

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: AGSC 2014-001 / GEOL 2014-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: The Earth System

Course Level: All

Instructors: Michael Soreghan / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	4	0.82874		14	0.00	7.14	14.29	57.14	21.43	50.00	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.23077	4	0.76460		26	0.00	3.85	7.69	50.00	38.46		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.14	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.16732		14	0.00	21.43	7.14	35.71	35.71	50.00	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.26923	4	0.87442		26	0.00	7.69	3.85	42.31	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.18	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.66299		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	50.00	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.63730		26	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	4	0.69929		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	50.00	35.71	50.00	29.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.42308	5	0.75753		26	0.00	0.00	15.38	26.92	57.69		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.11	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Michael Soreghan</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	66.67	59.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.65909	5	0.52576		44	0.00	0.00	2.27	29.55	68.18		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.35	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	33.33	53.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.65909	5	0.52576		44	0.00	0.00	2.27	29.55	68.18		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.27	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: AGSC 3011-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Career Planning & Development

Course Level: All

Instructor: Melissa Walters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.23077	4	0.76460		26	0.00	3.85	7.69	50.00	38.46		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.26923	4	0.87442		26	0.00	7.69	3.85	42.31	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.63730		26	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.89	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42308	5	0.75753		26	0.00	0.00	15.38	26.92	57.69		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Melissa Walters</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65909	5	0.52576		44	0.00	0.00	2.27	29.55	68.18		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 101

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Fred Shelley / Borislav Nikoltchev

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.90698	4	0.86778		43	2.33	2.33	20.93	51.16	23.26	24.44	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.16	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.75553		44	0.00	2.27	13.64	47.73	36.36	51.11	50.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.13	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.86266		44	0.00	6.82	15.91	47.73	29.55	37.78	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.73136		44	0.00	2.27	6.82	29.55	61.36	62.22	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.20	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68182	5	0.60127		44	0.00	0.00	6.82	18.18	75.00	65.57	57.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.31	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 69

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.68599		18	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	37.78	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.06	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.70479		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	73.33	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.38	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	1.02262		18	0.00	5.56	38.89	16.67	38.89	26.67	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.22	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.94281		18	0.00	0.00	33.33	11.11	55.56	35.56	32.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.10	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.32349		18	5.56	11.11	11.11	11.11	61.11	19.67	21.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.30	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jesus Zubillaga Rumbos

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	37.78	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.06	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	35.56	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.05	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	51.11	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.14	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	22.22	20.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.33	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jesus Zubillaga Rumbos													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.09545		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	22.95	23.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.20	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jesus Zubillaga Rumbos

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.70783		4	25.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	11.11	9.20
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.84	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	22.22	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.29	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	37.78	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	11.11	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.60	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jesus Zubillaga Rumbos													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.29099		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	6.56	7.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.95	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jesus Zubillaga Rumbos

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.38013		7	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	28.57	20.00	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.36	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.38013		7	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	28.57	20.00	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.32	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	1.39728		7	14.29	0.00	28.57	28.57	28.57	17.78	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.54	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.25357		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	0.00	71.43	40.00	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.03	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jesus Zubillaga Rumbos													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	50.82	47.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.19	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-030

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 97

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Sally Gros / Ashlee Tziganuk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.35484	4	0.55066		31	0.00	0.00	3.23	58.06	38.71	71.11	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.30	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53125	5	0.56707		32	0.00	0.00	3.13	40.63	56.25	82.22	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.46	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46875	5	0.62136		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	40.63	53.13	73.33	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.36	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.60907		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	25.00	68.75	73.33	63.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.33	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.59229		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	18.75	75.00	67.21	58.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.32	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Ashlee Tziganuk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71875	5	0.52267		32	0.00	0.00	3.13	21.88	75.00	68.85	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.35	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-031

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Ashlee Tziganuk / Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	68.89	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.28	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	64.44	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	60.00	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.22	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.67	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.38	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ashlee Tziganuk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	63.93	56.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.30	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.88192		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	0.00	77.78	49.18	46.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.18	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-032

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Ashlee Tziganuk / Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	46.67	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.14	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	53.33	51.72
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.14	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	46.67	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.09	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.89	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.31	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ashlee Tziganuk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	55.74	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.22	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	55.74	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.22	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-033

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Ashlee Tziganuk / Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	82.22	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.51	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	91.11	85.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.59	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	93.33	88.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.67	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	86.67	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.38	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ashlee Tziganuk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	86.89	76.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.53	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	37.70	34.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1103-034

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Ashlee Tziganuk / Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	93.33	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.67	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.21499		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	14.29	57.14	44.44	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.09	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	91.11	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.60	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ashlee Tziganuk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	83.61	73.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.50	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	83.61	73.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.50	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1113-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: The Language of Maps

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	97.78	89.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.79	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	48.89	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.11	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.21106		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	16.67	66.67	60.00	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.22	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	86.67	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.38	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	63.93	56.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.30	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 104

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Sally Gros / Virginia Silvis / David Murray

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.73193		36	0.00	0.00	16.67	41.67	41.67	55.56	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.19	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.52778	5	0.65405		36	0.00	0.00	8.33	30.56	61.11	80.00	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.45	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54286	5	0.56061		35	0.00	0.00	2.86	40.00	57.14	80.00	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.43	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63889	5	0.59295		36	0.00	0.00	5.56	25.00	69.44	75.56	64.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.35	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.44721		36	0.00	0.00	2.78	11.11	86.11	80.33	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.47	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Virginia Silvis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.65385	4	1.29437		26	11.54	3.85	23.08	30.77	30.77	8.20	8.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.78	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
David Murray													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	5	0.89578		32	0.00	3.13	18.75	21.88	56.25	27.87	26.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.08	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: David Murray / Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Murray													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	23.08	69.23	100.00	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.38	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.98473		12	0.00	8.33	8.33	25.00	58.33	40.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.16	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.23091		12	8.33	0.00	8.33	16.67	66.67	50.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.02	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.26730		12	8.33	0.00	16.67	16.67	58.33	30.00	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.06	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.05529		12	0.00	8.33	16.67	16.67	58.33	50.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.09	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Virginia Silvis / Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Virginia Silvis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.76795		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	30.77	53.85	20.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.12	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.77625		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	60.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.29	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.83205		13	0.00	0.00	23.08	30.77	46.15	20.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.14	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	0.85485		13	0.00	0.00	23.08	23.08	53.85	50.00	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.09	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.29099		13	7.69	7.69	7.69	30.77	46.15	20.00	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.15	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: David Murray / Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Murray													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	30.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.13	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.82327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	50.00	30.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.12	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	10.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.17	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.02	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.15470		10	0.00	10.00	30.00	10.00	50.00	20.00	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.15	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Virginia Silvis / Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Virginia Silvis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.25	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.51	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.52	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	80.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.46	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	90.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.51	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 96

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Renee Mc Pherson / Joshua Hatzis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.93750	4	0.87759		32	3.13	3.13	12.50	59.38	21.88	31.11	29.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.13	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.06250	4	0.91361		32	3.13	0.00	18.75	43.75	34.38	40.00	41.38
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.01	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.93750	4	0.91361		32	3.13	3.13	15.63	53.13	25.00	31.11	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.17	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	5	0.93109		32	3.13	0.00	12.50	31.25	53.13	42.22	36.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.00	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.41935	5	0.95827		31	0.00	9.68	3.23	22.58	64.52	36.07	32.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.03	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Joshua Hatzis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63333	5	0.66868		30	0.00	0.00	10.00	16.67	73.33	57.38	51.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.26	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Daryn Hardwick / Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Daryn Hardwick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	10.00	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.04	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.50000		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	10.00	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.45	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	30.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.12	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.50000		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	10.00	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.49	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	60.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.18	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Joshua Hatzis / Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joshua Hatzis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.25	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.51	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.36	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	80.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.46	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	90.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.51	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Daryn Hardwick / Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Daryn Hardwick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	40.00	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.18	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	20.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.07	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	60.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.03	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	37.50	20.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.10	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	50.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.09	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Joshua Hatzis / Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joshua Hatzis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	90.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.37	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.44	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.94868		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	40.00	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.06	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.29	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	70.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.44	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-030

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 42

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Mark Shafer / Alex Lyakhov

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.77033		14	0.00	0.00	21.43	42.86	35.71	42.22	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.08	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51887		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.78	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.43	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75955		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	64.29	77.78	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	42.86	50.00	51.11	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.12	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Shafer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	73.77	66.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.42	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Alex Lyakhov													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.94281		10	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	16.39	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.41	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-031

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Caroline Pavlowsky / Mark Shafer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Caroline Pavlowsky													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.25	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	80.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.47	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.46	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.55	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.59	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1114-032

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Caroline Pavlowsky / Mark Shafer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Caroline Pavlowsky													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	90.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.37	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	50.00	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.23	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.52	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.60	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	30.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.04	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 1203-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 57

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Course Level: All

Instructors: Gary Gress / Alex Lyakhov

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.78640		20	0.00	5.00	5.00	50.00	40.00	55.56	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.19	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.94281		19	0.00	10.53	10.53	47.37	31.58	35.56	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.05	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.65695		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	53.33	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.19	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.75394		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	25.00	70.00	68.89	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.31	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	0.00	15.00	15.00	70.00	47.54	45.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.17	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Alex Lyakhov													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.56195		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	15.79	78.95	70.49	60.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.37	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 2603-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Richard Thomas / Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	4	0.73005		14	0.00	0.00	28.57	50.00	21.43	26.67	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.14	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	4	0.95407		13	0.00	7.69	15.38	38.46	38.46	42.22	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.03	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.07143	4	0.73005		14	0.00	0.00	21.43	50.00	28.57	40.00	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.04	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.74495		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	48.89	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.05	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Richard Thomas													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51887		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	40.98	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.12	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	16.39	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.41	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 2603-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Matthew Huycke / Richard Thomas

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	80.00	68.97
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.45	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.88641		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	60.00	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.19	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	82.22	75.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.51	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.41421		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	87.50	62.22	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.20	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Huycke													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	85.25	75.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.52	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Richard Thomas													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	26.23	25.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.11	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 2603-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Matthew Huycke / Richard Thomas

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	68.89	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.28	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	26.67	26.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.21	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	37.78	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	62.22	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.20	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Huycke													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Richard Thomas													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	40.98	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.12	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 2603-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.40346		12	8.33	16.67	0.00	33.33	41.67	22.22	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.24	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.41667	4	1.37895		12	8.33	25.00	8.33	33.33	25.00	15.56	18.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.60	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.30268		12	8.33	8.33	25.00	25.00	33.33	22.22	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.16575		13	0.00	23.08	7.69	38.46	30.77	13.33	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.58	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.84615	4	1.34450		13	7.69	7.69	23.08	15.38	46.15	9.84	14.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.58	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3003-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Interpreting Planet Earth

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	75.56	64.37
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.35	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	66.67	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.33	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	66.67	57.47
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.29	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	68.89	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.31	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	55.74	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.22	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Principles of Phys Geog

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	4	0.79881		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	60.00	20.00	28.89	28.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.13	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	4	1.09978		15	0.00	20.00	0.00	46.67	33.33	28.89	29.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.11	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	0.83381		15	0.00	6.67	20.00	53.33	20.00	24.44	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.24	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.83381		15	0.00	0.00	26.67	33.33	40.00	28.89	26.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.19	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.63332		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	71.43	59.02	53.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.27	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3243-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Principles-Economic Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.59914		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	61.54	30.77	48.89	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.17	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.15	53.85	86.67	75.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.46	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	4	0.75107		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	38.46	46.15	55.56	50.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.20	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	4	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.85	46.15	53.33	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.16	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.33	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.47	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Environmental Conservation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.63158	5	0.49559		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.84	63.16	84.44	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.59	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57895	5	0.50726		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.11	57.89	88.89	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.50	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.68421	5	0.47757		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.58	68.42	88.89	81.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.57	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.45241		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	73.68	88.89	75.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.45	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3443-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructors: Karl Offen / Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.57451		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	16.67	77.78	95.56	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.68	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.46089		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	72.22	93.33	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.64	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51450		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.78	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.48507		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.67	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.38	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Karl Offen													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.42779		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	72.13	65.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.41	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38348		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.33	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.47	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3443-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructors: Chie Sakakibara / Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	4	0.77174		17	0.00	0.00	17.65	35.29	47.06	60.00	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.24	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23529	4	0.90342		17	0.00	5.88	11.76	35.29	47.06	55.56	52.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.18	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.17647	4	0.80896		17	0.00	5.88	5.88	52.94	35.29	42.22	41.38
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.07	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.80623		16	0.00	6.25	0.00	18.75	75.00	73.33	63.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.33	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Chie Sakakibara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.82353	5	0.39295		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	82.35	75.41	67.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.46	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52941	5	0.71743		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	23.53	64.71	44.26	41.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.15	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3513-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Political Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.23077	4	1.23517		13	15.38	7.69	23.08	46.15	7.69	8.89	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.86	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.76923	3	1.30089		13	23.08	15.38	30.77	23.08	7.69	6.67	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-1.22	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.15385	3	1.14354		13	7.69	23.08	23.08	38.46	7.69	6.67	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.95	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.30769	4	1.31559		13	15.38	7.69	23.08	38.46	15.38	6.67	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-1.07	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.92308	3	1.25576		13	23.08	0.00	46.15	23.08	7.69	3.28	4.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.56	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3563-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Geography of Natural Resources

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.57895	4	1.16980		19	10.53	0.00	31.58	36.84	21.05	17.78	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.50	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.05263	4	1.07877		19	5.26	0.00	21.05	31.58	42.11	37.78	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.00	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	4	1.33823		18	11.11	11.11	16.67	33.33	27.78	15.56	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.55	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.10526	4	0.80930		19	0.00	5.26	10.53	52.63	31.58	24.44	22.99
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.22	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52632	5	0.61178		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	36.84	57.89	42.62	40.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.15	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3773-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 52

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	5	0.91956		17	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	52.94	60.00	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.24	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41176	5	0.87026		17	0.00	5.88	5.88	29.41	58.82	68.89	63.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.34	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41176	5	0.87026		17	0.00	5.88	5.88	29.41	58.82	68.89	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.30	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	0.93148		17	0.00	5.88	11.76	23.53	58.82	44.44	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.04	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.78591		17	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	76.47	60.66	54.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.27	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 3890-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Hydraulic Fracturing & Water

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Puls

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	75.56	64.37
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.35	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	60.00	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.19	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	46.67	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.09	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	22.22	20.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.33	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Puls													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	34.43	31.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.01	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 4200-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Internship in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.89	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 4253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Latin America Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Karl Offen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.02899		18	0.00	11.11	5.56	22.22	61.11	68.89	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.28	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	1.04162		18	5.56	0.00	5.56	22.22	66.67	73.33	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.38	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	5	1.03690		18	5.56	0.00	5.56	27.78	61.11	64.44	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.28	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.35280		18	11.11	0.00	11.11	11.11	66.67	35.56	32.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.10	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Karl Offen													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.26284		18	5.56	5.56	16.67	5.56	66.67	24.59	24.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.18	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 4293-001 / GEOG 5293-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Hydrologic Science

Course Level: All

Instructor: Aondover Tarhule

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	88.89	11.11	40.00	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.05	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	44.44	33.33	22.22	24.44	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.26	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.86603		9	0.00	11.11	22.22	55.56	11.11	22.22	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.60093		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	66.67	22.22	26.67	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.21	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Aondover Tarhule													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	32.79	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 4513-001 / GEOG 5513-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Applied Climatology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	93.33	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.67	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	95.56	89.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.77	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	95.56	91.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.75	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	91.11	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.58	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 4523-001 / GEOG 5523-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Life Cycle Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	1.14354		13	7.69	0.00	7.69	38.46	46.15	44.44	45.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.09	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.03775		14	0.00	14.29	7.14	42.86	35.71	35.56	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.05	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.74495		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	62.22	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.25	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	4	0.63332		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	50.00	42.86	48.89	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.05	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	30.77	61.54	45.90	43.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.16	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 4563-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: American Indian Geographies

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.96531		12	0.00	8.33	8.33	33.33	50.00	55.56	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.19	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.19342		12	0.00	16.67	8.33	16.67	58.33	48.89	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.11	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.96531		12	0.00	8.33	8.33	33.33	50.00	51.11	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.14	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.83485		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	33.33	41.67	31.11	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.16	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.88763		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	16.67	58.33	32.79	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 4853-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Geography of Africa (HONORS)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.89	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.62	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	64.44	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.67	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.56	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.67	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.38	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 4953-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Capstone

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	4	0.63043		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	53.85	38.46	62.22	57.47
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.25	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	30.77	61.54	86.67	75.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.46	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.77625		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	71.11	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.35	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	93.33	85.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.65	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 4953-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Capstone

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.30000	4	1.41814		10	20.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	20.00	13.33	10.34
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.79	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.10000	3	1.37032		10	20.00	0.00	50.00	10.00	20.00	8.89	9.20
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.50923		10	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	30.00	13.33	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.61	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.63636	4	1.43337		11	9.09	18.18	9.09	27.27	36.36	8.89	10.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	1.28668		10	10.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	13.11	17.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.52	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 5243-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Systems Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.89	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.62	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.67	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.56	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 5253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Economics of Sustainability

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.36626		6	16.67	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	15.56	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.75	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.36626		6	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	13.33	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.68	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.37840		6	16.67	0.00	16.67	50.00	16.67	13.33	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.61	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	66.67	16.67	15.56	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.51	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	32.79	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GEOG 6210-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Seminar in Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bruce Hoagland

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	80.00	68.97
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.45	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.78	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.43	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.89	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	62.22	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.20	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Bruce Hoagland													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	88.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.76	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.50709		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	100.00	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.52	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.70373		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	0.00	86.67	66.67	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.62	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.52	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.86667	5	0.35187		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	86.67	77.78	74.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.51	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 2023-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jennifer Koch / Laura Holtzman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.10526	4	0.80930		19	0.00	5.26	10.53	52.63	31.58	33.33	41.38
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.89474	4	0.93659		19	0.00	10.53	15.79	47.37	26.32	33.33	28.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.15	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10526	5	1.24252		19	5.26	10.53	5.26	26.32	52.63	33.33	36.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.00	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52632	5	0.84119		19	0.00	5.26	5.26	21.05	68.42	50.00	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.23	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55000	5	0.68633		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	25.00	65.00	44.44	45.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.17	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Laura Holtzman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	5	1.40958		18	11.11	5.56	16.67	16.67	50.00	11.11	16.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.53	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 2023-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	83.33	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.67	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	83.33	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.50	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	91.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.75	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	33.33	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.12	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructors: Todd Fagin / Jennifer Messick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.51131		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	66.67	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.51	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.61570		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	44.44	50.00	50.00	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.38	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.61835		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	38.89	55.56	50.00	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.46089		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	72.22	66.67	74.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.44	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Todd Fagin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.42779		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	55.56	65.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.41	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Jennifer Messick													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.94444	5	0.23570		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.56	94.44	88.89	79.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.59	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 4013-011 / GIS 5013-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jennifer Messick / Todd Fagin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Jennifer Messick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	75.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.25	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.51	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	75.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.17	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.46	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.21106		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	16.67	66.67	75.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.18	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jennifer Messick / Todd Fagin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Jennifer Messick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	100.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.37	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.33	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	100.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.40	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	75.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.19	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.34	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 4013-020 / GIS 5013-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jennifer Koch / Pradeep Adhikari

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.19231	3	1.09615		26	7.69	19.23	26.92	38.46	7.69	16.67	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.90	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.11538	3	1.03255		26	7.69	19.23	30.77	38.46	3.85	16.67	10.34
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.89	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.38462	4	0.98293		26	3.85	15.38	26.92	46.15	7.69	16.67	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.72	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.06771		26	3.85	11.54	34.62	30.77	19.23	16.67	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.87	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Jennifer Koch</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.96154	4	1.03849		26	3.85	3.85	19.23	38.46	34.62	22.22	18.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.46	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
<i>Pradeep Adhikari</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.70602		26	0.00	0.00	11.54	23.08	65.38	33.33	43.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.16	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 4013-021 / GIS 5013-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Pradeep Adhikari / Jennifer Koch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Pradeep Adhikari</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	63.64	27.27	25.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.12	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	63.64	9.09	25.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.38	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	45.45	36.36	25.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.19	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	0.87386		11	0.00	9.09	18.18	54.55	18.18	25.00	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.42	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.63636	4	1.02691		11	9.09	0.00	18.18	63.64	9.09	25.00	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.51	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 4013-022 / GIS 5013-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Pradeep Adhikari / Jennifer Koch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Pradeep Adhikari</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.88763		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	41.67	50.00	50.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.06	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.02986		12	0.00	16.67	8.33	50.00	25.00	50.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.37	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.79296		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	25.00	58.33	50.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.08	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	4	0.99620		12	0.00	8.33	16.67	33.33	41.67	50.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.14	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	1.16450		12	0.00	16.67	16.67	25.00	41.67	50.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.24	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: GIS 4233-001 / GIS 5233-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Digital Image Processing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	4	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	50.00	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.38	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	83.33	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.50	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	91.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.75	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.58	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	66.67	73.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.50	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 123

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.78551		48	2.08	2.08	27.08	56.25	12.50	26.47	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.32	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.32653	4	1.10657		49	6.12	18.37	24.49	38.78	12.24	20.59	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.69	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.67347	4	1.08758		49	6.12	8.16	18.37	46.94	20.41	20.59	20.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.43	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.04082	4	0.93450		49	2.04	6.12	10.20	48.98	32.65	23.53	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.29	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.80851	4	1.07619		47	4.26	8.51	17.02	42.55	27.66	24.32	12.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.62	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jordan Ferguson / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jordan Ferguson													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.78174		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	44.44	33.33	50.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.20	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.41421		9	11.11	11.11	11.11	33.33	33.33	21.43	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.54	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	64.29	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.11	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	1.05409		9	0.00	11.11	22.22	33.33	33.33	28.57	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.34	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.92796		9	0.00	0.00	33.33	22.22	44.44	57.14	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.04	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: David Hill / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Hill													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.86450		20	0.00	0.00	25.00	20.00	55.00	71.43	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.02	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.15000	5	0.98809		20	0.00	5.00	25.00	20.00	50.00	64.29	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.03	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.55000	5	0.60481		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	35.00	60.00	71.43	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.23	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.73270		20	0.00	0.00	15.00	40.00	45.00	57.14	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.08	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.85070		20	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	64.29	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.09	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Mason Rowell / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Mason Rowell</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	42.86	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.33	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	4	0.88192		9	0.00	11.11	33.33	44.44	11.11	14.29	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.66	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	44.44	33.33	22.22	14.29	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.66	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.22474		9	11.11	0.00	22.22	44.44	22.22	14.29	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.58	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.22474		9	11.11	0.00	22.22	44.44	22.22	21.43	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.48	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jordan Ferguson / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Jordan Ferguson</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	64.29	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.10	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	57.14	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.19	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	28.57	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.40	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	71.43	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.19	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	3	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	25.00	14.29	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.65	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: David Hill / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Hill													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.17391	5	1.30217		23	8.70	4.35	8.70	17.39	60.87	57.14	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.13	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.91304	4	1.34547		23	13.04	0.00	13.04	30.43	43.48	35.71	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.28	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.04348	4	1.22394		23	8.70	0.00	17.39	26.09	47.83	35.71	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.35	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.91304	4	1.41142		23	13.04	4.35	8.70	26.09	47.83	35.71	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.32	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.95652	4	1.33070		23	8.70	8.70	8.70	26.09	47.83	42.86	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.20	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-910

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 113

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Phillip Spencer / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.03704	4	0.93978		27	3.70	0.00	18.52	44.44	33.33	47.06	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.03	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.91987		27	3.70	0.00	7.41	37.04	51.85	61.76	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14815	4	0.86397		27	3.70	0.00	7.41	55.56	33.33	41.18	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.04	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.29630	4	0.82345		27	0.00	3.70	11.11	37.04	48.15	32.35	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.02	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Phillip Spencer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62963	5	0.83887		27	0.00	7.41	0.00	14.81	77.78	48.65	50.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.26	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.76471	4	1.39326		17	11.76	5.88	17.65	23.53	41.18	18.92	10.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.67	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-911

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Milne / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jeffrey Milne													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	42.86	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.33	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	71.43	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.16	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	50.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.02	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	64.29	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.12	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	71.43	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.18	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-912

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Milne / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jeffrey Milne													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.41421		4	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	7.14	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-1.49	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	1.25831		4	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	7.14	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-1.50	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.70783		4	25.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	7.14	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-1.27	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.41421		4	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	7.14	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-1.27	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.41421		4	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	7.14	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-1.14	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-913

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	3	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	25.00	21.43	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.91	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	57.14	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.19	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	42.86	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.12	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	21.43	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.49	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	28.57	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.40	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-914

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.83	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.86	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.75	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.81	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.84	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1014-915

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	28.57	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.62	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	28.57	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.45	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	28.57	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.40	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	42.86	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.23	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	50.00	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.15	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743	-0.32	4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	26.47	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.73205	-0.52	4	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	26.47	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421	-0.11	4	0.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	35.29	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.20	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	50.00	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.38	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	54.05	62.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 1313-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	79.41	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.62	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	52.94	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.11	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	67.65	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	50.00	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.20	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	45.95	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.12	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 2011-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	85.71	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.60	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.86	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	85.71	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.52	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	85.71	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.60	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	85.71	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.64	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 2013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Werner Cook

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.14286	2	0.89974		7	28.57	28.57	42.86	0.00	0.00	2.94	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.98	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.85714	1	1.06904		7	57.14	0.00	42.86	0.00	0.00	2.94	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-2.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.71429	1	1.11270		7	57.14	28.57	0.00	14.29	0.00	2.94	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-2.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	1.85714	1	1.21499		7	57.14	14.29	14.29	14.29	0.00	2.94	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-2.62	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Werner Cook													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	2	1.15470		7	42.86	28.57	14.29	14.29	0.00	5.41	1.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-2.55	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 2021-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	78.57	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.13	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	78.57	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.44	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	78.57	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.29	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	78.57	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.39	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	78.57	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.44	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 2021-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Susan Postawko													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	1.17379		10	10.00	10.00	20.00	50.00	10.00	14.29	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-1.03	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.94281		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	50.00	30.00	57.14	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.19	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.84327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	57.14	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.06	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.05935		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	57.14	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.08	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	20.00	40.00	30.00	35.71	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.25	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 2021-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jordan Christian

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jordan Christian													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.83	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.86	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.75	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.81	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.84	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 2023-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lance Leslie

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.38889	4	1.28973		18	11.11	11.11	27.78	27.78	22.22	11.76	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.69	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.38889	4	1.28973		18	11.11	11.11	27.78	27.78	22.22	23.53	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.63	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.72222	4	1.27443		18	11.11	5.56	11.11	44.44	27.78	23.53	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.13759		18	11.11	0.00	16.67	55.56	16.67	17.65	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.69	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Lance Leslie													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.18818		18	11.11	0.00	22.22	44.44	22.22	16.22	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.77	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 54

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Daphne Ladue

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.03571	4	0.79266		28	0.00	3.57	17.86	50.00	28.57	44.12	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.03	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10714	4	0.99403		28	0.00	10.71	10.71	35.71	42.86	47.06	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.05	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.82143	4	1.15642		28	7.14	3.57	21.43	35.71	32.14	26.47	22.99
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.29	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	5	1.03126		28	0.00	10.71	10.71	25.00	53.57	29.41	29.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.11	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Daphne Ladue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.39286	5	0.91649		28	0.00	3.57	17.86	14.29	64.29	32.43	30.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.00	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 2603-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 66

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructors: Alexander Zwick / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.50742		30	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.67	53.33	61.76	70.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.48	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63333	5	0.49013		30	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.67	63.33	76.47	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.55	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43333	5	0.67891		30	0.00	0.00	10.00	36.67	53.33	52.94	59.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.32	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.53498		30	0.00	0.00	3.33	23.33	73.33	58.82	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.41	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Alexander Zwick													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.30513		30	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	64.86	78.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.54	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.71429	3	1.27055		21	28.57	0.00	52.38	9.52	9.52	8.11	2.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.79	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 3123-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.61905	5	0.49761		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.10	61.90	70.59	75.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.57	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.35857		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	82.35	89.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.77	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46291		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	88.24	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.60	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76190	5	0.43644		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.81	76.19	67.65	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.48	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.95238	5	0.21822		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	95.24	67.57	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 3223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Cloud Physics-Electric/Optics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guifu Zhang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.54167	4	1.14129	-0.54	24	8.33	8.33	20.83	45.83	16.67	17.65	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20833	3	0.88363	-0.80	24	4.17	12.50	45.83	33.33	4.17	14.71	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.41667	4	1.24819	-0.69	24	4.17	29.17	8.33	37.50	20.83	5.88	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.89685	-0.60	24	0.00	8.33	29.17	41.67	20.83	20.59	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.76139	-0.59	24	0.00	8.33	12.50	66.67	12.50	27.03	13.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 3890-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Meteorology Internship

Course Level: All

Instructor: Frederick Carr

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	41.18	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.06	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	44.12	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.05	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	35.29	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Frederick Carr													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 3980-058

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Honors Research (HONORS)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Palmer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.89	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Palmer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4323-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Weather Simulation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.92582	-0.06	8	0.00	0.00	37.50	25.00	37.50	41.18	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.16496	-0.29	8	0.00	12.50	37.50	12.50	37.50	29.41	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.99103	0.02	8	0.00	12.50	0.00	50.00	37.50	38.24	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.83452	-0.20	8	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	37.50	26.47	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452	0.12	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	45.95	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4330-995 / METR 5330-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Information Technology Skills

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000	0.19	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	55.88	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000	-0.05	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	44.12	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.39	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	67.65	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.47	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	64.71	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.38	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	54.05	62.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4433-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 49

Section Title: Mesoscale Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructors: Ming Xue / Jeremy Gibbs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.06458		21	4.76	19.05	23.81	42.86	9.52	8.82	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.75	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.28571	4	1.18924		21	4.76	28.57	14.29	38.10	14.29	17.65	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.73	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.42857	4	1.02817		21	0.00	23.81	23.81	38.10	14.29	8.82	9.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.68	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.52381	4	1.16701		21	4.76	14.29	28.57	28.57	23.81	11.76	9.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.84	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ming Xue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.14286	3	0.91026		21	0.00	28.57	33.33	33.33	4.76	10.81	6.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.33	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Jeremy Gibbs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	57.14	38.10	29.73	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4491-001 / METR 5491-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Weather Briefing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	41.18	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.06	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	44.12	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.05	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	67.65	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.20	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4543-001 / METR 5543-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Global Climate Change

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Richman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	66.67	16.67	29.41	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.24	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	50.00	33.33	44.12	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.05	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	50.00	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.22	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.21106		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	16.67	66.67	38.24	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.02	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4553-001 / METR 5553-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 56

Section Title: Climate and Renewable Energy

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11538	4	0.86380		26	0.00	3.85	19.23	38.46	38.46	50.00	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.05	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	0.92487		26	0.00	3.85	23.08	26.92	46.15	50.00	45.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.10	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	0.83758		26	0.00	3.85	11.54	34.62	50.00	47.06	50.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.20	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.70602		26	0.00	0.00	11.54	30.77	57.69	41.18	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.16	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.76057		26	0.00	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	40.54	36.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.08	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4624-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Radar Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Biggerstaff

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.86603		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	66.67	22.22	41.18	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.06	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	70.59	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.48	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	70.59	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.45	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	55.88	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.38	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Michael Biggerstaff													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	88.89	56.76	65.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.41	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4633-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	79.41	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.56	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4803-002 / METR 5803-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: App of Theory to SVR TSTM FCST

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.65000	5	0.58714		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	25.00	70.00	73.53	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.60	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.55012		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	15.00	80.00	79.41	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.67	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.57124		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	20.00	75.00	82.35	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.59	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.44721		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	95.00	73.53	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.63	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.89474	5	0.45883		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	0.00	94.74	62.16	77.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.54	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4922-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 41

Section Title: Senior Seminar II (Capstone)

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Beasley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.20439	-0.36	14	7.14	7.14	21.43	35.71	28.57	20.59	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.92857	3	1.07161	-1.07	14	7.14	28.57	35.71	21.43	7.14	5.88	5.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.64286	4	1.27745	-0.47	14	7.14	7.14	35.71	14.29	35.71	17.65	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.64286	4	1.08182	-0.71	14	0.00	21.43	14.29	42.86	21.43	14.71	11.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
William Beasley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.78571	4	0.80178	-0.64	14	0.00	0.00	42.86	35.71	21.43	21.62	11.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 4970-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: WX FCST for Decision Making

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kevin Kloesel

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	85.29	90.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.81	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.89	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kevin Kloesel													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 5103-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Boundary Layer Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Evgeni Fedorovich

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	64.71	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.52	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	55.88	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.22	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	88.24	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.60	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	61.76	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.43	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Evgeni Fedorovich													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	59.46	73.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.50	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 5223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Atmospheric Radiation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Morrissey

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.91667	3	1.24011	-1.18	12	16.67	16.67	33.33	25.00	8.33	5.88	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.47710	-1.00	12	25.00	8.33	25.00	25.00	16.67	11.76	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.24316	-0.61	12	16.67	0.00	8.33	66.67	8.33	14.71	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.41667	4	1.50504	-0.96	12	16.67	8.33	25.00	16.67	33.33	5.88	5.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Morrissey													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.23091	-0.77	12	8.33	0.00	41.67	16.67	33.33	16.22	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 5413-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Advanced Synoptic Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	4	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.85	46.15	58.82	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.41	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.91287		13	0.00	7.69	15.38	46.15	30.77	44.12	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.05	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		13	0.00	7.69	23.08	30.77	38.46	35.29	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	30.77	61.54	52.94	57.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.24	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.77625		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	40.54	36.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.08	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 5503-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Climate Dynamics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elinor Martin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	52.94	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.16	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	64.71	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.38	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	44.12	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	38.24	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.02	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Elinor Martin</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	35.14	34.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 5683-001 / ECE 5683-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Weather Radar Applications

Course Level: All

Instructor: Phillip Chilson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	79.41	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.62	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	61.76	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	67.65	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	70.59	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.55	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Phillip Chilson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 6313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Adv Data Assim Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	79.41	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.56	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 6950-046

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Adam Clark

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.89	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Adam Clark													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 6950-078

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	67.65	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.43	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	67.65	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 6970-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: BUL Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.89	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 6970-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Convective Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Louis Wicker

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	67.65	74.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.55	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	73.53	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.52	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	73.53	74.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.49	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.73	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Louis Wicker													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2015

Course: METR 6970-005

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Climate Seminar Series

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lance Leslie

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	14.71	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.58	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-1.00	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	14.71	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.61	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	8.82	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.87	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Lance Leslie													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	2	1.41421		2	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	5.41	1.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-2.55	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A