eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4970-100

Section Title: Forecasting Severe Convection

Instructor: David Imy

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	51.61	54.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.16	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	58.07	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.14	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	64.52	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.17	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	70.97	66.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.28	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
David Imy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	30.95	21.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.29	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: AGSC 3011-001

Section Title: Career Planning & Development

Instructor: Kari Snider

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	94.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.77	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	94.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.69	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	100.00	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.43	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Kari Snider													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

Question	Key
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-010

Section Title: Human Geography

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Total Enrollment: 216

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.26596	4	0.83183		94	1.06	3.19	8.51	42.55	44.68	53.06	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.08	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41489	5	0.70953		94	0.00	3.19	3.19	42.55	51.06	65.31	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.23	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.36170	4	0.73105		94	1.06	1.06	5.32	45.74	46.81	65.31	60.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.20	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71277	5	0.59789		94	0.00	1.06	4.26	17.02	77.66	75.51	73.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.34	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85106	5	0.41368		94	0.00	0.00	2.13	10.64	87.23	71.43	70.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.34	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-011
Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010
Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Total Enrollment: 29
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	30.77	61.54	85.71	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.40	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.15	53.85	85.71	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.35	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.85	46.15	79.59	73.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.31	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	89.80	86.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.49	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.83205		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	0.00	92.31	61.22	62.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.25	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-012

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Total Enrollment: 26

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	30.61	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.24	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	30.61	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.21	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	51.02	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.01	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	46.94	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.09	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.22474		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	83.33	32.65	37.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.08	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-013

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Ashley Ciarlante

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.28174		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	50.00	25.00	12.25	12.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.53	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.28174		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	50.00	25.00	8.16	12.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.47	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	1.35620		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	37.50	37.50	12.25	16.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.33	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	12.50	75.00	46.94	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.09	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Ashley Ciarlante													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	32.65	37.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.08	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-014
Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010
Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Total Enrollment: 26
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	0.83205		13	0.00	0.00	23.08	30.77	46.15	51.02	45.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.04	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	93.88	87.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.43	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.76795		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	30.77	53.85	69.39	63.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.23	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	57.14	56.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.23	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	23.08	69.23	40.82	44.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.06	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-015

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Ashley Ciarlante

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	46.94	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.07	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	73.47	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.24	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	75.51	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.27	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	77.55	75.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.34	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Ashley Ciarlante													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	48.98	54.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.18	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-016

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.85280		12	0.00	8.33	8.33	58.33	25.00	30.61	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.24	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	69.39	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.23	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	4	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.64	36.36	67.35	61.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.20	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	93.88	89.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.57	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-017

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Ashley Ciarlante

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.78571	4	1.05090		14	0.00	14.29	21.43	35.71	28.57	18.37	16.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.49	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	4	0.79881		15	0.00	0.00	33.33	40.00	26.67	20.41	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.28	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	60.00	26.67	38.78	38.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.05	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.48795		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	69.39	66.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.28	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Ashley Ciarlante													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	69.39	69.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.28	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-018

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Ashley Ciarlante

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	70.00	20.00	30.61	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.24	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	70.00	20.00	30.61	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.21	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.87560		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	60.00	30.00	34.69	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.09	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	16.33	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.25	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Ashley Ciarlante													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.84984		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	10.00	70.00	32.65	37.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.08	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-020

Section Title: Human Geography

Instructor: Gary Gress

Total Enrollment: 106

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.72222	4	0.97427		36	2.78	8.33	22.22	47.22	19.44	8.16	10.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.56	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.86111	4	0.99003		36	2.78	5.56	22.22	41.67	27.78	18.37	19.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.35	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.72222	4	0.88192		36	2.78	2.78	30.56	47.22	16.67	8.16	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.50	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.36111	5	0.93052		36	2.78	0.00	13.89	25.00	58.33	26.53	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.07	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.06904		36	5.56	0.00	11.11	22.22	61.11	16.33	21.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.29	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-021

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Kenneth Gill

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.16496		8	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	12.25	12.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.53	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.97183		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	44.44	44.44	42.86	45.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.03	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.05409		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	36.74	36.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.07	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.32288		9	11.11	0.00	11.11	33.33	44.44	10.20	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.48	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Kenneth Gill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	22.45	29.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.15	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-022

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Kenneth Gill

Total Enrollment: 28

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	14.29	46.94	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.07	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	34.69	38.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.06	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	14.29	42.86	40.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.04	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	51.02	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.18	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Kenneth Gill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	32.65	37.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.08	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-023

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Kenneth Gill

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	42.86	42.86	46.94	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.07	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	73.47	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.24	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	89.80	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.43	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	91.84	87.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.50	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Kenneth Gill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	79.59	74.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.35	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-024

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Kenneth Gill

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	48.98	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.04	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	30.61	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.21	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	51.02	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.01	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	24.49	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.10	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Kenneth Gill													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	16.33	21.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.29	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-200

Section Title: Human Geography

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	0.83205		13	0.00	0.00	46.15	30.77	23.08	16.33	15.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.51	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	0.92681		13	0.00	7.69	30.77	38.46	23.08	10.20	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.45	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	4	0.86232		13	0.00	7.69	7.69	53.85	30.77	28.57	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.11	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.59914		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	7.69	84.62	83.67	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.40	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	44.90	51.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.15	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

Course: GEOG 1103-920

Section Title: Human Geography

Instructor: Nicholas Bauch

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	87.76	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.42	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	1.13039		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	0.00	77.78	75.51	68.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.26	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	97.96	90.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.54	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	69.39	66.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.28	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Nicholas Bauch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	81.63	77.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.39	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-010
Section Title: Physical Geography
Instructor: Hernan Moreno

Total Enrollment: 74
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.05455	4	0.84805		55	1.82	3.64	10.91	54.55	29.09	34.69	31.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.17	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.34545	5	0.82143		55	1.82	1.82	5.45	41.82	49.09	53.06	54.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.15	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16364	4	0.81112		55	1.82	0.00	14.55	47.27	36.36	44.90	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.02	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25455	4	0.72567		55	0.00	1.82	10.91	47.27	40.00	20.41	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.19	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Hernan Moreno													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.65637		54	0.00	1.85	5.56	11.11	81.48	51.02	55.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.19	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-011

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Daniela Spade

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
					Daniela Spade								
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.44721		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	81.82	9.09	16.67	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.85	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.53936		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	72.73	18.18	25.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.63	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.77460		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	45.45	27.27	8.33	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-1.18	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		11	0.00	0.00	36.36	27.27	36.36	16.67	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.69	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.53936		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	72.73	18.18	41.67	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-0.59	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-012

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Daniela Spade

Total Enrollment: 26

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Daniela Spade							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	83.33	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.40	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	75.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.07	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.01	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	91.67	76.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.30	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	16.67	75.00	66.67	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.19	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-013
Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010
Instructor: Tanya Woody

Total Enrollment: 11
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Tanya Woody							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.35	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	58.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.19	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.57	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	91.67	76.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.30	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.37	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-014

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Tanya Woody

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Tanya Woody							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.44	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	91.67	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.46	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	83.33	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.32	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	75.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.07	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	75.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.26	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-020

Section Title: Physical Geography

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Total Enrollment: 86

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.05000	4	0.67748		40	0.00	0.00	20.00	55.00	25.00	32.65	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.18	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35000	4	0.57957		40	0.00	0.00	5.00	55.00	40.00	55.10	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.16	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.95000	4	0.78283		40	0.00	5.00	17.50	55.00	22.50	16.33	19.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.25	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.55470		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	45.00	52.50	46.94	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.09	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77500	5	0.42290		40	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.50	77.50	63.27	63.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.25	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-021
Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020
Instructor: Kelly Jones

Total Enrollment: 27
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Kelly Jones							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.76795		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	30.77	53.85	50.00	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.27	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	0.83205		13	0.00	0.00	23.08	30.77	46.15	41.67	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.43	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	1.01274		13	0.00	7.69	15.38	23.08	53.85	16.67	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.78	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	5	1.18754		13	0.00	15.38	15.38	15.38	53.85	25.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.59	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		13	0.00	7.69	23.08	30.77	38.46	33.33	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-0.71	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-022

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Joshua Hatzis							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	37.50	25.00	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.66	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	33.33	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.58	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	25.00	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.53	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	33.33	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.53	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	50.00	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-0.37	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-023
Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020
Instructor: Kelly Jones

Total Enrollment: 18
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Kelly Jones							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	41.67	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.35	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.03280		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67	8.33	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-1.23	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	41.67	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.31	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	16.67	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.69	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.04881		6	0.00	16.67	33.33	33.33	16.67	8.33	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-1.39	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-024

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Joshua Hatzis							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	58.33	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.10	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	66.67	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.05	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	58.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.09	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.03	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	58.33	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-0.20	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

Course: GEOG 1114-030

Section Title: Physical Geography

Instructor: Sally Gros

Total Enrollment: 47

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.08781		16	6.25	0.00	6.25	25.00	62.50	63.27	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.21	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	5	1.19548		16	6.25	6.25	0.00	25.00	62.50	48.98	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.12	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	5	1.25000		16	6.25	6.25	6.25	12.50	68.75	57.14	54.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.15	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.09545		16	6.25	0.00	6.25	12.50	75.00	46.94	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.09	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	1.09354		16	6.25	0.00	6.25	6.25	81.25	36.74	39.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.01	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-031

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Instructor: Sally Gros

Total Enrollment: 23

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Sally Gros							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.30931		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	50.00	37.50	16.67	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.85	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.30931		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	50.00	37.50	16.67	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.76	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	41.67	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.31	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.38873		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	25.00	62.50	41.67	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.36	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.28174		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	50.00	25.00	16.67	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-1.05	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-032

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Instructor: Sally Gros

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Sally Gros							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	33.33	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.55	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	58.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.19	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	50.00	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.13	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.16	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	25.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-0.98	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-040
Section Title: Physical Geography
Instructor: Laura Alvarez Rueda

Total Enrollment: 24
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	60.00	26.67	40.82	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.08	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.50709		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	63.27	61.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.21	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.56061		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	66.67	26.67	55.10	52.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.02	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.48795		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	69.39	66.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.28	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Laura Alvarez Rueda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	69.39	69.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.28	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-041

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-040

Instructor: Virginia Silvis

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Virginia Silvis							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.15	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	83.33	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.43	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	75.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.28	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.03	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	91.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.42	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-042

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-040

Instructor: Virginia Silvis

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
					Virginia Silvis								
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.44792	5	0.75212		96	1.04	1.04	6.25	35.42	56.25		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.44	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37500	5	0.79802		96	1.04	1.04	10.42	34.38	53.13		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.66	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54167	5	0.66359		96	0.00	1.04	6.25	30.21	62.50		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.57	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.36842	5	0.83860		95	1.05	2.11	10.53	31.58	54.74		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.45	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.29167	5	0.86956		96	1.04	3.13	11.46	34.38	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.45	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1203-001

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Instructor: Gary Gress

Total Enrollment: 91

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.42105	5	0.64228		38	0.00	0.00	7.89	42.11	50.00	71.43	66.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.26	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.89872		40	0.00	5.00	15.00	30.00	50.00	44.90	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.05	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.70892		40	0.00	2.50	12.50	57.50	27.50	34.69	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.09	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42500	5	0.90263		40	0.00	5.00	12.50	17.50	65.00	32.65	37.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.01	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72500	5	0.50574		40	0.00	0.00	2.50	22.50	75.00	53.06	56.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.19	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1213-001

Section Title: Economic Geography

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Total Enrollment: 22

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.80904		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	27.27	54.55	61.22	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.19	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	5	0.98165		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	36.36	45.45	38.78	41.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.02	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	1.16775		11	9.09	0.00	18.18	45.45	27.27	10.20	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.40	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	1.03573		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	9.09	72.73	34.69	38.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.04	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	18.18	72.73	34.69	38.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.03	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 2603-995

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Total Enrollment: 40

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.61111	4	1.19503		18	5.56	11.11	27.78	27.78	27.78	4.08	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.69	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.15045		18	0.00	16.67	22.22	22.22	38.89	14.29	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.38	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.29479		18	5.56	33.33	22.22	16.67	22.22	2.04	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-1.11	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.42457		18	11.11	16.67	16.67	22.22	33.33	4.08	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-1.05	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.72222	4	1.40610		18	5.56	22.22	11.11	16.67	44.44	6.12	7.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-1.03	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3133-995

Section Title: Geography of Wine

Instructor: John Boyer

Total Enrollment: 216

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.76143		90	1.11	2.22	3.33	42.22	51.11	69.39	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.23	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.21271		90	6.67	6.67	7.78	26.67	52.22	32.65	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.09	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.38207		90	11.11	7.78	4.44	23.33	53.33	26.53	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.20	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.21111	5	1.24065		90	6.67	7.78	4.44	20.00	61.11	18.37	26.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.24	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
John Boyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37778	5	1.16675		90	4.44	7.78	5.56	10.00	72.22	18.37	23.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.23	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3213-001

Section Title: Principles-Human Geography

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	83.67	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.35	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	30.61	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.21	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	26.53	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.20	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	46.94	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.09	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3233-001

Section Title: Principles of Sustainability

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Total Enrollment: 55

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.65938		24	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	83.67	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.35	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54167	5	0.58823		24	0.00	0.00	4.17	37.50	58.33	87.76	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.36	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45833	5	0.58823		24	0.00	0.00	4.17	45.83	50.00	77.55	72.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.31	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70833	5	0.46431		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.17	70.83	73.47	72.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.33	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70833	5	0.46431		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.17	70.83	46.94	52.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.17	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3443-002

Section Title: Environment and Society

Instructor: Randy Peppler

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	93.88	89.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.55	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	89.80	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.40	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	97.96	90.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.54	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	81.63	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.38	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Randy Peppler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	87.76	83.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.42	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3443-003

Section Title: Environment and Society

Instructor: Mary Lawhon

Total Enrollment: 26

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.76190	4	1.04426		21	0.00	14.29	23.81	33.33	28.57	14.29	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.52	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.01419		21	4.76	0.00	28.57	38.10	28.57	16.33	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.36	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.90238		21	0.00	9.52	28.57	42.86	19.05	6.12	8.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.51	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.04762	4	1.02353		21	0.00	9.52	19.05	28.57	42.86	12.25	15.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.43	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Mary Lawhon													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.23810	5	0.94365		21	0.00	4.76	19.05	23.81	52.38	12.25	14.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.40	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3443-025

Section Title: Environment and Society

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	69.39	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.23	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	40.82	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.00	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	55.10	52.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.02	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	30.61	36.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.02	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	20.41	24.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.20	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3443-900
Section Title: Environment and Society
Instructor: Angela Person

Total Enrollment: 26
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	95.92	95.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.82	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	95.92	88.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.52	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	100.00	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.57	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	87.76	83.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.44	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	83.67	78.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.40	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3513-001

Section Title: Political Geography

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Total Enrollment: 83

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.59824		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	50.00	45.00	69.39	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.23	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51299		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	83.67	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.31	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.59824		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	50.00	45.00	71.43	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.24	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00	61.22	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.27	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.55012		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	15.00	80.00	59.18	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.22	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3773-001

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Total Enrollment: 27

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.93761		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	64.29	73.47	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.27	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	1.08182		14	0.00	14.29	0.00	21.43	64.29	59.18	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.17	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.09945		14	0.00	14.29	7.14	28.57	50.00	42.86	40.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.04	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.94054		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	71.43	46.94	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.09	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.94054		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	71.43	32.65	37.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.08	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3924-001

Section Title: Quantitative Methods

Instructor: John Greene

Total Enrollment: 36

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.73855		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	30.61	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.24	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	69.39	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.23	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52223		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	85.71	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.35	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.79296		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	8.33	75.00	53.06	54.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.19	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	87.76	83.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.42	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4003-001 / RCPL 4003-001 / RCPL 5003-001 / GEOG 5003-001

Section Title: Global City & Planning Issues

Instructor: Charles Warnken

Total Enrollment: 13

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	91.84	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.47	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	91.84	83.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.42	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	91.84	86.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.46	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	87.76	83.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.44	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Charles Warnken													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	69.39	69.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.28	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4283-001 / GEOG 5283-001

Section Title: Biogeography

Instructor: Bruce Hoagland

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	38.78	37.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.09	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.92582		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	83.67	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.31	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	26.53	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.20	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	59.18	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.24	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Bruce Hoagland													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	79.59	74.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.35	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4343-001 / GEOG 5343-001

Section Title: Climate, History, and Society

Instructor: John Greene

Total Enrollment: 23

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	59.18	54.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.16	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	51.02	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.14	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	63.27	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.17	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	69.39	66.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.28	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4573-025

Section Title: Indigenous Peoples & Resources

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	22.45	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.43	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	14.29	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.38	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	51.02	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.01	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	14.29	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.29	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	10.20	11.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.49	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

Course: GEOG 4583-001 / GEOG 5583-001

Section Title: Renewable Energy Resources

Instructor: Mark Meo

Total Enrollment: 18

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.03280		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67	6.12	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.63	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.03280		6	0.00	16.67	50.00	16.67	16.67	4.08	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.90	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00	4.08	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.93	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	0.00	8.16	10.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	10.20	11.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.49	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4893-001

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Total Enrollment: 28

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	38.46	53.85	75.51	73.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.31	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	5	0.89872		13	0.00	0.00	30.77	23.08	46.15	36.74	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.05	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.77850		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	63.27	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.17	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	57.14	56.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.23	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4893-002

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Total Enrollment: 21

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	1.07497		10	10.00	10.00	10.00	70.00	0.00	2.04	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.94	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	1.13529		10	10.00	20.00	10.00	60.00	0.00	2.04	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-1.04	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.87560		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	60.00	30.00	34.69	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.09	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	4	1.25167		10	10.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	6.12	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.83	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.26491		10	10.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	30.00	4.08	6.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-1.18	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

Course: GEOG 4953-001

Section Title: Capstone

Instructor: John Greene

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.94	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.84	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	97.96	90.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.54	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4963-001 / GEOG 5963-001

Section Title: Natural Resource Economics

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	55.10	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.10	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	46.94	47.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.09	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	26.53	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.20	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	51.02	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.18	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	79.59	74.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.35	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4970-900 / GEOG 5970-900

Section Title: River Science

Instructor: Laura Alvarez Rueda

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.94	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	83.67	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.31	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	85.71	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.35	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Laura Alvarez Rueda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4970-901

Section Title: Geography of Europe

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	59.18	54.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.16	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	30.61	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.21	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	63.27	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.17	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	24.49	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.10	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	42.86	50.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.12	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 5143-001

Section Title: Ecosystem Services

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	83.67	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.35	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	83.67	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.31	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	85.71	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.35	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	81.63	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.38	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	59.18	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.22	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

Course: GEOG 5433-900

Section Title: Sustainability: Theory & Prac

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	89.80	83.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.44	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	97.96	90.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.54	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	89.80	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.43	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	79.59	74.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.35	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 5970-005 / GEOG 4970-005

Section Title: Global Change Hydrology

Instructor: Hernan Moreno

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	22.45	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.43	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.36626		6	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	6.12	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.55	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	50.00	33.33	26.53	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.20	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.37840		6	16.67	0.00	16.67	50.00	16.67	4.08	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-1.05	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Hernan Moreno													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.50555		6	16.67	16.67	0.00	50.00	16.67	2.04	3.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-1.50	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 6973-001

Section Title: Contemp Geographic Thought

Instructor: Joseph Pierce

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	36.74	36.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.12	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	63.27	61.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.21	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	20.00	40.00	30.00	14.29	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.31	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.84327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	30.61	36.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.02	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Joseph Pierce													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.96609		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	10.00	80.00	38.78	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.04	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 2023-001

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	100.00	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.39024	4	0.69843		82	1.22	0.00	4.88	46.34	47.56		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.60	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.61125		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	78.57	100.00	90.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.43902	5	0.81797		82	0.00	4.88	6.10	29.27	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.54	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	97.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.58537	5	0.70187		82	0.00	2.44	4.88	24.39	68.29		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.75	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.79268	5	0.46449		82	0.00	0.00	2.44	15.85	81.71		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.80247	5	0.51039		81	0.00	0.00	4.94	9.88	85.19		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 2023-010

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Total Enrollment: 42

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	80.00	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.39024	4	0.69843		82	1.22	0.00	4.88	46.34	47.56		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.27	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.21499		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	14.29	57.14	20.00	38.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.43902	5	0.81797		82	0.00	4.88	6.10	29.27	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.06	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	40.00	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.58537	5	0.70187		82	0.00	2.44	4.88	24.39	68.29		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.43	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	20.00	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.79268	5	0.46449		82	0.00	0.00	2.44	15.85	81.71		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.18	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.97590		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	0.00	71.43	20.00	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.80247	5	0.51039		81	0.00	0.00	4.94	9.88	85.19		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.17	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 2023-011

Section Title: Lab-GIS 2023-010

Instructor: Lora LaPree

Total Enrollment: 28

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
					Lora LaPree								
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.64286	5	0.55872		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	67.86		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.44	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.57143	5	0.57275		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	35.71	60.71		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.46	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.82143	5	0.39002		28	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.86	82.14		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.32	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.57143	5	0.74180		28	0.00	3.57	3.57	25.00	67.86		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.45	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.44096		28	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.45	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 2023-012
Section Title: Lab-GIS 2023-010
Instructor: Lora LaPree

Total Enrollment: 14
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Lora LaPree													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.64286	5	0.55872		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	67.86		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.65	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.57143	5	0.57275		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	35.71	60.71		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.05	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.82143	5	0.39002		28	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.86	82.14		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.57	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.57143	5	0.74180		28	0.00	3.57	3.57	25.00	67.86		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.64	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.44096		28	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.65	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Total Enrollment: 34

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.96655		20	5.00	0.00	5.00	45.00	45.00	20.00	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.39024	4	0.69843		82	1.22	0.00	4.88	46.34	47.56		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.06	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.97872		20	0.00	10.00	5.00	30.00	55.00	40.00	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.43902	5	0.81797		82	0.00	4.88	6.10	29.27	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.11	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.88258		20	0.00	5.00	10.00	25.00	60.00	20.00	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.58537	5	0.70187		82	0.00	2.44	4.88	24.39	68.29		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.24	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.57124		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	20.00	75.00	60.00	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.79268	5	0.46449		82	0.00	0.00	2.44	15.85	81.71		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.32	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63158	5	0.59726		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	68.42	40.00	47.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.80247	5	0.51039		81	0.00	0.00	4.94	9.88	85.19		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.08	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012
Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010
Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Total Enrollment: 19
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Logan Gerber-Chavez							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	50.00	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.64286	5	0.55872		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	67.86		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.03	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	75.00	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.57143	5	0.57275		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	35.71	60.71		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.02	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	25.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.82143	5	0.39002		28	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.86	82.14		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.09	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	27.27	63.64	50.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.57143	5	0.74180		28	0.00	3.57	3.57	25.00	67.86		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.09	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	25.00	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.44096		28	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.15	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 4133-001 / GIS 5133-001

Section Title: Fundamentals of Remote Sensing

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Total Enrollment: 39

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.26316	4	0.56195		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	63.16	31.58	40.00	47.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39024	4	0.69843		82	1.22	0.00	4.88	46.34	47.56		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.07	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.31579	4	0.67104		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	47.37	42.11	60.00	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.43902	5	0.81797		82	0.00	4.88	6.10	29.27	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.12	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57895	5	0.60698		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	31.58	63.16	60.00	83.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.58537	5	0.70187		82	0.00	2.44	4.88	24.39	68.29		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.44	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68421	5	0.58239		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	21.05	73.68	40.00	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.79268	5	0.46449		82	0.00	0.00	2.44	15.85	81.71		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.31	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78947	5	0.53530		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	10.53	84.21	60.00	65.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.80247	5	0.51039		81	0.00	0.00	4.94	9.88	85.19		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.27	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 4653-001 / GIS 5653-001

Section Title: Spatial Programming and GIS

Instructor: Jennifer Koch

Total Enrollment: 23

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.47059	5	0.62426		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	41.18	52.94	77.55	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.19565	4	0.84909		782	1.02	3.58	11.25	43.09	41.05		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.32	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	0.70189		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	41.18	47.06	57.14	56.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.24046	4	0.89553		786	1.53	4.07	9.54	38.55	46.31		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.16	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41176	5	0.93934		17	0.00	5.88	11.76	17.65	64.71	73.47	68.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.15581	4	0.91520		783	2.17	3.83	10.73	42.78	40.49		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.26	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70588	5	0.58787		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	76.47	71.43	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.46310	5	0.86358		786	1.65	2.42	7.63	24.55	63.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.33	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.57735		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	12.50	81.25	59.18	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.61765	5	0.79907		782	1.28	2.56	4.73	15.98	75.45		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.22	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 5013-011 / GIS 4013-011

Section Title: Lab-GIS 5013-010

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Logan Gerber-Chavez							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	25.00	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.64286	5	0.55872		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	67.86		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.10	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	25.00	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.57143	5	0.57275		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	35.71	60.71		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.22	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	75.00	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.82143	5	0.39002		28	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.86	82.14		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.35	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	25.00	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.57143	5	0.74180		28	0.00	3.57	3.57	25.00	67.86		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.19	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	50.00	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.44096		28	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.31	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 5923-001 / GIS 4923-001

Section Title: Spatial Statistics

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Total Enrollment: 29

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40909	5	0.66613		22	0.00	0.00	9.09	40.91	50.00	60.00	63.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.39024	4	0.69843		82	1.22	0.00	4.88	46.34	47.56		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.25	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.59091	5	0.73414		22	0.00	4.55	0.00	27.27	68.18	80.00	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.43902	5	0.81797		82	0.00	4.88	6.10	29.27	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.41	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.59091	5	0.73414		22	0.00	4.55	0.00	27.27	68.18	80.00	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.58537	5	0.70187		82	0.00	2.44	4.88	24.39	68.29		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.45	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.29424		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	80.00	88.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.79268	5	0.46449		82	0.00	0.00	2.44	15.85	81.71		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.56	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.95455	5	0.21320		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.55	95.45	80.00	85.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.80247	5	0.51039		81	0.00	0.00	4.94	9.88	85.19		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.47	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-010

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Instructors: Irenea Lodangco / Benjamin Lehrman

Total Enrollment: 109

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.83871	4	0.96943		31	6.45	3.23	6.45	67.74	16.13	19.36	19.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.43	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90323	4	1.13592		31	6.45	3.23	19.35	35.48	35.48	35.48	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.31	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	1.04166		30	6.67	0.00	20.00	46.67	26.67	25.81	15.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.34	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.12903	4	0.95715		31	0.00	9.68	9.68	38.71	41.94	32.26	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.33	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Benjamin Lehrman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.96296	4	1.05544		27	3.70	3.70	22.22	33.33	37.04	11.91	8.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.74	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-011
Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010
Instructor: Zachary Wienhoff

Total Enrollment: 22
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Zachary Wienhoff							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.65	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.66	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.57	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.64	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.65	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-012

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Joel McAuliffe

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
					Joel McAuliffe								
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	21.43	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.21	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	35.71	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.05	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	42.86	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.07	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	42.86	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.07	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	14.29	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-0.13	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-014

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Zachary Wienhoff

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Zachary Wienhoff							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.65	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.66	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.57	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.64	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	71.43	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.45	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-015

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Joel McAuliffe

Total Enrollment: 21

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joel McAuliffe													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	57.14	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.15	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	64.29	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.43	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	50.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.28	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	64.29	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.19	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.19	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-016

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Elisa Murillo

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Elisa Murillo							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	35.71	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.05	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	42.86	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.10	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	14.29	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.13	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	50.00	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.10	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	35.71	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.10	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-910

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Instructors: Irenea Lodangco / Benjamin Lehrman

Total Enrollment: 36

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	5	1.12546		15	6.67	0.00	13.33	33.33	46.67	45.16	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.08	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	4	1.03280		15	0.00	13.33	6.67	40.00	40.00	45.16	33.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.14	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.08233		15	0.00	13.33	26.67	26.67	33.33	22.58	12.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.42	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.94112		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	46.67	45.16	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.25	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Benjamin Lehrman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.82327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	50.00	28.57	18.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.33	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-911

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: Matthew Flournoy

Total Enrollment: 16

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Matthew Flournoy							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.65	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.66	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.57	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.64	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	78.57	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.48	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1111-001

Section Title: Orientation-Prof Meteorology

Instructor: Scott Salesky

Total Enrollment: 107

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.92593	4	0.94872		54	3.70	3.70	14.81	51.85	25.93	25.81	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.32	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.87037	4	0.95258		54	1.85	5.56	24.07	40.74	27.78	29.03	20.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.34	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.01887	4	0.93007		53	0.00	9.43	13.21	43.40	33.96	38.71	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.18	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.92593	4	1.06136		54	3.70	7.41	14.81	40.74	33.33	22.58	12.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.57	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Scott Salesky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25926	5	0.93537		54	1.85	3.70	11.11	33.33	50.00	21.43	15.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.38	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1313-001

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421		2	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	6.45	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-1.41	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	2.82843		2	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	9.68	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-1.25	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	2.82843		2	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	6.45	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-1.30	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	2.12132		2	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	12.90	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-1.05	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	2.12132		2	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	9.52	5.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-1.30	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1313-002

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	41.94	36.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.12	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.30000	4	1.41814		10	20.00	10.00	0.00	60.00	10.00	19.36	8.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.94	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.87560		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	40.00	41.94	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.09	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.87560		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	40.00	29.03	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.37	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.94868		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	28.57	18.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.33	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-004

Section Title: Intro to METR I Lab   (HONORS)

Instructor: Ryann Wakefield

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Ryann Wakefield							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.25831		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	7.14	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-1.22	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	21.43	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.05	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.57	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	35.71	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.03	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	28.57	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-0.03	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-900

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Daniel Cornish

Total Enrollment: 21

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Daniel Cornish							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	42.86	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.09	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	50.00	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.13	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	21.43	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.09	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	57.14	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.14	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	12.50	75.00	28.57	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-0.03	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-901

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Daniel Cornish

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Daniel Cornish							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	57.14	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.15	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	14.29	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.28	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	28.57	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.01	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	78.57	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	0.42	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.19	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-902

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Dylan Reif

Total Enrollment: 16

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Dylan Reif							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	71.43	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	0.44	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	0.00	85.71	35.71	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.05	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	57.14	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.32	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.97590		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	0.00	71.43	14.29	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.12	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	71.43	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.45	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2013-001

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Instructors: Michael Biggerstaff / Briana Lynch

Total Enrollment: 31

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.73	27.27	48.39	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.08	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	36.36	45.45	18.18	25.81	15.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.40	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.18322		11	0.00	18.18	9.09	27.27	45.45	35.48	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.20	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	63.64	27.27	41.94	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.27	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Michael Biggerstaff													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	63.64	27.27	16.67	12.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.47	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		
Briana Lynch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.80904		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	27.27	54.55	33.33	22.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.25	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	93.55	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.65	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	93.55	96.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.75	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.32	95.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.63	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	87.10	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.48	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
					Amanda Kis								
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	83.33	83.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.42	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2603-001

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Instructors: Amanda Kis / William Blumberg

Total Enrollment: 74

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.44737	5	0.68566		38	0.00	0.00	10.53	34.21	55.26	70.97	72.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.29	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60526	5	0.54720		38	0.00	0.00	2.63	34.21	63.16	77.42	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.42	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.39474	5	0.71809		38	0.00	0.00	13.16	34.21	52.63	70.97	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.24	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.44626		38	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	73.68	80.65	76.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.37	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92105	5	0.27328		38	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.89	92.11	85.71	84.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.43	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		
William Blumberg													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.19355	5	0.90992		31	0.00	3.23	22.58	25.81	48.39	19.05	13.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.46	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2603-995

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Instructors: Dylan Lusk / William Blumberg

Total Enrollment: 41

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	4	0.90034		12	0.00	0.00	33.33	25.00	41.67	38.71	33.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.14	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.83485		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	58.33	33.33	51.61	40.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.03	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.93744		12	0.00	8.33	8.33	41.67	41.67	54.84	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.01	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	58.07	47.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.15	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Dylan Lusk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.79296		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	8.33	75.00	50.00	41.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.02	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		
William Blumberg													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.30035		11	9.09	0.00	18.18	18.18	54.55	14.29	9.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.58	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3113-001

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics I

Instructor: Evgeni Fedorovich

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.15470		12	8.33	8.33	8.33	58.33	16.67	16.13	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.63	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	0.79296		12	0.00	0.00	33.33	41.67	25.00	38.71	24.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.29	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	16.13	10.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.47	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	4	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	58.33	25.00	25.81	16.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.38	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
					Evgeni Fedorovich								
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	4	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.33	41.67	38.10	26.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.18	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3213-001

Section Title: Phys Meteor I: Thermodynamics

Instructor: Phillip Chilson

Total Enrollment: 35

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.06061	4	0.70442		33	0.00	3.03	12.12	60.61	24.24	35.48	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.16	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.92421		33	3.03	6.06	27.27	48.48	15.15	22.58	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.55	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15152	4	0.56575		33	0.00	0.00	9.09	66.67	24.24	48.39	41.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.03	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	4	0.54876		33	0.00	0.00	3.03	57.58	39.39	51.61	33.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.06	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Phillip Chilson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.79415		33	0.00	6.06	0.00	36.36	57.58	42.86	30.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.14	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3523-900 / GEOG 3523-900

Section Title: Managing for Changing Climate

Instructors: Renee Mc Pherson / Briana Lynch

Total Enrollment: 21

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	42.86	50.00	67.74	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.27	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.84190		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	42.86	50.00	61.29	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.17	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	4	0.69929		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	50.00	35.71	61.29	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.04	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	64.52	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.18	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	71.43	64.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.27	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		
Briana Lynch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	69.05	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.22	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-010

Section Title: Meteorological Measurement Sys

Instructors: Petra Klein / Elizabeth Smith

Total Enrollment: 28

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.87706		14	0.00	7.14	14.29	50.00	28.57	32.26	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.24	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	4	0.89258		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	42.86	42.86	54.84	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.02	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	4	0.82874		14	0.00	7.14	14.29	57.14	21.43	29.03	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.28	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.94926		14	0.00	7.14	14.29	35.71	42.86	35.48	19.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.32	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	47.62	40.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.00	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		
Elizabeth Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.86972		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	15.38	76.92	52.38	44.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.06	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-011

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Instructor: Joshua Gebauer

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
					Joshua Gebauer								
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	14.29	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.40	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	7.14	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	-0.33	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	7.14	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	-0.66	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.91894		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	20.00	50.00	7.14	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.43	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	7.14	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	-0.17	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-012
Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010
Instructor: Elizabeth Smith

Total Enrollment: 4
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
					Elizabeth Smith								
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	28.57	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.58175		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	25.00	71.59		
	COLLEGE	4.56604	5	0.66772	-0.10	212	0.47	0.94	4.25	30.19	64.15		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.69318	5	0.59419		88	0.00	1.14	3.41	20.45	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.53302	5	0.70465	0.66	212	0.47	0.94	6.60	28.77	63.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.77273	5	0.47288		88	0.00	0.00	2.27	18.18	79.55		
	COLLEGE	4.67453	5	0.56995	0.57	212	0.00	0.47	3.77	23.58	72.17		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	35.71	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.67045	5	0.62000		88	0.00	0.00	7.95	17.05	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52133	5	0.75168	-0.03	211	0.47	1.42	8.53	24.64	64.93		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70455	5	0.57052		88	0.00	1.14	2.27	21.59	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.52358	5	0.73788	0.65	212	0.47	1.89	6.13	27.83	63.68		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3890-018

Section Title: Meteorology Internship

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.94	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.84	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.90	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4133-001

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics III

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Total Enrollment: 43

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.35897	4	0.62774		39	0.00	0.00	7.69	48.72	43.59	58.07	56.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.19	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.75555		39	0.00	2.56	7.69	30.77	58.97	64.52	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.27	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	4	0.67338		39	0.00	2.56	2.56	48.72	46.15	67.74	63.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.23	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.56410	5	0.55226		39	0.00	0.00	2.56	38.46	58.97	61.29	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.17	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.66227		39	0.00	2.56	2.56	20.51	74.36	61.91	50.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.12	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4233-001

Section Title: Phys Meteorology III

Instructors: Jason Furtado / Daniel Tripp

Total Enrollment: 40

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60870	5	0.49901		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	39.13	60.87	80.65	86.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.48	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.86957	5	0.34435		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.04	86.96	90.32	95.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.70	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60870	5	0.58303		23	0.00	0.00	4.35	30.43	65.22	80.65	87.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.47	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.65217	5	0.64728		23	0.00	0.00	8.70	17.39	73.91	67.74	60.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.27	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Jason Furtado													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.86957	5	0.34435		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.04	86.96	76.19	76.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.37	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		
Daniel Tripp													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.86957	5	0.34435		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.04	86.96	76.19	76.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.37	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4313-001 / METR 5313-001

Section Title: Statistical Meteorology

Instructor: Michael Richman

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.41421		8	12.50	12.50	12.50	37.50	25.00	9.68	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.82	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	50.00	25.00	32.26	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.34	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	1.38873		8	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	12.90	5.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-1.02	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	50.00	25.00	19.36	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.62	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	57.14	46.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.07	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4330-995 / METR 5330-995

Section Title: Information Technology Skills

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	67.74	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.27	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	48.39	38.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.06	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	74.19	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.27	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	77.42	75.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.34	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	64.29	54.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.18	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4424-001

Section Title: Synoptic Meteorology Lab

Instructors: Jeffrey Basara / Noah Brauer

Total Enrollment: 39

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.69565	5	0.63495		23	0.00	0.00	8.70	13.04	78.26	90.32	90.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.58	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60870	5	0.78272		23	0.00	4.35	4.35	17.39	73.91	80.65	86.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.43	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.69565	5	0.87567		23	4.35	0.00	0.00	13.04	82.61	83.87	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.57	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69565	5	0.63495		23	0.00	0.00	8.70	13.04	78.26	74.19	68.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.32	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91304	5	0.28810		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.70	91.30	80.95	80.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.42	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		
Noah Brauer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.91304	5	0.28810		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.70	91.30	80.95	80.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.42	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4533-001 / GEOL 4533-001 / GEOL 5533-001 / METR 5533-001

Section Title: Earth's Past Climate

Instructors: Shannon Dulin / Gerilyn Soreghan

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	0.70189		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	41.18	47.06	54.84	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.18	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.47059	5	1.00733		17	0.00	11.76	0.00	17.65	70.59	67.74	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.28	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.17647	4	1.01460		17	5.88	0.00	5.88	47.06	41.18	58.07	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.00	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	1.05719		17	0.00	11.76	5.88	17.65	64.71	48.39	31.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.08	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Shannon Dulin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.70189		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	11.76	76.47	59.52	48.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.10	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		
Gerilyn Soreghan													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.80623		16	0.00	0.00	18.75	0.00	81.25	57.14	46.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.07	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

Course: METR 4713-001 / METR 5713-001

Section Title: Private Sector Meteorology

Instructor: John Snow

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	87.10	88.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.50	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	83.87	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.58	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	45.16	37.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.06	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	83.87	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.38	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
John Snow													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	69.05	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.22	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4911-001

Section Title: Senior Seminar (Capstone)

Instructor: David Parsons

Total Enrollment: 46

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	0.86603		25	0.00	12.00	28.00	48.00	12.00	12.90	5.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.71	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.03846	3	1.18257		26	11.54	19.23	34.62	23.08	11.54	12.90	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-1.21	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	0.81524		26	0.00	11.54	11.54	65.38	11.54	19.36	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.45	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.34615	3	1.09334		26	3.85	19.23	30.77	30.77	15.38	9.68	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-1.23	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.42308	4	1.27037		26	7.69	19.23	19.23	30.77	23.08	7.14	4.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-1.39	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5004-001

Section Title: Fundamentals of Atmos Science

Instructors: Steven Cavallo / Nicholas Szapiro

Total Enrollment: 13

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.60302		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	32.26	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.24	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.08333	3	0.79296		12	0.00	25.00	41.67	33.33	0.00	16.13	5.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-1.16	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	1.13818		12	0.00	33.33	25.00	25.00	16.67	12.90	5.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-1.02	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.58333	4	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	0.00	16.13	8.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.96	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
						Steven Cavallo							
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	45.24	37.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.08	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		
						Nicholas Szapiro							
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5113-001

Section Title: Advanced Atmos Dynamics I

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	1.16450		12	0.00	16.67	0.00	8.33	75.00	61.29	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.25	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.16775		12	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	83.33	74.19	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.31	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.19342		12	0.00	16.67	8.33	16.67	58.33	54.84	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.01	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.52753		12	16.67	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	38.71	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-0.29	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	1.37895		12	8.33	8.33	0.00	0.00	83.33	38.10	26.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-0.18	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5233-001

Section Title: Cloud & Precipitation Physics

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	83.87	87.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.49	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	87.10	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.60	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	93.55	96.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.73	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5344-001

Section Title: Computational Fluid Dynamics I

Instructor: Ming Xue

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	42.86	28.57	22.58	20.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-0.40	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.52753		7	14.29	28.57	28.57	0.00	28.57	9.68	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-1.25	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	87.10	94.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.59	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.28571	4	1.38013		7	0.00	42.86	14.29	14.29	28.57	6.45	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-1.30	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Ming Xue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.71429	4	1.88982		7	42.86	14.29	0.00	14.29	28.57	2.38	1.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-2.26	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 6223-001

Section Title: Convective Clouds and Storms

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.88889	3	0.92796		9	0.00	44.44	22.22	33.33	0.00	3.23	1.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	-1.54	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.77778	2	1.09291		9	55.56	22.22	11.11	11.11	0.00	3.23	1.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-2.52	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.77778	3	0.97183		9	11.11	22.22	44.44	22.22	0.00	3.23	1.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-1.54	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.70711		9	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00	3.23	1.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	-1.63	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.11111	4	0.92796		9	0.00	33.33	22.22	44.44	0.00	4.76	2.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	-1.77	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

Course: METR 6613-001 / ECE 6613-001
Section Title: Weather Radar Polarimetry
Instructor: Guifu Zhang

Total Enrollment: 2
Course Level: All
Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.42	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.35	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	41.94	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	-0.21	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	35.48	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	-0.20	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	54.84	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.09	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 6970-004

Section Title: Convective METR Seminar

Instructor: Louis Wicker

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.42	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.35	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	74.19	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.31	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.42	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.35	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
Louis Wicker													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 6970-005

Section Title: Weather and Climate Seminar

Instructor: David Parsons

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.16561	4	0.87651		471	1.49	4.03	10.40	44.59	39.49		
	COLLEGE	4.20045	4	0.85097	0.94	1,342	1.19	3.50	10.51	43.67	41.13		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.07627	4	1.06802		472	3.39	6.57	13.35	32.42	44.28		
	COLLEGE	4.19822	4	0.95907	0.84	1,347	2.08	4.97	10.62	35.71	46.62		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14043	4	0.91741		470	1.28	5.74	11.06	41.49	40.43		
	COLLEGE	4.17884	4	0.90942	0.90	1,342	1.71	4.40	10.51	41.06	42.32		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.27176	5	0.91234		471	1.06	4.88	10.62	32.70	50.74		
	COLLEGE	4.41859	5	0.87088	0.67	1,345	1.34	3.12	8.33	26.77	60.45		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47409	5	0.87157		656	1.37	3.20	7.62	22.26	65.55		
	COLLEGE	4.56797	5	0.82133	0.53	1,530	1.24	2.68	5.95	18.30	71.83		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A