

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 123

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.92000	4	0.69517		50	0.00	2.00	22.00	58.00	18.00	35.71	28.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.21	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92000	4	0.85332		50	0.00	8.00	16.00	52.00	24.00	33.33	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.17	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88000	4	0.93982		50	0.00	10.00	20.00	42.00	28.00	26.19	21.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.30	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.94118	4	0.83455		51	0.00	7.84	13.73	54.90	23.53	11.91	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.47	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	0.82033		51	0.00	1.96	15.69	27.45	54.90	35.42	34.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.18	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anesu Makina

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	45.24	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.12	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	57.14	46.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.08	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	66.67	16.67	23.81	18.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.35	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	42.86	33.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.01	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Anesu Makina													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	43.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.00	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-012

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Anesu Makina

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.21106		6	0.00	33.33	16.67	33.33	16.67	4.76	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.87	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.26491		6	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	4.76	6.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-1.08	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	23.81	18.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.35	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.21106		6	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	33.33	4.76	7.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.79	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Anesu Makina													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	14.58	16.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-1.01	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-013

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Cayton Moore

Total Enrollment: 23

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.16496		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	12.50	62.50	59.52	48.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.16	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.03510		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	37.50	50.00	66.67	56.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.16	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	59.52	53.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.23	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.04	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Cayton Moore													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	54.17	47.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.09	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-014

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Anesu Makina

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	50.00	25.00	23.81	22.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.26	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	37.50	25.00	26.19	26.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.21	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	37.50	37.50	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.17	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	37.50	37.50	16.67	16.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.40	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Anesu Makina													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	5	1.24642		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	0.00	62.50	22.92	22.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.45	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anesu Makina

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666	0.10	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	54.76	43.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772	0.31	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	73.81	66.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666	0.05	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	54.76	43.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.30	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	73.81	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Anesu Makina													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.12	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	60.42	51.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cayton Moore

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.44	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	78.57	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743	-0.33	4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	19.05	22.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	0.37	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	78.57	71.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	16.67	16.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		-0.40	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57		
Cayton Moore													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.30	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	72.92	65.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-017

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Cayton Moore

Total Enrollment: 16

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	14.29	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.50	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	21.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.42	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	14.29	13.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.52	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	42.86	33.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.01	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Cayton Moore													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	61.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.20	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-018

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cayton Moore

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	1.00	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	85.71	82.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.57	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.10	85.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.54	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Cayton Moore													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 72

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Levenda

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.96296	4	0.80007	-0.16	54	0.00	5.56	16.67	53.70	24.07	40.48	31.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.07407	4	0.82076	-0.01	54	0.00	5.56	12.96	50.00	31.48	47.62	38.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.77703	-0.17	54	1.85	0.00	18.52	55.56	24.07	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35185	4	0.73092	0.01	54	0.00	1.85	9.26	40.74	48.15	45.24	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Anthony Levenda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.59259	5	0.63002	0.11	54	0.00	0.00	7.41	25.93	66.67	58.33	49.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-021

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Lene Le Roux

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.73786		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	50.00	20.00	30.95	26.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.23	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	45.24	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.09	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.56765		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	70.00	10.00	33.33	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.27	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	26.19	23.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.17	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Lene Le Roux													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	39.58	37.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.12	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lene Le Roux

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	21.43	18.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.35	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	45.24	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.09	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	66.67	61.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.26	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	26.19	23.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.17	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Lene Le Roux													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	77.08	73.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.37	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lene Le Roux

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.97014		18	5.56	5.56	16.67	61.11	11.11	14.29	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.50	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	4	0.98352		18	5.56	5.56	27.78	50.00	11.11	11.91	17.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.53	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.15045		18	5.56	11.11	5.56	50.00	27.78	23.81	18.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.35	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.87820		18	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	28.57	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.14	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Lene Le Roux													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.02899		18	5.56	0.00	16.67	44.44	33.33	20.83	21.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lene Le Roux

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.87560		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	60.00	20.00	30.95	26.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.23	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.94281		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	50.00	30.00	45.24	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.09	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.17379		10	0.00	30.00	0.00	50.00	20.00	9.52	7.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.59	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	20.00	40.00	30.00	9.52	11.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.52	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Lene Le Roux													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.13529		10	0.00	10.00	20.00	10.00	60.00	25.00	24.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.36	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1103-200

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 69

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.64365		21	0.00	4.76	23.81	66.67	4.76	19.05	17.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.44	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.76190	4	0.94365		21	0.00	14.29	14.29	52.38	19.05	21.43	23.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.32	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.73030		21	0.00	9.52	19.05	66.67	4.76	14.29	13.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.52	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.90238		21	0.00	4.76	14.29	28.57	52.38	35.71	28.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.07	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.91287		21	0.00	4.76	14.29	23.81	57.14	31.25	31.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.20	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1114-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 93

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Shafer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.79705		35	0.00	0.00	22.86	34.29	42.86	54.76	43.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.10	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.65079		35	0.00	0.00	8.57	22.86	68.57	80.95	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.51	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.60807		35	0.00	0.00	5.71	31.43	62.86	83.33	77.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.44	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77143	5	0.42604		35	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.86	77.14	78.57	71.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.50	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Mark Shafer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91429	5	0.28403		35	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.57	91.43	81.25	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.50	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-011

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Joseph Gallagher

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joseph Gallagher													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.92857	5	0.26726		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	92.86	100.00	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.61	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.00	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.54	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.92857	5	0.26726		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	92.86	80.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.37	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.44	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.72627		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	90.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.35	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-012

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Jacob Marchlinski

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Jacob Marchlinski</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	60.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.21	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	60.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.22	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	40.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-0.04	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.06	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	40.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.30	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-013

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Lora LaPree

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Lora LaPree													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	60.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.21	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.71	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.48	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	60.00	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.20	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	80.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.29	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-014

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Lora LaPree

Total Enrollment: 18

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Lora LaPree													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	90.00	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.48	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.51	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.48	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.41	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.51	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1114-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 94

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hernan Moreno

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.44928	4	1.05072		69	2.90	18.84	23.19	40.58	14.49	7.14	6.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.74	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.62319	4	1.09948		69	4.35	13.04	20.29	40.58	21.74	14.29	18.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.46	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.55882	4	1.13798		68	5.88	13.24	20.59	39.71	20.59	7.14	6.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.64	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.73913	4	1.00955		69	1.45	11.59	23.19	39.13	24.64	7.14	8.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.71	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
<i>Hernan Moreno</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22727	4	0.92479		66	1.52	3.03	15.15	31.82	48.48	27.08	26.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.33	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-021

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Anna Thomas

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Anna Thomas													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	4	1.01379		9	0.00	11.11	44.44	22.22	22.22	10.00	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-1.28	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	5	1.20185		9	0.00	11.11	44.44	0.00	44.44	20.00	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.75	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-0.39	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.05409		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	30.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.50	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.97183		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	44.44	44.44	40.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.30	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-022

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Aditi Singh

Total Enrollment: 29

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Aditi Singh													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.30171		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	33.33	55.56	40.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.36	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.26930		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	44.44	44.44	30.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.35	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	1.33333		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	11.11	77.78	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-0.39	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.39443		9	11.11	0.00	11.11	11.11	66.67	40.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.36	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.32288		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	22.22	66.67	60.00	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.15	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-023

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Anna Thomas

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Anna Thomas													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.39	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	50.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.24	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	60.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.17	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	70.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.24	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.91894		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	20.00	50.00	20.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.33	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1114-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Aditi Singh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Aditi Singh													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.43	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	50.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.24	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	60.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.17	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.22474		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	10.00	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.63	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	70.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.20	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1114-030

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 41

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.88235	4	0.92752		17	0.00	11.76	11.76	52.94	23.53	26.19	23.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.25	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.82353	4	0.95101		17	0.00	11.76	17.65	47.06	23.53	23.81	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.26	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.94118	4	0.82694		17	0.00	5.88	17.65	52.94	23.53	38.10	27.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.23	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	0.86177		17	0.00	5.88	5.88	35.29	52.94	47.62	36.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.01	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	0.70189		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	41.18	47.06	35.42	34.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.18	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-031

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Instructor: Joseph Gallagher

Total Enrollment: 16

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joseph Gallagher													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	70.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.25	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.32	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.31	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	100.00	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.48	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56	60.00	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.15	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-032

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Instructor: Jacob Marchlinski

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Jacob Marchlinski</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	0.60093		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	66.67	11.11	20.00	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.37349	5	0.80715		83	1.20	1.20	9.64	34.94	53.01		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.82	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	33.33	33.33	22.22	10.00	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.32530	5	0.88495		83	1.20	2.41	13.25	28.92	54.22		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.88	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72289	5	0.63078		83	1.20	0.00	2.41	18.07	78.31		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-0.39	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	0.92796		9	0.00	0.00	33.33	22.22	44.44	30.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.51807	5	0.81692		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	24.10	66.27		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.50	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.78174		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	44.44	33.33	10.00	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.39759	5	0.86869		83	1.20	2.41	10.84	26.51	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.45	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1203-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 79

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / Blake Taylor

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.92593	4	0.99715		27	3.70	7.41	7.41	55.56	25.93	38.10	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.20	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14815	4	0.60152		27	0.00	0.00	11.11	62.96	25.93	50.00	42.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.06	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.07417		27	3.70	7.41	11.11	40.74	37.04	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.17	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.51852	5	0.80242		27	0.00	3.70	7.41	22.22	66.67	66.67	53.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.21	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.73380		27	0.00	3.70	3.70	14.81	77.78	66.67	61.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.20	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Blake Taylor													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 1213-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Economic Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.33333	2	1.11803		9	22.22	44.44	11.11	22.22	0.00	2.38	1.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-1.99	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.22222	2	1.20185		9	33.33	33.33	11.11	22.22	0.00	2.38	1.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-1.85	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.77778	4	1.56347		9	33.33	11.11	11.11	33.33	11.11	2.38	1.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-1.47	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.55556	3	1.23603		9	22.22	22.22	44.44	0.00	11.11	2.38	1.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-2.09	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
<i>Jadwiga Ziolkowska</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	1.41421		9	22.22	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	12.50	13.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-2.22	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Laurel Smith / Morgan Jones

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	66.67	58.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.25	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	44.44	33.33	45.24	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.09	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56	57.14	52.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.19	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	69.05	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.25	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	61.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.20	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Morgan Jones													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 3133-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 147

Section Title: Geography of Wine

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jamie Steele

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.59524	5	0.76699	0.55	42	0.00	4.76	2.38	21.43	71.43	80.95	76.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.91446	0.48	42	4.76	0.00	0.00	23.81	71.43	76.19	71.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46341	5	0.92460	0.33	41	4.88	0.00	0.00	34.15	60.98	69.05	65.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.51220	5	0.89783	0.20	41	2.44	0.00	12.20	14.63	70.73	64.29	52.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jamie Steele													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.58537	5	0.77381	0.11	41	0.00	2.44	9.76	14.63	73.17	56.25	48.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 3213-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Principles-Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joseph Pierce

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	85.71	86.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.78	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	80.95	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.51	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	66.67	61.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.26	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Joseph Pierce													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3233-001

Section Title: Principles of Sustainability

Instructors: Travis Gliedt / Heather Stelter

Total Enrollment: 42

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.68421	4	1.10818		19	0.00	21.05	15.79	36.84	26.32	16.67	16.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.48	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.31579	3	1.05686		19	0.00	21.05	47.37	10.53	21.05	7.14	8.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.76	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	0.90025		18	0.00	5.56	27.78	38.89	27.78	28.57	22.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.29	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.15789	4	0.68825		19	0.00	0.00	15.79	52.63	31.58	19.05	18.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.22	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42105	5	0.69248		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	36.84	52.63	43.75	39.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.09	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Heather Stelter													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78947	5	0.41885		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.05	78.95	75.00	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.35	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 3443-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Environment and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mary Lawhon

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.71880		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	69.05	61.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.30	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.80623		16	0.00	6.25	0.00	43.75	50.00	69.05	63.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.29	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.93750	4	1.18145		16	6.25	6.25	12.50	37.50	37.50	35.71	26.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.23	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.89209		16	0.00	6.25	6.25	25.00	62.50	57.14	42.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.11	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Mary Lawhon													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.96393		16	0.00	6.25	12.50	12.50	68.75	45.83	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.07	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3443-002

Section Title: Environment and Society

Instructor: Randy Pepler

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	90.48	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.89	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	92.86	91.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.81	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	92.86	91.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.69	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Randy Pepler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 3443-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Environment and Society

Instructor: Angela Person

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	0.68	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	83.33	83.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.76	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.48	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680	0.44	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	83.33	77.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.60	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	80.95	77.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.44	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	79.17	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3513-001

Section Title: Political Geography

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Total Enrollment: 32

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52223		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	78.57	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.44	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	5	1.24011		12	0.00	16.67	25.00	8.33	50.00	30.95	28.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.17	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	78.57	71.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.37	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	71.43	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.28	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	72.92	65.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.30	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 3773-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Course Level: All

Instructors: Robert Rundstrom / Joshua Wimbhurst

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	78.57	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.44	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	5	1.44914		10	10.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	50.00	28.57	27.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.19	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	1.19722		10	0.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	40.00	33.33	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.27	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.05935		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	38.10	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.05	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.25167		10	10.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	60.00	29.17	28.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.24	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Joshua Wimbhurst													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 3924-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Quantitative Methods

Course Level: All

Instructors: John Greene / Joshua Wimbhurst

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	1.08362		12	0.00	8.33	33.33	16.67	41.67	33.33	27.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.21	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.02986		12	0.00	8.33	16.67	25.00	50.00	57.14	46.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.08	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.13818		12	0.00	16.67	25.00	25.00	33.33	16.67	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.43	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.86603		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	33.33	27.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.11	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	41.67	38.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.10	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Joshua Wimbhurst													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4200-007

Section Title: Internship in Geography

Instructor: Gary Gress

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	1.00	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.91	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.90	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4283-001 / GEOG 5283-001

Section Title: Biogeography

Instructor: Bruce Hoagland

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	54.76	43.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.10	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	61.91	51.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.11	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.17	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	26.19	23.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.17	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Bruce Hoagland													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.73205		5	20.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.83	21.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 4343-001 / GEOG 5343-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Climate, History, and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355	0.86	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	88.10	88.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402	0.53	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	83.33	78.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755	0.50	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	85.71	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355	0.62	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	83.33	78.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.61	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 4583-001 / GEOG 5583-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Energy Systems and Sustainable

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	59.52	48.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.16	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	45.24	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.09	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.17	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	33.33	27.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.11	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	43.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.00	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 4893-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		13	7.69	0.00	0.00	69.23	23.08	45.24	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.12	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	0.68874		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	53.85	30.77	52.38	43.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.07	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	0.89872		13	0.00	7.69	7.69	46.15	38.46	52.38	42.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.00	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	23.08	69.23	76.19	63.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.32	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	83.33	82.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.52	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 4893-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443	-0.01	10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	47.62	38.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.91894	0.11	10	0.00	10.00	0.00	50.00	40.00	61.91	51.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711	0.37	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	78.57	71.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	1.07497	0.07	10	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	70.00	54.76	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.67495	0.24	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	80.00	68.75	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4953-001

Section Title: Capstone

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711	-0.68	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	9.52	7.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711	-0.58	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	9.52	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711	-0.70	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	4.76	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711	0.18	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	61.91	51.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421	-0.61	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	20.83	21.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4990-010

Section Title: Independent Study

Instructor: Gary Gress

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	1.00	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.91	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.90	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 5990-017

Section Title: Selected Studies in Geography

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	1.00	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.91	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.90	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 6240-001

Section Title: Seminar-Resource & Envir Geog

Instructor: Mary Lawhon

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772	0.33	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	71.43	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.71	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	88.10	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.69	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	92.86	91.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.77	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Mary Lawhon													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.61	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GEOG 6973-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Contemp Geographic Thought

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	0.85485	0.22	13	0.00	7.69	0.00	46.15	46.15	64.29	53.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	0.92681	0.14	13	0.00	7.69	7.69	38.46	46.15	64.29	52.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.76795	0.24	13	0.00	0.00	15.38	30.77	53.85	61.91	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.96077	0.05	13	0.00	7.69	7.69	23.08	61.54	52.38	38.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	1.32530	-0.14	13	7.69	7.69	0.00	7.69	76.92	37.50	36.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GIS 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / Kaitlin Ashworth

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	83.33	78.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.47887	5	0.75326		71	1.41	1.41	2.82	36.62	57.75		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.58	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	5	0.99103		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	12.50	50.00	16.67	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50685	5	0.78392		73	1.37	0.00	9.59	24.66	64.38		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.04	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	50.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61111	5	0.74220		72	1.39	1.39	2.78	23.61	70.83		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.50	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	83.33	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.68493	5	0.62086		73	0.00	1.37	4.11	19.18	75.34		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.48	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	83.33	78.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.46	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Kaitlin Ashworth													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GIS 2023-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / Kaitlin Ashworth / Trey Lee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52223		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.47887	5	0.75326		71	1.41	1.41	2.82	36.62	57.75		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.44	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	50.00	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50685	5	0.78392		73	1.37	0.00	9.59	24.66	64.38		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.24	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	93.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.61111	5	0.74220		72	1.39	1.39	2.78	23.61	70.83		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.72	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	67.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.68493	5	0.62086		73	0.00	1.37	4.11	19.18	75.34		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.38	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	100.00	81.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.51	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Kaitlin Ashworth													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Trey Lee													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 2023-003

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Instructors: Jeffrey Widener / Trey Lee

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47887	5	0.75326		71	1.41	1.41	2.82	36.62	57.75		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	1.00	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	82.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.50685	5	0.78392		73	1.37	0.00	9.59	24.66	64.38		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.57	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	85.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61111	5	0.74220		72	1.39	1.39	2.78	23.61	70.83		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.54	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	67.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.68493	5	0.62086		73	0.00	1.37	4.11	19.18	75.34		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.38	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	61.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.20	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Trey Lee													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705	0.44	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.47887	5	0.75326		71	1.41	1.41	2.82	36.62	57.75		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.60302	0.72	11	0.00	0.00	9.09	0.00	90.91	100.00	88.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.50685	5	0.78392		73	1.37	0.00	9.59	24.66	64.38		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452	0.71	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	83.33	92.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.61111	5	0.74220		72	1.39	1.39	2.78	23.61	70.83		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151	0.66	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.68493	5	0.62086		73	0.00	1.37	4.11	19.18	75.34		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151	0.50	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	91.67	79.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GIS 4013-011 / GIS 5013-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: James Worden / Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
James Worden													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.71	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70000	5	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.71	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.48	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.62	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.73	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: James Worden / Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
James Worden													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.02	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	50.00	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.70000	5	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.18	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	50.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.09	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	50.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.31	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.07	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GIS 4133-001 / GIS 5133-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Fundamentals of Remote Sensing

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kirsten De Beurs / Filoteo GÃ³mez MartÃ­nez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	5	0.94648		16	0.00	6.25	12.50	25.00	56.25	16.67	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47887	5	0.75326		71	1.41	1.41	2.82	36.62	57.75		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.23	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	5	1.07819		16	6.25	0.00	6.25	31.25	56.25	33.33	58.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.50685	5	0.78392		73	1.37	0.00	9.59	24.66	64.38		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.22	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.82808		15	0.00	6.67	0.00	40.00	53.33	16.67	61.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.61111	5	0.74220		72	1.39	1.39	2.78	23.61	70.83		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.26	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.89209		16	0.00	6.25	6.25	25.00	62.50	16.67	42.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.68493	5	0.62086		73	0.00	1.37	4.11	19.18	75.34		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.11	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.20416		16	6.25	6.25	0.00	18.75	68.75	58.33	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.15	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Filoteo G3mez Mart3nez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 4653-001 / GIS 5653-001

Section Title: Spatial Programming and GIS

Instructors: Jennifer Koch / Kevin Neal

Total Enrollment: 26

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.73270		20	0.00	0.00	15.00	40.00	45.00	61.91	52.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02170	4	0.93315		599	1.17	7.01	14.36	43.41	34.06		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.22	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.88258		20	0.00	5.00	10.00	25.00	60.00	73.81	66.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.04849	4	0.98531		598	1.67	7.36	14.05	38.29	38.63		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.31	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.68825		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	78.57	71.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.05705	4	0.97710		596	2.18	6.21	13.26	40.44	37.92		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.37	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.68825		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	61.91	51.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.28881	5	0.88374		599	0.67	4.51	11.19	32.55	51.09		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.18	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00	52.08	44.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.06	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Kevin Neal													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.48697	5	0.83108		614	1.14	2.28	8.31	23.29	64.98		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: GIS 4923-001 / GIS 5923-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Spatial Statistics

Course Level: All

Instructors: Thomas Neeson / Kevin Neal

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.91168		22	4.55	0.00	0.00	36.36	59.09	33.33	63.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.47887	5	0.75326		71	1.41	1.41	2.82	36.62	57.75		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.39	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.69565	5	0.55880		23	0.00	0.00	4.35	21.74	73.91	83.33	83.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.50685	5	0.78392		73	1.37	0.00	9.59	24.66	64.38		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.60	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.52174	5	0.94722		23	4.35	0.00	4.35	21.74	69.57	33.33	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.61111	5	0.74220		72	1.39	1.39	2.78	23.61	70.83		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.39	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73913	5	0.61919		23	0.00	0.00	8.70	8.70	82.61	66.67	68.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.68493	5	0.62086		73	0.00	1.37	4.11	19.18	75.34		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.46	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78261	5	0.85048		23	4.35	0.00	0.00	4.35	91.30	75.00	69.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.34	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Kevin Neal													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.73973	5	0.78222		73	2.74	1.37	0.00	10.96	84.93		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 1003-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 63

Section Title: Intro to the Atmos Sciences

Course Level: All

Instructors: Elinor Martin / Daniel Tripp

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.21053	4	0.77661	0.11	38	0.00	2.63	13.16	44.74	39.47	40.63	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42105	5	0.79293	0.33	38	0.00	2.63	10.53	28.95	57.89	62.50	67.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23684	4	0.85216	0.09	38	2.63	0.00	10.53	44.74	42.11	40.63	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.60404	0.18	38	0.00	0.00	5.26	39.47	55.26	43.75	51.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Elinor Martin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64865	5	0.58766	0.18	37	0.00	0.00	5.41	24.32	70.27	47.50	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Daniel Tripp													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75676	5	0.43496	0.31	37	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.32	75.68	60.00	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 1014-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 170

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.16923	4	0.76177	0.07	65	0.00	1.54	16.92	44.62	36.92	37.50	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35938	5	0.78411	0.27	64	0.00	3.13	9.38	35.94	51.56	56.25	61.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.84515	-0.03	64	1.56	1.56	15.63	45.31	35.94	34.38	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44615	5	0.86658	0.12	65	1.54	3.08	6.15	27.69	61.54	34.38	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75385	5	0.58712	0.31	65	0.00	0.00	7.69	9.23	83.08	57.50	66.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-011

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Bryony Puxley

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Bryony Puxley													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.51450		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	5.56	88.89	93.75	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.48	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.68599		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	81.25	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.32	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.32338		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	81.25	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.31	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.70588	5	0.46967		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.41	70.59	62.50	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.25	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.61570		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	33.33	61.11	62.50	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.14	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-012

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Lauren Pounds

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Lauren Pounds													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.02	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.12	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	37.50	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-0.30	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	37.50	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.32	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.07	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-013

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Jordan Christian

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Jordan Christian													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	62.50	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.14	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	81.25	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.32	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	50.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.09	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	68.75	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.31	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.90034		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	33.33	58.33	43.75	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.04	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-014

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Bryony Puxley

Total Enrollment: 23

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Bryony Puxley</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38348		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	93.75	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.48	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.61111	5	0.69780		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	72.22	68.75	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.25	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.51450		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	5.56	88.89	75.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.22	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38348		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	87.50	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.41	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.59409		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	72.22	81.25	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.29	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-015

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Michael Hosek

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Michael Hosek</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.34519		7	14.29	0.00	0.00	57.14	28.57	6.25	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.86	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	1.39728		7	14.29	0.00	28.57	28.57	28.57	6.25	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.99	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.34519		7	14.29	0.00	0.00	57.14	28.57	6.25	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-1.30	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.38013		7	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	28.57	12.50	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.99	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.15470		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	18.75	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.60	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-016

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Lauren Pounds

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Lauren Pounds													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.25	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	31.25	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.08	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	56.25	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.13	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	56.25	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.06	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	81.25	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.29	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-017

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Michael Hosek

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Michael Hosek</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.94281		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	50.00	30.00	12.50	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.67	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.17379		10	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	30.00	12.50	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.96	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.05409		10	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	12.50	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-1.08	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.35401		10	10.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	30.00	6.25	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-1.26	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.66667		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	18.75	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.60	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-910

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Instructor: Daniel Phoenix

Total Enrollment: 38

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	50.00	52.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.22	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.03280		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	30.00	50.00	43.75	51.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.11	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	62.50	61.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.26	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	40.00	30.00	18.75	16.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.40	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Daniel Phoenix													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	15.00	24.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.36	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-911

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: David Nowicki

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Nowicki													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.02	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.12	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	37.50	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-0.30	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.01	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	37.50	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.15	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-912

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: David Nowicki

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Nowicki													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	31.25	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.12	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	1.34164		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	43.75	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.00	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	68.75	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.17	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	18.75	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.63	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	18.75	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.60	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1313-001

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: David Stang

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	75.00	12.50	34.38	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.12	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.88641		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	50.00	56.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.16	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	5	0.99103		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	12.50	50.00	34.38	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.03	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	43.75	51.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.18	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
David Stang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	45.00	54.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.15	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1313-002

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: David Stang

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	18.75	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.50	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	81.25	82.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.57	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	84.38	85.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.54	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
David Stang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-900

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Francesca Lappin

Total Enrollment: 21

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Francesca Lappin													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	87.50	12.50	18.75	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.49	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	75.00	12.50	18.75	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.48	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	18.75	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-0.49	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	87.50	12.50	25.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.48	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	25.00	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.43	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-901

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Austin Dixon

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Austin Dixon													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	81.25	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.36	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	93.75	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.51	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.48	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	87.50	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.41	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	93.75	92.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.51	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-902

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Francesca Lappin

Total Enrollment: 13

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Francesca Lappin													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.71	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.71	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.48	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.62	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.73	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-903

Section Title: Intro to METR I Lab (HONORS)

Instructor: Austin Dixon

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Austin Dixon													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.02	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	87.50	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.41	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.48	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.62	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	87.50	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.40	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 2013-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 52

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Course Level: All

Instructors: Michael Biggerstaff / Matthew Rogers

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.00722		24	0.00	33.33	25.00	33.33	8.33	6.25	3.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-1.06	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.95833	3	1.33447		24	16.67	25.00	16.67	29.17	12.50	6.25	3.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-1.12	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.91667	3	1.31601		24	16.67	25.00	20.83	25.00	12.50	3.13	2.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-1.32	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.87500	3	0.94696		24	4.17	33.33	37.50	20.83	4.17	3.13	2.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-1.72	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
<i>Michael Biggerstaff</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.87500	3	0.85019		24	4.17	29.17	41.67	25.00	0.00	5.00	14.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-1.97	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
<i>Matthew Rogers</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.95833	3	1.26763		24	16.67	20.83	20.83	33.33	8.33	7.50	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-1.87	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 2013-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I(HONORS)

Instructors: Michael Biggerstaff / Matthew Rogers

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	3.13	2.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-1.24	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.33333	4	1.52753		3	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	0.00	3.13	2.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-1.74	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00	6.25	3.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.88	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00	6.25	3.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-1.18	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
<i>Michael Biggerstaff</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	2	0.00000		3	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.50	12.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-3.03	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
<i>Matthew Rogers</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	12.50	21.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 73

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Madeline Clark

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.54167	5	0.50898		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.83	54.17	71.88	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.49	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.57578		24	0.00	0.00	4.17	29.17	66.67	78.13	78.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.53	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.48154		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	84.38	85.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.54	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.95833	5	0.20412		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.17	95.83	78.13	82.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.72	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Madeline Clark													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.95833	5	0.20412		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.17	95.83	80.00	84.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.56	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2603-995

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Instructor: Ryan Pajela

Total Enrollment: 44

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	33.33	60.00	68.75	73.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.48	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.74322		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	20.00	66.67	68.75	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.44	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.74322		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	20.00	66.67	75.00	73.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.40	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	65.63	73.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.53	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Ryan Pajela													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.45774		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.67	73.33	55.00	63.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.28	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 3113-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 57

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics I

Course Level: All

Instructors: Amanda Kis / Jordan Laser

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.64000	5	0.48990		25	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.00	64.00	81.25	81.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.60	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.76000	5	0.52281		25	0.00	0.00	4.00	16.00	80.00	84.38	85.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.67	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.86603		25	0.00	4.00	12.00	24.00	60.00	62.50	61.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.26	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84000	5	0.37417		25	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.00	84.00	68.75	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.58	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84000	5	0.37417		25	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.00	84.00	72.50	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.41	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Jordan Laser													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.65385	5	0.62880		26	0.00	0.00	7.69	19.23	73.08	50.00	56.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.19	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3213-001

Section Title: Phys Meteor I: Thermodynamics

Instructor: Phillip Chilson

Total Enrollment: 56

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.82692	4	0.78519	-0.32	52	0.00	5.77	23.08	53.85	17.31	21.88	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.05769	3	1.12744	-1.02	52	5.77	30.77	26.92	25.00	11.54	12.50	7.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.73764	0.10	52	0.00	1.92	11.54	46.15	40.38	46.88	47.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.01923	4	0.80417	-0.38	52	0.00	3.85	19.23	48.08	28.85	21.88	17.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Phillip Chilson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28846	4	0.66676	-0.26	52	0.00	0.00	11.54	48.08	40.38	20.00	27.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3523-900 / GEOG 3523-900

Section Title: Managing for Changing Climate

Instructors: Elinor Martin / Renee Mc Pherson

Total Enrollment: 21

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	56.25	58.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.25	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	44.44	33.33	34.38	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.09	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	56.25	52.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.19	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	31.25	43.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.12	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
<i>Elinor Martin</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	62.50	68.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.34	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
<i>Renee Mc Pherson</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	32.50	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.07	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 3613-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Meteorological Measurement Sys

Instructor: Scott Salesky

Total Enrollment: 57

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.86957	4	0.91970		23	0.00	8.70	21.74	43.48	26.09	25.00	21.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.27	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13043	4	0.81488		23	0.00	4.35	13.04	47.83	34.78	37.50	41.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.04	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40909	5	0.79637		22	0.00	4.55	4.55	36.36	54.55	65.63	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.27	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.17391	4	0.83406		23	0.00	4.35	13.04	43.48	39.13	25.00	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.20	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Scott Salesky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.21739	4	0.73587		23	0.00	0.00	17.39	43.48	39.13	17.50	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.34	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-011

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Instructor: Tyler Bell

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Tyler Bell													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	25.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.47	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	62.50	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.20	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	43.75	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.03	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	43.75	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.28	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	31.25	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.41	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-012

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Instructor: Brian Greene

Total Enrollment: 26

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Brian Greene													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	37.50	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	-0.06	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	25.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	-0.22	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	1.01379		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	22.22	66.67	25.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	-0.39	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	31.25	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	-0.36	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	-0.01	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-013

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Instructor: Tyler Bell

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Tyler Bell													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.75	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.52899	5	0.68553		138	0.72	0.72	4.35	33.33	60.87		
	COLLEGE	4.48485	5	0.72740	0.16	231	0.87	0.87	6.06	33.33	58.87		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	43.75	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42754	5	0.81847		138	0.72	2.17	10.14	27.54	59.42		
	COLLEGE	4.40260	5	0.83811	0.00	231	0.87	2.16	11.26	27.27	58.44		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	68.75	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.65942	5	0.66724		138	0.72	1.45	2.17	22.46	73.19		
	COLLEGE	4.69264	5	0.64328	0.17	231	0.87	0.87	2.16	20.35	75.76		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.80683		136	1.47	1.47	6.62	29.41	61.03		
	COLLEGE	4.50655	5	0.79813	0.37	229	1.31	1.75	6.11	26.64	64.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.75	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.45652	5	0.69534		138	0.00	1.45	7.25	35.51	55.80		
	COLLEGE	4.45022	5	0.75521	0.20	231	0.43	1.73	8.23	31.60	58.01		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4133-001

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics III

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Total Enrollment: 38

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.87067		32	0.00	6.25	6.25	31.25	56.25	59.38	61.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.30	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.79312		32	0.00	3.13	9.38	34.38	53.13	59.38	63.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.29	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.32258	5	0.79108		31	0.00	3.23	9.68	38.71	48.39	53.13	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.18	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	0.61892		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	31.25	62.50	46.88	56.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.26	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.60907		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	25.00	68.75	45.00	54.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.15	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4313-001

Section Title: Statistical Meteorology

Instructor: Michael Richman

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	18.75	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.50	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	31.25	21.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.42	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	15.63	13.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.52	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	12.50	7.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.79	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	52.50	61.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.20	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4424-001

Section Title: Synoptic Meteorology Lab

Instructors: Jeffrey Basara / Noah Brauer

Total Enrollment: 38

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44426		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	87.50	85.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.72	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.71635		20	0.00	0.00	15.00	45.00	40.00	50.00	56.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.16	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45000	5	0.60481		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	45.00	50.00	68.75	63.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.31	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84211	5	0.37463		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.79	84.21	71.88	76.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.58	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.52315		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	10.00	85.00	67.50	73.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.37	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Noah Brauer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.95000	5	0.22361		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	95.00	77.50	83.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.55	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 4713-001 / METR 5713-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Private Sector Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Snow

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	34.38	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.12	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	53.13	57.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.20	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	42.86	42.86	37.50	41.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.01	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	53.13	58.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.27	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
John Snow													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	35.00	47.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.09	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 4803-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Physical Meteorology III

Course Level: All

Instructors: Jason Furtado / Matthew Rogers

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	78.13	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.59	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	90.63	92.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.82	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	87.50	86.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.61	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jason Furtado													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Matthew Rogers													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	30.00	41.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.05	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4911-001

Section Title: Senior Seminar (Capstone)

Instructor: Jens Redemann

Total Enrollment: 34

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.57692	4	0.98684		26	3.85	11.54	19.23	53.85	11.54	9.38	8.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.60	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.53846	4	1.10384		26	3.85	11.54	34.62	26.92	23.08	28.13	16.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.54	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.84615	4	0.78446		26	3.85	0.00	15.38	69.23	11.54	18.75	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.33	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.65385	4	0.68948		26	0.00	3.85	34.62	53.85	7.69	9.38	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.81	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jens Redemann													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.88462	4	0.81618		26	0.00	3.85	26.92	46.15	23.08	10.00	17.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.75	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 5004-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Fundamentals of Atmos Science

Course Level: All

Instructors: Gregory McFarquhar / Christopher Riedel

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.59409		18	0.00	0.00	38.89	55.56	5.56	18.75	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.50	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.97014		18	5.56	22.22	44.44	22.22	5.56	9.38	6.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-1.08	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.61111	4	0.97853		18	0.00	16.67	22.22	44.44	16.67	9.38	8.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.58	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.80845		18	0.00	5.56	27.78	50.00	16.67	15.63	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.66	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Gregory McFarquhar													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	0.70189		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	41.18	47.06	27.50	34.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.18	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		
Christopher Riedel													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38348		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	70.00	74.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.41	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5113-001

Section Title: Advanced Atmos Dynamics I

Instructor: Naoko Sakaeda

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.94868		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	50.00	52.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.22	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.03280		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	30.00	50.00	43.75	51.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.11	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	50.00	48.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.15	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	56.25	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.30	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Naoko Sakaeda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	37.50	51.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.12	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5233-001

Section Title: Cloud & Precipitation Physics

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553	0.83	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	90.63	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178		1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853	0.68	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	87.50	86.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838		1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553	0.74	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	96.88	95.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662		1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735	0.68	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	75.00	81.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515		1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.61	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360		1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 5243-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Atmospheric Electrodynamics

Instructor: Donald Macgorman

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.63	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.44	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.58	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.88	71.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.37	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Donald Macgorman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5303-001

Section Title: Objective Analysis

Instructor: Ming Xue

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	34.38	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	-0.12	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.15470		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	18.75	11.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.75	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	28.13	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.17	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	59.38	67.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.38	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Ming Xue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	25.00	31.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.20	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5313-001

Section Title: Statistical Meteorology I

Instructor: Michael Richman

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	1.00	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.91	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	28.13	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.17	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 5633-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	1.00	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.91	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.63	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.63	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 5633-996

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	84.38	83.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.68	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	65.63	68.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.34	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	78.13	77.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.44	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	53.13	58.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.27	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.44	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5633-997

Section Title: Hydrometeorology

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Total Enrollment: 32

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	75.00	77.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.55	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	71.88	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.51	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	93.75	91.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.69	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	65.63	73.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.53	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	67.50	73.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.37	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 5673-001 / ECE 5673-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Weather Radar Theory/Practice

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Palmer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.03510		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	37.50	50.00	43.75	48.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.16	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	78.13	78.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.53	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.03510		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	37.50	50.00	46.88	47.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.10	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	43.75	51.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.18	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Robert Palmer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	45.00	54.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.15	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 6223-001

Section Title: Convective Clouds and Storms

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	56.25	58.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.25	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.15470		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	18.75	11.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.75	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	15.63	13.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.52	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	28.13	33.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	-0.01	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	25.00	31.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	-0.20	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 6970-004

Section Title: Convective METR Seminar

Instructor: Thomas Jones

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	1.00	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	0.91	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	0.90	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Thomas Jones													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2019

Course: METR 6970-005

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Weather and Climate Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.63	72.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16176	4	0.83923		476	0.21	4.62	13.24	42.65	39.29		
	COLLEGE	4.10820	4	0.89178	0.44	1,146	0.79	5.67	13.18	42.67	37.70		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07143	4	1.05389		476	2.10	8.40	14.29	30.67	44.54		
	COLLEGE	4.08718	4	1.00838	-0.58	1,147	1.83	7.32	13.86	34.26	42.72		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	28.13	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.21308	4	0.88621		474	1.48	3.59	11.18	39.66	44.09		
	COLLEGE	4.15674	4	0.93662	-0.17	1,142	1.84	4.82	11.73	39.05	42.56		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35924	5	0.83800		476	0.42	3.15	11.55	29.83	55.04		
	COLLEGE	4.34321	5	0.85515	0.77	1,148	0.52	3.75	10.89	30.57	54.27		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.48232	5	0.81778		622	0.80	2.57	8.36	24.12	64.15		
	COLLEGE	4.49885	5	0.82360	0.61	1,309	1.07	2.37	7.87	22.99	65.70		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A