

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: AGSC 3011-001

Section Title: Career Planning & Development

Instructor: Melissa Walters

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	100.00	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.23077	4	0.76460		26	0.00	3.85	7.69	50.00	38.46		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.44	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.26923	4	0.87442		26	0.00	7.69	3.85	42.31	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.64	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	100.00	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.63730		26	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.57	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	87.50	100.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42308	5	0.75753		26	0.00	0.00	15.38	26.92	57.69		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.45	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Melissa Walters</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	100.00	75.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.65909	5	0.52576		44	0.00	0.00	2.27	29.55	68.18		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.48	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 191

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.07143	4	0.88990		70	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	31.82	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.00	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15942	4	0.93342		69	1.45	4.35	14.49	36.23	43.48	38.64	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.07	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.17143	4	0.74155		70	0.00	1.43	15.71	47.14	35.71	40.91	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.69306		70	0.00	1.43	7.14	24.29	67.14	43.18	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.27	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.62857	5	0.72575		70	0.00	2.86	5.71	17.14	74.29	43.48	49.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.22	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashlee Tziganuk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.45	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.44	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	59.09	59.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.28	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	50.00	37.50	34.09	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.07	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	63.64	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.45	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ashlee Tziganuk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	87.50	56.52	62.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.35	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashlee Tziganuk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	81.82	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.67	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	77.27	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.47	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	86.36	85.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.58	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92857	5	0.26726		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	92.86	81.82	81.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.64	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ashlee Tziganuk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92857	5	0.26726		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	92.86	76.09	81.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.54	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashlee Tziganuk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	81.82	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.67	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	84.09	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.60	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	88.64	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.65	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ashlee Tziganuk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-018

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ashlee Tziganuk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.03280		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	52.27	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.27	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	75.00	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	50.00	33.33	31.82	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.06	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	38.64	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.19	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ashlee Tziganuk													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	65.22	72.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.44	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 72

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.26087	4	0.61227	0.19	46	0.00	0.00	8.70	56.52	34.78	40.91	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.47826	5	0.65791	0.38	46	0.00	0.00	8.70	34.78	56.52	70.45	71.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28889	4	0.69486	0.23	45	0.00	0.00	13.33	44.44	42.22	50.00	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71739	5	0.65534	0.42	46	0.00	2.17	4.35	13.04	80.43	54.55	59.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.89130	5	0.31470	0.50	46	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.87	89.13	71.74	77.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Huycke

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	63.64	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.39	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	45.45	36.36	43.18	45.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.09	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	4	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.55	45.45	68.18	68.97
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.40	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	75.00	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.53	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Huycke													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	60.87	68.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.42	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Huycke

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	75.00	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.65	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	75.00	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	79.55	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	79.55	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.61	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Huycke													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	47.83	57.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.30	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Huycke

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	4	1.07161		14	0.00	14.29	14.29	35.71	35.71	22.73	26.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.15	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.10940		14	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	36.36	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.77033		14	0.00	0.00	35.71	42.86	21.43	20.45	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.20	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.74495		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	27.27	31.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.04	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Huycke													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	1.00821		14	0.00	7.14	14.29	14.29	64.29	30.43	31.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1103-024

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Instructor: Matthew Huycke

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	27.27	32.18
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.08	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	36.36	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	31.82	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.06	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	72.73	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.51	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Huycke													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	58.70	66.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1103-920

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 56

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.04545	4	0.99892		22	0.00	9.09	18.18	31.82	40.91	29.55	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.03	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.27242		22	4.55	13.64	9.09	22.73	50.00	36.36	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	1.01419		21	0.00	14.29	0.00	42.86	42.86	36.36	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.09	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40909	5	1.00755		22	0.00	9.09	9.09	13.64	68.18	29.55	34.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.10	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.31818	5	1.21052		22	4.55	9.09	4.55	13.64	68.18	28.26	29.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.10	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 119

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30952	4	0.74860		42	0.00	2.38	9.52	42.86	45.24	45.45	50.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.24	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38095	5	0.76357		42	0.00	0.00	16.67	28.57	54.76	61.36	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.28	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38095	5	0.85404		42	2.38	0.00	9.52	33.33	54.76	56.82	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.32	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69048	5	0.51741		42	0.00	0.00	2.38	26.19	71.43	52.27	57.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.39	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73810	5	0.49680		42	0.00	0.00	2.38	21.43	76.19	50.00	58.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.34	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Sally Gros													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	80.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.23	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	4	0.75107		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	38.46	46.15	60.00	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.03	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	23.08	69.23	60.00	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.09	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	80.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.31	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	4	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.85	46.15	60.00	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.08	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-012

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Instructors: Sally Gros / Virginia Silvis

Total Enrollment: 28

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Sally Gros													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.04881		6	0.00	16.67	33.33	33.33	16.67	10.00	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-1.38	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	0.81650		6	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	0.00	20.00	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-1.04	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.26491		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	20.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.77	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.03280		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67	20.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.85	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	20.00	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.54	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Sally Gros													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	70.00	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.06	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	80.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.24	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	50.00	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.04	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.79772		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	60.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.12	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.00	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.46	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructors: Virginia Silvis / Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Virginia Silvis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	1.07497		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	70.00	40.00	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.08	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	1.03573		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	9.09	72.73	70.00	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.19	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	18.18	72.73	70.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.11	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.82020		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	9.09	72.73	70.00	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.17	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.82020		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	18.18	63.64	50.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.07	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 107

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Renee Mc Pherson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.64000	4	1.08346	-0.45	50	4.00	12.00	22.00	40.00	22.00	11.36	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.78000	4	1.01599	-0.29	50	2.00	12.00	16.00	46.00	24.00	20.45	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.82000	4	1.10083	-0.24	50	4.00	10.00	16.00	40.00	30.00	18.18	22.99
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.92000	4	0.96553	-0.42	50	0.00	10.00	20.00	38.00	32.00	20.45	20.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Renee Mc Pherson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.26000	4	0.85261	-0.17	50	0.00	4.00	14.00	34.00	48.00	26.09	28.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joshua Hatzis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.51	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.10000	3	1.37032		10	20.00	0.00	50.00	10.00	20.00	10.00	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-1.30	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	30.00	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.63	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	4	1.50923		9	11.11	22.22	11.11	22.22	33.33	10.00	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-1.11	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.27	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key	
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-022

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Instructor: Daryn Hardwick

Total Enrollment: 31

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Daryn Hardwick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.78	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	100.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.56	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	100.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.51	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	93.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.53	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	100.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.51	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joshua Hatzis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Joshua Hatzis													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75955		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	64.29	70.00	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.06	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.06904		14	0.00	7.14	21.43	7.14	64.29	50.00	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.01	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.74495		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	7.14	78.57	80.00	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.12	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	1.22250		14	7.14	0.00	14.29	0.00	78.57	50.00	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.04	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.85485		14	0.00	0.00	21.43	7.14	71.43	70.00	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.13	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Daryn Hardwick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Daryn Hardwick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	90.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.37	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	90.00	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.32	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.00	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.42	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	93.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.53	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	80.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.41	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-030

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 46

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Thomas

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83351		20	0.00	5.00	10.00	45.00	40.00	38.64	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.13	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.82078		20	0.00	5.00	5.00	35.00	55.00	65.91	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.30	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.96655		20	5.00	0.00	5.00	45.00	45.00	47.73	50.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.19	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.55012		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	15.00	80.00	63.64	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.45	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Richard Thomas													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.57124		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	20.00	75.00	47.83	57.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.30	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-031

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Murray

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Murray													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	4	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.55	45.45	50.00	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.00	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		11	0.00	9.09	18.18	36.36	36.36	40.00	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.31	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	1.02691		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	18.18	63.64	40.00	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.26	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	1.16775		11	0.00	18.18	18.18	27.27	36.36	30.00	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.68	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.87386		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	27.27	45.45	30.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.30	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1114-032

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-030

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Murray

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
David Murray													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	30.00	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.42	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.64317		6	16.67	16.67	0.00	33.33	33.33	30.00	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.86	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	50.00	33.33	20.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.77	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	40.00	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.66	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	50.00	33.33	20.00	13.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.54	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1203-001

Section Title: Global Environmental Issues

Instructors: Alex Lyakhov / Gary Gress

Total Enrollment: 86

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.18919	4	0.81096		37	0.00	0.00	24.32	32.43	43.24	36.36	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.12	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27778	5	0.91374		36	2.78	0.00	13.89	33.33	50.00	45.45	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.18	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.21622	4	0.88616		37	0.00	2.70	21.62	27.03	48.65	45.45	45.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.16	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.54054	5	0.55750		37	0.00	0.00	2.70	40.54	56.76	40.91	45.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.23	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Alex Lyakhov													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61111	5	0.72812		36	0.00	2.78	5.56	19.44	72.22	41.30	47.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.20	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.74286	5	0.50543		35	0.00	0.00	2.86	20.00	77.14	52.17	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.34	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 1213-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Economic Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	4	0.70479		18	0.00	5.56	38.89	50.00	5.56	9.09	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.54	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.27778	4	1.27443		18	11.11	16.67	22.22	33.33	16.67	11.36	11.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.78	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	4	0.85559		18	0.00	16.67	27.78	50.00	5.56	9.09	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.61	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	4	1.14903		18	5.56	16.67	22.22	38.89	16.67	6.82	10.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.92	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Bret Wallach</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.05556	4	1.10997		18	5.56	5.56	5.56	44.44	38.89	21.74	25.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.38	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 2603-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 45

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Karl Offen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	4	0.57110		26	0.00	0.00	3.85	53.85	42.31	56.82	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.32	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.65163		26	0.00	3.85	0.00	11.54	84.62	90.91	88.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.66	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57692	5	0.57779		26	0.00	0.00	3.85	34.62	61.54	84.09	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.52	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.73077	5	0.53349		26	0.00	0.00	3.85	19.23	76.92	56.82	60.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.43	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Karl Offen													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88462	5	0.32581		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.54	88.46	69.57	76.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.49	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 2603-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	52.27	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.27	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	40.91	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	54.55	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.27	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.37	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 2603-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	4	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.85	46.15	65.91	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.40	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	4	0.63043		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	53.85	38.46	47.73	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.21	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.77625		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	23.08	61.54	70.45	70.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.40	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	23.08	69.23	45.45	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.31	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.55470		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	0.00	92.31	67.39	73.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.45	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 2603-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Caroline Pavlowsky

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.91	90.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.70	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.88	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	54.55	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.27	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	63.64	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.45	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Caroline Pavlowsky													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	56.52	62.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.35	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 2603-920

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.95455	4	0.95005		22	4.55	0.00	18.18	50.00	27.27	25.00	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.13	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.68182	4	0.99457		22	4.55	9.09	13.64	59.09	13.64	18.18	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.39	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.36364	4	1.17698		22	9.09	13.64	22.73	40.91	13.64	4.55	9.20
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.69	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.77273	4	0.92231		22	4.55	0.00	27.27	50.00	18.18	13.64	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.57	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.97590		22	4.55	4.55	4.55	59.09	27.27	19.57	24.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.44	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3213-001

Section Title: Principles-Human Geography

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134	0.27	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	52.27	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.88763	0.24	12	0.00	8.33	0.00	41.67	50.00	54.55	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420	0.44	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	79.55	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.65134	0.37	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	16.67	75.00	50.00	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856	0.17	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	39.13	44.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3233-001

Section Title: Principles of Sustainability

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Total Enrollment: 50

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	1.02262		18	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	34.09	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.68599		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	54.55	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.24	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.85749		18	0.00	5.56	5.56	22.22	66.67	79.55	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.85749		18	0.00	5.56	5.56	22.22	66.67	38.64	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.19	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38348		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	65.22	72.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.44	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 3273-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Geog-Colon Caribbean(HONORS)

Instructor: Karl Offen

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.91	90.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.70	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.64	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.64	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	95.45	90.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.69	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Karl Offen													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3443-001

Section Title: Environment and Society

Instructor: Randy Peppler

Total Enrollment: 31

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44721		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.91	90.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.70	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.81250	5	0.40311		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	81.25	93.18	89.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.70	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.45774		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.67	73.33	90.91	88.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.67	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.93750	5	0.25000		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	93.75	84.09	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.65	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Randy Peppler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.93750	5	0.25000		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	93.75	78.26	82.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.55	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 3513-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 96

Section Title: Political Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.64690		24	0.00	0.00	8.33	45.83	45.83	54.55	59.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.31	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44000	5	0.71181		25	0.00	4.00	0.00	44.00	52.00	68.18	70.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.34	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.96000	4	1.27410		25	8.00	8.00	8.00	32.00	44.00	22.73	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.10	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44000	5	0.76811		25	0.00	0.00	16.00	24.00	60.00	31.82	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.13	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.48000	5	0.77028		25	0.00	0.00	16.00	20.00	64.00	34.78	36.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.07	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3563-001

Section Title: Geography of Natural Resources

Instructor: Sally Gros

Total Enrollment: 39

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.29412	4	0.77174		17	0.00	5.88	0.00	52.94	41.18	43.18	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.23	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	4	0.60634		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	52.94	41.18	56.82	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.26	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41176	4	0.50730		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.82	41.18	65.91	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.35	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88235	5	0.48507		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	0.00	94.12	77.27	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.59	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.94118	5	0.24254		17	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	94.12	80.43	83.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.55	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 3773-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	70.45	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.44	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	54.55	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.24	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	38.64	41.38
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.60208		6	16.67	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	25.00	28.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.16	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.78885		5	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	23.91	27.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.23	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 3924-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Quantitative Methods

Instructors: Jennifer Messick / John Greene

Total Enrollment: 41

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.90476	4	1.04426		21	4.76	4.76	14.29	47.62	28.57	18.18	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.18	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.15470		21	9.52	4.76	14.29	52.38	19.05	15.91	18.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.76190	4	0.99523		21	0.00	14.29	19.05	42.86	23.81	15.91	20.69
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.29	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.04762	4	1.02353		21	4.76	0.00	19.05	38.10	38.10	22.73	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.28	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jennifer Messick													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.08333	3	1.62135		12	25.00	8.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	6.52	7.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.38095	4	0.74001		21	0.00	4.76	0.00	47.62	47.62	32.61	32.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.04	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 3980-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Honors Research (HONORS)

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.88	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.94	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 4200-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Internship in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	36.36	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	79.55	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	2.82843		2	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	4.35	6.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.49	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4343-001 / GEOG 5343-001

Section Title: Climate, History, and Society

Instructor: John Greene

Total Enrollment: 30

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.61125		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	78.57	81.82	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.67	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.63332		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	71.43	79.55	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.54	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.85163		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	21.43	71.43	81.82	81.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.51	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	65.91	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.49	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92857	5	0.26726		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	92.86	76.09	81.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.54	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 4583-001 / GEOG 5583-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Renewable Energy Resources

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.81394		16	0.00	0.00	18.75	18.75	62.50	61.36	63.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.38	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.26491		16	0.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	36.36	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		16	0.00	12.50	18.75	25.00	43.75	31.82	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.06	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.73030		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	38.64	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.19	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.73030		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	36.96	41.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.09	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 4893-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Course Level: All

Instructor: Aondover Tarhule

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.07309		12	0.00	25.00	0.00	58.33	16.67	13.64	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.43	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.41667	4	1.16450		12	8.33	16.67	8.33	58.33	8.33	13.64	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.64	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.45455	3	1.03573		11	0.00	18.18	36.36	27.27	18.18	11.36	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.60	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.30268		12	8.33	16.67	0.00	50.00	25.00	11.36	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.68	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Aondover Tarhule													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.35680		12	8.33	16.67	0.00	41.67	33.33	13.04	15.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.70	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 4893-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Research Methods & Prof Dev

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	1.37510		11	9.09	9.09	9.09	27.27	45.45	20.45	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.17	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	1.13618		11	0.00	18.18	9.09	36.36	36.36	22.73	26.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.17	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.98165		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	36.36	45.45	43.18	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.12	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	1.22103		11	9.09	0.00	18.18	36.36	36.36	18.18	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.43	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	1.53741		11	18.18	0.00	9.09	27.27	45.45	15.22	16.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.63	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 4953-001

Section Title: Capstone

Instructor: John Greene

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.88	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.94	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 5143-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Ecosystem Services

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jadwiga Ziolkowska

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	59.09	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.34	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	65.91	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.30	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	63.64	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.34	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	72.73	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.51	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jadwiga Ziolkowska													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 5283-001 / GEOG 4283-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Biogeography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bruce Hoagland

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	90.91	90.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.70	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.64	87.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.64	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	95.45	90.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.69	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Bruce Hoagland													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 5433-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Sustainability: Theory & Prac

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	75.00	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.65	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	81.82	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.56	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	63.64	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.34	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	72.73	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.51	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GEOG 5990-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Selected Studies in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Travis Gliedt

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	36.36	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	31.82	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.06	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Travis Gliedt													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 2013-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Geospatial Tech & Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	77.78	59.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.31	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	88.89	59.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.28	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	88.89	52.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.23	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	100.00	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.59	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	100.00	75.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.48	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 2023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Trung Tran

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.84211	4	1.11869	-0.24	19	5.26	5.26	21.05	36.84	31.58	44.44	22.99
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.57895	4	1.26121	-0.49	19	5.26	21.05	10.53	36.84	26.32	33.33	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.26316	4	0.80568	0.21	19	0.00	5.26	5.26	47.37	42.11	77.78	51.72
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.63158	4	1.11607		19	5.26	10.53	21.05	42.11	21.05	33.33	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		-0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59		
Trung Tran													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.94737	4	1.02598	-0.50	19	5.26	0.00	21.05	42.11	31.58	33.33	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 2023-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Intro to Spatial Thinking

Course Level: All

Instructor: Trung Tran

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	4	1.17429		20	10.00	5.00	10.00	55.00	20.00	33.33	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.39	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.10501		20	10.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	20.00	44.44	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.28	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.65000	4	1.26803		20	10.00	10.00	10.00	45.00	25.00	33.33	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.41	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.02598		20	5.00	5.00	5.00	55.00	30.00	44.44	22.99
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.33	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Trung Tran													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.16980		20	10.00	0.00	5.00	50.00	35.00	44.44	24.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.44	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 4013-010 / GIS 5013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Fundamentals of GIS

Course Level: All

Instructor: Trung Tran

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.76460	0.16	26	0.00	3.85	7.69	50.00	38.46	66.67	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.03846	4	0.95836	-0.05	26	0.00	7.69	19.23	34.62	38.46	55.56	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.96154	4	0.95836	-0.10	26	0.00	7.69	23.08	34.62	34.62	44.44	28.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.58177	0.15	26	0.00	0.00	3.85	46.15	50.00	66.67	37.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Trung Tran													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.65385	5	0.68948	0.25	26	0.00	0.00	11.54	11.54	76.92	77.78	51.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 4013-011 / GIS 5013-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Pradeep Adhikari

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Pradeep Adhikari</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667	-0.34	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	50.00	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268		280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648	0.18	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	100.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814		280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648	-0.15	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	50.00	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529		280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705	0.05	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	50.00	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574		278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.70711	-0.10	9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	100.00	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259		279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 4013-012 / GIS 5013-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-GIS 4013-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Pradeep Adhikari

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Pradeep Adhikari</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	100.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.14	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.92796		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	55.56	33.33	50.00	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.18	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.71429	5	0.56061		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	76.19		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.16	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.47619	5	0.67964		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	33.33	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.31	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.86603		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	22.22	55.56	100.00	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.52381	5	0.60159		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	38.10	57.14		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.10	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 4133-001 / GIS 5133-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Fundamentals of Remote Sensing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.83205	0.16	13	0.00	0.00	23.08	30.77	46.15	66.67	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	1.03155	0.21	13	0.00	7.69	15.38	15.38	61.54	66.67	49.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	1.01274	0.17	13	0.00	7.69	15.38	23.08	53.85	55.56	47.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.96077	0.07	13	0.00	7.69	7.69	23.08	61.54	55.56	32.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.76795	0.21	13	0.00	0.00	15.38	7.69	76.92	55.56	48.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 4253-001 / GIS 5253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: GIS Applications

Course Level: All

Instructor: Todd Fagin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	90.80
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.70	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.77850		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	77.78	54.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.24	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.96531		12	0.00	8.33	8.33	33.33	50.00	66.67	50.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.19	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	88.89	74.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.54	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Todd Fagin													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	88.89	72.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.44	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 4733-001 / GIS 5733-001 / PBIO 4733-001 / PBIO 5733-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Environmental Remote Sensing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xiangming Xiao

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	2.82843	-1.12	2	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	22.22	5.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	2.12132	-0.56	2	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	22.22	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Xiangming Xiao													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: GIS 5923-001 / GIS 4923-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Spatial Statistics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.85485		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	64.29	88.89	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.44	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75955		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	64.29	100.00	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.85163		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	21.43	71.43	100.00	81.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.51	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.82542		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	7.14	85.71	77.78	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.42	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.84190		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	14.29	78.57	66.67	50.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.24	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 1014-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 170

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.01754	4	0.85547	-0.06	57	0.00	7.02	14.04	49.12	29.82	39.39	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.06897	4	1.02362	-0.02	58	3.45	5.17	12.07	39.66	39.66	45.45	41.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91228	4	0.95020	-0.14	57	0.00	10.53	17.54	42.11	29.82	33.33	26.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22807	4	0.82413	-0.09	57	0.00	5.26	8.77	43.86	42.11	33.33	29.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42105	5	0.84404	0.00	57	1.75	0.00	12.28	26.32	59.65	33.33	33.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-011

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 23

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	54.55	36.36	22.22	26.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.26	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	4	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	45.45	45.45	44.44	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.09	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	22.22	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.14	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	61.11	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.17	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	54.55	36.36	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.18	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 1014-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	16.67	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.30	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	27.78	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.03	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	33.33	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.07	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	16.67	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.17	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	27.78	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.21	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 1014-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	55.56	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.21	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	16.67	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.31	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	11.11	16.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.49	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.22474		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	11.11	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.46	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	11.11	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.81	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-014

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52223		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	44.44	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.06	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	33.33	43.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.06	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.79772		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	33.33	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.07	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	55.56	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.12	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.88763		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	16.67	58.33	55.56	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.10	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 1014-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	50.00	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.17	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	83.33	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.48	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	55.56	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.22	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	72.22	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.20	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	94.44	96.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.60	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 1014-016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	72.22	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.37	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	61.11	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.32	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	83.33	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.42	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	72.22	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.20	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	77.78	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.41	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-017

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	0.91613		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	50.00	12.50	5.56	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-1.20	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	1.06066		8	0.00	25.00	25.00	37.50	12.50	5.56	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-1.00	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	1.12599		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	37.50	5.56	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.94	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	0.91613		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	50.00	12.50	5.56	6.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.90	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	0.91613		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	50.00	12.50	5.56	3.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-1.04	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-910

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Instructors: Werner Cook / Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 97

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.68571	2	1.18251		35	17.14	34.29	14.29	31.43	2.86	6.06	2.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.45	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.65714	2	1.28207		35	22.86	28.57	14.29	28.57	5.71	6.06	3.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-1.37	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.05714	3	1.34914		35	17.14	20.00	17.14	31.43	14.29	3.03	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-1.00	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.82857	3	1.24819		35	14.29	28.57	31.43	11.43	14.29	6.06	3.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-1.56	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Werner Cook</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.71429	2	1.50629		35	25.71	28.57	17.14	5.71	22.86	7.69	4.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.79	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.84211	4	1.16729		19	5.26	0.00	42.11	10.53	42.11	20.51	17.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-911

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.78	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	77.78	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.43	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.62	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.70	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	72.22	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.35	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-912

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.30	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	66.67	76.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.36	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	44.44	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.16	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	55.56	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.12	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	72.22	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.35	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 1014-913

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	11.11	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.34	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.88192		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	11.11	66.67	50.00	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.18	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.88192		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	0.00	77.78	38.89	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.00	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.86603		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	22.22	55.56	27.78	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.08	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.86603		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	22.22	55.56	55.56	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.10	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-914

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-910

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.30	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	88.89	93.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.61	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	77.78	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.39	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	88.89	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.51	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	61.11	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.13	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1111-001

Section Title: Orientation-Prof Meteorology

Instructor: Frederick Carr

Total Enrollment: 89

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14815	4	0.97917		54	3.70	0.00	18.52	33.33	44.44	51.52	41.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.08	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.82470		55	0.00	3.64	10.91	30.91	54.55	51.52	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.74545	4	1.20521		55	9.09	1.82	27.27	29.09	32.73	24.24	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.31	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.47273	5	0.89968		55	0.00	3.64	16.36	9.09	70.91	42.42	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.16	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Frederick Carr</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.65455	5	0.75076		55	0.00	3.64	5.45	12.73	78.18	58.97	52.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.25	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1313-001

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	75.76	74.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.55	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	60.61	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.30	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	84.85	91.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.74	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	74.36	66.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1313-002

Section Title: Programming for Meteorology

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	36.36	32.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.08	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	42.42	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	51.52	50.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.19	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	66.67	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.45	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	46.15	41.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.09	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-001

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 20

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	77.78	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.42	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	55.56	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.24	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	66.67	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.27	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	77.78	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.26	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	83.33	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.46	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-002

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 13

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.78	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.80	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.62	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	83.33	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.31	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	55.56	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.10	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-003

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	83.33	86.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.54	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	77.78	83.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.43	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	77.78	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.39	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	27.78	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.08	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	88.89	93.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.57	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-004

Section Title: Intro to METR I Lab (HONORS)

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	44.44	53.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.06	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	16.67	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.31	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	66.67	73.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.27	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	55.56	56.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.12	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	27.78	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.21	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2011-900

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I Lab

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.78	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.80	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.62	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.70	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.79	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2013-001

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Instructors: David Parsons / Amanda Kis

Total Enrollment: 47

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.36842	5	0.83070		19	0.00	5.26	5.26	36.84	52.63	63.64	57.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.31	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15000	5	1.13671		20	0.00	15.00	10.00	20.00	55.00	48.48	42.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.06	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.68825		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	78.79	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.15000	4	0.87509		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	40.00	40.00	30.30	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.17	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.35000	5	0.74516		20	0.00	0.00	15.00	35.00	50.00	30.77	30.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.07	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Amanda Kis													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.68056		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	56.41	46.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.19	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 2013-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology I(HONORS)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jerry Straka

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721	0.13	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	54.55	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711	-0.08	5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	42.42	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772	0.34	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	63.64	65.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.51	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	69.70	72.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jerry Straka													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721	0.40	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	74.36	66.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 68

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructor: Daphne Ladue

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.78571	4	0.78680	-0.30	28	0.00	10.71	10.71	67.86	10.71	27.27	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.92593	4	0.95780	-0.15	27	0.00	11.11	14.81	44.44	29.63	30.30	27.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.79310	4	1.17654	-0.26	29	3.45	17.24	6.90	41.38	31.03	27.27	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44828	5	0.86957	0.14	29	0.00	6.90	3.45	27.59	62.07	39.39	36.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Daphne Ladue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53571	5	0.88117	0.12	28	0.00	7.14	3.57	17.86	71.43	48.72	42.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 2603-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 41

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructors: Alexander Zwink / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.63158	4	1.16479		19	10.53	0.00	26.32	42.11	21.05	18.18	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.46	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.68421	4	1.29326		19	10.53	5.26	21.05	31.58	31.58	21.21	20.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.39	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.47368	4	1.21876		19	10.53	10.53	15.79	47.37	15.79	18.18	14.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.58	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.47368	4	1.30675		19	10.53	15.79	10.53	42.11	21.05	15.15	11.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.88	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Alexander Zwink													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.47368	4	1.26352		19	10.53	10.53	21.05	36.84	21.05	12.82	11.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.99	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 3113-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 53

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Evgeni Fedorovich

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.08571	3	0.95090	-1.03	35	5.71	20.00	37.14	34.29	2.86	9.09	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.48571	4	1.06747	-0.58	35	2.86	17.14	25.71	37.14	17.14	15.15	13.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.22857	4	1.08697	-0.82	35	8.57	17.14	22.86	45.71	5.71	12.12	8.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.22857	3	0.94202	-1.14	35	5.71	11.43	42.86	34.29	5.71	9.09	4.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Evgeni Fedorovich													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.09006	-0.86	35	5.71	8.57	25.71	40.00	20.00	17.95	14.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 3213-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 56

Section Title: Phys Meteor I: Thermodynamics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Phillip Chilson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.65222	0.38	36	0.00	2.78	0.00	47.22	50.00	66.67	64.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	5	0.68776	0.29	36	0.00	0.00	11.11	38.89	50.00	57.58	62.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.79282	0.27	36	0.00	5.56	2.78	44.44	47.22	57.58	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.60356	0.28	36	0.00	0.00	5.56	30.56	63.89	51.52	48.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Phillip Chilson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.69444	5	0.52478	0.29	36	0.00	0.00	2.78	25.00	72.22	66.67	55.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 3613-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 54

Section Title: Meteorological Measurement Sys

Course Level: All

Instructor: Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.70711		32	0.00	3.13	9.38	59.38	28.13	45.45	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.05	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40625	4	0.61484		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	46.88	46.88	63.64	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.31	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.34375	5	0.78738		32	0.00	3.13	9.38	37.50	50.00	60.61	59.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.29	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53125	5	0.62136		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	34.38	59.38	48.48	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.23	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.59375	5	0.61484		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	28.13	65.63	53.85	45.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.19	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 3613-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	4	0.60208		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	56.25	37.50	27.78	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.21	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.88506		16	0.00	6.25	12.50	43.75	37.50	22.22	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.17	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.47871		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.25	68.75	50.00	63.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.19	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.80623		16	0.00	6.25	0.00	43.75	50.00	38.89	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.03	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.94112		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	46.67	16.67	23.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.27	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 3613-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Lab-METR 3613-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.74495		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.14	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	4	0.63332		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	50.00	42.86	38.89	46.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.09	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.74495		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	16.67	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.27	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.84190		14	0.00	0.00	21.43	21.43	57.14	33.33	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.05	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.72627		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	38.89	36.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.16	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 4133-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 55

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics III

Course Level: All

Instructors: Xuguang Wang / David Parsons

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.32000	4	0.58693		50	0.00	0.00	6.00	56.00	38.00	57.58	51.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.25	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41176	5	0.69790		51	0.00	0.00	11.76	35.29	52.94	66.67	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.31	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.21569	4	0.75667		51	0.00	1.96	13.73	45.10	39.22	48.48	44.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.16	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.39216	4	0.63493		51	0.00	0.00	7.84	45.10	47.06	36.36	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.08	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.49020	5	0.64413		51	0.00	0.00	7.84	35.29	56.86	41.03	37.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.08	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 4233-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 41

Section Title: Phys Meteorology III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.04950		24	4.17	8.33	25.00	41.67	20.83	21.21	17.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.43	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.52174	4	1.16266		23	4.35	17.39	21.74	34.78	21.74	18.18	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.54	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.91683		24	4.17	0.00	25.00	50.00	20.83	30.30	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.22	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.13636	4	1.08213		22	4.55	4.55	9.09	36.36	45.45	24.24	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.19	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.45833	5	0.93153		24	4.17	0.00	4.17	29.17	62.50	38.46	35.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.04	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 4313-001 / METR 5313-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Statistical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Richman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.26930	-0.60	10	10.00	10.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	15.15	11.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.39841	-0.28	10	10.00	10.00	10.00	30.00	40.00	24.24	24.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	4	1.33333	-0.61	9	11.11	11.11	22.22	33.33	22.22	15.15	12.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.22927	-0.54	10	10.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	30.00	18.18	18.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.33333	-0.44	10	10.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	50.00	25.64	24.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 4330-995 / METR 5330-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Section Title: Information Technology Skills

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.37796	0.07	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	14.29	48.48	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.89974	-0.22	7	0.00	14.29	0.00	71.43	14.29	27.27	25.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680	0.37	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	66.67	67.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.37796	-0.18	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	14.29	27.27	26.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452	0.16	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	51.28	43.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 4424-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 52

Section Title: Synoptic Meteorology Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.65625	5	0.60158		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	21.88	71.88	81.82	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.60	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.59375	5	0.79755		32	0.00	3.13	9.38	12.50	75.00	78.79	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.49	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.84242		32	3.13	0.00	3.13	31.25	62.50	78.79	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.78030		32	0.00	6.25	0.00	12.50	81.25	63.64	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.39	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	1.07576		32	6.25	0.00	6.25	18.75	68.75	35.90	34.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.02	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 4443-001 / METR 5443-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Intro-Tropical Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Morrissey

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	3	0.91026		15	0.00	13.33	46.67	26.67	13.33	12.12	10.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.70	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.13333	4	1.40746		15	20.00	13.33	13.33	40.00	13.33	9.09	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.92	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.06667	3	0.79881		15	6.67	6.67	60.00	26.67	0.00	6.06	5.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.99	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.35714	3	1.21574		14	7.14	14.29	35.71	21.43	21.43	12.12	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-1.01	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Mark Morrissey</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.05560		15	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	17.95	14.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.86	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 4533-001 / GEOL 4533-001 / GEOL 5533-001 / METR 5533-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Earth's Past Climate

Course Level: All

Instructor: Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	5	1.16450		12	0.00	16.67	8.33	25.00	50.00	42.42	36.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.01	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.79296		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	25.00	58.33	69.70	68.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.32	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.94388		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	45.45	36.36	42.42	36.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	16.67	75.00	60.61	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.37	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Susan Postawko													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	76.92	68.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.42	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4911-001

Section Title: Senior Seminar (Capstone)

Instructor: William Beasley

Total Enrollment: 41

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	4	0.65695		20	0.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	10.00	24.24	19.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.39	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	3	0.95145		20	5.00	10.00	55.00	20.00	10.00	12.12	10.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.85	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.04630		20	0.00	15.00	35.00	25.00	25.00	21.21	16.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.45	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.95000	4	0.75915		20	0.00	10.00	0.00	75.00	15.00	21.21	21.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.38	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
William Beasley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.85224		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	45.00	35.00	28.21	26.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.33	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4913-059

Section Title: Senior Seminar

Instructor: David Parsons

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.88	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.94	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 4970-001

Section Title: Apps for WX Forecasting

Instructor: Kevin Kloesel

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.79	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	90.91	91.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.72	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	84.85	90.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	0.77	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	87.88	93.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.72	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kevin Kloesel													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.61	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 5004-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Fundamentals of Atmos Science

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Cavallo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.53333	3	0.91548		15	13.33	33.33	40.00	13.33	0.00	3.03	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.61	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.26667	2	0.88372		15	13.33	60.00	13.33	13.33	0.00	3.03	1.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-1.75	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	1.14642		15	6.67	26.67	13.33	46.67	6.67	9.09	6.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.85	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.26667	2	0.70373		15	6.67	66.67	20.00	6.67	0.00	3.03	2.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-2.15	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Steven Cavallo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	3	1.14642		15	6.67	20.00	33.33	26.67	13.33	10.26	8.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-1.28	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 5113-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Advanced Atmos Dynamics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.63043		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	15.38	76.92	84.85	78.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.64	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	90.91	93.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.80	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	0.68874		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	53.85	30.77	45.45	40.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.10	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	75.76	80.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.64	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	82.05	78.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.53	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5233-001

Section Title: Cloud & Precipitation Physics

Instructor: Cameron Homeyer

Total Enrollment: 19

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.45241		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	73.68	87.88	85.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.69	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.89474	5	0.31530		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.53	89.47	87.88	91.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.78	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.68421	5	0.58239		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	21.05	73.68	81.82	86.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.62	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.94737	5	0.22942		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.26	94.74	78.79	83.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.66	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Cameron Homeyer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5303-001

Section Title: Objective Analysis

Instructors: Frederick Carr / Ming Xue

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	72.73	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.44	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	81.82	82.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.56	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	78.79	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	60.61	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.37	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Frederick Carr													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	64.10	54.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.26	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Ming Xue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	74.36	66.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 5491-001 / METR 4491-001

Section Title: Weather Briefing

Instructors: Lance Leslie / Nathan Anderson

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	36.36	32.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.08	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.76	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	39.39	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.06	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	45.45	43.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.19	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Lance Leslie</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	25.64	24.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.44	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
<i>Nathan Anderson</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 6223-001

Section Title: Convective Clouds and Storms

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640	0.27	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	60.61	56.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000	-0.08	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	42.42	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640	0.27	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	57.58	58.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640	0.37	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	60.61	55.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640	0.26	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	64.10	54.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 6613-001 / ECE 6613-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Weather Radar Polarimetry

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guifu Zhang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	78.79	75.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.57	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	54.55	59.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.28	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	90.91	94.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.81	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	72.73	75.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.57	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	79.49	72.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.44	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eEvaluate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 6950-033

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jonathan Gourley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	36.36	32.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.08	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	42.42	39.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.08	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	39.39	35.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.06	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jonathan Gourley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 6950-048

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Xuguang Wang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.88	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.94	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Xuguang Wang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 6950-082

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Pre-General Research

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ming Xue

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.96	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.88	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.94	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ming Xue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.61	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2014

Course: METR 6970-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Convective METR Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Louis Wicker

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	72.73	73.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.44	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.76	77.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	78.79	79.31
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.72	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Louis Wicker													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	46.15	41.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.09	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A