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.19	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	1.31559		13	7.69	7.69	0.00	15.38	69.23	40.00	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.16	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	1.31559		13	7.69	7.69	0.00	15.38	69.23	40.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.16	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 37

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Caroline Pavlowsky													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.76795		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	30.77	53.85	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.21	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	38.46	53.85	70.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.36	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	0.92681		13	0.00	7.69	7.69	38.46	46.15	40.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.04	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.88763		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	41.67	50.00	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.18	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.15	53.85	70.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.38	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joshua Hatzis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.77625		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	60.00	70.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.28	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	0.85485		13	0.00	0.00	23.08	23.08	53.85	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.22	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	38.46	53.85	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.19	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	38.46	53.85	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.30	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	30.77	61.54	70.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.38	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joshua Hatzis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	90.00	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.54	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	90.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.52	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	90.00	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.62	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	90.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.61	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	95.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.74	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-025

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kelsey Warren-Bryant

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Kelsey Warren-Bryant</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	80.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.48	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	80.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.45	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	80.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.49	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	80.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.38	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	80.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.40	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-026

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kelsey Warren-Bryant

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Kelsey Warren-Bryant</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	95.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.64	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	95.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.63	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.71	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	100.00	95.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.70	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.79296		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	8.33	75.00	90.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.43	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-030

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 43

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Virginia Silvis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.05000	3	1.23438	-1.08	20	15.00	10.00	45.00	15.00	15.00	2.33	2.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.35000	4	1.34849	-0.73	20	10.00	20.00	20.00	25.00	25.00	9.30	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	3	1.28145	-0.92	20	5.00	35.00	15.00	25.00	20.00	2.33	5.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	1.27321	-1.03	20	5.00	25.00	20.00	25.00	25.00	2.33	4.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Virginia Silvis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	1.35336	-1.13	20	5.00	30.00	15.00	20.00	30.00	2.33	4.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-031

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Ciarlante

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Ashley Ciarlante</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.58333	4	1.16450		12	0.00	25.00	16.67	33.33	25.00	20.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.56	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.58333	4	1.24011		12	8.33	8.33	25.00	33.33	25.00	30.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.45	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.19342		12	0.00	16.67	25.00	16.67	41.67	20.00	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.42	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.41667	4	1.31137		12	8.33	16.67	25.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.63	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	3	1.08711		12	0.00	16.67	41.67	16.67	25.00	10.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.61	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-032

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Ciarlante

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Ashley Ciarlante</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	1.12090		13	0.00	15.38	0.00	15.38	69.23	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.33043	5	0.91500		115	0.00	6.96	9.57	26.96	56.52		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.21	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	1.31559		13	7.69	7.69	0.00	15.38	69.23	60.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.19130	5	1.03361		115	1.74	8.70	8.70	30.43	50.43		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.22	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.59914		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	7.69	84.62	70.00	79.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.41739	5	0.91750		115	0.87	4.35	11.30	19.13	64.35		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.49	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	1.33012		13	7.69	7.69	0.00	0.00	84.62	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	5	1.10158		114	3.51	7.89	6.14	25.44	57.02		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.30	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	1.05003		13	0.00	7.69	15.38	0.00	76.92	50.00	70.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.31304	5	0.94929		115	0.87	4.35	15.65	20.87	58.26		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.31	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1123-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Introduction to Geohumanities

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nicholas Bauch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	93.02	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.75	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	86.05	76.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.48	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	95.35	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.69	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	58.14	51.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.27	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Nicholas Bauch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	62.79	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.39	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 1203-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 71

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.10335	-0.09	24	0.00	16.67	8.33	33.33	41.67	34.88	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.04167	4	1.19707	-0.06	24	4.17	12.50	4.17	33.33	45.83	37.21	39.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	1.09014	0.05	24	4.17	8.33	0.00	41.67	45.83	39.54	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20833	4	0.88363	-0.15	24	0.00	4.17	16.67	33.33	45.83	27.91	26.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.03209	-0.21	24	0.00	8.33	16.67	16.67	58.33	18.61	20.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 2603-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.82353	4	1.13111		17	0.00	17.65	17.65	29.41	35.29	18.61	19.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.27	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.47059	4	1.62472		17	17.65	17.65	5.88	17.65	41.18	13.95	15.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.62	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.29412	3	1.26317		17	11.76	5.88	47.06	11.76	23.53	4.65	6.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.83	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.52941	4	1.41940		17	11.76	11.76	23.53	17.65	35.29	4.65	5.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.89	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.76471	4	1.34766		17	5.88	17.65	11.76	23.53	41.18	6.98	9.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.73	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 3023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Principles of Phys Geog

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laura Alvarez Rueda

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.64365	0.21	21	0.00	0.00	9.52	52.38	38.10	65.12	53.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.59761	0.46	21	0.00	0.00	4.76	33.33	61.90	81.40	73.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09524	4	0.88909	-0.02	21	0.00	9.52	4.76	52.38	33.33	37.21	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.59761	0.24	21	0.00	0.00	4.76	33.33	61.90	48.84	44.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Laura Alvarez Rueda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735	0.24	21	0.00	0.00	4.76	23.81	71.43	48.84	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 3223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Brit Emp & Successor (HONORS)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666	0.12	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	53.49	45.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	0.83666	-0.88	5	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	0.00	6.98	10.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711	-0.12	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	34.88	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443	0.27	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	58.14	51.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.39	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	62.79	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 3243-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Principles-Economic Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	53.49	45.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.12	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	51.16	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.19	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	44.19	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.09	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	37.21	34.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.06	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	62.79	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.39	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 3443-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nicholas Bauch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.22474		17	5.88	5.88	17.65	23.53	47.06	34.88	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.09	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11765	5	1.21873		17	5.88	5.88	11.76	23.53	52.94	39.54	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.01	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	5	1.04670		17	5.88	0.00	5.88	35.29	52.94	60.47	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.18	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	5	1.04670		17	5.88	0.00	5.88	35.29	52.94	34.88	29.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.06	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Nicholas Bauch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52941	5	1.00733		17	0.00	11.76	0.00	11.76	76.47	32.56	34.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.09	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 3443-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Randy Pepler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.84211	5	0.37463		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.79	84.21	97.67	90.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.80	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.95000	5	0.22361		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	95.00	100.00	93.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.82	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.30779		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	97.67	92.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.79	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.95000	5	0.22361		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	95.00	95.35	82.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.65	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Randy Pepler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 3443-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.20712	-0.30	15	6.67	6.67	20.00	33.33	33.33	13.95	17.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	5	1.22280	-0.17	15	0.00	20.00	13.33	20.00	46.67	27.91	28.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.73333	4	1.27988	-0.38	15	6.67	13.33	13.33	33.33	33.33	13.95	17.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	5	1.35576	-0.23	15	6.67	13.33	0.00	20.00	60.00	23.26	21.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.26667	5	1.33452	-0.19	15	6.67	6.67	13.33	0.00	73.33	20.93	21.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 3773-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 62

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.04348	4	1.02151		23	4.35	4.35	8.70	47.83	34.78	37.21	32.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.04	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.34783	5	1.02730		23	0.00	13.04	0.00	26.09	60.87	55.81	55.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.24	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43478	5	0.89575		23	4.35	0.00	0.00	39.13	56.52	65.12	57.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.32	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60870	5	0.72232		23	0.00	4.35	0.00	26.09	69.57	60.47	52.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.28	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.55048		22	0.00	0.00	4.55	18.18	77.27	53.49	49.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.31	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 3843-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Gender and Environment

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52223		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	81.40	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.44	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		12	0.00	8.33	8.33	8.33	75.00	76.74	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.39	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	81.40	71.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.47	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.88763		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	8.33	83.33	65.12	57.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.35	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	67.44	60.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.42	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 3924-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Quantitative Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		13	0.00	7.69	7.69	61.54	23.08	34.88	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.09	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		13	0.00	0.00	23.08	53.85	23.08	34.88	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.10	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	1.03775		13	0.00	15.38	7.69	46.15	30.77	23.26	22.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.19	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	30.77	61.54	39.54	39.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.21	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	69.77	61.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.44	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 4293-001 / GEOG 5293-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Hydrologic Science

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hernan Moreno

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.46154	4	0.77625	-0.65	13	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	0.00	6.98	9.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.15385	4	1.06819	-0.93	13	7.69	23.08	15.38	53.85	0.00	2.33	5.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.61538	4	0.50637	-0.50	13	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	0.00	9.30	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	0.92681	-0.63	13	0.00	15.38	7.69	61.54	15.38	9.30	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Hernan Moreno													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	4	0.95407	-0.40	13	0.00	7.69	15.38	38.46	38.46	11.63	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 4513-001 / GEOG 5513-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Applied Climatology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721	0.12	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	53.49	45.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772	0.29	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	62.79	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.49	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	83.72	73.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.27	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	58.14	51.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.17	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	44.19	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 4523-001 / GEOG 5523-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Life Cycle Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.66299		14	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	14.29	20.93	21.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.24	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.35714	4	1.44686		14	14.29	21.43	0.00	42.86	21.43	11.63	13.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.73	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	4	1.07161		14	7.14	0.00	14.29	50.00	28.57	25.58	23.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.19	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	4	1.20667		14	0.00	21.43	7.14	28.57	42.86	16.28	15.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.45	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.82542		14	0.00	0.00	21.43	28.57	50.00	23.26	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.17	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 4853-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: The Geography of Africa

Course Level: All

Instructor: Aondover Tarhule

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	5	1.81659		5	20.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	4.65	6.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.72	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	1.64317		5	20.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	6.98	10.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.88	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	5	1.67332		5	20.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	6.98	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.52	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	5	1.67332		5	20.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	6.98	7.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.81	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Aondover Tarhule													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	5	1.67332		5	20.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	4.65	6.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.91	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 4943-001 / GEOG 5943-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Natural Hazards

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Shafer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	88.37	81.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.65	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	90.70	79.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.58	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	88.37	79.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.59	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	93.02	81.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.60	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Mark Shafer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 4953-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Capstone

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	92.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.81	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	81.40	73.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.46	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	90.70	80.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.60	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	83.72	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.53	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	72.09	63.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.45	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 4953-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Capstone

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.44	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	81.40	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.39	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	76.74	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.64	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	93.02	82.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.44	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	72.09	64.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.60	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 4953-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Capstone

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.23091	0.26	12	8.33	0.00	8.33	16.67	66.67	67.44	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	5	1.31137	-0.18	12	8.33	0.00	33.33	8.33	50.00	23.26	26.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.28806	0.14	12	8.33	0.00	16.67	8.33	66.67	55.81	47.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.13818	-0.11	12	8.33	0.00	0.00	41.67	50.00	30.23	27.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.16775	0.06	12	8.33	0.00	0.00	16.67	75.00	30.23	32.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 5243-001 / GEOG 4970-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Systems Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	86.05	78.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.54	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	0.89443		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	0.00	16.28	18.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.49	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	16.28	19.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.32	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	25.58	23.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.16	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	13.95	17.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.26	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 5253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Economics of Sustainability

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721	0.12	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	53.49	45.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.48	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	86.05	76.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711	-0.12	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	34.88	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.49	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	79.07	71.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.60	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 5970-001 / GEOG 4970-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Integrated Env Modelling

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Koch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.44	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	81.40	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.39	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	76.74	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743	0.14	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	55.81	47.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.71	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.60	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GEOG 6953-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Research Methods in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711	-0.09	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	34.88	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.05556	4	0.97868		666	2.25	5.71	14.56	39.19	38.29		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.09545	-0.30	5	0.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	20.93	23.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.17015	4	0.99821		670	2.54	5.22	11.79	33.58	46.87		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721	0.09	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	44.19	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.13433	4	0.97342		670	2.09	5.37	12.84	36.42	43.28		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.27	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	58.14	51.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.35725	5	0.92667		669	2.09	3.29	8.97	28.10	57.55		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.17	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	44.19	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.53513	5	0.87070		669	1.79	2.69	6.58	18.09	70.85		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GIS 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Koch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.31818	4	0.47673	0.25	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	68.18	31.82	42.86	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.33735	4	0.66767		83	0.00	1.20	7.23	48.19	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13636	4	0.77432	0.03	22	0.00	4.55	9.09	54.55	31.82	28.57	42.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.79189		83	0.00	3.61	8.43	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	4	0.50965		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.55	45.45	57.14	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.54217	5	0.61090		83	0.00	1.20	2.41	37.35	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.59091	5	0.50324		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.91	59.09	42.86	45.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.63855	5	0.57548		83	0.00	0.00	4.82	26.51	68.67		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.45584	0.31	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	42.86	49.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.53259		82	0.00	0.00	3.66	21.95	74.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GIS 2023-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.55	45.45	71.43	67.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.33735	4	0.66767		83	0.00	1.20	7.23	48.19	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.39	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.92442		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	9.09	81.82	71.43	77.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.79189		83	0.00	3.61	8.43	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.52	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	71.43	81.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.54217	5	0.61090		83	0.00	1.20	2.41	37.35	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.62	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.63855	5	0.57548		83	0.00	0.00	4.82	26.51	68.67		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.53259		82	0.00	0.00	3.66	21.95	74.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.73786	-0.19	10	0.00	0.00	30.00	50.00	20.00	14.29	23.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.33735	4	0.66767		83	0.00	1.20	7.23	48.19	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246	0.09	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	42.86	45.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.79189		83	0.00	3.61	8.43	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495	0.19	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	14.29	51.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.54217	5	0.61090		83	0.00	1.20	2.41	37.35	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246	-0.16	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	14.29	23.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.63855	5	0.57548		83	0.00	0.00	4.82	26.51	68.67		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246	-0.26	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	14.29	17.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.53259		82	0.00	0.00	3.66	21.95	74.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GIS 4013-011 / GIS 5013-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nathan Sleight

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Nathan Sleight</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.36364	5	0.92442		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	36.36	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.48	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.55	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.39	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.63	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.51	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nathan Sleight

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Nathan Sleight													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.22474		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36364	5	0.92442		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	36.36	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.16	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	50.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.31	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	50.00	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.13	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.07	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.25	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GIS 4233-001 / GIS 5233-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Digital Image Processing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	85.71	79.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.33735	4	0.66767		83	0.00	1.20	7.23	48.19	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.57	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	85.71	84.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.79189		83	0.00	3.61	8.43	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.63	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	85.71	89.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.54217	5	0.61090		83	0.00	1.20	2.41	37.35	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.76	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	85.71	79.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.63855	5	0.57548		83	0.00	0.00	4.82	26.51	68.67		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.57	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	57.14	63.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.53259		82	0.00	0.00	3.66	21.95	74.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.45	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GIS 4253-001 / GIS 5253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: GIS Applications

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.71880		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	57.14	61.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.33735	4	0.66767		83	0.00	1.20	7.23	48.19	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.31	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.72744		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	31.25	56.25	57.14	61.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.79189		83	0.00	3.61	8.43	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.33	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.61914		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	50.00	43.75	28.57	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.54217	5	0.61090		83	0.00	1.20	2.41	37.35	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.26	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.73030		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	28.57	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.63855	5	0.57548		83	0.00	0.00	4.82	26.51	68.67		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.17	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
<i>Rebecca Loraamm</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.73030		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	28.57	32.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.53259		82	0.00	0.00	3.66	21.95	74.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.06	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GIS 4453-001 / GIS 5453-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Adv GIS & Spatial Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	100.00	82.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.33735	4	0.66767		83	0.00	1.20	7.23	48.19	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.66	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	89.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.79189		83	0.00	3.61	8.43	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.73	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54217	5	0.61090		83	0.00	1.20	2.41	37.35	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.89	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	57.14	61.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.63855	5	0.57548		83	0.00	0.00	4.82	26.51	68.67		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.40	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.53259		82	0.00	0.00	3.66	21.95	74.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: GIS 4733-001 / PBIO 4733-001 / PBIO 5733-001 / GIS 5733-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Environmental Remote Sensing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xiangming Xiao

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.05409	0.03	9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	28.57	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.33735	4	0.66767		83	0.00	1.20	7.23	48.19	43.37		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.11803	-0.10	9	0.00	11.11	22.22	22.22	44.44	14.29	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.79189		83	0.00	3.61	8.43	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	1.01379	0.33	9	0.00	11.11	0.00	22.22	66.67	42.86	61.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.54217	5	0.61090		83	0.00	1.20	2.41	37.35	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	88.89	71.43	67.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.63855	5	0.57548		83	0.00	0.00	4.82	26.51	68.67		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Xiangming Xiao													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333	0.48	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	71.43	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.53259		82	0.00	0.00	3.66	21.95	74.39		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 119

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Irena Lodangco / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.73529	4	0.99419	-0.36	34	0.00	14.71	20.59	41.18	23.53	18.92	13.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.52941	4	1.13445	-0.56	34	2.94	17.65	26.47	29.41	23.53	24.32	17.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.44118	4	1.07847	-0.68	34	2.94	20.59	20.59	41.18	14.71	16.22	9.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.79412	4	1.09488	-0.60	34	2.94	11.76	17.65	38.24	29.41	18.92	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.25926	3	1.45688	-1.28	27	22.22	0.00	33.33	18.52	25.93	7.50	3.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Matthew Flournoy / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Matthew Flournoy													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.50555		6	16.67	0.00	50.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.80	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.50555		6	16.67	0.00	50.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.68	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.32916		6	16.67	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-1.06	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.32916		6	16.67	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.85	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.32916		6	16.67	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	8.33	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.93	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Morris

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Matthew Morris													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	91.67	91.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.54	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.92442		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	36.36	54.55	91.67	70.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.27	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	75.00	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.18	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	0.90453		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	45.45	45.45	75.00	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.13	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	5	1.07872		11	0.00	9.09	18.18	18.18	54.55	91.67	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.04	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Werner Cook / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Werner Cook													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	75.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.16	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	41.67	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.52	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	41.67	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.50	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	41.67	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.55	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	3	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	25.00	41.67	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.61	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jonathan Labriola / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jonathan Labriola													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.50000		9	22.22	0.00	22.22	33.33	22.22	33.33	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.80	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	4	1.39443		9	22.22	0.00	22.22	44.44	11.11	16.67	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.78	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.50000		9	22.22	0.00	22.22	33.33	22.22	33.33	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.90	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.50000		9	22.22	0.00	22.22	33.33	22.22	33.33	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.70	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	4	1.58990		9	22.22	0.00	22.22	22.22	33.33	33.33	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.66	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Madeline Clark / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Madeline Clark</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	2.06155		4	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	8.33	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.88	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	2.06155		4	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.75	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	2.06155		4	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.98	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	2.06155		4	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.78	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	2.06155		4	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.85	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-910

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 85

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Irena Lodangco / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.56000	4	0.96090	-0.55	25	4.00	12.00	16.00	60.00	8.00	16.22	10.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.19024	-0.30	25	8.00	4.00	20.00	36.00	32.00	32.43	23.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.72000	4	0.93630	-0.40	25	4.00	4.00	24.00	52.00	16.00	24.32	15.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	4	0.71728	-0.29	24	0.00	4.17	8.33	62.50	25.00	21.62	19.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.46154	4	1.19829	-1.06	13	7.69	7.69	38.46	23.08	23.08	10.00	5.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-911

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructors: James Danco / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>James Danco</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00	33.33	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.80	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00	8.33	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.98	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00	8.33	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-1.22	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00	8.33	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-1.00	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00	25.00	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.77	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-912

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructors: Ryann Wakefield / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Ryann Wakefield</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.38873		8	12.50	0.00	25.00	25.00	37.50	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.40	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	5	1.45774		8	12.50	0.00	25.00	12.50	50.00	58.33	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.18	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	5	1.45774		8	12.50	0.00	25.00	12.50	50.00	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.38	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	5	1.45774		8	12.50	0.00	25.00	12.50	50.00	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.22	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.38873		8	12.50	0.00	25.00	25.00	37.50	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.37	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-913

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructors: James Danco / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>James Danco</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	75.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.16	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	83.33	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.07	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	66.67	45.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.12	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	66.67	45.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.11	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	83.33	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.13	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1014-914

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructors: Madeline Clark / Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Madeline Clark													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.80	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	0.86	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.71	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.77	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	0.82	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.05409		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	35.14	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.03	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.20185		9	0.00	22.22	11.11	33.33	33.33	29.73	21.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.32	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	48.65	44.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.11	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	35.14	32.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.02	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	42.50	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.12	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 1313-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.32288	-0.79	9	11.11	22.22	0.00	55.56	11.11	10.81	5.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	1.39841	-0.88	10	20.00	10.00	10.00	50.00	10.00	16.22	10.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.50555	-0.52	10	10.00	20.00	10.00	20.00	40.00	18.92	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	5	1.28668	-0.27	10	0.00	20.00	10.00	10.00	60.00	24.32	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	5	1.37032	-0.59	10	10.00	0.00	30.00	10.00	50.00	20.00	12.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 2011-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructors: Petra Klein / David Parsons

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Petra Klein</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	83.33	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	0.16	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	75.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.06	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	83.33	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.39	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	83.33	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.18	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	83.33	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.13	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 2013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	70.27	76.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.51	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	72.97	80.68
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.59	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	56.76	56.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.32	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	70.27	77.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.55	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 2021-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Instructors: Zackery Zounes / David Parsons

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Zackery Zounes</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	75.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.16	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	75.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.06	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	58.33	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	-0.26	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	66.67	45.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	-0.11	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	83.33	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.13	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 2021-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructors: Zackery Zounes / David Parsons

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Zackery Zounes</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	1.18773		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	62.50	12.50	41.67	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.20196		65	9.23	1.54	20.00	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.16754	4	1.04287	-0.52	191	3.14	5.24	12.57	29.84	49.21		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	75.00	12.50	58.33	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.76923	4	1.18281		65	7.69	6.15	18.46	36.92	30.77		
	COLLEGE	4.06806	4	1.08607	-0.18	191	3.66	7.33	11.52	33.51	43.98		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	91.67	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.95385	4	1.21746		65	7.69	3.08	20.00	24.62	44.62		
	COLLEGE	4.26702	5	1.03462	0.59	191	3.14	3.66	13.61	22.51	57.07		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	91.67	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.84615	4	1.18889		65	7.69	4.62	18.46	33.85	35.38		
	COLLEGE	4.12632	5	1.12914	0.44	190	4.74	6.32	10.53	28.42	50.00		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	83.33	54.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.75385	4	1.17301		65	7.69	3.08	27.69	29.23	32.31		
	COLLEGE	4.13613	4	1.04745	-0.13	191	3.14	3.66	18.85	25.13	49.21		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 2023-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology II

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Parsons

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.44096	0.15	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	77.78	22.22	45.95	48.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.11111	3	0.78174	-0.97	9	0.00	22.22	44.44	33.33	0.00	8.11	3.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.33333	-0.00	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	88.89	11.11	43.24	36.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648	0.10	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	37.84	35.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705	0.12	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	42.50	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 60

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jonathan Edwards-Opperman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.45833	4	1.25036	-0.65	24	8.33	16.67	16.67	37.50	20.83	13.51	7.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.37261	-0.91	24	12.50	25.00	16.67	25.00	20.83	13.51	6.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.37261	-0.79	24	16.67	8.33	20.83	33.33	20.83	13.51	7.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	1.24455	-1.05	24	8.33	16.67	25.00	29.17	20.83	8.11	3.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Jonathan Edwards-Opperman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.65217	4	1.19121	-0.85	23	4.35	13.04	26.09	26.09	30.43	12.50	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 2603-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Severe/Unusual Wthr (HONORS)

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Snow

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	32.43	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.09	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	67.57	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.39	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	62.16	68.18
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.39	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	40.54	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.17	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
John Snow													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	37.50	32.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.06	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 2603-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Dylan Lusk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	70.27	76.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.51	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75955		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	64.29	67.57	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.39	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	70.27	76.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.53	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	62.16	68.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.47	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Dylan Lusk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.57893		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	85.71	52.50	51.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.37	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 3123-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 37

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Samuel Lillo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.28000	3	0.93630		25	0.00	24.00	32.00	36.00	8.00	5.41	3.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.84	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.68000	4	0.90000		25	0.00	12.00	24.00	48.00	16.00	27.03	19.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.41	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.91667	3	1.17646		24	16.67	20.83	16.67	45.83	0.00	5.41	2.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-1.21	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.24000	4	1.01160		25	4.00	24.00	20.00	48.00	4.00	5.41	2.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-1.20	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Samuel Lillo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.96000	3	0.93452		25	4.00	28.00	40.00	24.00	4.00	5.00	2.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-1.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 3223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Cloud Physics-Electric/Optics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guifu Zhang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.67612	0.12	15	0.00	0.00	13.33	53.33	33.33	40.54	45.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	0.83381	-0.23	15	0.00	6.67	20.00	53.33	20.00	35.14	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	4	0.70373	-0.05	15	0.00	0.00	20.00	53.33	26.67	37.84	32.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.51640	0.20	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.67	53.33	43.24	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.48795	0.24	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	47.50	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 3440-018

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Mentored Research Experience

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.70	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	78.38	85.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.63	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	75.68	84.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735	0.56	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.68	78.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.44	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	59.46	64.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.60	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4323-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Weather Simulation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	37.84	39.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.09	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	48.65	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.10	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	35.14	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.12	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	54.05	57.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385	0.35	351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	57.50	60.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.42	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4330-995 / METR 5330-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Information Technology Skills

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735	0.26	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	54.05	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735	0.22	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	59.46	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	54.05	57.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.60	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4403-001 / METR 5403-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Severe Thunderstorm Forecast

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	86.49	93.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.87	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.87386		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	54.55	36.36	51.35	44.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.08	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	64.87	69.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.43	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	0.00	90.91	67.57	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.51	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	45.00	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.17	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4433-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 45

Section Title: Mesoscale Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kelvin Droegemeier

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.81650	-0.26	24	0.00	8.33	16.67	58.33	16.67	27.03	20.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.41667	4	0.97431	-0.67	24	0.00	20.83	29.17	37.50	12.50	21.62	14.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.70833	4	0.90790	-0.41	24	0.00	16.67	8.33	62.50	12.50	21.62	14.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20833	4	0.72106	-0.15	24	0.00	0.00	16.67	45.83	37.50	27.03	26.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Kelvin Droegemeier													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.29167	4	0.75060	-0.16	24	0.00	0.00	16.67	37.50	45.83	27.50	25.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4443-001 / METR 5443-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Intro-Tropical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lance Leslie

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	33.33	55.56	11.11	21.62	14.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.32	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.32288		9	11.11	33.33	11.11	33.33	11.11	5.41	2.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-1.07	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.86603		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	66.67	22.22	35.14	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.12	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	33.33	33.33	22.22	13.51	9.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.74	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Lance Leslie													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.97183		9	0.00	11.11	22.22	44.44	22.22	17.50	10.99
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.72	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4491-001 / METR 5491-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Weather Briefing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.96	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.87	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.89	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4543-001 / METR 5543-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Global Climate Change

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Richman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000	0.61	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.68	80.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648	0.44	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	70.27	71.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000	0.56	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.68	78.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000	0.35	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	54.05	57.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096	0.36	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	50.00	50.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4553-001 / METR 5553-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Climate and Renewable Energy

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.30769	4	0.85485		13	0.00	23.08	23.08	53.85	0.00	8.11	4.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.81	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.15385	4	1.14354		13	7.69	23.08	23.08	38.46	7.69	10.81	5.68
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.93	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.07692	4	1.03775		13	7.69	23.08	23.08	46.15	0.00	10.81	4.55
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-1.04	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	5	1.42325		13	7.69	15.38	15.38	15.38	46.15	16.22	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.63	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.69231	4	1.25064		13	7.69	7.69	23.08	30.77	30.77	15.00	8.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.81	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4603-001 / METR 5603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Micrometeorological Measuremnt

Course Level: All

Instructors: Petra Klein / Evgeni Fedorovich

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	64.87	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.44	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.87	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.89	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		
Evgeni Fedorovich													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4624-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Radar Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Biggerstaff

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	54.05	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.26	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.73205		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	66.67	48.65	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.10	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	54.05	53.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.22	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	35.14	32.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.02	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
<i>Michael Biggerstaff</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	32.50	27.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.12	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4633-001 / METR 5633-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705	0.44	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	64.87	72.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705	0.39	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	67.57	70.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.22927	0.09	10	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	45.95	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640	0.27	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	45.95	51.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.60	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4803-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Wx Forecast Decision Makers

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kevin Kloesel

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.94444	5	0.23570		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.56	94.44	89.19	94.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.91	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.94444	5	0.23570		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.56	94.44	83.78	92.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.82	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.32338		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	86.49	90.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.78	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Kevin Kloesel													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4922-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Senior Seminar II (Capstone)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.61914	0.31	16	0.00	0.00	6.25	50.00	43.75	56.76	61.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	4	0.60208	0.20	16	0.00	0.00	6.25	56.25	37.50	54.05	51.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.62915	0.32	16	0.00	0.00	6.25	43.75	50.00	59.46	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.60208	0.37	16	0.00	0.00	6.25	18.75	75.00	56.76	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.34157	0.47	16	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	60.00	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 4970-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Prac. on Broadcast Software

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Riley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	1.00000		0.00000		1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	1.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-3.23	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.00000		0.00000		1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	1.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-3.02	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.00000		0.00000		1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	1.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-3.13	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	1.00000		0.00000		1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	1.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-3.62	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Shawn Riley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.50	1.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-2.64	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 5123-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Adv Atmospheric Dynamics II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	83.78	89.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.79	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.87	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.03280		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	54.05	53.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.22	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 5223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Atmospheric Radiation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Morrissey

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.09545		5	0.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	40.00	24.32	17.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.30	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	48.65	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.10	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	27.03	19.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.32	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	20.00	10.81	7.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	-0.81	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Mark Morrissey													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	35.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	-0.05	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 5413-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Advanced Synoptic Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.44096	0.15	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	77.78	22.22	45.95	48.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	0.86603	-0.75	9	0.00	11.11	55.56	22.22	11.11	18.92	11.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.05409	-0.00	9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	43.24	36.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000	-0.02	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	35.14	32.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711	-0.21	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	25.00	20.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 5433-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Adv. Statistical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jason Furtado

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.96	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.87	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	83.78	88.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.72	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Jason Furtado													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 5503-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Climate Dynamics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elinor Martin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	54.05	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.26	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	59.46	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.22	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	35.14	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-0.12	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Elinor Martin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 5683-001 / ECE 5683-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Weather Radar Applications

Course Level: All

Instructor: Phillip Chilson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	59.46	63.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.33	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	48.65	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.10	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	67.57	73.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.49	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	64.87	71.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.49	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Phillip Chilson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	55.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.39	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 6313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Adv Data Assim Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.54	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.97	78.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300		1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.68	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	81.08	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673		1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.69	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	81.08	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385		1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.71	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405		1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.60	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613		1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 6950-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.96	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.87	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.89	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 6950-078

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.96	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.87	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.89	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 6970-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Convective Metr Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Louis Wicker

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	81.08	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	0.75	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	81.08	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	0.68	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	81.08	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	0.69	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Louis Wicker													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2017

Course: METR 6970-005

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Weather and Climate Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	32.43	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.05698	4	0.95448		351	1.42	7.41	11.97	42.45	36.75		
	COLLEGE	4.08145	4	0.95300	-0.09	1,105	1.81	5.88	13.12	40.72	38.46		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	48.65	38.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.90341	4	1.10001		352	3.13	10.23	16.19	34.09	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.10360	4	1.02673	-0.10	1,110	2.52	6.67	12.88	33.78	44.14		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	8.11	3.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.96562	4	1.07424		349	3.72	8.31	12.03	39.54	36.39		
	COLLEGE	4.11472	4	0.99385	-1.12	1,107	2.44	5.96	11.74	37.40	42.46		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25071	5	0.97385		351	1.71	5.70	10.54	29.91	52.14		
	COLLEGE	4.34747	5	0.92405	0.71	1,108	1.81	3.79	9.12	28.43	56.86		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24615	5	1.04938		390	3.08	4.36	14.10	21.79	56.67		
	COLLEGE	4.44274	5	0.92613	0.60	1,170	2.05	2.99	9.23	20.09	65.64		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A