eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 1114-240

Section Title: Physical Geography

Instructor: Shannon Hall

Total Enrollment: 23

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	50.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.12	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	75.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.38	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	75.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.24	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	75.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.14	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Shannon Hall													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.25	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 2453-140

Section Title: Intro-Computer Mapping/Analys

Instructor: Trung Tran

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.37	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	1.02	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.15	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.21	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Trung Tran													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	25.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 2603-995

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Instructor: Richard Thomas

Total Enrollment: 35

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		13	0.00	7.69	7.69	61.54	23.08	25.00	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.32	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.84615	4	0.89872		13	0.00	7.69	23.08	46.15	23.08	50.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.57	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.22474		13	7.69	7.69	0.00	46.15	38.46	25.00	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.86972		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	38.46	53.85	25.00	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.39	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Richard Thomas													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	23.08	69.23	50.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.19	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning — 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course — 1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GEOG 3253-140

Section Title: Environmental Conservation

Instructor: Sally Gros

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.72	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.61	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.45	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.60	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.51	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: GIS 4200-001

Section Title: Internship in Geoinformatics

Instructor: May Yuan

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.07	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	100.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.36	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.74	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.60	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
May Yuan													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.51	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-285

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Instructor: Kristen Bradford

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	100.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.05	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	100.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.42	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	66.67	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.28	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kristen Bradford													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.14	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 1014-286

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-285

Instructor: Jeffrey Albright

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	100.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.05	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	33.33	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.16	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	33.33	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.20	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	33.33	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.37	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Albright													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	33.33	12.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.58	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2021-280

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Instructor: Jeffrey Albright

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
						Jeffrey Albright							
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.00	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.00	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.00	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.00	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.00	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

eValuate Report (Public) - Summer 2012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Course: METR 2023-280

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology II

Instructor: Werner Cook

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	100.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.05	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	100.00	87.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.42	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	100.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.27	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.28	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Werner Cook													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	62.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.14	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A