

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 4970-100

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Forecasting Severe Convection

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Imy

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	1.03	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.14	75.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.43	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.94	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
David Imy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: AGSC 3011-001

Section Title: Career Planning & Development

Instructor: Kari Snider

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.74	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	85.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.68	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	88.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.94	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.73	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Kari Snider													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.58	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 197

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20833	4	0.78024		96	1.04	1.04	12.50	46.88	38.54	55.56	51.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.12	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.26042	4	0.72902		96	0.00	2.08	10.42	46.88	40.63	55.56	57.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.19	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15625	4	0.86241		96	1.04	4.17	11.46	44.79	38.54	53.33	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.05	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69072	5	0.56570		97	0.00	1.03	2.06	23.71	73.20	73.33	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.37	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
<i>Fred Shelley</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84375	5	0.36500		96	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.63	84.38	71.74	68.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.40	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-011

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Ashley Swamy

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	5	1.26930		9	0.00	22.22	11.11	22.22	44.44	22.22	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.25	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.30171		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	33.33	55.56	51.11	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.15	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.11803		9	0.00	22.22	11.11	44.44	22.22	13.33	10.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.47	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	60.00	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.21	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Ashley Swamy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	0.00	88.89	43.48	44.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.20	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.06458	0.17	16	6.25	0.00	6.25	37.50	50.00	62.22	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.62915	0.36	16	0.00	0.00	6.25	43.75	50.00	75.56	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	1.03078	0.34	16	6.25	0.00	0.00	31.25	62.50	77.78	70.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.70415	0.37	16	0.00	0.00	12.50	6.25	81.25	71.11	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.68313	0.30	16	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	87.50	54.35	56.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Swamy

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.84615	4	1.06819	-0.29	13	7.69	0.00	15.38	53.85	23.08	20.00	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	4	1.11516	0.00	13	7.69	0.00	7.69	46.15	38.46	28.89	36.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	1.16575	0.13	13	7.69	0.00	7.69	30.77	53.85	57.78	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	1.12090		13	7.69	0.00	0.00	30.77	61.54	37.78	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Ashley Swamy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.87706	0.05	13	0.00	7.69	0.00	23.08	69.23	32.61	35.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-014

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Instructor: Ashley Swamy

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.75378	0.17	12	0.00	0.00	16.67	41.67	41.67	62.22	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.57735	0.09	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	66.67	25.00	40.00	45.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.49237	0.23	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	68.89	57.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227	0.44	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	77.78	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Ashley Swamy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227	0.30	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	54.35	56.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.15789	4	0.76472	0.06	19	0.00	0.00	21.05	42.11	36.84	42.22	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15789	4	0.89834	0.08	19	0.00	5.26	15.79	36.84	42.11	37.78	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.89474	4	0.99413	-0.23	19	0.00	10.53	21.05	36.84	31.58	28.89	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52632	5	0.61178	0.18	19	0.00	0.00	5.26	36.84	57.89	57.78	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.89474	5	0.31530	0.46	19	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.53	89.47	78.26	71.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11765	5	1.26897		17	5.88	11.76	0.00	29.41	52.94	37.78	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.02	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11765	5	1.16632		17	5.88	5.88	5.88	35.29	47.06	31.11	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.04	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	5	1.04670		17	5.88	0.00	5.88	35.29	52.94	64.44	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.19	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	1.11474		17	5.88	0.00	11.76	17.65	64.71	35.56	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.02	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52941	5	1.06757		17	0.00	11.76	5.88	0.00	82.35	30.44	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.04	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashley Swamy

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593	0.21	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	71.11	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452	0.50	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	84.44	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593	0.18	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	62.22	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	64.44	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Ashley Swamy													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	0.26	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	50.00	51.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-018

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Logan Gerber-Chavez

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.05882	4	0.65865	-0.05	17	0.00	0.00	17.65	58.82	23.53	33.33	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.17647	4	0.72761	0.10	17	0.00	0.00	17.65	47.06	35.29	42.22	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.05882	4	0.65865	-0.06	17	0.00	0.00	17.65	58.82	23.53	40.00	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	4	0.68599	-0.09	17	0.00	0.00	11.76	47.06	41.18	26.67	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Logan Gerber-Chavez													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.49259	0.18	17	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.29	64.71	41.30	42.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 85

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.74197	0.21	42	0.00	2.38	9.52	45.24	42.86	71.11	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.52381	5	0.59420	0.45	42	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14	82.22	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09524	4	0.95788	-0.02	42	2.38	2.38	19.05	35.71	40.48	46.67	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61905	5	0.62283		42	0.00	2.38	0.00	30.95	66.67	68.89	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.55373	0.26	42	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19	50.00	51.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Diana-Beth Derry

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.12815		12	0.00	16.67	8.33	33.33	41.67	31.11	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.12	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.20605		12	8.33	0.00	16.67	33.33	41.67	26.67	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.08	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	4	1.16450		12	8.33	0.00	8.33	41.67	41.67	42.22	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.03	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.88763		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	16.67	58.33	33.33	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.05	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Diana-Beth Derry													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.33712		12	8.33	8.33	0.00	25.00	58.33	13.04	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.37	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-022

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Diana-Beth Derry

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.11803		9	11.11	0.00	11.11	66.67	11.11	11.11	9.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.50	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.09291		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	77.78	11.11	20.00	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.30	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.20185		9	11.11	0.00	11.11	55.56	22.22	20.00	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.35	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.97183		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	44.44	44.44	24.44	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.18	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Diana-Beth Derry													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56	23.91	26.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.18	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-023

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Diana-Beth Derry

Total Enrollment: 22

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.15470	-0.12	10	0.00	10.00	30.00	10.00	50.00	31.11	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.13529	0.12	10	0.00	10.00	20.00	10.00	60.00	48.89	52.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640	0.51	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	88.89	81.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711	0.15	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	51.11	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Diana-Beth Derry													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.05935	-0.22	10	0.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	21.74	22.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-024

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Diana-Beth Derry

Total Enrollment: 18

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.91894	0.11	10	0.00	10.00	0.00	50.00	40.00	53.33	50.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.91894	0.12	10	0.00	0.00	30.00	20.00	50.00	48.89	52.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.94868	0.20	10	0.00	10.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	66.67	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711	0.15	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	51.11	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Diana-Beth Derry													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.33749	-0.22	10	10.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	70.00	21.74	22.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1103-920

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.52632	5	0.51299	0.48	19	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.37	52.63	88.89	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.78947	5	0.53530	0.72	19	0.00	0.00	5.26	10.53	84.21	93.33	89.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.56195	0.66	19	0.00	0.00	5.26	15.79	78.95	93.33	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.94737	5	0.22942	0.67	19	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.26	94.74	93.33	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.58	19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-921

Section Title: Human Geography

Instructor: Gary Gress

Total Enrollment: 31

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	75.56	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.32	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	38.46	53.85	77.78	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.39	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.77625		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	15.38	69.23	82.22	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.45	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	82.22	74.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.46	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	73.91	69.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.41	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1114-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 107

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructors: Hernan Moreno / Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.53846	4	1.04063		78	5.13	11.54	21.79	47.44	14.10	6.67	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.65	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.55128	4	1.20224		78	8.97	10.26	19.23	39.74	21.79	11.11	10.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.53	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.55128	4	1.13558		78	6.41	11.54	23.08	38.46	20.51	8.89	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.59	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.18347		78	6.41	7.69	21.79	30.77	33.33	8.89	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.71	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Hernan Moreno													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.25656		77	6.49	10.39	7.79	27.27	48.05	10.87	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.56	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37313	5	0.93478		67	2.99	0.00	13.43	23.88	59.70	26.09	28.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.14	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1114-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Monica Mustain

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Monica Mustain</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.62915		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	43.75	50.00	40.00	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.06	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.18750	4	0.91059		16	0.00	6.25	12.50	37.50	43.75	40.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.17	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44721		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	60.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.32	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.63246		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	37.50	56.25	50.00	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.14	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.56061		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	66.67	26.67	40.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.19	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-012

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Caroline Pavlowsky													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	5	1.01448		16	6.25	0.00	0.00	43.75	50.00	30.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-0.09	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.18750	5	1.04682		16	0.00	12.50	6.25	31.25	50.00	40.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.17	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	5	1.01448		16	6.25	0.00	0.00	43.75	50.00	30.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-0.23	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.31656		16	6.25	12.50	6.25	25.00	50.00	20.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.42	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.18750	4	0.98107		16	6.25	0.00	0.00	56.25	37.50	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.20	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-013

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructor: Monica Mustain

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Monica Mustain</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.45584		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	70.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.39	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.77273	5	0.42893		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.73	77.27	80.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.51	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.86364	5	0.35125		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.64	86.36	70.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.46	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.77273	5	0.42893		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.73	77.27	70.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.44	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.68182	5	0.47673		22	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.82	68.18	90.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.41	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1114-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Caroline Pavlowsky													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	60.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.32	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.78	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.63	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.69	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	60.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.02	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1114-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 110

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.94444	4	0.91973		54	1.85	5.56	16.67	48.15	27.78	24.44	20.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.18	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14815	4	0.85578		54	1.85	1.85	12.96	46.30	37.04	35.56	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.07	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.05769	4	0.91638		52	1.92	5.77	9.62	50.00	32.69	37.78	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.06	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.74395		54	0.00	1.85	12.96	46.30	38.89	24.44	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.18	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60377	5	0.68891		53	0.00	1.89	5.66	22.64	69.81	36.96	39.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.13	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Nathan Sleight													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.76000	5	0.43589	0.43	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.00	76.00	90.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533		240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.64550	0.31	25	0.00	0.00	8.00	24.00	68.00	60.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985		241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.88000	5	0.33166	0.48	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	88.00	80.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167		241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.40825	0.47	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591		241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.48000	5	0.65320	0.16	25	0.00	0.00	8.00	36.00	56.00	70.00	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564		239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

- Response Key
1. Instructor is well prepared

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab
- 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-022

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Kelsey Warren-Bryant

Total Enrollment: 29

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Kelsey Warren-Bryant</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	50.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.27	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.60093		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	66.67	22.22	20.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.26	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	40.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.08	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	40.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.07	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.97183		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	44.44	44.44	50.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.16	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-023

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Nathan Sleight

Total Enrollment: 29

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Nathan Sleight</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.45241		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	73.68	80.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.40	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.68421	5	0.47757		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.58	68.42	70.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.41	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.45241		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	73.68	50.00	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.30	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.63158	5	0.49559		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.84	63.16	60.00	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.28	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.57895	5	0.50726		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.11	57.89	80.00	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.28	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-024

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Kelsey Warren-Bryant

Total Enrollment: 23

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Kelsey Warren-Bryant</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.71	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	90.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.58	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	90.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.53	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	90.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.51	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.60	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1114-030

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 44

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Aondover Tarhule

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	0.99472	-0.58	20	5.00	10.00	15.00	60.00	10.00	8.89	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.05131	-0.58	20	5.00	10.00	30.00	40.00	15.00	8.89	9.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421	-1.17	20	20.00	20.00	15.00	30.00	15.00	4.44	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.65000	4	1.08942	-0.84	20	5.00	5.00	35.00	30.00	25.00	6.67	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Aondover Tarhule													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.97872	-0.22	20	5.00	0.00	5.00	40.00	50.00	21.74	22.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-031

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Instructor: Virginia Silvis

Total Enrollment: 22

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Virginia Silvis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.30000	4	1.15950		10	10.00	10.00	30.00	40.00	10.00	20.00	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-1.26	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	70.00	30.00	50.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.04	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-0.49	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	30.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.31	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	4	0.82327		10	0.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	10.00	20.00	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.81	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-032

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Instructor: Virginia Silvis

Total Enrollment: 22

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Virginia Silvis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	2.36364	2	1.28629		11	27.27	36.36	18.18	9.09	9.09	10.00	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.38732	5	0.98102		142	3.52	3.52	4.23	28.17	60.56		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-2.34	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	2.36364	2	1.20605		11	18.18	54.55	9.09	9.09	9.09	10.00	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.34965	5	0.93642		143	1.40	6.29	4.90	30.77	56.64		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-2.29	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.61245		11	27.27	9.09	27.27	9.09	27.27	10.00	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.56643	5	0.85217		143	2.80	1.40	2.80	22.38	70.63		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-1.86	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	2.54545	3	1.29334		11	27.27	18.18	36.36	9.09	9.09	10.00	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.41259	5	0.94443		143	2.80	3.50	4.90	27.27	61.54		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-2.05	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.18182	4	1.53741		11	18.18	18.18	18.18	18.18	27.27	10.00	3.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.31690	4	0.86188		142	2.11	2.82	4.93	41.55	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-1.45	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1203-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 105

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25641	4	0.67738	0.17	39	0.00	0.00	12.82	48.72	38.46	64.44	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25641	5	1.01872	0.18	39	2.56	5.13	10.26	28.21	53.85	53.33	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.67338	0.29	39	0.00	0.00	10.26	41.03	48.72	71.11	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.56410	5	0.78790	0.22	39	0.00	5.13	2.56	23.08	69.23	62.22	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.69410	0.23	39	0.00	2.56	5.13	12.82	79.49	45.65	46.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 1213-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Economic Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	1.16450		12	8.33	0.00	0.00	25.00	66.67	82.22	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.36	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	5	1.47093		11	9.09	9.09	9.09	0.00	72.73	44.44	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.11	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.86603		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	50.00	41.67	60.00	50.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.15	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.57735		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	0.00	91.67	86.67	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.54	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	0.00	90.91	65.22	63.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.38	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3213-001

Section Title: Principles-Human Geography

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Total Enrollment: 22

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.15470	-1.26	10	10.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	10.00	2.22	2.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.90000	3	1.10050	-1.18	10	10.00	30.00	20.00	40.00	0.00	4.44	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.10000	3	1.52388	-1.07	10	10.00	40.00	10.00	10.00	30.00	6.67	5.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.90000	3	1.37032	-1.72	10	10.00	40.00	20.00	10.00	20.00	2.22	2.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Bret Wallach													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.90000	3	1.52388	-1.82	10	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	20.00	2.17	3.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3233-001

Section Title: Principles of Sustainability

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Total Enrollment: 61

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.83871	4	1.00322		31	3.23	6.45	19.35	45.16	25.81	17.78	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.30	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.77419	4	1.20304		31	6.45	12.90	6.45	45.16	29.03	17.78	18.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.30	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.06458		31	6.45	3.23	6.45	51.61	32.26	35.56	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.12	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.12903	5	0.99136		31	0.00	6.45	22.58	22.58	48.39	17.78	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.29	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.19355	5	0.98045		31	0.00	6.45	19.35	22.58	51.61	15.22	17.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.34	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3443-001

Section Title: Environment and Society

Instructor: Angela Person

Total Enrollment: 36

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.74664	0.07	30	0.00	3.33	10.00	53.33	33.33	46.67	45.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.36667	5	0.80872	0.29	30	0.00	3.33	10.00	33.33	53.33	66.67	64.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	1.22428	-0.26	30	6.67	6.67	20.00	26.67	40.00	26.67	20.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.95231	-0.09	30	0.00	6.67	13.33	23.33	56.67	31.11	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63333	5	0.71840	0.16	30	0.00	3.33	3.33	20.00	73.33	39.13	40.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3443-002

Section Title: Environment and Society

Instructor: Randy Pepler

Total Enrollment: 31

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	93.33	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.77	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	91.11	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.59	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	91.11	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.58	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	91.11	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.60	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Randy Pepler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3513-001

Section Title: Political Geography

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Total Enrollment: 99

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.79705	0.11	35	0.00	2.86	14.29	42.86	40.00	53.33	50.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37143	5	0.73106	0.30	35	0.00	2.86	5.71	42.86	48.57	68.89	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.65465	0.33	35	0.00	0.00	8.57	40.00	51.43	75.56	68.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.51429	5	0.61220	0.17	35	0.00	0.00	5.71	37.14	57.14	53.33	50.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.82857	5	0.45282	0.39	35	0.00	0.00	2.86	11.43	85.71	67.39	64.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 3773-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.00326		18	5.56	5.56	11.11	61.11	16.67	13.33	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.37	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	4	1.29352		18	11.11	11.11	11.11	44.44	22.22	13.33	11.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.52	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.72222	4	1.36363		18	16.67	0.00	5.56	50.00	27.78	17.78	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.41	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.13759		18	5.56	5.56	11.11	38.89	38.89	15.56	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.44	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.06027		18	5.56	5.56	16.67	50.00	22.22	6.52	7.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.82	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3924-002

Section Title: Quantitative Methods

Instructor: John Greene

Total Enrollment: 35

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.83381	0.03	15	0.00	6.67	6.67	53.33	33.33	40.00	41.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000	-0.08	15	0.00	13.33	6.67	46.67	33.33	26.67	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.91548		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	40.00	40.00	51.11	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.73679		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	33.33	53.33	42.22	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404	0.35	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	63.04	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4200-007

Section Title: Internship in Geography

Instructor: Gary Gress

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	1.03	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.93	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.94	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 4200-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Internship in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	1.03	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.93	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.94	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4283-001 / GEOG 5283-001

Section Title: Biogeography

Instructor: Bruce Hoagland

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	86.67	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.45	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	80.00	75.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.43	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	80.00	74.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.41	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	51.11	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.15	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Bruce Hoagland													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 4343-001 / GEOG 5343-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Climate, History, and Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40909	5	0.85407		22	0.00	4.55	9.09	27.27	59.09	80.00	70.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.35	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	0.98473		22	0.00	9.09	9.09	27.27	54.55	57.78	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.20	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.15095		22	4.55	4.55	18.18	22.73	50.00	44.44	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.02	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52381	5	0.81358		21	0.00	4.76	4.76	23.81	66.67	55.56	51.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.18	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.96250		22	4.55	0.00	4.55	18.18	72.73	34.78	36.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.06	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 4563-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: American Indian Geographies

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333	0.14	9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	57.78	52.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.86603	0.26	9	0.00	0.00	22.22	22.22	55.56	64.44	63.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.05409	-0.00	9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	48.89	41.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	0.83333	-0.18	9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	24.44	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648	-0.05	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	28.26	29.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4583-001 / GEOG 5583-001

Section Title: Renewable Energy Resources

Instructor: Mark Meo

Total Enrollment: 21

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.08333	3	1.37895	-1.17	12	16.67	16.67	25.00	25.00	16.67	4.44	3.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.83333	3	1.52753	-1.25	12	25.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	16.67	2.22	3.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.47710	-1.17	12	16.67	33.33	0.00	33.33	16.67	4.44	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.58592	-1.41	12	16.67	25.00	16.67	8.33	33.33	4.44	3.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.41667	4	1.56428	-1.23	12	16.67	16.67	8.33	25.00	33.33	4.35	5.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 4893-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	35.56	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.00	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.66667		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	26.67	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.08	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	4	1.15950		10	0.00	20.00	20.00	30.00	30.00	15.56	11.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.43	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.05935		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	31.11	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.09	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	80.44	72.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.47	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 4893-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.96609	-0.12	16	0.00	12.50	6.25	50.00	31.25	31.11	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.31250	4	1.49304	-0.77	16	18.75	12.50	12.50	31.25	25.00	6.67	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545	-0.12	16	6.25	6.25	0.00	56.25	31.25	35.56	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.21106	-0.44	16	6.25	6.25	12.50	31.25	43.75	15.56	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.31656	-0.56	16	6.25	12.50	6.25	25.00	50.00	10.87	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4953-001

Section Title: Capstone

Instructor: John Greene

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	1.03	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.93	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.94	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 4970-003 / GEOG 5970-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: River Science

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laura Alvarez Rueda

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	77.78	68.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.34	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	86.67	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.53	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	88.89	81.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.51	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	42.22	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.03	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Laura Alvarez Rueda													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 5143-001

Section Title: Ecosystem Services

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795	0.21	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	71.11	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007	0.07	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	33.33	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.57735	-0.12	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	71.43	14.29	35.56	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	0.40	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	75.56	68.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.42	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	76.09	70.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 5313-001 / GEOG 4313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Qualitative Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	0.70	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	91.11	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680	0.35	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	73.33	70.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452	0.48	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	84.44	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.57	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	88.89	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.39	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	69.57	67.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 5433-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Sustainability: Theory & Prac

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.64317		5	20.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	15.56	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.35	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	5	1.67332		5	20.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	15.56	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.48	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.64317		5	20.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	22.22	18.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.33	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	84.44	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.50	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	63.04	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.35	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 5970-002 / GEOG 4970-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Natural Resource Economics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.09545	0.11	5	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	53.33	50.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443	0.33	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	71.11	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443	0.30	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	73.33	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.27	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	66.67	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.35	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	63.04	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 6210-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Seminar in Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hernan Moreno

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277	0.07	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	46.67	45.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650	0.26	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	64.44	63.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319	-0.29	6	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	33.33	24.44	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772	0.15	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	51.11	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Hernan Moreno													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.58	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GEOG 6973-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Contemp Geographic Thought

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nicholas Bauch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	86.67	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.45	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	91.11	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.59	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.03280		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67	13.33	10.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.47	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	15.56	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.44	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Nicholas Bauch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GIS 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	90.00	100.00	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.45588	5	0.67876		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	38.24	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.80	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	80.00	83.33	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.39706	5	0.83111		68	1.47	2.94	4.41	36.76	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.63	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	83.33	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.51556		68	0.00	0.00	1.47	33.82	64.71		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.83	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	66.67	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.66701		68	1.47	0.00	1.47	27.94	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.50	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.73134	5	0.64154		67	1.49	0.00	1.49	17.91	79.10		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GIS 2023-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.15	53.85	66.67	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.45588	5	0.67876		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	38.24	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.50	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	66.67	81.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.39706	5	0.83111		68	1.47	2.94	4.41	36.76	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.54	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	100.00	88.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.51556		68	0.00	0.00	1.47	33.82	64.71		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.85	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	100.00	85.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.66701		68	1.47	0.00	1.47	27.94	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.64	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	66.67	76.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.73134	5	0.64154		67	1.49	0.00	1.49	17.91	79.10		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.50	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GIS 2023-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	0.70	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	83.33	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.45588	5	0.67876		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	38.24	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	0.64	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	100.00	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.39706	5	0.83111		68	1.47	2.94	4.41	36.76	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	0.63	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	66.67	85.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.51556		68	0.00	0.00	1.47	33.82	64.71		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796	0.57	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	83.33	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.66701		68	1.47	0.00	1.47	27.94	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.58	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.73134	5	0.64154		67	1.49	0.00	1.49	17.91	79.10		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rebecca Loraamm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.92878	0.29	14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	50.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.45588	5	0.67876		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	38.24	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.13873	0.21	14	7.14	0.00	7.14	28.57	57.14	50.00	59.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.39706	5	0.83111		68	1.47	2.94	4.41	36.76	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.64621	0.48	14	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	50.00	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.51556		68	0.00	0.00	1.47	33.82	64.71		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	5	1.12171		14	7.14	0.00	7.14	35.71	50.00	16.67	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.66701		68	1.47	0.00	1.47	27.94	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		-0.19	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49		
Rebecca Loraamm													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.13873	-0.24	14	7.14	0.00	7.14	28.57	57.14	16.67	19.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.73134	5	0.64154		67	1.49	0.00	1.49	17.91	79.10		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GIS 4013-011 / GIS 5013-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laura Holtzman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Laura Holtzman</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	100.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.42857	5	0.93761		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	64.29		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.19	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.85485		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	64.29		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.39	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	100.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.42857	5	0.85163		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.08	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	1.01379		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	22.22	66.67	100.00	46.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.28571	5	1.26665		14	7.14	7.14	0.00	21.43	64.29		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.07	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	100.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.53	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laura Holtzman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Laura Holtzman</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42857	5	0.93761		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	64.29		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-0.22	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.50000	5	0.85485		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	64.29		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.15	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.42857	5	0.85163		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-0.37	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.73205		5	20.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.28571	5	1.26665		14	7.14	7.14	0.00	21.43	64.29		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.42	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	50.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.31	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GIS 4133-001 / GIS 5133-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Fundamentals of Remote Sensing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.31579	4	0.58239		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	57.89	36.84	33.33	63.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.45588	5	0.67876		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	38.24	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.24	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10526	4	0.93659		19	0.00	10.53	5.26	47.37	36.84	16.67	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.39706	5	0.83111		68	1.47	2.94	4.41	36.76	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.03	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42105	4	0.50726		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.89	42.11	33.33	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.51556		68	0.00	0.00	1.47	33.82	64.71		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.33	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.52632	5	0.51299		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.37	52.63	33.33	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.66701		68	1.47	0.00	1.47	27.94	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.18	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68421	5	0.47757		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.58	68.42	33.33	45.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.73134	5	0.64154		67	1.49	0.00	1.49	17.91	79.10		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.22	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GIS 4653-001 / GIS 5653-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Spatial Programming and GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Koch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	4	0.68599	0.22	17	0.00	0.00	11.76	47.06	41.18	73.33	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.06343	4	0.93484		804	2.24	4.73	13.06	44.40	35.57		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	4	0.58787	0.22	17	0.00	0.00	5.88	58.82	35.29	60.00	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.09838	4	1.01315		803	3.49	4.86	11.33	38.98	41.34		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.17647	4	0.72761	0.07	17	0.00	0.00	17.65	47.06	35.29	55.56	45.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.04489	4	1.03399		802	3.74	5.61	11.97	39.78	38.90		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76471	5	0.43724	0.46	17	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.53	76.47	80.00	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.36194	5	0.90775		804	1.62	3.48	9.45	27.99	57.46		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Jennifer Koch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76471	5	0.43724	0.31	17	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.53	76.47	56.52	58.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52941	5	0.88482		867	1.96	3.23	5.19	19.15	70.47		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: GIS 4923-001 / GIS 5923-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Spatial Statistics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Neeson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711	-0.12	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	16.67	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.45588	5	0.67876		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	38.24	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721	0.12	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	33.33	52.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.39706	5	0.83111		68	1.47	2.94	4.41	36.76	54.41		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	16.67	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.51556		68	0.00	0.00	1.47	33.82	64.71		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	66.67	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.63235	5	0.66701		68	1.47	0.00	1.47	27.94	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Thomas Neeson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.35	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	50.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.73134	5	0.64154		67	1.49	0.00	1.49	17.91	79.10		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 1014-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 135

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Irena Lodangco / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.69843	-0.12	83	0.00	2.41	16.87	59.04	21.69	40.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.95181	4	0.93579	-0.13	83	0.00	8.43	20.48	38.55	32.53	37.14	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09639	4	0.67380	-0.02	83	0.00	2.41	10.84	61.45	25.30	40.00	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42169	5	0.68290	0.06	83	0.00	1.20	7.23	39.76	51.81	45.71	41.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	4	0.96329	-0.49	75	2.67	2.67	18.67	37.33	38.67	20.93	14.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-011

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	62.50	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.13	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	43.75	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.10	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	43.75	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.01	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.14	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	43.75	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.13	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-012

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 22

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	75.00	12.50	18.75	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-0.45	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	12.50	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.68	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-0.62	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	62.50	12.50	12.50	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.56	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	62.50	12.50	12.50	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.59	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-013

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	18.75	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-0.45	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	31.25	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.39	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	42.86	42.86	31.25	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-0.44	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.15470		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	31.25	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.42	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.15470		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	18.75	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.44	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-014

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	62.50	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.13	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	81.25	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.34	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	62.50	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.16	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	68.75	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.28	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	62.50	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.18	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-015

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	62.50	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.13	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.20	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	68.75	67.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.32	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	31.25	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.42	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	43.75	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.13	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 1014-016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	62.50	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.13	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	81.25	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.34	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	62.50	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.16	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	68.75	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.28	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	62.50	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.18	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-910

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Instructors: Irena Lodangco / Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 41

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.07143	4	0.91687	-0.04	14	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	42.86	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	4	0.99725	-0.15	14	0.00	14.29	7.14	50.00	28.57	34.29	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	4	0.99725	-0.19	14	0.00	14.29	7.14	50.00	28.57	22.86	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	5	0.97496	-0.19	14	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	50.00	22.86	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	5	1.37510	-0.67	11	9.09	9.09	9.09	27.27	45.45	13.95	9.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-911

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.75	60.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.25	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.75	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.31	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.13	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	56.25	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.25	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.75	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.31	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-912

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.71	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.78	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.63	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.69	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.81	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 1014-913

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.71	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.78	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.63	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.69	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.81	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-914

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 13

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Susan Postawko													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-1.60	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-1.55	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	6.25	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-1.24	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	6.25	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.98	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	6.25	7.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-1.06	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1111-001

Section Title: Orientation-Prof Meteorology

Instructor: David Parsons

Total Enrollment: 92

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.85714	-0.12	50	0.00	10.00	6.00	58.00	26.00	40.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.30612	3	1.06466	-0.77	49	4.08	20.41	28.57	34.69	12.24	8.57	5.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.79592	4	1.06026	-0.33	49	2.04	14.29	12.24	44.90	26.53	17.14	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.97959	4	0.90115	-0.46	49	0.00	8.16	16.33	44.90	30.61	14.29	10.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.32000	4	0.71257	-0.20	50	0.00	0.00	14.00	40.00	46.00	30.23	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 1313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	4	1.73205		3	66.67	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	2.86	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-2.41	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	4	1.73205		3	66.67	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	2.86	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-2.09	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.33333	5	2.30940		3	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	2.86	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-1.87	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.33333	5	2.30940		3	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	2.86	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-2.38	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.33333	5	2.30940		3	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	4.65	2.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-2.48	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1313-002

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007	0.04	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	51.43	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	0.89974	0.07	7	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	60.00	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680	0.33	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	68.57	68.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452	0.23	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	57.14	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	0.26	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	55.81	51.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 2011-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Intro to METR I Lab (HONORS)

Course Level: All

Instructors: Amanda Kis / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Amanda Kis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.71	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.78	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.63	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.69	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.81	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-900

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	37.50	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-0.16	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	31.25	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.39	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	25.00	17.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-0.62	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	43.75	39.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.14	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	43.75	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.13	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-901

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 13

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.48	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.75	64.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.31	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.38	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	78.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.47	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	82.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.56	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-902

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 16

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	0.71	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	53.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	0.20	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	0.63	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	81.25	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	0.51	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	81.25	89.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.60	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2013-001

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Instructor: Michael Biggerstaff

Total Enrollment: 21

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.05409	-0.12	10	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	40.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.05409	-0.08	10	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	51.43	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921	0.30	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	57.14	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.82327	-0.09	10	0.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	50.00	34.29	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Michael Biggerstaff													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.05409	-0.56	10	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	16.28	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 2013-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I(HONORS)

Instructor: Amanda Kis

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	1.03	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.93	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.14	74.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.41	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2013-003

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Instructor: Elinor Martin

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.66023	0.41	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	38.46	53.85	65.71	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.63043	0.62	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	15.38	76.92	82.86	85.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.87706	0.45	13	0.00	7.69	0.00	23.08	69.23	80.00	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.63043	0.37	13	0.00	0.00	7.69	15.38	76.92	68.57	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Elinor Martin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.61125	0.26	14	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	78.57	55.81	51.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 76

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructors: Christopher Kerr / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.77272		70	0.00	4.29	8.57	50.00	37.14	54.29	50.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.11	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.48571	5	0.71714		70	0.00	1.43	8.57	30.00	60.00	74.29	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.41	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45714	5	0.62983		70	0.00	1.43	2.86	44.29	51.43	71.43	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.36	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.51429	5	0.67551		70	0.00	1.43	5.71	32.86	60.00	54.29	50.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.17	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Christopher Kerr													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75714	5	0.49448		70	0.00	0.00	2.86	18.57	78.57	65.12	57.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.31	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.53061	4	1.41572		49	18.37	0.00	22.45	28.57	30.61	9.30	6.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-1.10	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 2603-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 43

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kyle Thiem / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.13389		15	6.67	0.00	20.00	33.33	40.00	40.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.12	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.91026		15	0.00	6.67	6.67	26.67	60.00	68.57	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.33	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.26667	5	0.96115		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	26.67	53.33	48.57	51.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.16	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.89974		15	0.00	6.67	6.67	33.33	53.33	40.00	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.05	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Kyle Thiem													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.61721		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	73.33	51.16	44.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.20	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	1.10940		13	7.69	0.00	0.00	38.46	53.85	27.91	23.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.21	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 3113-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 48

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kelvin Droegemeier

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.78446	-0.12	40	0.00	5.00	15.00	55.00	25.00	40.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.57500	4	1.08338	-0.50	40	2.50	17.50	20.00	40.00	20.00	14.29	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92500	4	0.57233	-0.20	40	0.00	2.50	12.50	75.00	10.00	20.00	22.99
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.17500	4	0.81296	-0.23	40	0.00	0.00	25.00	32.50	42.50	20.00	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Kelvin Droegemeier													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57500	5	0.63599	0.10	40	0.00	2.50	0.00	35.00	62.50	44.19	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3213-001

Section Title: Phys Meteor I: Thermodynamics

Instructor: Phillip Chilson

Total Enrollment: 51

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.61134		45	0.00	0.00	13.33	62.22	24.44	48.57	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.01	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75556	4	0.82999		45	0.00	8.89	22.22	53.33	15.56	22.86	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.32	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.02222	4	0.78303		45	0.00	6.67	8.89	60.00	24.44	34.29	32.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.09	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.24444	4	0.71209		45	0.00	0.00	15.56	44.44	40.00	28.57	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.15	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Phillip Chilson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57778	5	0.75344		45	0.00	4.44	2.22	24.44	68.89	46.51	38.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.10	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 3440-018

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Mentored Research Experience

Course Level: All

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	14.29	11.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.40	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	20.00	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.33	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	11.43	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.38	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	31.43	26.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.14	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	37.21	31.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.01	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 3523-900 / GEOG 3523-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Managing for Changing Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Renee Mc Pherson / Elinor Martin / Berrien Moore

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.68421	4	0.82007		19	0.00	10.53	21.05	57.89	10.53	11.43	10.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.48	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.63158	4	0.89508		19	0.00	15.79	15.79	57.89	10.53	17.14	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.45	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.57895	4	1.12130		19	5.26	15.79	10.53	52.63	15.79	8.57	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.56	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.78947	4	1.03166		19	5.26	0.00	31.58	36.84	26.32	11.43	9.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.68	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.05263	4	0.97032		19	5.26	0.00	10.53	52.63	31.58	18.61	13.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.50	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		
Elinor Martin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.47368	5	0.61178		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	42.11	52.63	34.88	30.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.02	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		
Berrien Moore													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.15789	4	0.68825		19	0.00	0.00	15.79	52.63	31.58	23.26	15.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.38	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 3613-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 44

Section Title: Meteorological Measurement Sys

Course Level: All

Instructor: Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.94444	4	0.80237		18	0.00	5.56	16.67	55.56	22.22	20.00	20.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.18	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.75840		18	0.00	5.56	5.56	61.11	27.78	57.14	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.03	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.87820		18	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	45.71	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.12	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.73208		18	0.00	5.56	0.00	61.11	33.33	25.71	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.18	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72222	5	0.46089		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	72.22	58.14	52.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.27	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-011

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Instructor: Petra Klein

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Petra Klein</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	81.82	18.18	25.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-0.24	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	0.83121		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	63.64	18.18	18.75	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.49	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	81.82	18.18	37.50	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-0.39	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.77460		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	45.45	27.27	31.25	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.42	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.70065		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	54.55	27.27	25.00	21.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	-0.32	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 3613-012

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Instructor: Petra Klein

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Petra Klein</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	37.50	32.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.61907		84	0.00	0.00	7.14	47.62	45.24		
	COLLEGE	4.38750	5	0.86533	-0.16	240	2.08	2.50	5.42	34.58	55.42		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	43.75	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.27381	4	0.71728		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	45.24	41.67		
	COLLEGE	4.33195	5	0.85985	-0.10	241	0.83	4.56	7.05	35.68	51.87		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	50.00	12.50	12.50	10.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.38095	4	0.69256		84	0.00	1.19	8.33	41.67	48.81		
	COLLEGE	4.49378	5	0.80167	-0.93	241	1.66	1.66	4.56	29.88	62.24		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	37.50	35.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.33333	4	0.73359		84	0.00	1.19	11.90	39.29	47.62		
	COLLEGE	4.37759	5	0.89591	-0.28	241	2.07	2.90	7.05	31.12	56.85		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34940	5	0.73971		83	0.00	1.20	12.05	37.35	49.40		
	COLLEGE	4.35146	5	0.80564	0.10	239	1.26	2.09	7.11	39.33	50.21		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4133-001

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics III

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Total Enrollment: 44

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.09375	4	0.77707	-0.01	32	0.00	6.25	6.25	59.38	28.13	45.71	36.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.06250	4	0.87759	-0.01	32	0.00	6.25	15.63	43.75	34.38	54.29	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.75134	0.01	32	0.00	3.13	12.50	53.13	31.25	42.86	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.65991		32	0.00	0.00	9.38	43.75	46.88	42.86	36.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53125	5	0.71772	0.05	32	0.00	0.00	12.50	21.88	65.63	41.86	34.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 4233-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 42

Section Title: Phys Meteorology III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jason Furtado

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.34375	4	0.60158		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	53.13	40.63	62.86	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.27	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	0.56440		32	0.00	0.00	3.13	37.50	59.38	80.00	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.49	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40625	4	0.49899		32	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.38	40.63	60.00	63.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.31	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81250	5	0.39656		32	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	81.25	77.14	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.51	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Jason Furtado													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90625	5	0.29614		32	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.38	90.63	72.09	73.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.48	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 4330-995 / METR 5330-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Information Technology Skills

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	82.86	89.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.86	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	71.43	70.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.35	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	68.57	68.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.33	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4424-001

Section Title: Synoptic Meteorology Lab

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Total Enrollment: 49

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.54167	5	0.65801		24	0.00	0.00	8.33	29.17	62.50	74.29	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.50	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20833	5	0.97709		24	0.00	8.33	12.50	29.17	50.00	65.71	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.13	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.77553		24	0.00	0.00	16.67	25.00	58.33	62.86	64.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.32	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44233		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	74.29	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.44	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38069		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	69.77	67.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.39	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 4533-001 / GEOL 4533-001 / GEOL 5533-001 / METR 5533-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Earth's Past Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Susan Postawko / Gerilyn Soreghan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	54.55	36.36	57.14	57.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.19	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	5	0.98165		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	36.36	45.45	62.86	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.11	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.83121		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	63.64	27.27	37.14	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.02	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	48.57	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.10	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	74.42	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.48	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		
Gerilyn Soreghan													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	9.09	81.82	60.47	53.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.27	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 4713-001 / METR 5713-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Private Sector Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Snow

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	1.03	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	51.43	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.08	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.94	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	51.43	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.15	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
John Snow													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4911-001

Section Title: Senior Seminar (Capstone)

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Total Enrollment: 39

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	0.70065	-0.22	11	0.00	0.00	27.27	54.55	18.18	17.14	18.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	0.70065	-0.17	11	0.00	0.00	27.27	54.55	18.18	31.43	22.99
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	0.78625	0.17	11	0.00	0.00	18.18	36.36	45.45	51.43	52.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452	0.31	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	62.86	63.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452	0.17	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	48.84	41.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 5004-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Fundamentals of Atmos Science

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.75955		14	0.00	7.14	42.86	42.86	7.14	8.57	5.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.69	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.94054		14	0.00	21.43	14.29	57.14	7.14	11.43	9.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.58	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.78571	4	0.57893		14	0.00	0.00	28.57	64.29	7.14	14.29	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.34	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.94054		14	0.00	14.29	35.71	35.71	14.29	8.57	5.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-1.02	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.61125		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	25.58	19.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-0.24	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 5113-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Advanced Atmos Dynamics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	80.00	88.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.85	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	88.57	91.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.85	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	38.46	53.85	74.29	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.37	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5233-001

Section Title: Cloud & Precipitation Physics

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.57	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	77.14	81.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.73	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	85.71	90.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443	0.30	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	57.14	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772	0.27	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	60.00	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.58	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5303-001

Section Title: Objective Analysis

Instructor: Ming Xue

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640	0.26	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	60.00	64.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377		1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319	-0.24	6	0.00	16.67	0.00	66.67	16.67	28.57	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430		1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545	-0.12	6	0.00	16.67	0.00	50.00	33.33	31.43	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974		1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	40.00	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596		-0.05	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49		
Ming Xue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319	-0.75	6	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	33.33	11.63	8.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177		1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 5313-001 / METR 4313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Statistical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Richman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	0.69921		10	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	0.00	5.71	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.81	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.80000	3	1.13529		10	10.00	30.00	40.00	10.00	10.00	5.71	2.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-1.28	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.80000	3	1.03280		10	10.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	0.00	5.71	2.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-1.38	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.30000	3	0.67495		10	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	0.00	5.71	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-1.25	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	3	1.07497		10	0.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	20.00	6.98	4.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-1.25	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 5673-001 / ECE 5673-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Weather Radar Theory/Practice

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Palmer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.43	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.45	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	51.43	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.08	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.94	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	74.29	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.44	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Robert Palmer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	62.79	56.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.30	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 6613-001 / ECE 6613-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Weather Radar Polarimetry

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guifu Zhang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.43	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	0.45	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	33.33	28.57	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.24	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	82.86	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.58	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	65.71	64.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.34	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	69.77	67.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.39	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 6950-048

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	40.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.12	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	51.43	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.08	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	31.43	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.12	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 6950-064

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alexander Ryzhkov

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	1.03	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.93	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.94	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Alexander Ryzhkov													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 6950-082

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Instructor: Ming Xue

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	1.03	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.93	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.94	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Ming Xue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 6970-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Convective METR Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Louis Wicker

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	1.03	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	0.93	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	0.94	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	0.73	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Louis Wicker													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: METR 6970-005

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Weather and Climate Seminar

Instructors: Lance Leslie / Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	40.00	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.11419	4	0.79477		578	0.52	3.63	12.46	50.69	32.70		
	COLLEGE	4.10385	4	0.87377	-0.12	1,454	1.44	4.13	12.45	46.56	35.42		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	51.43	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.00347	4	0.97894		577	1.04	8.49	15.94	38.13	36.40		
	COLLEGE	4.07645	4	0.99430	-0.08	1,452	2.41	6.20	12.81	38.50	40.08		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	31.43	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.13692	4	0.84181		577	0.87	4.85	9.71	48.87	35.70		
	COLLEGE	4.11165	4	0.94974	-0.12	1,451	2.41	5.03	10.54	43.00	39.01		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	17.14	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.35355	5	0.79699		577	0.52	2.08	10.92	34.49	51.99		
	COLLEGE	4.37302	5	0.85596	-0.44	1,453	1.17	2.75	9.64	30.49	55.95		
Lance Leslie													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.33	1.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	-2.86	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42471	5	0.87036		777	2.06	1.42	9.01	27.03	60.49		
	COLLEGE	4.49096	5	0.87177	0.58	1,715	1.98	2.27	6.76	22.62	66.36		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						