

eValueate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 1112-001 / CNS 1112-010 / I D 1112-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 115

Section Title: Cultures of Collaborating

Course Level: All

Instructors: Hans Butzer / Richard Ryan / Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.93750	4	1.05444		96	1.04	10.42	20.83	29.17	38.54	15.15	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.29	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.71875	4	1.10218		96	2.08	16.67	15.63	38.54	27.08	18.18	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.39	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
<i>Hans Butzer</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.40625	5	0.73382		96	0.00	2.08	8.33	36.46	53.13	48.57	51.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.15	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.44792	5	0.80616		96	0.00	3.13	10.42	25.00	61.46	51.43	58.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.29	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.68056		96	0.00	1.04	7.29	32.29	59.38	48.57	51.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.18	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		
<i>Richard Ryan</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.37234	5	0.80301		94	1.06	2.13	7.45	37.23	52.13	42.86	47.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.12	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.34043	5	0.83670		94	0.00	3.19	13.83	28.72	54.26	45.71	55.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.19	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.23404	4	0.83506		94	0.00	2.13	19.15	31.91	46.81	20.00	28.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.12	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		
<i>Mia Kile</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.17021	4	0.93485		94	2.13	5.32	7.45	43.62	41.49	22.86	31.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.09	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.10870	4	1.04257		92	4.35	2.17	16.30	32.61	44.57	17.14	35.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.02	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.93617	4	1.10530		94	6.38	3.19	15.96	39.36	35.11	11.43	16.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.45	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 1121-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Methods I - Intro-Creating

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans Butzer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.64807		26	0.00	0.00	7.69	34.62	57.69	66.67	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.32	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.70602		26	0.00	0.00	11.54	23.08	65.38	63.64	63.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.43	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Hans Butzer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.42967		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	82.86	79.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.53	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.57692	5	0.70274		26	0.00	3.85	0.00	30.77	65.38	77.14	70.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.41	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.76057		26	0.00	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	40.00	47.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.14	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 1154-001

Section Title: Design I - Fundamentals

Instructor: Robert Pavilk

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	81.82	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.65	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	87.88	89.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.80	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Robert Pavilk													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.03280		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	30.00	50.00	34.29	37.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.06	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.94868		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	42.86	50.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.15	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	68.57	67.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.40	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 1154-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Design I - Fundamentals

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kenneth Marold

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	72.73	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.56	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	84.85	87.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.75	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Kenneth Marold													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	20.00	28.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.12	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	14.29	14.29	25.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.25	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.29099		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	0.00	57.14	14.29	21.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.38	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 2243-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 80

Section Title: History of Arch I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Catherine Barrett

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30612	4	0.76931		49	0.00	2.04	12.24	38.78	46.94	42.42	47.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.11	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.97959	4	0.92398		49	2.04	4.08	18.37	44.90	30.61	27.27	24.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.13	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Catherine Barrett													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.40816	5	0.76153		49	0.00	4.08	4.08	38.78	53.06	51.43	52.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.16	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.48980	5	0.73944		49	0.00	0.00	14.29	22.45	63.27	60.00	61.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.33	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.36735	4	0.66752		49	0.00	0.00	10.20	42.86	46.94	28.57	38.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.03	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 2323-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Methods III-Design Analytics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Pavilk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14815	5	1.16697		27	3.70	11.11	3.70	29.63	51.85	30.30	37.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.06	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.96154	4	1.31090		26	7.69	11.54	3.85	30.77	46.15	24.24	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.15	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Robert Pavilk													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.62963	5	0.68770		27	0.00	3.70	0.00	25.93	70.37	74.29	71.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.39	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.51852	5	0.97548		27	3.70	3.70	0.00	22.22	70.37	71.43	67.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.35	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.29630	5	0.99285		27	3.70	0.00	14.81	25.93	55.56	22.86	31.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.05	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 2354-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Design III-Crafting Place

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	87.88	90.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.75	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.76	75.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.56	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56	40.00	44.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.08	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.26930		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	44.44	44.44	20.00	37.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.02	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	65.71	66.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.37	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 3013-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 101

Section Title: Architecture for Non-Majors

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99488		50	2.00	8.00	8.00	42.00	40.00	27.27	33.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.11	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.74000	4	1.08440		50	4.00	10.00	20.00	40.00	26.00	21.21	19.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.37	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.12000	4	1.06215		50	2.00	8.00	14.00	28.00	48.00	14.29	24.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.15	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14000	5	1.10675		50	2.00	10.00	12.00	24.00	52.00	22.86	39.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.01	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.83910		50	0.00	4.00	12.00	34.00	50.00	25.71	32.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.04	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 3555-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Design V-Arch Making I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	14.29	71.43	48.49	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.25	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	28.57	57.14	39.39	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.18	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Khosrow Bozorgi													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.28571	4	0.95119		7	0.00	28.57	14.29	57.14	0.00	8.57	11.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-1.02	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	14.29	28.57	41.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.01	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	42.86	28.57	8.57	10.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.54	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 3555-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Design V-Arch Making I

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	72.73	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.56	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	78.79	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.61	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	85.71	87.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.63	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	68.57	66.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.34	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	82.86	80.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.58	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4133-001 / ARCH 5133-001

Section Title: Architectural Structures I

Instructor: Shideh Shadravan

Total Enrollment: 28

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.52632	4	1.21876		19	5.26	21.05	10.53	42.11	21.05	12.12	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.73	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.42105	4	1.16980		19	5.26	21.05	15.79	42.11	15.79	12.12	8.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.69	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Shideh Shadravan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.47368	4	1.38918		19	15.79	10.53	5.26	47.37	21.05	11.43	14.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.82	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.10526	3	1.32894		19	10.53	26.32	26.32	15.79	21.05	5.71	10.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.94	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.57895	5	0.83771		19	0.00	5.26	5.26	15.79	73.68	51.43	57.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.27	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4173-900 / ARCH 5173-900

Section Title: Cinema & Architecture of City

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	66.67	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.32	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	60.61	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.39	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Khosrow Bozorgi													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	68.57	66.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.34	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	74.29	73.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.46	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 4333-001 / ARCH 5333-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Advanced Structures

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shideh Shadravan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09524	4	0.94365		21	0.00	9.52	9.52	42.86	38.10	24.24	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.11	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.65465		21	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	36.36	40.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.03	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Shideh Shadravan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.91287		21	0.00	9.52	0.00	38.10	52.38	40.00	44.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.08	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.19523		21	4.76	4.76	19.05	14.29	57.14	28.57	41.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.01	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.61905	5	0.80475		21	0.00	4.76	4.76	14.29	76.19	62.86	61.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.31	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4453-001 / ARCH 5453-001

Section Title: Modern & Contemporary Arch

Instructor: Stephanie Pilat

Total Enrollment: 48

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.34483	5	0.81398		29	0.00	3.45	10.34	34.48	51.72	45.46	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.16	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.31034	5	0.96745		29	0.00	10.34	3.45	31.03	55.17	42.42	52.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.20	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Stephanie Pilat													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.51724	5	0.68768		29	0.00	3.45	0.00	37.93	58.62	62.86	64.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.27	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.44828	5	0.63168		29	0.00	0.00	6.90	41.38	51.72	54.29	59.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.29	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.39286	4	0.68526		28	0.00	3.57	0.00	50.00	46.43	37.14	43.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.06	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4523-900 / ARCH 5523-900

Section Title: Methods V-Thermal Systems

Instructor: John Yowell

Total Enrollment: 31

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45000	5	0.68633		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	35.00	55.00	51.52	56.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.27	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.35000	5	0.81273		20	0.00	0.00	20.00	25.00	55.00	48.49	54.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.24	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.68421	5	0.47757		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.58	68.42	77.14	74.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.45	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44426		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	82.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.56	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44426		20	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	74.29	73.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.46	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 4723-001 / ARCH 5723-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Methods VII-Advanced Systems

Course Level: All

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.48387	5	0.50800		31	0.00	0.00	0.00	51.61	48.39	57.58	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.31	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.41935	4	0.56416		31	0.00	0.00	3.23	51.61	45.16	51.52	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.31	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.61290	5	0.61522		31	0.00	0.00	6.45	25.81	67.74	71.43	69.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.37	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.48387	5	0.67680		31	0.00	0.00	9.68	32.26	58.06	57.14	60.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.32	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.58065	5	0.62044		31	0.00	0.00	6.45	29.03	64.52	54.29	58.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.27	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 4755-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Design VII-Systems & Context

Course Level: All

Instructor: Marjorie Callahan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	33.33	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.00	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	69.70	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.49	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Marjorie Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	34.29	37.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.06	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	46.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.06	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	17.14	26.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.16	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4755-002

Section Title: Design VII-Systems & Context

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.77625		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	54.55	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.28	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	5	1.18754		13	7.69	0.00	15.38	30.77	46.15	33.33	36.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.03	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.87706		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	23.08	69.23	68.57	66.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.29	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	30.77	61.54	74.29	68.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.37	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.76795		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	30.77	53.85	34.29	42.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.05	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 4970-005 / ARCH 6133-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Sustainable Design Analytics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	81.82	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.65	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	69.70	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.49	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	45.71	50.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.15	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	82.86	85.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.61	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	77.14	76.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.51	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 4970-900 / ARCH 5970-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Fabrication & the Digital City

Course Level: All

Instructor: Deborah Richards

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	5	0.97496		14	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	50.00	36.36	40.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.01	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.93761		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	64.29	54.55	56.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.32	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Deborah Richards													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.09945		14	0.00	14.29	7.14	28.57	50.00	20.00	28.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.12	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.84190		14	0.00	0.00	21.43	21.43	57.14	48.57	56.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.20	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	34.29	42.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.05	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 4970-901 / ARCH 5970-901 / EN D 4893-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Historic Buildings of Oklahoma

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ronald Frantz

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.76471	5	0.43724		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.53	76.47	75.76	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.61	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.60634		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59	72.73	73.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.54	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Ronald Frantz													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.76471	5	0.56230		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	82.35	80.00	78.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.53	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.34157		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	88.57	88.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.68	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.88235	5	0.48507		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	0.00	94.12	85.71	83.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.61	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 5143-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Architectural History

Instructor: Nickolas Harm

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.22474		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	21.21	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.22	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	1.14018		5	0.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	20.00	9.09	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.71	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Nickolas Harm													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	34.29	37.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.06	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	46.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.06	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	60.00	60.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.29	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 5516-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Graduate Arch Design I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	84.85	86.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.69	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	81.82	86.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.73	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.00	62.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.25	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	85.71	86.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.64	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	78.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.55	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 5536-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Grad Architectural Design III

Instructor: David Boeck

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	21.21	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.22	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.22474		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	30.30	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.11	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	34.29	37.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.06	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	46.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.06	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	60.00	60.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.29	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 5743-980

College of Architecture

Section Title: Legal Framework for Design

Instructor: Ronald Chandler

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.87	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.90	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Ronald Chandler													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.79	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 5922-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 45

Section Title: Methods IX

Course Level: All

Instructor: Marjorie Callahan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.24242	4	0.83030		33	0.00	3.03	15.15	36.36	45.45	39.39	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.05	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	4	0.73780		32	0.00	0.00	15.63	37.50	46.88	45.46	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.21	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Marjorie Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.53125	5	0.71772		32	0.00	3.13	3.13	31.25	62.50	65.71	65.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.29	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.80834		33	0.00	3.03	15.15	42.42	39.39	31.43	42.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.04	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.48485	5	0.71244		33	0.00	0.00	12.12	27.27	60.61	42.86	48.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.16	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 5955-002

Section Title: Design IX

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.29099	-0.76	4	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	9.09	8.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218		1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.29099	-0.61	4	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	15.15	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316		1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	2	1.50000	-1.58	4	0.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	2.86	3.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580		1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.25000	2	1.89297	-1.73	4	50.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	2.86	3.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152		1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.82574	-1.50	4	25.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	2.86	3.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522		1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 5955-003

Section Title: Design IX

Instructor: Nickolas Harm

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.12500	3	1.24642	-1.17	8	12.50	12.50	37.50	25.00	12.50	6.06	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218		1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	0.74402	-0.74	8	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	0.00	6.06	5.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316		1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Nickolas Harm													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.28174	-1.06	8	12.50	12.50	25.00	37.50	12.50	5.71	10.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580		1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	1.18773	-0.69	8	12.50	0.00	37.50	37.50	12.50	8.57	17.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152		1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	1.30247	-1.08	8	12.50	12.50	12.50	50.00	12.50	5.71	6.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522		1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 5960-018

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Directed Readings

Course Level: All

Instructor: Marjorie Callahan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.87	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.90	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Marjorie Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.79	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 6680-980

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Advanced Arch Design III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.32	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.61	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.39	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.00	62.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.25	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.57	66.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.34	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	48.57	51.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.18	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 6690-980

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Professional Project

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.87	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.90	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.79	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: ARCH 6990-018

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Special Studies

Course Level: All

Instructor: Marjorie Callahan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22303	4	0.93839		547	0.91	6.22	11.15	33.09	48.63		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.87	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.09725	4	1.01083		545	2.02	7.34	12.48	35.23	42.94		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.90	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Marjorie Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35198	5	0.86617		733	1.09	4.50	6.00	34.92	53.48		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30506	5	0.93558		731	1.92	3.28	11.76	28.45	54.58		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.79	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37108	5	0.84694		733	1.23	2.18	10.23	30.97	55.39		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 1112-011

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Disc-CNS 1112-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Ryan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.04762	4	1.07127	-0.17	21	4.76	4.76	9.52	42.86	38.10	55.56	26.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218		1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.15470		21	9.52	0.00	28.57	38.10	23.81	33.33	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316		-0.44	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04		
Richard Ryan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.23810	5	1.09109		21	0.00	14.29	4.76	23.81	57.14	73.68	40.45
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580		-0.02	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.04762	4	1.07127		21	4.76	4.76	9.52	42.86	38.10	57.90	32.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152		-0.08	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.87014		21	0.00	4.76	9.52	23.81	61.90	84.21	44.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522		0.10	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 2714-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 34

Section Title: Materials & Methods I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rick Skaggs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.64706	4	1.27187		17	5.88	17.65	11.76	35.29	29.41	22.22	10.47
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.60	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.31656		16	12.50	6.25	25.00	31.25	25.00	22.22	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.61	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Rick Skaggs													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.29412	4	1.31171		17	11.76	17.65	17.65	35.29	17.65	21.05	12.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-1.01	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.93750	3	1.43614		16	25.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	21.05	8.99
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-1.10	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.47059	4	1.28051		17	5.88	23.53	11.76	35.29	23.53	15.79	7.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.97	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 3103-010

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Construction Surveying

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	72.22	38.37
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.04	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	77.78	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.06	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	78.95	44.94
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.08	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	84.21	78.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.49	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	68.42	35.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.01	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 3103-011

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Lab-CNS 3103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	88.89	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.32	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	94.44	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.65	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	89.47	77.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.51	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	89.47	82.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.56	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	89.47	73.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.46	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 3123-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Statics/Strengths Materials

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lisa Holliday

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	83.33	45.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.05	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	61.11	38.37
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.02	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Lisa Holliday													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	50.00	37.50	52.63	25.84
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.14	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	73.68	49.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.11	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	57.90	30.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.10	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 3433-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Mech/Elect/Plumb Systems I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Perrenoud

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.93541		17	0.00	11.76	5.88	52.94	29.41	50.00	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.22	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.93541		17	0.00	5.88	23.53	35.29	35.29	50.00	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.11	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Anthony Perrenoud													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.23529	5	0.83137		17	0.00	0.00	23.53	29.41	47.06	68.42	39.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.02	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.23529	5	0.97014		17	0.00	5.88	17.65	23.53	52.94	68.42	48.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.09	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	0.78591		17	0.00	0.00	17.65	29.41	52.94	73.68	37.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.01	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 3512-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Cost Estimating

Course Level: All

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.75378		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	41.67	41.67	83.33	45.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.05	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	45.45	36.36	83.33	45.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.07	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	58.33	16.67	42.11	20.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.36	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.08711		12	8.33	0.00	41.67	33.33	16.67	47.37	20.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.58	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.77850		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	68.42	35.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.01	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 3612-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Project Controls Lab I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	5	1.55265		8	12.50	12.50	0.00	25.00	50.00	33.33	12.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.35	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.30931		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	50.00	37.50	50.00	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.11	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	1.30247		8	12.50	12.50	12.50	50.00	12.50	26.32	13.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.92	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	1.40789		8	12.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	25.00	36.84	17.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.69	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	1.35620		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	37.50	37.50	26.32	12.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.52	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 3812-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Project Planning & Scheduling

Course Level: All

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650	-0.22	16	0.00	0.00	31.25	37.50	31.25	50.00	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218		1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.06250	4	0.85391		16	0.00	6.25	12.50	50.00	31.25	55.56	33.72
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316		-0.05	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443	-0.27	16	0.00	6.25	18.75	43.75	31.25	47.37	21.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580		1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	3	1.08781	-0.69	16	6.25	6.25	50.00	18.75	18.75	36.84	17.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152		1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.71880	0.04	16	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	78.95	40.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522		1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 4112-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Understanding Design Services

Course Level: All

Instructors: Tamera Mc Cuen / Charles Graham

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.15385	3	0.80064		13	0.00	23.08	38.46	38.46	0.00	5.56	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-1.14	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.07692	3	0.75955		13	0.00	23.08	46.15	30.77	0.00	5.56	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-1.04	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Charles Graham													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580		1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152		1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522		1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 4113-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Structures II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lisa Holliday

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.62158		12	0.00	8.33	8.33	83.33	0.00	27.78	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.49	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.60302		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	50.00	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.11	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Lisa Holliday													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	57.90	30.34
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.10	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	0.79296		12	0.00	0.00	33.33	41.67	25.00	52.63	26.97
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.20	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.57735		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	66.67	25.00	42.11	23.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.19	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 4523-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Pre-Construction Services

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Perrenoud

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.51640	-0.07	15	0.00	0.00	6.67	73.33	20.00	66.67	36.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218		1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.63994	0.02	15	0.00	0.00	13.33	60.00	26.67	66.67	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316		1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Anthony Perrenoud													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	1.01419	-0.06	15	6.67	0.00	0.00	53.33	40.00	63.16	37.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580		1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.99043	-0.00	15	6.67	0.00	0.00	60.00	33.33	63.16	38.20
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152		1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.67612	-0.16	15	0.00	0.00	13.33	53.33	33.33	47.37	26.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522		1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 4613-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Soils and Foundations

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nasir Marakah

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	4	0.90034		12	0.00	8.33	8.33	50.00	33.33	61.11	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.13	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.57735		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	66.67	25.00	77.78	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.06	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Nasir Marakah													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	84.21	62.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.25	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.77850		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	78.95	53.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.18	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.62158		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	58.33	33.33	57.90	30.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.10	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 5003-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Construction Fundamentals I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Doyle Phillips

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	100.00	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.63	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	100.00	83.72
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.67	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Doyle Phillips													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	94.74	89.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.69	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: CNS 5203-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Trends-Building Processes

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	94.44	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.90367	4	0.98602		218	1.83	8.72	16.51	43.12	29.82		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.56	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	88.89	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	0.99612		216	4.17	3.70	19.44	44.44	28.24		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.61	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94495	4	1.06762		218	3.21	8.26	15.60	36.70	36.24		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.72811	4	1.23032		217	8.29	7.83	19.35	31.80	32.72		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.79	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.15596	4	0.93744		218	1.83	4.13	13.76	37.16	43.12		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 1151-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Graphics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	0.62915		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	31.25	62.50	53.85	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.39	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.50000		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	61.54	72.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.52	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Mia Kile													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.50000		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	61.54	70.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.38	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	0.62915		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	31.25	62.50	61.54	69.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.39	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44721		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	69.23	73.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.46	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 1151-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Graphics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.70065	-0.12	11	0.00	0.00	18.18	54.55	27.27	23.08	31.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218		1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	5	0.98165	0.07	11	0.00	9.09	9.09	36.36	45.45	38.46	45.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316		1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Mia Kile													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755	0.20	11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	46.15	56.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580		1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.70065	-0.04	11	0.00	0.00	18.18	54.55	27.27	46.15	34.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152		1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.52223	0.23	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	38.46	53.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522		1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 1153-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Design I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.05556	4	1.10997		18	5.56	0.00	22.22	27.78	44.44	15.39	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.16	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.94444	4	1.16175		18	5.56	5.56	16.67	33.33	38.89	15.39	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.17	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	5	0.97853		18	5.56	0.00	0.00	38.89	55.56	38.46	49.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.14	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	4	1.59247		18	22.22	16.67	5.56	27.78	27.78	15.39	12.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.83	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.97014		18	5.56	0.00	0.00	44.44	50.00	23.08	35.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.01	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 1153-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Design I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	92.31	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.76	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	53.85	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.49	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	76.92	85.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.57	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	69.23	73.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.43	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	76.92	85.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.63	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 2532-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Graphics III

Course Level: All

Instructor: MARY PRICE

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	46.15	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.25	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	46.15	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.18	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
MARY PRICE													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	53.85	67.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.33	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	53.85	57.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.27	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	53.85	56.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.26	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: I D 2533-001

Section Title: Design III

Instructor: MARY PRICE

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	84.62	89.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.73	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.90	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
MARY PRICE													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	30.77	48.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.12	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	5	1.58114		8	12.50	12.50	12.50	12.50	50.00	38.46	22.47
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.35	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	1.06066		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	87.50	61.54	62.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.32	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 2763-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Computer Applications in I D

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	61.54	73.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.48	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	76.92	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.61	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	69.23	75.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.48	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	76.92	75.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.47	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	5	1.54244		14	14.29	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	15.39	15.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.46	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 3723-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lighting Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	0.95407		13	0.00	7.69	23.08	38.46	30.77	7.69	16.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.30	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.30769	4	1.31559		13	7.69	23.08	23.08	23.08	23.08	7.69	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.81	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Hans-Peter Wachter													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.53846	3	1.39137		13	30.77	23.08	15.38	23.08	7.69	7.69	2.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-1.80	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	1.69231	1	1.18213		13	69.23	7.69	7.69	15.38	0.00	7.69	2.25
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-2.24	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	2.92308	3	1.49786		13	23.08	15.38	30.77	7.69	23.08	7.69	2.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-1.58	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 3793-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Interior Materials & Specs

Course Level: All

Instructor: Natalie Ellis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25926	4	0.76423		27	0.00	3.70	7.41	48.15	40.74	38.46	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.06	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.07407	4	0.61556		27	0.00	0.00	14.81	62.96	22.22	30.77	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.03	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Natalie Ellis													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.03704	4	0.85402		27	0.00	3.70	22.22	40.74	33.33	23.08	22.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.23	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.29630	3	1.13730		27	7.41	14.81	33.33	29.63	14.81	30.77	14.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.77	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.57735		27	0.00	0.00	3.70	37.04	59.26	46.15	55.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.24	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 4712-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Interior Design Portfolio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: HILLARY FULTON

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	69.23	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.63	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	69.23	75.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.56	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
HILLARY FULTON													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.79	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 4743-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Institutional/Corp Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Natalie Ellis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	50.00	37.50	30.77	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.08	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	37.50	37.50	23.08	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.11	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Natalie Ellis													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.87500	3	1.12599		8	12.50	12.50	62.50	0.00	12.50	15.39	5.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-1.45	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.28571	3	1.38013		7	14.29	0.00	57.14	0.00	28.57	23.08	13.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.78	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.91613		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	12.50	62.50	30.77	40.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.04	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 4772-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: I D Capstone (Pre-Design)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	84.62	89.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.73	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	87.50	84.62	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.65	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	84.62	88.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.64	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.79	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: I D 5143-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Design Theory Analysis/Eval

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41060	5	0.77693		151	0.66	1.99	7.95	34.44	54.97		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.87	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29139	5	0.88385		151	1.32	3.97	8.61	36.42	49.67		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.90	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Hans-Peter Wachter													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25166	5	1.02774		151	3.97	3.31	9.27	30.46	52.98		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.84667	4	1.35477		150	11.33	6.00	14.00	24.00	44.67		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.79	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42384	5	1.00291		151	3.97	2.65	5.96	21.85	65.56		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: L A 4970-002 / L A 5970-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Green Roofs

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	88.89	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	4	0.87179		57	1.75	1.75	12.28	38.60	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.40	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	88.89	66.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.10526	4	1.11298		57	3.51	8.77	8.77	31.58	47.37		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.47	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	87.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.12281	5	1.18126		57	5.26	7.02	10.53	24.56	52.63		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.63	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	88.89	87.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.91228	4	1.27168		57	7.02	8.77	15.79	22.81	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.66	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	77.78	80.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.35088	5	0.91595		57	0.00	5.26	14.04	21.05	59.65		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.58	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: L A 4970-900 / L A 5970-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Intro to Revit

Instructor: Scott Williams

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	4	0.87179		57	1.75	1.75	12.28	38.60	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.22	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	2.12132		2	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	22.22	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.10526	4	1.11298		57	3.51	8.77	8.77	31.58	47.37		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.61	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	2.82843		2	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	22.22	6.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.12281	5	1.18126		57	5.26	7.02	10.53	24.56	52.63		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-1.32	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91228	4	1.27168		57	7.02	8.77	15.79	22.81	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.79	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	21.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.35088	5	0.91595		57	0.00	5.26	14.04	21.05	59.65		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.38	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: L A 4970-902

College of Architecture

Section Title: Human Experience of Environ

Instructor: Sarah Little

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	44.44	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	4	0.87179		57	1.75	1.75	12.28	38.60	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.22	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.09545		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	55.56	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.10526	4	1.11298		57	3.51	8.77	8.77	31.58	47.37		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.09	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Sarah Little													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	77.78	68.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.12281	5	1.18126		57	5.26	7.02	10.53	24.56	52.63		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.36	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	55.56	31.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.91228	4	1.27168		57	7.02	8.77	15.79	22.81	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.12	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	55.56	76.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.35088	5	0.91595		57	0.00	5.26	14.04	21.05	59.65		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.51	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: L A 5343-001

Section Title: Land Arch Tech: Site Issues

Instructor: Leehu Loon

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	100.00	72.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	4	0.87179		57	1.75	1.75	12.28	38.60	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.47	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	27.27	63.64	66.67	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.10526	4	1.11298		57	3.51	8.77	8.77	31.58	47.37		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.35	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Leehu Loon													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.82020		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	18.18	63.64	44.44	56.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.12281	5	1.18126		57	5.26	7.02	10.53	24.56	52.63		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.20	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	18.18	72.73	77.78	74.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.91228	4	1.27168		57	7.02	8.77	15.79	22.81	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.46	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	0.00	90.91	66.67	77.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.35088	5	0.91595		57	0.00	5.26	14.04	21.05	59.65		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.53	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: L A 5402-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Res Methods Landscape Arch

Instructor: Guoqiang Shen

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	4	0.87179		57	1.75	1.75	12.28	38.60	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.32	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	44.44	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.10526	4	1.11298		57	3.51	8.77	8.77	31.58	47.37		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.11	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Guoqiang Shen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	62.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.12281	5	1.18126		57	5.26	7.02	10.53	24.56	52.63		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.25	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	55.56	31.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.91228	4	1.27168		57	7.02	8.77	15.79	22.81	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.12	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35088	5	0.91595		57	0.00	5.26	14.04	21.05	59.65		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

College of Architecture

Course: L A 5513-001

Section Title: Land Arch Drawing & Graphics

Instructor: Scott Williams

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.35401		10	10.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	30.00	11.11	8.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	4	0.87179		57	1.75	1.75	12.28	38.60	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.76	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.30000	4	1.56702		10	20.00	10.00	20.00	20.00	30.00	11.11	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.10526	4	1.11298		57	3.51	8.77	8.77	31.58	47.37		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.81	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.80000	3	1.39841		10	20.00	30.00	10.00	30.00	10.00	11.11	4.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.12281	5	1.18126		57	5.26	7.02	10.53	24.56	52.63		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-1.53	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.90000	3	1.59513		10	20.00	30.00	20.00	0.00	30.00	22.22	7.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.91228	4	1.27168		57	7.02	8.77	15.79	22.81	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-1.13	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.30000	3	0.94868		10	0.00	20.00	40.00	30.00	10.00	11.11	4.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.35088	5	0.91595		57	0.00	5.26	14.04	21.05	59.65		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-1.16	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: L A 5515-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: L A Intro Graduate Studio I

Instructor: Sarah Little

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	0.78174		9	0.00	0.00	33.33	44.44	22.22	22.22	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	4	0.87179		57	1.75	1.75	12.28	38.60	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.34	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.11803		9	0.00	22.22	11.11	44.44	22.22	33.33	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.10526	4	1.11298		57	3.51	8.77	8.77	31.58	47.37		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.44	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Sarah Little													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.09291		9	0.00	11.11	33.33	22.22	33.33	33.33	19.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.12281	5	1.18126		57	5.26	7.02	10.53	24.56	52.63		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.50	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.77778	3	1.20185		9	22.22	11.11	33.33	33.33	0.00	11.11	5.62
	DEPARTMENT	3.91228	4	1.27168		57	7.02	8.77	15.79	22.81	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-1.24	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	44.44	33.33	33.33	21.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.35088	5	0.91595		57	0.00	5.26	14.04	21.05	59.65		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.38	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: L A 5535-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: L A Intern Graduate Studio III

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	4	0.87179		57	1.75	1.75	12.28	38.60	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.32	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.10526	4	1.11298		57	3.51	8.77	8.77	31.58	47.37		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.90	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	62.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.12281	5	1.18126		57	5.26	7.02	10.53	24.56	52.63		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.25	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	55.56	31.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.91228	4	1.27168		57	7.02	8.77	15.79	22.81	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.12	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35088	5	0.91595		57	0.00	5.26	14.04	21.05	59.65		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: L A 5713-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Plant Material & Technology

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Edwards

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	77.78	68.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.24561	4	0.87179		57	1.75	1.75	12.28	38.60	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.38	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	77.78	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.10526	4	1.11298		57	3.51	8.77	8.77	31.58	47.37		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.45	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
David Edwards													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	88.89	80.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.12281	5	1.18126		57	5.26	7.02	10.53	24.56	52.63		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.54	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.09291		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	22.22	55.56	66.67	47.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.91228	4	1.27168		57	7.02	8.77	15.79	22.81	45.61		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.08	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	44.44	46.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.35088	5	0.91595		57	0.00	5.26	14.04	21.05	59.65		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.12	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: PDC 6003-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: History/Phil-Plan/Design/Const

Instructor: Charles Warnken

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.87	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.90	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Charles Warnken													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	85.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.57	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	85.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.61	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	76.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.51	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 4003-001 / RCPL 5003-001 / GEOG 4003-001 / GEOG 5003-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Global City & Planning Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Dawn Jourdan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.86972		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	38.46	53.85	50.00	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.20	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.83205		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	53.85	38.46	41.67	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.12	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Dawn Jourdan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	1.18213		13	7.69	0.00	7.69	23.08	61.54	41.67	41.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.05	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.84615	4	1.21423		13	7.69	0.00	30.77	23.08	38.46	16.67	24.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.26	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.15	53.85	50.00	52.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.22	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 5013-001 / P SC 5013-001 / SOC 5013-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: History & Theory of Urban Plan

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.06904		15	6.67	0.00	13.33	46.67	33.33	16.67	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.22	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.19523		15	6.67	6.67	6.67	40.00	40.00	16.67	32.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.11	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	5	1.27988		15	6.67	6.67	13.33	20.00	53.33	16.67	23.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.20	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	5	1.27988		15	6.67	6.67	13.33	20.00	53.33	33.33	33.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.06	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	1.18723		15	6.67	6.67	13.33	40.00	33.33	8.33	11.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.53	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 5113-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Urban Planning Research Method

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guoqiang Shen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.08696	4	0.73318		23	0.00	0.00	21.74	47.83	30.43	25.00	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	-0.12	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.91304	4	1.08347		23	4.35	4.35	21.74	34.78	34.78	8.33	20.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	-0.20	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Guoqiang Shen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.21739	4	0.85048		23	0.00	4.35	13.04	39.13	43.48	33.33	38.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.04	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.30435	5	0.87567		23	0.00	4.35	13.04	30.43	52.17	41.67	51.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.16	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.17391	4	0.77765		23	0.00	4.35	8.70	52.17	34.78	33.33	24.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.19	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 5353-900 / P SC 5353-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: State & Local Public Finance

Instructor: Fernando Costa

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.32	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	75.00	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.65	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Fernando Costa													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.78	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	83.33	78.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.49	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	75.00	73.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.46	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 5463-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: GIS for Land Use Planning

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bryce Lowery

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.47871		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.25	68.75	75.00	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.53	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.47059	5	0.79982		17	0.00	5.88	0.00	35.29	58.82	50.00	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.36	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Bryce Lowery													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	1.05719		17	5.88	0.00	5.88	29.41	58.82	50.00	46.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.10	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.60634		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	70.59	75.00	76.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.47	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.99632		17	5.88	0.00	0.00	11.76	82.35	58.33	64.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.34	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 5493-001 / CEES 5493-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Transportation & Land Develop

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	0.92681		13	0.00	7.69	7.69	38.46	46.15	33.33	41.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.03	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.83205		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	53.85	38.46	41.67	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.12	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	0.68874		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	53.85	30.77	25.00	29.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	-0.11	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	0.95407		13	0.00	7.69	23.08	38.46	30.77	25.00	28.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	-0.19	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	1.18754		13	0.00	23.08	0.00	38.46	38.46	25.00	14.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	-0.47	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 5523-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Comp RCPL: Research & Plan

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Harris

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	41.67	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.14	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	58.33	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.39	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
John Harris													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	73.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.43	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	50.00	53.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.18	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	66.67	66.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.37	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 5713-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Urban Econ Develop Planning

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guoqiang Shen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	0.90034	-0.31	12	0.00	8.33	16.67	50.00	25.00	8.33	15.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218		1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.04447		11	0.00	9.09	18.18	27.27	45.45	25.00	37.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316		-0.02	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04		
Guoqiang Shen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.13818		12	0.00	16.67	25.00	25.00	33.33	8.33	17.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580		-0.53	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.35680		12	8.33	16.67	0.00	41.67	33.33	8.33	22.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152		-0.35	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	1.08362		12	8.33	0.00	8.33	58.33	25.00	16.67	13.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522		-0.47	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 5970-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Infrastructure Planning

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Harris

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.65	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.70	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
John Harris													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	91.67	85.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.57	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	85.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.61	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 5970-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Water Planning

Instructor: James Collard

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	91.67	89.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.73	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	91.67	88.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.77	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
James Collard													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	75.00	77.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.51	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	91.67	82.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.56	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	83.33	82.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.60	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 6520-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Field Studies

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.87	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.90	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	58.33	62.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.25	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	58.33	66.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.34	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	41.67	51.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.18	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2015

Course: RCPL 6643-900 / L A 6643-900 / ARCH 6643-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Urban Design Theory

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bryce Lowery

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	58.33	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.31967	4	0.80562		122	0.82	2.46	9.02	39.34	48.36		
	COLLEGE	4.20091	4	0.92218	0.22	1,100	1.09	5.45	11.55	36.09	45.82		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	66.67	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.28689	5	0.91344		122	1.64	4.92	6.56	36.89	50.00		
	COLLEGE	4.10858	4	0.99316	0.49	1,096	2.37	5.93	12.41	37.04	42.24		
Bryce Lowery													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	91.67	85.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.30894	5	0.95067		123	2.44	3.25	9.76	30.08	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.25874	5	0.95580	0.57	1,287	2.10	4.97	8.55	33.72	50.66		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	66.67	73.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.25410	5	0.99221		122	2.46	4.10	12.30	27.87	53.28		
	COLLEGE	4.13339	4	1.09152	0.43	1,282	4.37	4.68	13.49	28.16	49.30		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34959	5	0.93198		123	2.44	4.07	4.88	33.33	55.28		
	COLLEGE	4.33955	5	0.89522	0.74	1,287	1.71	2.87	9.95	30.69	54.78		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent