

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 161

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mary Lawhon

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.71714		36	0.00	2.78	16.67	58.33	22.22	26.83	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.18	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11429	4	0.83213		35	0.00	2.86	20.00	40.00	37.14	26.83	29.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.11	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	0.97915		36	2.78	5.56	19.44	44.44	27.78	12.20	17.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.30	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.27778	5	0.88192		36	0.00	2.78	19.44	25.00	52.78	17.07	19.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.20	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Mary Lawhon													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.47222	5	0.81015		36	0.00	2.78	11.11	22.22	63.89	28.57	35.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.16	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Morgan Jones

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772	0.36	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277	-0.05	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	31.71	32.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640	0.15	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	46.34	43.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640	0.26	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	46.34	51.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Morgan Jones													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.51	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Morgan Jones

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.36	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.30	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.98	59.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.32	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	63.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.36	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	53.66	58.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Morgan Jones													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	-0.13	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	34.69	38.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Blake Taylor

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452	0.44	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	73.17	75.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452	0.37	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	63.42	64.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.46385	-0.04	7	14.29	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	34.15	35.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.48	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	65.85	71.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Blake Taylor													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.51	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Blake Taylor

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	44.44	33.33	26.83	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.18	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	0.92796		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	55.56	22.22	19.51	23.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.35	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	39.02	37.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.04	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	29.27	29.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.00	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Blake Taylor													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	44.90	52.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.19	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Blake Taylor

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.24	89.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.64	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.24	87.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.56	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	87.81	89.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.58	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Blake Taylor													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Morgan Jones

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	3	0.83666	-0.73	6	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	16.67	7.32	12.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.81650	-0.58	6	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	12.20	17.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246	-0.19	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	21.95	25.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443	-0.53	6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	4.88	13.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Morgan Jones													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772	-0.13	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	34.69	38.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Blake Taylor

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.24	89.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.64	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.24	87.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.56	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	87.81	89.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.58	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Blake Taylor													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-018

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Morgan Jones

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.91	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.83	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.83	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Morgan Jones													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Human Geography

Instructor: Lawrence Burkett

Total Enrollment: 37

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	4	0.70415	0.16	16	0.00	0.00	12.50	43.75	43.75	41.46	46.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	1.02470	-0.10	16	0.00	12.50	6.25	37.50	43.75	29.27	30.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.88506	-0.06	16	0.00	6.25	12.50	43.75	37.50	31.71	32.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81250	5	0.40311	0.43	16	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	81.25	58.54	65.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Lawrence Burkett													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.56061	0.25	15	0.00	0.00	6.67	6.67	86.67	51.02	58.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-022

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Cayton Moore

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452	0.44	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	73.17	75.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.40	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.29	68.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640	0.49	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	78.05	80.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	65.85	71.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Cayton Moore													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.33	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	61.22	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cayton Moore

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.88192		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	11.11	66.67	43.90	53.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.30	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.88192		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	11.11	66.67	43.90	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.24	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.05409		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	29.27	30.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.08	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.88192		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	11.11	66.67	29.27	29.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.00	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Cayton Moore													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	40.82	44.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.08	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1103-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 73

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lene Le Roux

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	0.98561		15	6.67	0.00	33.33	46.67	13.33	9.76	13.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.62	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.20712		15	6.67	6.67	20.00	33.33	33.33	17.07	20.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.44	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	0.99043		15	6.67	0.00	13.33	60.00	20.00	9.76	15.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.33	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	40.00	53.33	31.71	32.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.02	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Lene Le Roux													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.94112		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	46.67	22.45	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1114-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 92

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shannon Hall

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.45584	0.12	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.73	27.27	36.59	40.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65828	0.12	21	0.00	0.00	9.52	47.62	42.86	39.02	39.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.31818	4	0.77989	0.13	22	0.00	4.55	4.55	45.45	45.45	43.90	41.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.58109	0.22	22	0.00	0.00	4.55	27.27	68.18	41.46	43.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Shannon Hall													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.39477	0.28	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	55.10	60.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-011

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Melissa Perkins

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Melissa Perkins</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	40.00	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.39	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	30.00	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.38	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	28.57	28.57	10.00	8.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	-1.12	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	20.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	-0.39	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	20.00	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.39	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-012

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Filoteo GÃ³mez MartÃ­nez

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Filoteo GÃ³mez MartÃ­nez													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	30.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.60	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.21	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.30	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.17	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.12	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1114-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Melissa Perkins

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Melissa Perkins</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	30.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.60	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.60	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	60.00	56.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.18	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	90.00	82.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.36	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	80.00	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.36	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1114-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Filoteo GÃ³mez MartÃ­nez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Filoteo GÃ³mez MartÃ­nez</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.00	82.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.48	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	82.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.51	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.64	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.17	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.00	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.48	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1114-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 90

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.86957	4	0.86887		23	0.00	4.35	30.43	39.13	26.09	17.07	18.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.32	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.78261	4	0.90235		23	0.00	13.04	13.04	56.52	17.39	14.63	19.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.46	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91304	4	0.84816		23	0.00	8.70	13.04	56.52	21.74	14.63	19.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.28	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.39130	5	0.78272		23	0.00	0.00	17.39	26.09	56.52	21.95	25.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.07	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Joshua Hatzis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.65217	5	0.57277		23	0.00	0.00	4.35	26.09	69.57	36.74	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.07	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-021

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Claire Burch

Total Enrollment: 22

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Claire Burch													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	56.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.12	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.21	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.30	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.17	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	30.00	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.24	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1114-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Andrews Korah

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Andrews Korah													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	50.00	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.31	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	40.00	43.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.14	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	40.00	43.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.09	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	40.00	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.06	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	50.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.02	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1114-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Claire Burch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Claire Burch													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	10.00	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.96	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	10.00	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.67	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	20.00	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	-0.73	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	10.00	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	-0.68	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	10.00	8.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.96	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-024

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Andrews Korah

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Andrews Korah													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	4	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.64	36.36	60.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.08	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.77460		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	45.45	27.27	30.00	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.38	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.55	45.45	30.00	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	-0.11	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	4	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.64	36.36	30.00	39.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.01	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	45.45	45.45	40.00	39.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.07	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1114-030

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Dorothy Na-Yemeh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.59914	0.07	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	61.54	30.77	29.27	31.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.65044	0.18	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	41.46	43.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	0.68874		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	53.85	30.77	36.59	36.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		-0.03	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	36.59	41.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		0.20	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52		
Dorothy Na-Yemeh													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553	0.31	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	59.18	64.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-031

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Instructor: James Tichy

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>James Tichy</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	80.00	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.30	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	70.00	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.36	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	50.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.13	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	80.00	78.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.31	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.12	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-032

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Instructor: James Tichy

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>James Tichy</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34483	4	0.71455		58	0.00	0.00	13.79	37.93	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.84	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	90.00	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.36207	4	0.66750		58	0.00	0.00	10.34	43.10	46.55		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.56	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	90.00	82.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.68184		58	0.00	1.72	5.17	34.48	58.62		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.37	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.51	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41379	4	0.62223		58	0.00	0.00	6.90	44.83	48.28		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.55	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1203-200

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.52223	0.31	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.55	45.45	46.34	54.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452	0.44	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	70.73	71.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.52223	0.37	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	65.85	68.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151	0.54	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	73.17	76.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151	0.39	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	67.35	74.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1203-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 142

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Course Level: All

Instructors: Anesu Makina / Aditi Singh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.99195		40	2.50	5.00	25.00	37.50	30.00	19.51	20.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.32	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	1.23387		40	7.50	10.00	25.00	27.50	30.00	9.76	15.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.62	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.84124		40	0.00	2.50	22.50	37.50	37.50	26.83	29.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.09	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.05000	4	0.78283		40	0.00	5.00	12.50	55.00	27.50	7.32	14.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.47	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Anesu Makina													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.95542		40	2.50	5.00	20.00	45.00	27.50	18.37	23.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.89	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Aditi Singh													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 1213-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Economic Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.91	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	75.61	76.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.48	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	95.12	95.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.66	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 94

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.38462	4	1.38786		26	15.38	11.54	15.38	34.62	23.08	4.88	9.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.85	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.42308	4	1.36156		26	15.38	7.69	19.23	34.62	23.08	7.32	13.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.84	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.11538	3	1.30620		26	15.38	15.38	26.92	26.92	15.38	2.44	7.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-1.10	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.23077	3	1.33589		26	15.38	11.54	26.92	26.92	19.23	2.44	6.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-1.43	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.30769	3	1.56893		26	23.08	3.85	26.92	11.54	34.62	16.33	19.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-1.64	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3213-001

Section Title: Principles-Human Geography

Instructor: Anthony Levenda

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.91	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.83	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	78.05	80.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.49	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Anthony Levenda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	40.82	44.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.08	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 3233-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Principles of Sustainability

Course Level: All

Instructors: Travis Gliedt / Kacie Scherler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.63158	5	0.59726		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	68.42	78.05	80.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.51	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.47368	5	0.61178		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	42.11	52.63	46.34	51.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.27	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.61570		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	33.33	61.11	68.29	70.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.38	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.89474	5	0.31530		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.53	89.47	68.29	74.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.53	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Kacie Scherler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3443-001

Section Title: Environment and Society

Instructors: Randy Peppler / Joseph Gallagher

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	85.37	85.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.61	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	85.37	85.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.54	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	90.24	92.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.65	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	73.17	76.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.54	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Randy Peppler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	67.35	74.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.39	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Joseph Gallagher													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 3443-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructors: Randy Pepler / Joseph Gallagher

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.36	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	92.68	92.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.62	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	63.42	63.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.32	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Randy Pepler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Joseph Gallagher													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 3513-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Political Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	1.48324		5	20.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	20.00	2.44	7.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-1.05	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.58114		5	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	4.88	7.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-1.28	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	7.32	13.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.40	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.09545		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	12.20	17.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.29	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	20.41	27.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.76	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3523-001 / METR 3523-001

Section Title: Managing for Changing Climate

Instructors: Renee Mc Pherson / Elinor Martin

Total Enrollment: 32

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.06904		14	7.14	0.00	0.00	42.86	50.00	39.02	42.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.13	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.94054		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	71.43	60.98	59.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.30	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	1.08941		14	7.14	0.00	0.00	28.57	64.29	48.78	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.25	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.84190		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	14.29	78.57	43.90	45.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.23	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.82542		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	7.14	85.71	42.86	48.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.15	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Elinor Martin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.57893		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	85.71	46.94	54.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.24	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 3773-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Course Level: All

Instructors: Robert Rundstrom / Cayton Moore

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	73.17	75.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.44	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	80.49	82.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.53	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.25357		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	0.00	71.43	41.46	40.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.10	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	24.39	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.02	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	14.29	71.43	26.53	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.22	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Cayton Moore													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 3890-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 48

Section Title: Geography of Sports

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Ericson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.13241		35	8.57	2.86	14.29	48.57	25.71	14.63	17.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.40	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.05714	4	1.02736		35	2.86	5.71	14.29	37.14	40.00	24.39	28.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.17	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.05714	4	1.05560		35	2.86	8.57	8.57	40.00	40.00	24.39	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.13	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.92582		35	2.86	0.00	14.29	31.43	51.43	19.51	20.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.19	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Steven Ericson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.48571	5	0.78108		35	0.00	0.00	17.14	17.14	65.71	30.61	36.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.15	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 3924-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Quantitative Methods

Course Level: All

Instructors: John Greene / Shelby Snapp

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.52632	5	0.77233		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	31.58	63.16	65.85	70.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.39	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.68421	5	0.74927		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	15.79	78.95	78.05	78.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.49	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63158	5	0.76089		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	73.68	73.17	75.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.46	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.56195		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	15.79	78.95	48.78	52.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.34	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84211	5	0.50146		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	5.26	89.47	57.14	63.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.31	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Shelby Snapp													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4200-007

Section Title: Internship in Geography

Instructor: Gary Gress

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.36	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.98	59.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.30	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	63.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.32	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4583-001 / GEOG 5583-001

Section Title: Energy Systems and Sustaine

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	80.49	81.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.54	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.61	76.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.48	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	95.12	95.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.66	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	60.98	67.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.45	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4893-001

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Total Enrollment: 18

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.47	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	75.61	79.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.40	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.29	68.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.42	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	70.73	73.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	56.10	64.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.51	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 4893-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000	0.09	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	34.15	37.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.30	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.98	59.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.32	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	63.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.06	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	34.15	36.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.51	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4953-001

Section Title: Capstone

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	26.83	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.18	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	7.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-1.28	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	21.95	25.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.19	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4990-015

Section Title: Independent Study

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.91	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.83	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.83	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 5113-997

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Quantitative Methods in Geog

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	14.63	17.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.40	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	34.15	34.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.02	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	5	1.67332		5	20.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	4.88	12.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.60	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	12.20	17.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.29	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	51.02	58.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.25	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 5283-001 / GEOG 4283-001

Section Title: Biogeography

Instructor: Bruce Hoagland

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743	0.09	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	34.15	37.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421	-0.23	4	0.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	21.95	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421	-0.19	4	0.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	21.95	25.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.50000	-0.23	4	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	14.63	18.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Bruce Hoagland													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.50000	-0.45	4	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	24.49	29.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 5313-001 / GEOG 4313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Interpreting Society & Environ

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.36	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.98	59.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.30	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	63.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.32	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	53.66	58.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.36	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	63.27	70.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.35	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 6240-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Seminar in Geography & ES

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Wimberly

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.36	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	63.42	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.30	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.98	59.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.58	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	87.81	89.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.65	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Michael Wimberly													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.51	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GEOG 6973-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Thinking about Geography & ES

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Levenda

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	85.37	85.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.61	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	85.37	85.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.54	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	80.49	82.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.55	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Anthony Levenda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GIS 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593	0.13	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	33.33	42.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	4	0.63554		49	0.00	0.00	8.16	46.94	44.90		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452	0.22	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	66.67	47.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.38776	4	0.67133		49	0.00	2.04	4.08	46.94	46.94		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452	0.25	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	5	0.78246		49	0.00	4.08	6.12	38.78	51.02		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.48	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	83.33	71.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.54006		49	0.00	0.00	4.08	20.41	75.51		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.33	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	80.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GIS 2023-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / John Hill

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	83.33	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	4	0.63554		49	0.00	0.00	8.16	46.94	44.90		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.36	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	33.33	41.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.38776	4	0.67133		49	0.00	2.04	4.08	46.94	46.94		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.17	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	66.67	74.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	5	0.78246		49	0.00	4.08	6.12	38.78	51.02		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.45	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	33.33	58.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.54006		49	0.00	0.00	4.08	20.41	75.51		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.36	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	90.00	70.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.35	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
John Hill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GIS 2023-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / John Hill

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	4	0.63554		49	0.00	0.00	8.16	46.94	44.90		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.91	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	83.33	76.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.38776	4	0.67133		49	0.00	2.04	4.08	46.94	46.94		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.48	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	83.33	80.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	5	0.78246		49	0.00	4.08	6.12	38.78	51.02		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.49	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.54006		49	0.00	0.00	4.08	20.41	75.51		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	44.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.08	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
John Hill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructors: Todd Fagin / Kaitlin Williams

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	4	0.63043		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	53.85	38.46	50.00	45.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	4	0.63554		49	0.00	0.00	8.16	46.94	44.90		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.15	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	0.89872		13	0.00	7.69	7.69	46.15	38.46	16.67	31.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.38776	4	0.67133		49	0.00	2.04	4.08	46.94	46.94		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.07	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	33.33	45.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	5	0.78246		49	0.00	4.08	6.12	38.78	51.02		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.20	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	50.00	60.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.54006		49	0.00	0.00	4.08	20.41	75.51		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.38	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Todd Fagin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.62158		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	8.33	83.33	70.00	52.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.19	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Kaitlin Williams													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GIS 4013-011 / GIS 5013-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kaitlin Williams

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Kaitlin Williams													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.18182	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	45.45	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.02	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.18182	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	45.45	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.09	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.27273	5	0.90453		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	18.18	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	-0.18	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.18182	5	0.87386		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	27.27	45.45		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.06	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.48	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kaitlin Williams

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Kaitlin Williams													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	50.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.18182	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	45.45	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.60	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	50.00	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.18182	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	45.45	36.36		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.38	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	50.00	21.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.27273	5	0.90453		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	18.18	54.55		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	-0.50	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	50.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.18182	5	0.87386		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	27.27	45.45		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	-0.39	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	50.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.60	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GIS 4653-001 / GIS 5653-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Spatial Programming and GIS

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jennifer Koch / Trey Lee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51640		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.42	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.18421	4	0.90484		456	2.41	2.41	11.62	41.45	42.11		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.36	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.93095		16	0.00	6.25	12.50	31.25	50.00	36.59	36.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.19690	4	0.96900		452	2.21	4.65	11.73	34.07	47.35		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.04	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.89209		16	0.00	6.25	6.25	25.00	62.50	51.22	51.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.20705	4	0.94733		454	2.20	4.41	10.13	37.00	46.26		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.26	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.71880		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	39.02	42.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.48465	5	0.81725		456	1.10	1.75	8.99	23.90	64.25		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.21	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81250	5	0.40311		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	81.25	53.06	59.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.27	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Trey Lee													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.58244	5	0.82031		467	1.50	1.71	7.28	16.06	73.45		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GIS 5013-997

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772	0.25	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	66.67	51.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	4	0.63554		49	0.00	0.00	8.16	46.94	44.90		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.62	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	92.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.38776	4	0.67133		49	0.00	2.04	4.08	46.94	46.94		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.63	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	91.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	5	0.78246		49	0.00	4.08	6.12	38.78	51.02		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.42	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	66.67	64.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.54006		49	0.00	0.00	4.08	20.41	75.51		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.51	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: GIS 5923-001 / GIS 4923-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Spatial Statistics

Course Level: All

Instructors: Thomas Neeson / Katherine Ho

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.72501		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	46.15	38.46	16.67	31.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	4	0.63554		49	0.00	0.00	8.16	46.94	44.90		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.07	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	50.00	43.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.38776	4	0.67133		49	0.00	2.04	4.08	46.94	46.94		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.18	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	1.11516		13	0.00	15.38	15.38	30.77	38.46	16.67	20.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.36735	5	0.78246		49	0.00	4.08	6.12	38.78	51.02		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.27	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.77625		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	16.67	31.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.54006		49	0.00	0.00	4.08	20.41	75.51		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.02	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.63043		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	15.38	76.92	60.00	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.12	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Katherine Ho													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.79167	5	0.50353		48	0.00	0.00	4.17	12.50	83.33		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 1003-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Intro to the Atmos Sciences

Course Level: All

Instructors: Elinor Martin / Ryann Wakefield

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	77.14	71.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.41	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	74.29	71.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.44	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	54.29	52.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.27	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	48.57	39.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.12	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Elinor Martin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	65.22	60.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.28	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Ryann Wakefield													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 1003-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Intro to the Atmos Sciences

Course Level: All

Instructors: Elinor Martin / Ryann Wakefield

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.58824	5	0.50730		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.18	58.82	80.00	76.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.46	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.76471	5	0.56230		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	82.35	88.57	89.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.58	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70588	5	0.46967		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.41	70.59	85.71	81.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.53	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88235	5	0.33211		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	88.24	80.00	73.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.51	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Elinor Martin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88235	5	0.48507		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	0.00	94.12	76.09	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.36	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Ryann Wakefield													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 1014-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 165

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.24324	4	0.79601		37	0.00	5.41	5.41	48.65	40.54	42.86	34.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.08	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.52778	5	0.55990		36	0.00	0.00	2.78	41.67	55.56	60.00	60.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.33	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.69179		36	0.00	2.78	2.78	44.44	50.00	51.43	47.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.24	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45946	5	0.80259		37	0.00	2.70	10.81	24.32	62.16	37.14	30.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.01	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68571	5	0.63113		35	0.00	0.00	8.57	14.29	77.14	50.00	45.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.11	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-011

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Logan Mitchell

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Logan Mitchell													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	18.18	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.60	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	36.36	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.38	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	45.46	56.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.18	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	45.46	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	-0.02	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	9.09	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-1.08	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 1014-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Emily Tinney

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Emily Tinney													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	54.55	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.27	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	45.46	43.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.14	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.64	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.73	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.28	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.55	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-013

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Ryan Bunker

Total Enrollment: 23

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Ryan Bunker													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.84	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.80	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.64	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.73	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.64	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.12	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 1014-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Emily Blumenauer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Emily Blumenauer													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	36.36	43.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.24	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	27.27	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.67	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	27.27	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	-0.39	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	27.27	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	-0.68	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	36.36	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.60	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 1014-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Christian Hall

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Christian Hall													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.91	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.64	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.91	95.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.63	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	81.82	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.45	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.91	95.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.57	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	81.82	78.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.43	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 1014-016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Christian Hall

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Christian Hall													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	63.64	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.30	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	63.64	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.21	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	63.64	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.30	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	81.82	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.45	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.91	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.48	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 1014-017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Emily Blumenauer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Emily Blumenauer													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	9.09	8.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.84	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.64317		6	16.67	16.67	0.00	33.33	33.33	18.18	8.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.97	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.50555		6	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	33.33	9.09	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	-1.19	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	4	1.72240		6	33.33	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	9.09	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	-1.33	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	33.33	18.18	13.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.84	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-910

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Total Enrollment: 41

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.09291		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	22.22	55.56	37.14	29.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.06	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	65.71	63.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.36	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	77.14	70.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.38	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	62.86	51.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.26	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	47.83	44.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.08	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 1014-911

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jonah Pehl

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jonah Pehl													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	36.36	43.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	-0.24	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.73205		4	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	18.18	8.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.97	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	18.18	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	-0.73	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.50000		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	27.27	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	-0.68	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	36.36	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.60	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-912

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: Jonah Pehl

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jonah Pehl													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	81.82	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.55	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	72.73	69.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.33	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	36.36	43.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.09	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	54.55	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.06	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	45.46	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	-0.02	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1313-001

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: David Stang

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	74.29	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.36	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.83	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.71	63.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.32	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
David Stang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1313-002

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: David Stang

Total Enrollment: 16

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.47	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	82.86	79.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.62	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	91.43	92.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.63	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	94.29	91.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
David Stang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.25	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	63.04	58.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 2004-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 55

Section Title: Atmospheric Circulations

Course Level: All

Instructors: Cameron Homeyer / Elisa Murillo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.34783	4	0.57277		23	0.00	0.00	4.35	56.52	39.13	57.14	48.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.20	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.69565	5	0.55880		23	0.00	0.00	4.35	21.74	73.91	80.00	79.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.51	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13043	4	1.01374		23	0.00	13.04	4.35	39.13	43.48	40.00	34.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.06	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52174	5	0.59311		23	0.00	0.00	4.35	39.13	56.52	45.71	37.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.09	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.86957	5	0.34435		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.04	86.96	71.74	67.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.34	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Elisa Murillo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.31818	4	0.71623		22	0.00	0.00	13.64	40.91	45.45	36.96	31.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.36	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 2603-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 66

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructors: Michael Biggerstaff / Amanda Murphy

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.55556	3	1.50381		18	38.89	11.11	16.67	22.22	11.11	2.86	1.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-1.75	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.05556	3	1.39209		18	16.67	22.22	16.67	27.78	16.67	14.29	8.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-1.22	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.94444	3	1.43372		18	22.22	16.67	22.22	22.22	16.67	14.29	6.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-1.27	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.58824	4	1.41681		17	11.76	11.76	17.65	23.53	35.29	22.86	10.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-1.01	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
<i>Michael Biggerstaff</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	5	1.52966		18	16.67	0.00	16.67	11.11	55.56	26.09	22.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.90	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
<i>Amanda Murphy</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.96393		16	0.00	6.25	12.50	12.50	68.75	41.30	34.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.21	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 2603-996

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 76

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Murphy

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.43478	5	0.89575	0.29	23	0.00	8.70	0.00	30.43	60.87	62.86	52.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.65217	5	0.57277	0.46	23	0.00	0.00	4.35	26.09	69.57	77.14	73.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.47826	5	0.79026	0.30	23	0.00	4.35	4.35	30.43	60.87	57.14	53.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78261	5	0.51843		23	0.00	0.00	4.35	13.04	82.61	74.29	62.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Amanda Murphy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.82609	5	0.49103	0.29	23	0.00	0.00	4.35	8.70	86.96	69.57	62.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 3113-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 54

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics I

Course Level: All

Instructors: Evgeni Fedorovich / Tyler Young

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.34615	4	0.89184		26	0.00	23.08	23.08	50.00	3.85	17.14	8.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.89	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.34615	4	0.97744		26	3.85	15.38	30.77	42.31	7.69	22.86	12.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.92	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.15385	3	1.12044		26	7.69	23.08	23.08	38.46	7.69	17.14	8.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-1.06	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.57692	4	0.98684		26	0.00	15.38	30.77	34.62	19.23	20.00	9.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-1.02	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Evgeni Fedorovich													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.65385	4	0.79711		26	3.85	0.00	30.77	57.69	7.69	21.74	20.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-1.20	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Tyler Young													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 3213-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 55

Section Title: Phys Meteor I: Thermodynamics

Course Level: All

Instructors: Phillip Chilson / Emily Lenhardt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.23529	4	0.65079		51	0.00	0.00	11.76	52.94	35.29	40.00	32.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.07	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43137	5	0.75511		51	0.00	3.92	3.92	37.25	54.90	54.29	48.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.23	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50980	5	0.61229		51	0.00	0.00	5.88	37.25	56.86	68.57	64.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.33	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		51	0.00	0.00	1.96	29.41	68.63	62.86	51.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.26	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Phillip Chilson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.94000	5	0.23990		50	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.00	94.00	84.78	77.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.43	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Emily Lenhardt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 3323-001 / METR 5313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Statistical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Feng Xu

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711	0.09	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	45.71	37.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755	0.43	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	71.43	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452	0.32	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.71	63.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291	0.36	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	68.57	58.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Feng Xu													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.51	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 3613-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 50

Section Title: Meteorological Measurement Sys

Course Level: All

Instructor: Scott Salesky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20833	4	0.77903	0.05	24	0.00	4.17	8.33	50.00	37.50	34.29	28.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.65386	0.21	24	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	51.43	46.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	4	1.10007	-0.11	24	0.00	16.67	4.17	33.33	45.83	34.29	28.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.65938	0.06	24	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	42.86	36.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Scott Salesky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.44843	0.35	24	0.00	0.00	4.17	4.17	91.67	73.91	70.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-011

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Instructor: Brian Greene

Total Enrollment: 22

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Brian Greene													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.15	53.85	45.46	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.18	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	0.94733		13	0.00	7.69	7.69	30.77	53.85	54.55	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	-0.02	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	72.73	78.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.32	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	1.36344		13	7.69	7.69	7.69	7.69	69.23	36.36	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	-0.13	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	38.46	53.85	54.55	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.07	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-012

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Instructor: Brian Greene

Total Enrollment: 28

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Brian Greene													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	72.73	78.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.65774		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	35.29	58.82		
	COLLEGE	4.41606	5	0.69285	0.32	137	0.00	0.73	9.49	37.23	52.55		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	18.18	72.73	81.82	78.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.30882	5	0.99637		68	1.47	7.35	7.35	26.47	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.32117	5	0.84831	0.37	137	0.73	3.65	9.49	35.04	51.09		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	54.55	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.73586		68	1.47	0.00	5.88	22.06	70.59		
	COLLEGE	4.53285	5	0.72812	0.27	137	0.73	0.73	7.30	27.01	64.23		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	18.18	72.73	63.64	69.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.32353	5	1.07121		68	4.41	4.41	5.88	25.00	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35036	5	0.88775	0.22	137	2.19	2.19	8.03	33.58	54.01		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	18.18	72.73	72.73	69.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.42647	5	0.75934		68	0.00	1.47	11.76	29.41	57.35		
	COLLEGE	4.41481	5	0.69524	0.32	135	0.00	0.74	9.63	37.04	52.59		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 4133-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 49

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics III

Course Level: All

Instructors: Xuguang Wang / Martin Satrio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	4	0.50839		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.85	46.15	65.71	56.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.32	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57692	5	0.50383		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.31	57.69	68.57	65.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.38	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.70602		26	0.00	3.85	0.00	34.62	61.54	74.29	67.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.36	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.42967		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	71.43	60.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.38	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
<i>Xuguang Wang</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88462	5	0.32581		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.54	88.46	78.26	72.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.36	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
<i>Martin Satrio</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.42967		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	58.70	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.21	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 4424-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 48

Section Title: Synoptic Meteorology Lab

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Basara / Arianna Jordan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44426		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.57	89.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.64	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.57124		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	20.00	75.00	82.86	80.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.51	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44426		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	91.43	89.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.58	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.95000	5	0.22361		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	95.00	82.86	78.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.59	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Arianna Jordan													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 4713-001 / METR 5713-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Private Sector Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructors: John Snow / David Parsons

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	91.43	90.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.69	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	48.57	45.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.19	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	48.57	46.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.22	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	51.43	40.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.18	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
John Snow													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.22474		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	32.61	27.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.76	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	63.04	58.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.25	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 4803-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Physical Meteorology III

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jason Furtado / Ty Dickinson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	85.71	82.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.60	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	85.71	82.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.53	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.75593		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	80.00	71.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.39	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	77.14	71.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.48	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jason Furtado													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92857	5	0.26726		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	92.86	82.61	76.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.42	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Ty Dickinson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92857	5	0.26726		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	92.86	82.61	76.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.42	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4911-001

Section Title: Senior Seminar (Capstone)

Instructor: Jens Redemann

Total Enrollment: 49

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.27027	4	0.80445		37	0.00	2.70	13.51	37.84	45.95	48.57	39.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.11	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.84984		37	0.00	8.11	10.81	54.05	27.03	37.14	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.23	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27027	4	0.65186		37	0.00	0.00	10.81	51.35	37.84	42.86	39.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.09	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30556	4	0.74907		36	0.00	2.78	8.33	44.44	44.44	28.57	21.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.17	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jens Redemann													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70270	5	0.66101		37	0.00	2.70	2.70	16.22	78.38	52.17	47.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.13	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 5004-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Fundamentals of Atmos Science

Course Level: All

Instructors: Steven Cavallo / Madeline Clark

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.17514		15	6.67	13.33	6.67	53.33	20.00	22.86	14.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.54	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	1.20712		15	13.33	13.33	20.00	46.67	6.67	17.14	9.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-1.07	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.26667	4	1.43759		15	20.00	13.33	0.00	53.33	13.33	22.86	10.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.94	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.06667	4	1.27988		15	20.00	6.67	26.67	40.00	6.67	8.57	3.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-1.62	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.53333	4	1.24595		15	13.33	6.67	6.67	60.00	13.33	19.57	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-1.36	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		
Madeline Clark													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5113-001

Section Title: Advanced Atmos Dynamics I

Instructor: Naoko Sakaeda

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	4	0.58787		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	58.82	35.29	51.43	43.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.14	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.52941	5	0.51450		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.06	52.94	62.86	62.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.33	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.52941	5	0.51450		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.06	52.94	71.43	65.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.35	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.49259		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.29	64.71	54.29	46.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.24	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Naoko Sakaeda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.82353	5	0.39295		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	82.35	67.39	61.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.28	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 5233-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Cloud & Precipitation Physics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gregory McFarquhar

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	4	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.64	36.36	60.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.21	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	45.45	45.45	45.71	40.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.16	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	0.70065		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	54.55	18.18	28.57	18.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.28	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	45.45	45.45	34.29	24.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.10	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Gregory McFarquhar													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	54.35	49.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.16	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5344-001

Section Title: Computational Fluid Dynamics I

Instructor: Ming Xue

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.36	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	74.29	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743	-0.49	4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	28.57	18.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.32	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	65.71	63.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735	0.26	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	62.86	51.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Ming Xue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735	0.08	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	47.83	44.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 5633-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.91	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.83	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.83	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 5633-996

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650	-0.18	7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	31.43	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.89974	-0.38	7	0.00	0.00	42.86	28.57	28.57	31.43	21.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.89974	-0.34	7	0.00	0.00	42.86	28.57	28.57	25.71	14.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		7	0.00	0.00	42.86	14.29	42.86	25.71	13.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593	-0.40	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	34.78	30.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 5633-997

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	1.25831		4	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	11.43	4.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-1.54	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.29099		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.71	14.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.76	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	2	1.73205		4	25.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	5.71	2.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-1.73	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	1.29099		4	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	2.86	1.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-2.29	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	32.61	27.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.76	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 5633-998

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	75.00	12.50	25.71	20.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.32	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.03510		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	37.50	50.00	40.00	36.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.04	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	50.00	37.50	37.14	32.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.06	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	42.86	36.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.06	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	43.48	39.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.03	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 6413-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Topics-Advanced Mesoscale Metr

Course Level: All

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	31.43	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.18	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	0.57735		3	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00	2.86	1.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-1.64	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	82.86	80.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.49	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	31.43	23.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-0.13	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	39.13	32.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-0.34	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 6613-001 / ECE 6613-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Weather Radar Polarimetry

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guifu Zhang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.29099		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	12.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	-0.73	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421		4	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	11.43	7.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-1.28	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.70783		4	25.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	9.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.96	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421		4	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	5.71	2.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	-1.70	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	1.50000		4	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	17.39	18.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	-1.72	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 6970-003

Section Title: BUL Seminar

Instructor: Elizabeth Smith

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	74.29	69.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.36	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	57.14	59.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.30	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	31.43	25.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	-0.19	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Elizabeth Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 6970-004

Section Title: Convective METR Seminar

Instructor: Corey Potvin

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735	0.18	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	54.29	47.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807		967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735	0.12	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	42.86	39.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766		962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735	0.15	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	45.71	43.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656		964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.65	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033		964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Corey Potvin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.51	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646		1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 6970-005

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Weather and Climate Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.91	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	37.14	26.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	-0.23	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	91.43	89.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.58	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	68.57	58.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.36	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	56.52	52.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.19	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2020

Course: METR 6970-006

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: School of METR-PhD Seminar

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.12771	4	0.95389		462	2.60	4.98	9.31	43.29	39.83		
	COLLEGE	4.16649	4	0.91807	0.91	967	2.38	3.52	10.34	42.61	41.16		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21692	4	0.95132		461	1.74	5.42	9.98	35.14	47.72		
	COLLEGE	4.21622	4	0.94766	0.83	962	1.87	4.89	10.50	35.24	47.51		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14751	4	1.02148		461	3.04	5.86	9.98	35.57	45.55		
	COLLEGE	4.18672	4	0.97656	0.83	964	2.49	5.08	9.85	36.41	46.16		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38126	5	0.90157		459	1.53	3.70	8.50	27.67	58.61		
	COLLEGE	4.44710	5	0.85033	0.65	964	1.24	2.59	8.51	25.52	62.14		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59851	5	0.77589		538	1.30	1.49	5.76	18.96	72.49		
	COLLEGE	4.60019	5	0.78646	0.51	1,053	1.33	1.52	6.36	17.38	73.41		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A