

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: AGSC 2014-001 / GEOL 2014-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: The Earth System

Course Level: All

Instructors: Michael Soreghan / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.10526	4	0.80930		19	0.00	5.26	10.53	52.63	31.58	50.00	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.23077	4	0.76460		26	0.00	3.85	7.69	50.00	38.46		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.01	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10526	4	0.93659		19	0.00	10.53	5.26	47.37	36.84	50.00	41.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.26923	4	0.87442		26	0.00	7.69	3.85	42.31	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.00	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.31579	4	0.67104		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	47.37	42.11	50.00	53.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.63730		26	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.20	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.26316	4	0.80568		19	0.00	0.00	21.05	31.58	47.37	50.00	31.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.42308	5	0.75753		26	0.00	0.00	15.38	26.92	57.69		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.12	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Michael Soreghan</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63158	5	0.59726		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	68.42	66.67	44.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.65909	5	0.52576		44	0.00	0.00	2.27	29.55	68.18		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.23	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.51131		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	33.33	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65909	5	0.52576		44	0.00	0.00	2.27	29.55	68.18		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.16	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: AGSC 4970-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Career Planning & Development

Course Level: All

Instructor: Melissa Walters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	100.00	67.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.23077	4	0.76460		26	0.00	3.85	7.69	50.00	38.46		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.51	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	100.00	81.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.26923	4	0.87442		26	0.00	7.69	3.85	42.31	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.61	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	100.00	70.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.63730		26	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.47	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	75.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.42308	5	0.75753		26	0.00	0.00	15.38	26.92	57.69		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.55	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Melissa Walters</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65909	5	0.52576		44	0.00	0.00	2.27	29.55	68.18		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 105

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Fred Shelley / Borislav Nikoltchev

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.94872	4	1.02466	-0.16	39	2.56	7.69	15.38	41.03	33.33	33.33	27.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.95513	0.23	39	0.00	7.69	10.26	23.08	58.97	62.50	59.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.94872	4	0.85682	-0.18	39	0.00	2.56	30.77	35.90	30.77	37.50	27.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	0.92206		39	0.00	5.13	15.38	23.08	56.41	35.42	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		-0.07	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64103	5	0.58432	0.24	39	0.00	0.00	5.13	25.64	69.23	46.97	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Borislav Nikoltchev / Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.27920		12	8.33	0.00	25.00	16.67	50.00	37.50	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.11	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	5	1.54479		12	8.33	25.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	20.83	21.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.35	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.19342		12	0.00	25.00	0.00	41.67	33.33	29.17	19.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.31	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	5	1.16450		12	0.00	16.67	8.33	25.00	50.00	22.92	21.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.32	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Fred Shelley</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	53.03	49.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.27	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Borislav Nikoltchev / Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.16496		8	12.50	12.50	12.50	62.50	0.00	8.33	7.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.92	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.12500	4	1.35620		8	12.50	25.00	12.50	37.50	12.50	8.33	10.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.98	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	1.16496		8	12.50	0.00	50.00	25.00	12.50	4.17	3.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.16496		8	0.00	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	4.17	4.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-1.25	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Fred Shelley</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	12.12	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.39	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Borislav Nikoltchev / Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.14286	4	1.29241		14	7.14	35.71	7.14	35.71	14.29	6.25	5.38
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.03	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.28571	4	1.38278		14	7.14	35.71	0.00	35.71	21.43	10.42	11.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.82	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.22474		14	7.14	14.29	21.43	35.71	21.43	10.42	8.60
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.65	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.40055		14	7.14	21.43	21.43	14.29	35.71	10.42	9.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.97	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	56.06	51.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.29	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 87

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Darren Purcell / Matthew Palmer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.02632	4	0.78798		38	0.00	2.63	21.05	47.37	28.95	39.58	34.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.08	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15789	4	0.85507		38	0.00	5.26	13.16	42.11	39.47	43.75	44.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.06	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.86486	4	0.88701		37	0.00	8.11	21.62	45.95	24.32	31.25	22.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.27	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44737	5	0.68566		38	0.00	0.00	10.53	34.21	55.26	50.00	43.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.09	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.89292		38	2.63	0.00	10.53	18.42	68.42	31.82	31.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.10	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Matthew Palmer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.31579	5	0.80891		38	0.00	2.63	13.16	34.21	50.00	22.73	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.08	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Matthew Palmer / Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	58.33	50.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.20	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	56.25	54.84
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.18	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	14.29	56.25	43.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.02	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	54.17	52.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.23	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Palmer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	59.09	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.31	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	72.73	67.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.46	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Matthew Palmer / Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	1.07872		11	0.00	9.09	36.36	18.18	36.36	22.92	21.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.30	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	1.07872		11	0.00	9.09	36.36	18.18	36.36	25.00	24.73
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.29	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.63636	4	1.36182		11	9.09	9.09	27.27	18.18	36.36	16.67	11.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.51	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.34164		11	9.09	0.00	27.27	9.09	54.55	18.75	18.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.41	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Palmer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	0.90453		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	18.18	54.55	21.21	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.12	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.30035		11	9.09	0.00	18.18	18.18	54.55	15.15	18.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.30	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Matthew Palmer / Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	25.00	22.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.29	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	66.67	16.67	27.08	25.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.03280		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67	20.83	13.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.48	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	18.75	18.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.41	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Palmer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.32916		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	0.00	66.67	16.67	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.23	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	24.24	25.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Matthew Palmer / Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	68.75	58.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.25	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.08	70.97
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	79.17	67.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.39	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	64.58	59.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.34	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Palmer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	53.03	49.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.27	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-030

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 78

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Chie Sakakibara / Kimberly Johnson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.66737		35	0.00	0.00	11.43	48.57	40.00	58.33	50.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.20	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.65465		35	0.00	0.00	8.57	40.00	51.43	70.83	64.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.33	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.31429	4	0.75815		35	0.00	2.86	8.57	42.86	45.71	66.67	52.69
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.20	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.74286	5	0.50543		35	0.00	0.00	2.86	20.00	77.14	72.92	64.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.42	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Chie Sakakibara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85294	5	0.35949		34	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.71	85.29	71.21	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.45	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Kimberly Johnson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.58410		35	0.00	2.86	0.00	11.43	85.71	68.18	64.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-031

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kimberly Johnson / Chie Sakakibara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	54.17	47.31
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.16	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.92582		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	62.50	25.00	37.50	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.10	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	45.83	35.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.13	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	85.42	77.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.57	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kimberly Johnson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	1.06066		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	87.50	45.45	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.23	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Chie Sakakibara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-032

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kimberly Johnson / Chie Sakakibara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kimberly Johnson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	62.12	59.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.35	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Chie Sakakibara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	62.12	59.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.35	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-033

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kimberly Johnson / Chie Sakakibara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.73786		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	50.00	20.00	29.17	24.73
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.21	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.73786		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	30.00	39.58	38.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.00	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.05409		10	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	45.83	35.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.13	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	58.33	54.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.26	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kimberly Johnson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	68.18	64.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Chie Sakakibara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	75.76	70.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.50	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-034

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-030

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kimberly Johnson / Chie Sakakibara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	77.08	68.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.52	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	85.42	82.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.63	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	91.67	86.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.73	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kimberly Johnson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	80.30	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.52	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Chie Sakakibara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 93

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.19444	4	0.82183		36	0.00	2.78	16.67	38.89	41.67	50.00	43.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.10	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.76997		36	0.00	2.78	8.33	33.33	55.56	64.58	61.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.32	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.05560		36	2.78	5.56	13.89	27.78	50.00	60.42	46.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.04	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	5	0.83761		36	0.00	5.56	5.56	33.33	55.56	43.75	38.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.03	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52778	5	0.60880		36	0.00	0.00	5.56	36.11	58.33	33.33	32.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.13	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashlee Tziganuk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Ashlee Tziganuk													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	69.23	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.08	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	1.01379		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	22.22	66.67	76.92	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.13	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	69.23	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.10	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	1.01379		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	11.11	77.78	46.15	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.10	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.11803		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	11.11	66.67	53.85	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.00	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashlee Tziganuk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Ashlee Tziganuk													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	92.31	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.45	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	46.15	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.07	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	4	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	23.08	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.23	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	4	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	38.46	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.07	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	76.92	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.13	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Daryn Hardwick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Daryn Hardwick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.50	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	76.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.53	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.56	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.67	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.87	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Daryn Hardwick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Daryn Hardwick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	76.92	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.29	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	84.62	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.33	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	92.31	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.42	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	76.92	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.28	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	61.54	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.09	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 149

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.78261	4	0.87228		69	2.90	4.35	20.29	56.52	15.94	16.67	17.20
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.34	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.87831		71	1.41	4.23	16.90	47.89	29.58	37.50	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.10	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88732	4	0.96435		71	2.82	7.04	14.08	50.70	25.35	35.42	25.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.25	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43662	5	0.73158		71	0.00	2.82	5.63	36.62	54.93	47.92	40.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.08	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60563	5	0.74602		71	1.41	1.41	2.82	23.94	70.42	39.39	39.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.21	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Margaret Holleman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Margaret Holleman</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.86189		15	0.00	13.33	6.67	66.67	13.33	7.69	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.81	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.75593		15	0.00	0.00	26.67	46.67	26.67	23.08	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.44	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	4	0.51640		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.33	46.67	30.77	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.18	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.48795		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	30.77	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.19	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	4	0.45774		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	80.00	6.67	15.38	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.52	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Margaret Holleman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Margaret Holleman</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	36.36	45.45	18.18	15.38	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.79	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	54.55	27.27	23.08	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.44	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	38.46	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.02	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	16.67	75.00	69.23	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.24	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.62158		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	58.33	33.33	30.77	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.11	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alex Lyakhov

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Alex Lyakhov													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	53.85	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.01	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	69.23	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.08	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	76.92	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.28	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	84.62	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.38	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.86603		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	22.22	55.56	53.85	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.00	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alex Lyakhov

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Alex Lyakhov													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	84.62	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.32	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	92.31	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.42	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	69.23	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.10	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	69.23	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.24	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	92.31	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.29	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-025

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Huycke

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Matthew Huycke													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.73	27.27	30.77	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.16	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	63.64	27.27	38.46	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.20	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	46.15	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.06	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	23.08	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.24	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	54.55	36.36	38.46	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.08	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-026

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Huycke

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Matthew Huycke													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	53.85	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.01	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	69.23	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.08	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	92.31	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.42	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	92.31	76.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.41	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	84.62	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.22	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-030

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shannon Hall

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.64286	4	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	0.00	12.50	13.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.49	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	42.86	50.00	70.83	64.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.33	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.78571	4	0.97496		14	0.00	14.29	14.29	50.00	21.43	22.92	16.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.36	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	4	0.69929		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	50.00	35.71	29.17	26.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.17	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Shannon Hall													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.74495		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	7.14	78.57	48.48	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.24	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-031

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Murray

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Murray													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	23.08	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.54	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	3	1.09545		5	0.00	20.00	60.00	0.00	20.00	7.69	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-1.47	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	7.69	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.83	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421		5	20.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	20.00	7.69	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-1.92	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	3	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	20.00	7.69	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.95	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-032

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Murray

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Murray													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	61.54	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.05	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	30.77	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.25	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.25357		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	0.00	71.43	15.38	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.43	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	15.38	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.62	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	4	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	76.92	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.13	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 1203-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 47

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.13636	4	0.77432		22	0.00	4.55	9.09	54.55	31.82	47.92	41.94
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.67098		22	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	79.17	72.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.44	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.73855		22	0.00	0.00	13.64	27.27	59.09	77.08	65.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.34	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.55048		22	0.00	0.00	4.55	18.18	77.27	70.83	63.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.40	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.29424		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	78.79	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.51	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 2603-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	75.00	67.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.51	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	91.67	86.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.69	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	85.42	78.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.62	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	81.25	75.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.55	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 2603-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Angela Person / Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	91.67	84.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.76	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	93.75	87.10
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.70	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	72.92	61.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.29	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 2603-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Angela Person / Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	83.33	76.34
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.61	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	64.58	59.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.34	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	53.03	49.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.27	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 2603-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Angela Person / Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	68.75	58.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.25	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	62.50	59.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.23	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	29.17	19.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.31	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	64.58	59.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.34	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	68.18	64.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3003-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Interpreting Planet Earth

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.04654		15	0.00	13.33	0.00	26.67	60.00	68.75	58.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.25	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.89209		16	0.00	6.25	6.25	25.00	62.50	72.92	65.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.34	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.00000		16	0.00	12.50	0.00	37.50	50.00	64.58	49.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.13	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.70415		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	6.25	81.25	66.67	60.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.36	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.70415		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	6.25	81.25	54.55	50.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.29	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Principles of Phys Geog

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	4	0.63043		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	53.85	38.46	60.42	52.69
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.23	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.72501		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	46.15	38.46	52.08	51.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.13	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	0.98710		13	0.00	7.69	15.38	30.77	46.15	58.33	44.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.86972		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	38.46	53.85	41.67	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.02	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.76795		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	7.69	76.92	42.42	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.22	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3243-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Principles-Economic Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.69585		20	0.00	0.00	15.00	50.00	35.00	52.08	45.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.11	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.57124		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	60.00	35.00	58.33	56.99
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.20	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35000	4	0.58714		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	55.00	40.00	68.75	55.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.24	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45000	4	0.51042		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.00	45.00	52.08	44.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.09	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85000	5	0.36635		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	85.00	69.70	65.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.45	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 42

Section Title: Environmental Conservation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.68182	5	0.47673		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.82	68.18	85.42	77.42
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.63	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.61905	5	0.58959		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	28.57	66.67	83.33	76.34
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.52	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.65795		22	0.00	0.00	9.09	18.18	72.73	83.33	73.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.53	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.39477		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	77.08	70.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.51	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.29424		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	78.79	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.51	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3443-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 45

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Randy Pepler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.74089		24	0.00	4.17	8.33	58.33	29.17	45.83	40.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.03	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.67566		24	0.00	4.17	0.00	62.50	33.33	54.17	53.76
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.15	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.04167	4	0.69025		24	0.00	4.17	8.33	66.67	20.83	47.92	36.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.09	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.05552		24	4.17	4.17	4.17	25.00	62.50	39.58	36.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.01	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Randy Pepler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.06322		24	4.17	4.17	4.17	12.50	75.00	31.82	31.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.10	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3483-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Remote Sensing & Phenology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	14.29	27.08	23.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.26	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	41.67	43.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	56.25	43.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.02	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	4	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	45.83	39.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.07	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	36.36	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.17	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3483-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 3483-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Kirsten De Beurs</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	38.46	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.08	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	53.85	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.01	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	69.23	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.10	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	69.23	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.24	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	23.08	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.43	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3773-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 47

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	4	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	70.83	59.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.31	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	1.16575		13	7.69	0.00	7.69	30.77	53.85	52.08	51.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.13	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	1.16575		13	7.69	0.00	7.69	30.77	53.85	62.50	48.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	1.10940		13	7.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	92.31	68.75	61.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.37	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	1.12090		13	7.69	0.00	0.00	7.69	84.62	42.42	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.22	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3843-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Gender and Environment

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	87.50	82.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.70	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	89.58	84.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.65	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	87.50	82.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.65	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	85.42	77.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.57	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 3890-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Hydraulic Fracturing & Water

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Puls

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.04654		15	0.00	13.33	0.00	26.67	60.00	68.75	58.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.25	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	5	0.97496		14	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	50.00	47.92	48.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.11	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	4	1.16292		15	6.67	0.00	20.00	26.67	46.67	50.00	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.06	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	4	1.22280		15	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	46.67	20.83	20.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.34	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Puls													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	4	1.22280		15	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	46.67	13.64	17.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.33	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 4200-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Internship in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	37.50	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.11	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	37.50	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.10	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	45.83	35.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.13	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	18.75	18.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.41	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	12.12	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.39	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 4200-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Internship in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	2.00000		3	33.33	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	4.17	3.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.19	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	2.00000		3	33.33	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	6.25	7.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-1.11	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	20.83	13.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.48	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	6.25	6.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-1.16	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	4.55	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 4273-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Regional Climatology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	50.00	37.50	45.83	40.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.03	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.08	70.97
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	50.00	25.00	33.33	23.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.26	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	39.58	36.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.01	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	45.45	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.23	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 4293-001 / GEOG 5293-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Hydrologic Science

Course Level: All

Instructor: Aondover Tarhule

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	0.87386		11	0.00	0.00	45.45	27.27	27.27	22.92	21.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.30	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	36.36	45.45	18.18	25.00	24.73
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.29	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.45455	4	1.29334		11	0.00	36.36	9.09	27.27	27.27	8.33	7.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.70	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.98165		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	36.36	45.45	27.08	24.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.21	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Aondover Tarhule													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	1.03573		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	9.09	72.73	27.27	28.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 4543-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Life Cycle Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.75840	0.01	18	0.00	5.56	5.56	61.11	27.78	41.67	38.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	4	0.98352	-0.66	18	0.00	22.22	22.22	44.44	11.11	16.67	16.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.96338	-0.01	18	0.00	11.11	5.56	44.44	38.89	52.08	38.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.94281		18	0.00	5.56	16.67	27.78	50.00	31.25	27.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.85749	0.10	18	0.00	5.56	5.56	22.22	66.67	31.82	31.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 4943-001 / GEOG 5970-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Natural Hazards

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Shafer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.59091	5	0.50324		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.91	59.09	79.17	69.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.53	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.73913	5	0.44898		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.09	73.91	87.50	83.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.64	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.56522	5	0.72777		23	0.00	4.35	0.00	30.43	65.22	81.25	69.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.46	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91304	5	0.28810		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.70	91.30	87.50	79.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.61	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Shafer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.86957	5	0.34435		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.04	86.96	74.24	68.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.47	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 4953-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Capstone

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	72.92	62.37
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.36	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	70.83	64.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.33	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	14.29	71.43	75.00	63.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.32	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	81.25	75.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.55	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	59.09	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.31	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 5990-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Selected Studies in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	37.50	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.10	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	45.83	35.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.13	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 5990-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Selected Studies in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 6210-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Seminar in Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bruce Hoagland

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.76	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	91.67	84.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.09545	0.10	5	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	45.83	47.31
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.71	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	89.58	84.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	68.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Bruce Hoagland													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.40	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	68.18	64.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 6220-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Seminar in Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	81.25	70.97
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.54	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	81.25	75.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.50	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	72.92	61.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.29	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	58.33	54.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.26	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	37.88	38.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.20	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 6950-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Research Problems in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	7.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-1.11	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GEOG 6953-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Research Methods in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.11803	-0.47	9	0.00	22.22	11.11	44.44	22.22	14.58	15.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	3	1.13039	-0.66	9	0.00	22.22	33.33	22.22	22.22	16.67	16.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	3	1.13039	-0.71	9	0.00	22.22	33.33	22.22	22.22	6.25	6.45
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	3	0.88192	-1.03	9	0.00	11.11	44.44	33.33	11.11	8.33	7.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705	0.16	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	34.85	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51640		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	57.14	65.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.43	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.63246		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	37.50	56.25	57.14	70.97
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	0.51235		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.75	56.25	57.14	68.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.46	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81250	5	0.40311		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	81.25	71.43	69.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.50	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
William Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.34157		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	62.50	69.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.47	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 2023-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640	0.33	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	42.86	61.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.87386	0.08	11	0.00	9.09	0.00	54.55	36.36	42.86	45.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.90453	0.15	11	0.00	9.09	0.00	45.45	45.45	42.86	50.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755	0.10	11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	28.57	45.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
William Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.52223	0.15	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	37.50	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Todd Fagin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.46089		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	72.22	100.00	80.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.67	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.59409		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	72.22	100.00	80.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.57	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.48507		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	85.71	77.42
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.57	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.32338		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	85.71	78.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.59	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Todd Fagin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.32338		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	75.00	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.49	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 4013-011 / GIS 5013-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Messick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Jennifer Messick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.00	76.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.38	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	75.00	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.64	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.56	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	75.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.45	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.65	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Messick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Jennifer Messick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	100.00	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.52	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	100.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.65	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.56	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.67	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.86972		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	15.38	76.92	75.00	76.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.37	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 4013-020 / GIS 5013-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Todd Fagin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.73270		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	15.00	80.00	85.71	78.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.65	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00	71.43	73.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.45	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.65000	5	0.48936		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.00	65.00	71.43	75.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.55	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.71635		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	10.00	85.00	42.86	65.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.43	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Todd Fagin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84211	5	0.50146		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	5.26	89.47	50.00	65.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.44	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 4013-021 / GIS 5013-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Pradeep Adhikari / Todd Fagin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Pradeep Adhikari</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	50.00	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.34	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	4	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.55	45.45	25.00	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.15	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	50.00	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.01	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	18.18	72.73	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.20	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.28	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 4013-022 / GIS 5013-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-020

Course Level: All

Instructors: Pradeep Adhikari / Todd Fagin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Pradeep Adhikari</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.26930		10	10.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	80.00	25.00	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.15	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.26930		10	10.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	80.00	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.21	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.26930		10	10.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	80.00	25.00	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.13	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.26930		10	10.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	80.00	25.00	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.02	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.26930		10	10.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	80.00	25.00	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.22	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 4200-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Internship in Geoinformatics

Course Level: All

Instructor: May Yuan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	28.57	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.11	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	28.57	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.10	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	28.57	35.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.13	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
May Yuan													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 4233-001 / GIS 5233-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Digital Image Processing

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kirsten De Beurs / Pradeep Adhikari

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.63158	5	0.76089		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	73.68	71.43	74.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.57	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57895	5	0.60698		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	31.58	63.16	85.71	74.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.48	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.45241		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	73.68	100.00	79.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.64	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78947	5	0.41885		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.05	78.95	57.14	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.47	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Kirsten De Beurs</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
<i>Pradeep Adhikari</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	1.40746		15	13.33	0.00	20.00	20.00	46.67	12.50	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.53	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: GIS 4453-001 / GIS 5453-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Adv GIS & Spatial Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: May Yuan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	14.29	16.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.38	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.12500	3	0.83452		8	0.00	25.00	37.50	37.50	0.00	14.29	10.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.98	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	28.57	35.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.13	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.92582		8	0.00	12.50	37.50	37.50	12.50	14.29	9.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.97	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
May Yuan													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	37.50	37.50	25.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.39	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 123

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Austin Harris / Susan Postawko / Werner Cook

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.08929	4	0.90004		56	3.57	0.00	14.29	48.21	33.93	36.11	36.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.01	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22807	4	0.80217		57	1.75	0.00	12.28	45.61	40.35	52.78	49.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.13	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14035	4	0.87502		57	0.00	8.77	5.26	49.12	36.84	30.56	40.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.02	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.54386	5	0.68322		57	0.00	1.75	5.26	29.82	63.16	55.56	51.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.20	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Austin Harris													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.67241	5	0.60373		58	0.00	1.72	1.72	24.14	72.41	46.51	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.27	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.45057		33	15.15	6.06	15.15	24.24	39.39	18.60	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.73	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Werner Cook													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.35873		27	11.11	7.41	22.22	22.22	37.04	18.60	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.73	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	37.50	38.46	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.36	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	38.46	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.44	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	15.38	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.83	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	50.00	12.50	23.08	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.95	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	62.50	12.50	30.77	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.59	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		7	0.00	0.00	42.86	14.29	42.86	23.08	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.54	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	0.97590		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	42.86	14.29	15.38	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.99	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	23.08	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.63	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	42.86	42.86	14.29	15.38	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.99	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	42.86	42.86	14.29	23.08	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.80	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	53.85	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.08	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	38.46	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.44	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	38.46	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.37	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	61.54	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.24	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00	15.38	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-1.30	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	30.77	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.40	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	46.15	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.18	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	30.77	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.41	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	38.46	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.24	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	46.15	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.17	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	61.54	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.05	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	53.85	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.11	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	53.85	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.03	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	53.85	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.12	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	61.54	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.31	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-910

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 96

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Phillip Spencer / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20588	4	0.68664		34	0.00	0.00	14.71	50.00	35.29	41.67	46.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.12	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	4	0.71898		34	0.00	0.00	14.71	41.18	44.12	58.33	55.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.19	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44118	4	0.56091		34	0.00	0.00	2.94	50.00	47.06	55.56	64.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.33	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.66901		32	0.00	0.00	9.38	37.50	53.13	38.89	41.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.08	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Phillip Spencer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73529	5	0.51102		34	0.00	0.00	2.94	20.59	76.47	55.81	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.34	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.39130	4	1.46905		23	21.74	0.00	21.74	30.43	26.09	9.30	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.00	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-911

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructors: Mason Rowell / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Mason Rowell</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	3	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	57.14	28.57	14.29	7.69	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-1.12	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	0.97590		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	42.86	14.29	15.38	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.99	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.28571	3	1.49603		7	14.29	14.29	28.57	14.29	28.57	7.69	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-1.83	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.42857	3	0.97590		7	0.00	14.29	42.86	28.57	14.29	7.69	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-1.36	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.14286	3	0.89974		7	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	0.00	7.69	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-1.55	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-912

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.84	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	61.54	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.21	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	61.54	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.33	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	46.15	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.02	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	53.85	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.00	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-913

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.84	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	76.92	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.64	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.56	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	76.92	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.45	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	84.62	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.65	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1014-914

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000	-0.54	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	23.08	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268		280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.85	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814		280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.56	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529		280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.67	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574		278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.87	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259		279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.12500	3	1.24642	-1.05	8	12.50	12.50	37.50	25.00	12.50	5.56	4.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.87500	3	0.99103	-1.23	8	12.50	12.50	50.00	25.00	0.00	8.33	4.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	0.91613	-0.78	8	0.00	25.00	12.50	62.50	0.00	5.56	4.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	1.16496	-1.25	8	12.50	0.00	50.00	25.00	12.50	5.56	4.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.92582	-0.89	8	0.00	12.50	37.50	37.50	12.50	11.63	7.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 1313-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.23077	3	1.42325		13	15.38	15.38	23.08	23.08	23.08	8.33	6.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.94	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.76923	3	1.53590		13	30.77	15.38	15.38	23.08	15.38	5.56	3.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-1.34	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	1.11516		13	0.00	15.38	15.38	30.77	38.46	22.22	26.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.21	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.30769	4	1.60128		13	23.08	7.69	15.38	23.08	30.77	8.33	5.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-1.19	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.61538	4	1.50214		13	15.38	7.69	15.38	23.08	38.46	13.95	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.78	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 2011-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.84	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.85	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.56	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.67	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.87	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 2013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Beasley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711	-0.65	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	13.89	10.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421	-1.11	2	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	11.11	7.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711	0.39	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	58.33	67.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421	-0.41	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	19.44	18.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
William Beasley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.60	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 2021-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Stefan Rahimi-Esfarjani

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Stefan Rahimi-Esfarjani													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	4	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.55	45.45	69.23	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.09	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	69.23	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.62	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	69.23	76.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.44	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	84.62	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.55	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	69.23	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.40	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 2021-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Buban

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Michael Buban</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.26667	4	0.59362		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	60.00	33.33	46.15	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.17	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	4	0.79881		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	60.00	20.00	23.08	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.52	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.63246		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	46.67	46.67	46.15	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.27	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.74322		15	0.00	0.00	20.00	46.67	33.33	30.77	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.45	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.56061		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	66.67	26.67	46.15	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.17	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 2021-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Buban

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Michael Buban</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.84	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	84.62	93.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.71	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.56	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	69.23	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.38	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	76.92	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.58	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 2023-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 49

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lance Leslie

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.43333	4	0.85836		30	0.00	20.00	20.00	56.67	3.33	11.11	9.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.72	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.06667	3	1.11211		30	10.00	20.00	30.00	33.33	6.67	13.89	8.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-1.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	3	0.96847		30	0.00	20.00	33.33	33.33	13.33	8.33	5.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.76	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.73333	4	0.63968		30	0.00	3.33	26.67	63.33	6.67	11.11	11.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Lance Leslie													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.10345	4	0.85960		29	0.00	3.45	20.69	37.93	37.93	25.58	19.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.29	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 63

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Daphne Ladue

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.96552	4	0.73108		29	0.00	3.45	17.24	58.62	20.69	27.78	29.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.14	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10345	4	0.85960		29	0.00	6.90	10.34	48.28	34.48	44.44	39.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.00	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20690	4	0.81851		29	0.00	6.90	3.45	51.72	37.93	36.11	47.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.09	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.24138	4	0.73946		29	0.00	3.45	6.90	51.72	37.93	30.56	29.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.14	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Daphne Ladue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.58621	5	0.56803		29	0.00	0.00	3.45	34.48	62.07	39.53	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.19	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 2603-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 63

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nathan Dahl

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.26667	4	0.78492	0.18	30	0.00	3.33	10.00	43.33	43.33	44.44	48.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23333	4	0.97143	0.13	30	3.33	3.33	6.67	40.00	46.67	55.56	52.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.36667	4	0.66868	0.25	30	0.00	0.00	10.00	43.33	46.67	44.44	56.99
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.77682	0.15	30	0.00	3.33	6.67	26.67	63.33	52.78	50.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Nathan Dahl													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.79438	0.30	30	0.00	6.67	0.00	10.00	83.33	48.84	52.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 3123-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 42

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics II

Course Level: All

Instructors: Frederick Carr / Yaoyao Zheng

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	4	0.79614		26	0.00	3.85	15.38	50.00	30.77	33.33	35.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.02	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88462	4	1.17735		26	0.00	23.08	3.85	34.62	38.46	33.33	29.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.22	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88462	4	0.95192		26	0.00	11.54	15.38	46.15	26.92	19.44	24.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.25	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.19231	4	0.84943		26	0.00	3.85	15.38	38.46	42.31	27.78	25.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.19	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Frederick Carr													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.86291		26	0.00	3.85	15.38	34.62	46.15	27.91	21.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.17	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Yaoyao Zheng													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	1.88462	2	0.95192		26	46.15	23.08	26.92	3.85	0.00	4.65	1.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-2.50	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 3223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 41

Section Title: Cloud Physics-Electric/Optics

Course Level: All

Instructors: Guifu Zhang / Yaoyao Zheng

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.81481	4	0.68146		27	0.00	0.00	33.33	51.85	14.81	22.22	19.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.31	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.51852	3	0.80242		27	0.00	7.41	44.44	37.04	11.11	19.44	17.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.59	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.70370	4	0.86890		27	3.70	0.00	33.33	48.15	14.81	11.11	15.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.81481	4	0.83376		27	0.00	7.41	22.22	51.85	18.52	13.89	12.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.62	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.96296	4	0.75862		27	0.00	3.70	18.52	55.56	22.22	23.26	14.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.43	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Yaoyao Zheng													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	1.66667	1	0.87706		27	55.56	25.93	14.81	3.70	0.00	2.33	0.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-2.71	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 3890-060

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Meteorology Internship

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 4323-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Weather Simulation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	2	1.50000		9	22.22	33.33	22.22	0.00	22.22	2.78	1.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.55	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.44444	2	1.58990		9	33.33	33.33	11.11	0.00	22.22	2.78	1.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-1.66	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	4	1.48137		9	11.11	33.33	0.00	33.33	22.22	2.78	2.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.94	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.77778	3	1.64148		9	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	22.22	2.78	2.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-1.78	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	4	1.48137		9	11.11	33.33	0.00	33.33	22.22	6.98	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.17	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 4433-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 47

Section Title: Mesoscale Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jerry Straka / Stefan Rahimi-Esfarjani

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.61538	4	1.16883		26	7.69	11.54	11.54	50.00	19.23	16.67	11.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.52	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.34615	4	1.05612		26	7.69	11.54	26.92	46.15	7.69	16.67	12.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.76	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.84615	4	1.12044		26	3.85	11.54	11.54	42.31	30.77	16.67	21.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.29	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.97980		26	3.85	3.85	11.54	50.00	30.77	19.44	18.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.41	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Stefan Rahimi-Esfarjani													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.58704		26	0.00	0.00	7.69	7.69	84.62	60.47	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.37	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 4553-001 / METR 5553-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 61

Section Title: Climate and Renewable Energy

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.29730	4	0.77692		37	0.00	2.70	10.81	40.54	45.95	47.22	51.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.21	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37838	5	0.79412		37	0.00	2.70	10.81	32.43	54.05	61.11	60.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.28	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.29730	4	0.81189		37	0.00	5.41	5.41	43.24	45.95	38.89	51.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.18	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70270	5	0.61756		37	0.00	0.00	8.11	13.51	78.38	61.11	62.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.38	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70270	5	0.57081		37	0.00	0.00	5.41	18.92	75.68	51.16	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.30	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 4624-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Radar Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Biggerstaff

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		19	0.00	15.79	0.00	52.63	31.58	30.56	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.11	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10526	4	0.80930		19	0.00	0.00	26.32	36.84	36.84	47.22	41.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.00	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.84211	4	1.01451		19	5.26	0.00	26.32	42.11	26.32	13.89	20.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.30	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.05263	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	0.00	47.37	36.84	22.22	19.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.35	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Michael Biggerstaff													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58	20.93	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.45	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 4633-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	61.11	72.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.56	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	69.44	77.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.54	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	63.89	74.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.54	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.65044		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	35.71	57.14	52.78	50.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.15	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	44.19	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.24	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 4653-001 / METR 5653-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Air Pollution Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	70.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 4803-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Global Climate Change: IPCC

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Richman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	50.00	58.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.25	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.00	80.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.57	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	41.67	54.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.22	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 4922-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Senior Seminar II (Capstone)

Course Level: All

Instructors: David Parsons / Jonathan Labriola

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.94444	4	0.99836		18	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	33.33	25.00	26.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.17	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.06027		18	0.00	16.67	16.67	38.89	27.78	27.78	22.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.33	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.70711		18	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	33.33	46.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.04	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	1.04319		18	5.56	0.00	11.11	38.89	44.44	25.00	23.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.22	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61111	5	0.60768		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	27.78	66.67	41.86	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.21	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Jonathan Labriola													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.57451		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	16.67	77.78	53.49	55.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.32	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 4990-060

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Special Problems-Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 5103-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Boundary Layer Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Evgeni Fedorovich

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	58.33	65.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.43	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	41.67	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.10	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Evgeni Fedorovich													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 5123-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Adv Atmospheric Dynamics II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	52.78	61.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.33	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	1.34164		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	50.00	61.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.29	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	52.78	50.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.15	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 5223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Atmospheric Radiation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Morrissey

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	1.06066	-0.51	8	0.00	12.50	37.50	25.00	25.00	19.44	12.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.03510	-0.35	8	0.00	12.50	25.00	37.50	25.00	25.00	21.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755	0.26	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	47.22	58.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	33.33	30.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Morrissey													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402	-0.02	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	32.56	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 5330-995 / METR 4330-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Information Technology Skills

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	76.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.61	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	77.78	88.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.73	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	66.67	72.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.52	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 5353-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Parameterization Schemes

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Stensrud

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	75.00	86.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.85	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	80.56	89.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.79	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	75.00	83.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.68	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
David Stensrud													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 5413-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Advanced Synoptic Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	63.89	73.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.57	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	37.50	25.00	30.56	27.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.23	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	27.78	39.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.00	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	58.33	55.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.29	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	34.88	31.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.10	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 5491-001 / METR 4491-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Weather Briefing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	72.22	82.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.70	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	70.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	72.22	82.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.65	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 5503-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Climate Dynamics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Peter Lamb

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	36.11	34.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.04	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Peter Lamb</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 5803-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Global Climate Change: IPCC

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Richman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666	0.11	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	38.89	45.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721	0.10	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	50.00	47.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.50	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	61.11	72.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.49	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	63.89	68.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.40	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	62.79	64.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 6950-033

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jonathan Gourley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jonathan Gourley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 6950-078

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	41.67	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.10	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	25.00	35.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.13	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 6970-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: ARRC Seminar

Instructor: Tian-You Yu

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Tian-You Yu													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 6970-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: BUL Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.00	80.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.57	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	77.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.57	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 6970-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Convective METR Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Louis Wicker

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.97	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.90	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.92	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.71	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Louis Wicker													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.60	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2014

Course: METR 6970-005

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Climate Seminar Series

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lance Leslie

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.43	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	58.33	65.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650	-0.10	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	41.67	37.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.65	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	72.22	82.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.15	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	52.78	50.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Lance Leslie													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.35	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	58.14	59.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A