

# eValueate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 1112-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 67

Section Title: Cultures of Collaborating

Course Level: All

Instructors: Hans Butzer / Kenneth Robson / Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.80769	3	1.34366		52	23.08	21.15	17.31	28.85	9.62	5.71	3.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.59615	2	1.36137		52	26.92	28.85	11.54	23.08	9.62	11.43	5.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.96	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Hans Butzer</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.82000	4	1.25666		50	6.00	14.00	10.00	32.00	38.00	35.90	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.15	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.56863	4	1.31537		51	7.84	15.69	21.57	33.33	33.33	25.64	18.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.25	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.64706	4	1.32399		51	11.76	5.88	21.57	27.45	33.33	17.95	13.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.43	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
<i>Kenneth Robson</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.31982		32	12.50	18.75	18.75	31.25	18.75	20.51	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.64	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.43333	3	0.93526		30	0.00	13.33	46.67	23.33	16.67	23.08	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.36	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.16129	3	1.24088		31	9.68	22.58	25.81	25.81	16.13	5.13	4.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.88	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
<i>Mia Kile</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	3	1.32353		30	13.33	16.67	26.67	23.33	20.00	17.95	9.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.68	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.20690	3	1.17654		29	6.90	20.69	34.48	20.69	17.24	15.38	9.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.54	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.23333	3	1.22287		30	10.00	16.67	30.00	26.67	16.67	10.26	6.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.81	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 1121-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 43

Section Title: Methods I - Intro-Creating

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.89655	3	1.14470	-0.85	29	13.79	24.14	24.14	34.48	3.45	8.57	5.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.48276	2	1.27113		29	27.59	27.59	20.69	17.24	6.90	8.57	4.40
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		-1.05	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.82759	3	1.10418	-1.00	29	10.34	27.59	41.38	10.34	10.34	5.13	3.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.13793	2	0.99010	-1.41	29	27.59	41.38	24.14	3.45	3.45	2.56	2.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.17241	3	1.00246	-0.87	29	6.90	10.34	51.72	20.69	10.34	7.69	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 1154-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Design I - Fundamentals

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.57	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.79	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	53.85	54.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.37	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	76.92	66.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.52	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 1154-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Design I - Fundamentals

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	82.42
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.79	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.57	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.79	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	50.00	33.33	46.15	41.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.10	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	76.92	66.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.52	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 1154-003

College of Architecture

Section Title: Design I - Fundamentals

Instructor: Geoff Parker

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.57	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Geoff Parker													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	35.90	27.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.10	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 1154-004

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Design I - Fundamentals

Course Level: All

Instructor: Eren Erdener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.40789		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.50	75.00	48.57	51.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.40	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.06066		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	25.00	62.50	48.57	52.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.47	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Eren Erdener													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.30931		8	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	15.38	7.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	3	1.50594		8	12.50	12.50	37.50	0.00	37.50	17.95	11.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.40	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	3	1.18773		8	0.00	25.00	37.50	12.50	25.00	15.38	8.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.68	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 1154-005

College of Architecture

Section Title: Design I - Fundamentals

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.71	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.51	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.79	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	58.97	52.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.36	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 2243-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 104

Section Title: History of Architecture I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Catherine Barrett

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.58182	4	1.18151		55	5.45	18.18	10.91	43.64	21.82	22.86	17.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.27	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.45455	4	1.21439		55	9.09	14.55	16.36	41.82	18.18	20.00	13.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.27	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Catherine Barrett													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.72727	4	1.28315		55	5.45	18.18	10.91	29.09	36.36	28.21	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.23	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.58182	4	1.39697		55	12.73	9.09	21.82	20.00	36.36	28.21	19.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.24	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.98182	4	1.02724		55	3.64	3.64	20.00	36.36	36.36	28.21	23.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.12	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 2323-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 70

Section Title: Methods III-Design Analytics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.73380		27	0.00	0.00	14.81	37.04	48.15	45.71	47.25
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.37	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25926	4	0.65590		27	0.00	0.00	11.11	51.85	37.04	42.86	45.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.38	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.57735		27	0.00	0.00	3.70	48.15	48.15	51.28	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.39	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	0.78838		26	0.00	0.00	19.23	30.77	50.00	51.28	51.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.35	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.64051		27	0.00	0.00	7.41	40.74	51.85	51.28	46.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.31	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 2354-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Design III-Crafting Place

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	54.29	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.43	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	74.29	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.65	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	33.33	24.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.16	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	74.36	71.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.59	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	46.15	43.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.27	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 2354-002

Section Title: Design III-Crafting Place

Instructors: David Boeck / Anthony Cricchio

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.71	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.51	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.57	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.79	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	46.15	41.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.10	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	58.97	52.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.36	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.79	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 2354-003

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Design III-Crafting Place

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	74.29	70.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.60	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	74.29	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.65	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	41.03	39.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.18	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	56.41	57.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.42	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	46.15	43.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.27	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 3013-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 96

Section Title: Architecture for Non-Majors

Course Level: All

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.84783	4	1.03209		46	4.35	6.52	15.22	47.83	26.09	25.71	20.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.04	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.63043	4	1.12267		46	6.52	8.70	21.74	41.30	21.74	25.71	18.68
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.13	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Khosrow Bozorgi</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.76087	4	1.26815		46	8.70	8.70	15.22	32.61	34.78	30.77	21.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.20	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.89130	4	1.17810		46	6.52	6.52	15.22	34.78	36.96	35.90	31.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.01	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.84444	4	1.08619		45	2.22	11.11	20.00	33.33	33.33	23.08	19.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.24	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 3133-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 41

Section Title: Architectural Structures I

Course Level: All

Instructor: I-Kwang Chang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.48276	3	1.12188	-0.35	29	3.45	13.79	37.93	20.69	24.14	20.00	15.38
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.62069	4	1.04928	-0.14	29	3.45	10.34	27.59	37.93	20.69	22.86	17.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
I-Kwang Chang													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.48276	3	1.21363	-0.44	29	3.45	20.69	27.59	20.69	27.59	25.64	13.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.41379	4	1.23974	-0.37	29	6.90	20.69	17.24	34.48	20.69	20.51	14.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.65517	5	0.72091	0.51	29	0.00	3.45	3.45	17.24	75.86	66.67	59.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 3443-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 57

Section Title: Modern & Contemporary Arch

Course Level: All

Instructor: Stephanie Pilat

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.02500	4	1.16548	0.11	40	5.00	7.50	12.50	30.00	45.00	31.43	29.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.92500	4	1.22762	0.11	40	7.50	7.50	10.00	35.00	40.00	31.43	26.37
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Stephanie Pilat													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.21423	0.23	40	5.00	10.00	2.50	20.00	62.50	43.59	42.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	5	1.38383	0.16	39	12.82	2.56	5.13	23.08	56.41	48.72	43.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.17500	5	1.19588	0.06	40	7.50	5.00	2.50	32.50	52.50	38.46	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 3523-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Methods V-Thermal Systems

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ik-Seong Joo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.00000	-0.76	25	4.00	28.00	40.00	20.00	8.00	11.43	8.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.48000	3	1.29486	-1.05	25	36.00	12.00	20.00	32.00	0.00	5.71	3.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Ik-Seong Joo													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.92000	3	1.28841	-0.92	25	12.00	36.00	12.00	28.00	12.00	10.26	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.76000	2	1.39284	-0.90	25	20.00	32.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	10.26	6.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	0.98907	-1.26	24	4.17	41.67	37.50	8.33	8.33	2.56	2.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 3555-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Design V-Arch Making I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Joel Dietrich

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.08333	3	1.08362	-0.69	12	8.33	8.33	66.67	0.00	16.67	14.29	10.99
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.91667	3	1.31137	-0.70	12	8.33	41.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	17.14	9.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Joel Dietrich													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	1.43548	-1.14	12	25.00	25.00	25.00	8.33	16.67	2.56	2.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.58333	3	1.31137	-1.04	12	33.33	8.33	25.00	33.33	0.00	7.69	4.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.21543	-0.79	12	8.33	25.00	8.33	50.00	8.33	12.82	7.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 3555-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Design V-Arch Making I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.23077	4	1.16575		13	7.69	23.08	15.38	46.15	7.69	17.14	13.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.57	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.61538	2	1.19293		13	15.38	38.46	23.08	15.38	7.69	14.29	6.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.95	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Khosrow Bozorgi</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.38462	3	1.26085		13	7.69	15.38	30.77	23.08	23.08	23.08	12.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.52	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.15385	3	0.98710		13	7.69	15.38	30.77	46.15	0.00	12.82	8.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.58	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	1.32045		13	7.69	7.69	15.38	23.08	46.15	25.64	21.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.17	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 4333-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Advanced Structures

Course Level: All

Instructor: I-Kwang Chang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.29167	2	1.23285	-1.37	24	33.33	29.17	16.67	16.67	4.17	2.86	1.10
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.41667	2	1.21285		24	29.17	25.00	25.00	16.67	4.17	2.86	2.20
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
I-Kwang Chang													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.83333	3	1.16718	-1.00	24	12.50	33.33	16.67	33.33	4.17	7.69	4.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.41667	2	1.31601	-1.18	24	29.17	33.33	12.50	16.67	8.33	5.13	3.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.04950	-0.25	24	0.00	16.67	12.50	41.67	29.17	20.51	18.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 4363-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Sustainability

Instructor: Hans Butzer

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	54.29	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.43	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	82.86	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.81	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Hans Butzer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	76.92	65.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.53	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	74.36	71.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.59	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	61.54	56.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.45	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 4723-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 37

Section Title: Methods VII-Advanced Systems

Course Level: All

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.52941	5	0.56329		34	0.00	0.00	2.94	41.18	55.88	68.57	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.54	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.45714	5	0.74134		35	0.00	2.86	5.71	34.29	57.14	51.43	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.54	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.45835		35	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	89.74	78.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.63	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.54286	5	0.65722		35	0.00	0.00	8.57	28.57	62.86	69.23	68.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.54	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.65714	5	0.59125		35	0.00	0.00	5.71	22.86	71.43	69.23	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.51	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 4755-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Design VII-Systems & Context

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	71.43	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.58	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52223		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.57	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	48.72	48.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.37	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	82.05	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.64	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.90034		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	33.33	58.33	48.72	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.29	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 4755-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Design VII-Systems & Context

Course Level: All

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70588	5	0.77174		17	0.00	5.88	0.00	11.76	82.35	77.14	74.73
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.69	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.78591		17	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	76.47	77.14	73.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.69	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.52941	5	0.87447		17	0.00	5.88	5.88	17.65	70.59	74.36	63.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.47	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.70588	5	0.84887		17	0.00	5.88	5.88	0.00	88.24	84.62	78.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.67	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.99632		17	0.00	11.76	0.00	0.00	88.24	64.10	58.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.50	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 4970-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Architecture of Modern Italy

Instructor: Stephanie Pilat

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	42.86	43.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.30	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	48.57	52.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.47	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Stephanie Pilat													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	92.31	81.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.66	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	76.92	74.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.61	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	82.05	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.59	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 5023-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Animation Cad

Instructor: Joel Dietrich

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	42.86	43.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.30	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	40.00	43.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.37	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Joel Dietrich													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.79	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	82.05	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.59	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 5743-980

College of Architecture

Section Title: Legal Framework for Design

Instructor: Ronald Chandler

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Ronald Chandler													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.79	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 5922-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Methods IX - Contemp Practice

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nickolas Harm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	4	0.49355		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	76.92	15.38	34.29	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.15	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	0.64051		13	0.00	0.00	23.08	61.54	15.38	28.57	25.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.11	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Nickolas Harm													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.76795		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	30.77	53.85	46.15	46.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.34	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	0.86232		13	0.00	7.69	15.38	53.85	23.08	38.46	33.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.04	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.57735		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	69.23	15.38	35.90	27.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.10	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 5955-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Design IX - Comp Arch I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nickolas Harm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	42.86	43.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.30	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	37.14	35.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.17	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Nickolas Harm													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	38.46	32.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.01	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	30.77	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.10	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	35.90	27.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.10	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 5955-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Design IX - Comp Arch I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Catherine Barrett

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	28.57	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.09	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.26491		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	37.14	35.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.17	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Catherine Barrett													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	87.18	76.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.47196		6	16.67	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	33.33	29.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.03	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 6133-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Sustainable Design Analytics

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.71	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.51	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.57	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.79	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	41.03	34.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.13	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 6143-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Theory of Sustainability

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans Butzer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	80.00	79.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.71	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Hans Butzer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	87.18	76.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	84.62	83.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.67	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 6156-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Graduate Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 6356-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Graduate Studio III

Instructors: Lee Fithian / Hans Butzer

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Lee Fithian</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	87.18	76.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
<i>Hans Butzer</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	87.18	76.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	82.05	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.64	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	76.92	66.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.52	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 6680-980

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Advanced Arch Design III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.71	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.51	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	7.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	46.15	41.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.10	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	58.97	52.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.36	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: ARCH 6690-980

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Professional Project

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 1112-010

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Cultures of Collaborating

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kenneth Robson / Hans Butzer / Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	4	1.25064		27	14.81	11.11	22.22	40.74	11.11	11.76	12.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.58	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.96296	3	1.28547		27	22.22	7.41	29.63	33.33	7.41	5.88	10.99
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.67	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Kenneth Robson</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.59259	4	1.36605		27	14.81	3.70	18.52	33.33	29.63	15.79	16.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.34	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.48148	4	1.36918		27	11.11	14.81	18.52	25.93	29.63	15.79	17.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.32	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.48148	4	1.31179		27	11.11	14.81	11.11	40.74	22.22	5.26	9.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.58	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
<i>Hans Butzer</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	0.00	36.84	26.32	36.84	31.58	32.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.01	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.61111	4	1.19503		18	11.11	5.56	11.11	55.56	16.67	21.05	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.21	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.64706	4	1.11474		17	5.88	11.76	11.76	52.94	17.65	21.05	13.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.43	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
<i>Mia Kile</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.70588	4	0.98518		17	5.88	0.00	29.41	47.06	17.65	21.05	18.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.24	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.41176	4	1.22774		17	11.76	5.88	29.41	35.29	17.65	10.53	13.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.37	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.64706	4	1.16946		17	5.88	11.76	17.65	41.18	23.53	21.05	13.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.43	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 1112-011

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 35

Section Title: Disc-CNS 1112-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kenneth Robson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.78261	4	1.34693		23	8.70	13.04	8.70	30.43	39.13	23.53	19.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.10	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.47826	4	1.23838		23	13.04	8.70	8.70	56.52	13.04	17.65	15.38
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.25	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Kenneth Robson													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.56522	4	1.44052		23	17.39	4.35	13.04	34.78	30.43	5.26	14.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.37	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.69565	4	1.29456		23	13.04	4.35	8.70	47.83	26.09	26.32	22.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.14	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.60870	4	1.30520		23	13.04	4.35	17.39	39.13	26.09	10.53	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.46	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 2714-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Materials & Methods I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Ryan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.15045		18	5.56	5.56	5.56	33.33	50.00	52.94	35.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.23	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	5	0.69780		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	38.89	50.00	52.94	53.85
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.48	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Richard Ryan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.78382		18	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	61.11	57.89	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.39	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.76471	4	1.43742		17	11.76	11.76	5.88	29.41	41.18	42.11	26.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.09	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.97014		18	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56	57.89	38.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.21	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 3103-010

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Construction Surveying

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	64.71	72.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.65	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	76.47	79.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.71	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.42	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	73.68	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	89.47	79.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.64	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 3103-011

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Lab-CNS 3103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	94.12	82.42
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.79	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	76.47	79.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.71	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	89.47	84.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.73	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	84.21	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.64	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	78.95	66.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.52	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 3123-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Statics/Strengths Materials

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lisa Holliday

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	58.82	51.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.40	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	58.82	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lisa Holliday													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	73.68	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.55	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	78.95	74.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.61	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	87.50	84.21	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.59	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 3433-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Mech/Elect/Plumb Systems I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Donald Carter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	76.47	76.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.75	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	64.71	72.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.67	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Donald Carter													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	78.95	76.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	100.00	84.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.81	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.88192		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	0.00	77.78	73.68	54.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.41	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 3512-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Cost Estimating

Course Level: All

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		11	0.00	0.00	36.36	27.27	36.36	41.18	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.09	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.66667		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	41.18	35.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.17	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.83121		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	36.36	36.36	42.11	34.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.09	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.72727	4	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	36.36	54.55	9.09	36.84	24.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.12	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	1.28629		11	9.09	0.00	9.09	9.09	72.73	63.16	41.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.24	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 3612-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Project Controls Lab I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.92796		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	55.56	33.33	47.06	34.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.18	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	77.78	22.22	47.06	39.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.35	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.78174		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	44.44	33.33	47.37	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.11	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	52.63	41.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.10	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56	57.89	38.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.21	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 3812-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Project Planning & Scheduling

Course Level: All

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.44721		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	81.82	9.09	41.18	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.09	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	63.64	9.09	29.41	21.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.02	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.83121		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	36.36	36.36	42.11	34.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.09	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	0.83121		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	63.64	18.18	47.37	32.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.03	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	1.22103		11	9.09	0.00	9.09	36.36	45.45	36.84	28.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.02	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 4112-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Understanding Design Services

Course Level: All

Instructor: Charles Graham

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.00000		7	0.00	42.86	14.29	42.86	0.00	5.88	8.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.76	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	3	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	42.86	14.29	28.57	23.53	16.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.18	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Charles Graham													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	0.97590		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	42.86	14.29	10.53	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.36	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	28.57	28.57	31.58	23.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.13	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	0.00	26.32	14.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.36	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 4113-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Structures II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lisa Holliday

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	94.12	82.42
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.79	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	87.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.84	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lisa Holliday													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	89.47	84.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.73	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	94.74	82.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.78	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	94.74	83.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.67	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 4122-010

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: BIM for Construction

Course Level: All

Instructor: Tamera Mc Cuen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.38462	4	1.04391	-0.44	13	0.00	30.77	7.69	53.85	7.69	17.65	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.46154	3	1.19829	-0.26	13	7.69	7.69	38.46	23.08	23.08	11.76	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.01274	-0.19	13	7.69	0.00	15.38	61.54	15.38	26.32	22.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.38462	4	1.26085	-0.40	13	7.69	23.08	7.69	46.15	15.38	5.26	12.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.16575	-0.31	13	7.69	0.00	30.77	30.77	30.77	31.58	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 4122-011

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Disc-CNS 4122-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Tamera Mc Cuen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	41.18	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.09	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	41.18	35.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.17	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	52.63	39.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.18	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	57.89	46.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.26	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	47.37	34.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.13	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 4523-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Pre-Construction Services

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	70.59	75.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.72	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.24	83.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.77	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	73.68	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 4613-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Soils and Foundations

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nasir Marakah

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	94.12	82.42
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.79	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	87.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.84	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Nasir Marakah													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	94.74	82.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.78	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.32916		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	0.00	66.67	42.11	29.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.05	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: CNS 5103-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Construction Market Analysis

Instructor: Bryan Bloom

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.24	83.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.77	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Bryan Bloom													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.42	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	73.68	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.42	52.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.36	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValueate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 1112-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 49

Section Title: Cultures of Collaborating

Course Level: All

Instructors: Mia Kile / Hans Butzer / Kenneth Robson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.04762	3	1.39603		42	19.05	19.05	16.67	28.57	16.67	9.09	9.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.72	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.88095	3	1.34713		42	19.05	23.81	21.43	21.43	14.29	9.09	8.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.73	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Mia Kile													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.90476	4	0.95788		42	2.38	7.14	14.29	50.00	26.19	20.83	27.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.07	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.95238	4	1.05812		42	2.38	9.52	14.29	38.10	35.71	20.83	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.06	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.97619	4	1.07040		42	2.38	9.52	14.29	35.71	38.10	20.83	22.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.12	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
Hans Butzer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.92000	4	1.18743		25	4.00	12.00	12.00	32.00	40.00	25.00	28.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.06	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.91683		24	0.00	4.17	20.83	29.17	45.83	37.50	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.24	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.00722		24	0.00	12.50	20.83	37.50	29.17	12.50	18.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.25	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
Kenneth Robson													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.95652	4	0.87792		23	0.00	4.35	26.09	39.13	30.43	29.17	29.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.03	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.04545	4	0.89853		22	0.00	9.09	9.09	50.00	31.82	29.17	42.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.14	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.78261	4	0.85048		23	0.00	8.70	21.74	52.17	17.39	8.33	16.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.30	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 1151-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Graphics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20833	5	0.97709		24	0.00	8.33	12.50	29.17	50.00	40.91	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.26	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.89988		24	0.00	4.17	20.83	33.33	41.67	31.82	36.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.27	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Mia Kile													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.82970		24	0.00	4.17	8.33	29.17	58.33	45.83	48.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.37	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.96309		24	0.00	8.33	12.50	33.33	45.83	37.50	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.24	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.47826	5	0.84582		23	0.00	4.35	8.70	21.74	65.22	54.17	48.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.34	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 1151-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Graphics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.88506		16	0.00	6.25	6.25	31.25	56.25	59.09	51.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.40	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.62915		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	43.75	50.00	68.18	54.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.52	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Mia Kile													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	4	0.70415		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	43.75	43.75	41.67	44.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.28	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.31250	5	1.01448		16	0.00	6.25	18.75	12.50	62.50	45.83	52.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.35	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.47871		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.25	68.75	62.50	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.54	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 1153-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Design I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.88235	4	0.99262		17	0.00	11.76	17.65	41.18	29.41	22.73	21.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.01	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.23529	4	0.83137		17	0.00	0.00	23.53	29.41	47.06	40.91	40.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.36	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.23529	4	0.83137		17	0.00	5.88	5.88	47.06	41.18	33.33	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.21	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.88235	4	1.21873		17	5.88	11.76	5.88	41.18	35.29	16.67	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.01	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.41176	5	0.93934		17	0.00	5.88	11.76	17.65	64.71	45.83	44.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.28	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 2532-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Graphics III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rick Skaggs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	45.45	39.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.27	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.86603		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	22.22	55.56	63.64	50.55
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.44	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Rick Skaggs</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	62.50	76.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.09291		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	22.22	55.56	41.67	47.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.28	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.88192		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	0.00	77.78	58.33	54.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.41	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 2532-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Graphics III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rick Skaggs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	68.18	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.51	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	50.00	43.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.37	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Rick Skaggs													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	70.83	81.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.66	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	70.83	79.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.71	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	70.83	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.59	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 2533-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Design III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sarah Ra

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	80.00	77.27	73.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.68	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.05935		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	54.55	47.25
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.41	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Sarah Ra													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.82327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	50.00	37.50	43.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.27	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	58.33	57.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.42	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	66.67	68.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.55	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 2533-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Design III

Instructor: Sarah Ra

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	31.82	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.09	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	50.00	43.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.37	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Sarah Ra													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	62.50	76.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	41.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.10	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	29.17	34.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.13	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 2763-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Computer Applications in I D

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54167	5	0.72106		24	0.00	4.17	0.00	33.33	62.50	72.73	68.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.55	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.32000	5	0.90000		25	0.00	8.00	4.00	36.00	52.00	59.09	48.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.43	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Elizabeth Pober</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.68000	5	0.69041		25	0.00	4.00	0.00	20.00	76.00	66.67	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.60	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.44000	5	0.86987		25	0.00	8.00	0.00	32.00	60.00	62.50	59.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.46	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.36000	5	0.86023		25	0.00	8.00	0.00	40.00	52.00	41.67	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.23	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 3793-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Interior Materials & Specs

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sarah Ra

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.84190		14	0.00	0.00	21.43	21.43	57.14	54.55	48.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.39	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.87706		14	0.00	0.00	35.71	28.57	35.71	27.27	35.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.17	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Sarah Ra													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.23146		14	7.14	7.14	14.29	35.71	35.71	16.67	26.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.11	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75955		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	64.29	66.67	67.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	0.92878		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	37.50	39.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.23	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 4712-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Interior Design Portfolio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: HILLARY FULTON

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	81.82	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.81	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	81.82	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.80	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
HILLARY FULTON													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	86.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.75	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	83.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.79	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	84.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.69	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 4743-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Institutional/Corp Design

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.94388		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	45.45	36.36	36.36	31.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.16	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	4	1.33749		10	10.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	30.00	18.18	20.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.07	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Hans-Peter Wachter													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.09091	3	1.37510		11	9.09	36.36	9.09	27.27	18.18	8.33	8.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.78	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.81818	3	1.40130		11	27.27	9.09	27.27	27.27	9.09	8.33	7.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.85	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.05409		10	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	25.00	27.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.10	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 4772-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: I D Capstone (Pre-Design)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.54545	4	1.12815		11	9.09	0.00	36.36	36.36	18.18	13.64	16.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.30	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	36.36	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.33	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	50.00	64.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.48	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	58.33	57.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.42	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	50.00	47.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.32	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 5123-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Environment Psychology

Instructor: HILLARY FULTON

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
HILLARY FULTON													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 5143-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Design Theory Analysis/Eval

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Hans-Peter Wachter													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 5223-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Adv Materials and Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: HILLARY FULTON

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	31.82	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.09	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	27.27	35.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.17	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
HILLARY FULTON													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 5343-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Indoor Environmental Quality

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	50.00	47.25
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.37	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	77.27	79.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.71	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Hans-Peter Wachter													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	62.50	76.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	50.00	54.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.37	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	33.33	38.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.21	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 5423-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Fixture Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: I D 5443-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Lighting Design Comput/Visual

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	68.18	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.51	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: L A 4970-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Intro to Lanscape Architecture

Course Level: All

Instructor: Leehu Loon

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	28.57	43.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.30	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.99103		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	50.00	25.00	14.29	23.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.07	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Leehu Loon													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	57.14	81.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.66	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	57.14	74.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.61	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	14.29	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.43	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: L A 5343-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Land Arch Tech: Site Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Leehu Loon

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	85.71	82.42
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.79	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	71.43	79.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.71	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Leehu Loon													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	71.43	82.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.78	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: L A 5515-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: L A Intro Graduate Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Scott Williams

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.43	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.51	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	85.71	83.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.77	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	14.29	42.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.23	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	42.86	48.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.30	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	57.14	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.59	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: L A 5613-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: L A Computer Applications

Instructor: Scott Williams

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	71.43	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.51	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	57.14	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	42.86	62.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.44	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	28.57	41.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.10	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	57.14	77.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.59	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: L A 5713-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Plant Material & Technology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Steven Hill

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	14.29	37.36
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.26	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	28.57	35.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.17	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Steven Hill													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	71.43	82.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.70	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	71.43	79.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.64	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: L A 6950-004

College of Architecture

Section Title: Applied Research in Land Arch

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	42.86	47.25
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.37	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	42.86	50.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.44	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	28.57	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.30	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	2.30940		3	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	21.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.17	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	28.57	66.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.52	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: L A 6950-005

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Applied Research in Land Arch

Course Level: All

Instructor: Leehu Loon

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.93	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.98	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Leehu Loon													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 4003-001 / RCPL 5003-001 / GEOG 4003-001 / GEOG 5003-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Global City & Planning Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Charles Warnken

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.86	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	100.00	80.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.76	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Charles Warnken													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.87	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	90.91	81.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.66	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 5013-001 / P SC 5013-001 / SOC 5013-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: History & Theory of Urban Plan

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.75076		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	45.45	36.36	40.00	36.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.24	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		10	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	35.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.17	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Kathleen Wieters</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		10	10.00	10.00	0.00	30.00	50.00	36.36	32.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.01	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.94868		10	0.00	10.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	63.64	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.34	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	18.18	31.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.11	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent



# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 5113-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Urban Planning Research Method

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guoqiang Shen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.36277		15	20.00	13.33	26.67	26.67	13.33	20.00	8.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.76	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.26667	3	1.38701		15	20.00	0.00	33.33	26.67	20.00	20.00	12.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.42	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Guoqiang Shen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.12122		15	0.00	20.00	26.67	26.67	26.67	18.18	17.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.34	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	4	1.33452		15	13.33	0.00	6.67	40.00	40.00	45.45	34.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.59362		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	13.33	80.00	72.73	71.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.58	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 5213-001 / GEOG 5213-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Principles/Practice-Urban Plan

Course Level: All

Instructor: Dawn Jourdan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.87706		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	30.77	61.54	70.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.48	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.83485		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	33.33	41.67	50.00	37.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.30	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Dawn Jourdan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	23.08	69.23	72.73	66.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.54	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.16575		13	0.00	23.08	7.69	38.46	30.77	27.27	27.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.09	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.50637		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.46	61.54	36.36	57.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.47	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 5353-900 / P SC 5353-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: State & Local Public Finance

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fernando Costa

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.00	84.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.81	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	90.00	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.63	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Fernando Costa</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.91	86.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.75	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	63.64	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.56	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 5483-001 / CEES 5483-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Urban & Regional Transportatn

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Marshment

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.73786		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	30.00	30.00	32.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.17	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	1.28668		10	10.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	30.00	24.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.09	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Richard Marshment</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.20185		9	0.00	22.22	11.11	33.33	33.33	27.27	23.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.18	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.32288		9	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	22.22	18.18	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.44	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	27.27	38.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.21	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 5525-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Comprehensive Rcpl Project

Course Level: All

Instructors: Thomas Woodfin / Steven Hill

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	60.00	56.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.47	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	54.55	36.36	60.00	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.39	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.87386		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	27.27	45.45	54.55	37.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.17	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	4	1.40130		11	9.09	9.09	18.18	18.18	45.45	36.36	28.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	90.91	81.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.66	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
Steven Hill													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	81.82	76.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	72.73	58.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.45	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	63.64	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.56	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 5733-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Real Estate Development-Urban

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guoqiang Shen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	80.00	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.63	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	1.21356		11	9.09	0.00	0.00	18.18	72.73	70.00	56.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.54	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Guoqiang Shen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	27.27	63.64	63.64	51.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.40	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	1.21356		11	9.09	0.00	0.00	9.09	81.82	81.82	69.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.54	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	85.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.74	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 5813-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Environmental Planning Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.87500	3	1.45774		8	25.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	10.00	4.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.87	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	1.38873		8	25.00	12.50	37.50	12.50	12.50	10.00	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.84	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	1.18773		8	0.00	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	9.09	11.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.53	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	1.75255		8	37.50	12.50	12.50	12.50	25.00	9.09	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	1.66905		8	37.50	12.50	0.00	37.50	12.50	9.09	2.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-1.26	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

# eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2013

Course: RCPL 6643-001 / ARCH 6643-001 / L A 6643-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Urban Design Theory

Course Level: All

Instructor: Blair Humphreys

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	50.00	54.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.45	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	90.00	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.63	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Blair Humphreys													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.21499		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	14.29	57.14	45.45	36.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.13	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	28.57	57.14	54.55	49.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.33	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	45.45	66.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.52	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

## Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent