

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 199

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.37634	4	0.60638		93	0.00	1.08	3.23	52.69	43.01	74.51	75.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.43	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58065	5	0.55800		93	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29	84.62	86.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.58	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28261	4	0.68462		92	0.00	1.09	9.78	48.91	40.22	51.92	59.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.30	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88172	5	0.32469		93	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.83	88.17	78.85	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.62	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88172	5	0.50754		93	1.08	0.00	1.08	5.38	92.47	67.31	73.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.53	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.75593	-0.48	14	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	78.43	78.82
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.72627	-0.30	14	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	53.85	61.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.64621	-0.45	14	0.00	0.00	7.14	42.86	50.00	61.54	68.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.57893	-0.52	14	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	85.71	69.23	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.53452	-0.51	14	0.00	0.00	7.14	0.00	92.86	65.39	70.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.85635		16	0.00	6.25	6.25	43.75	43.75	52.94	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.30	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	1.00000		16	6.25	0.00	0.00	50.00	43.75	48.08	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18750	4	0.65511		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	56.25	31.25	40.39	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.20	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.47871		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.25	68.75	55.77	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.42	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.44721		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	44.23	55.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.60302		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	63.64	27.27	49.02	55.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.23	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	80.77	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.50	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.73786		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	30.00	36.54	40.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	82.69	82.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.65	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Stephen Waldrop

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.70065		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	54.55	27.27	35.29	43.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.14	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.77460		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	72.73	18.18	23.08	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.73	27.27	50.00	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.29	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	59.62	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.46	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Stephen Waldrop													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	42.31	54.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.38	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Stephen Waldrop

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	62.75	67.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.38	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	46.15	56.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.24	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	69.23	73.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.46	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	80.77	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.63	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Stephen Waldrop													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	69.23	74.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.54	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-016

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Widener

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.66667		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	25.49	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	71.15	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.41	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	40.00	53.85	61.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.32	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	71.15	72.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.54	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Widener													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	57.69	64.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.45	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-017

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Stephen Waldrop

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.37796	-0.19	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	14.29	39.22	48.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795	-0.30	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	53.85	61.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	4	0.53452	-0.45	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	61.54	68.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.00	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Stephen Waldrop													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	-0.37	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	38.46	52.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-018

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Stephen Waldrop

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	82.35	81.18
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.50	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	69.23	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.39	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	69.23	73.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.46	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.54	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Stephen Waldrop													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	51.92	60.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.43	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 113

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Monfredo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.46154	2	1.43433		52	36.54	19.23	19.23	11.54	13.46	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	1.54	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.15385	2	1.34870		52	46.15	19.23	17.31	7.69	9.62	0.00	1.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	1.68	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.57692	3	1.31874		52	28.85	19.23	26.92	15.38	9.62	0.00	1.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	1.44	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.74510	3	1.29373		51	17.65	31.37	23.53	13.73	13.73	0.00	1.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	1.55	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
William Monfredo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.82692	3	1.46490		52	26.92	19.23	13.46	25.00	15.38	0.00	2.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	1.49	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	1.09945		14	7.14	0.00	7.14	42.86	42.86	39.22	48.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.19	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	1.12546		15	6.67	0.00	13.33	33.33	46.67	40.39	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.16	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.50709		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	86.54	86.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.62	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.73679		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	13.33	73.33	42.31	52.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.33	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.93333	5	0.25820		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	93.33	73.08	77.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.58	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.18322		11	0.00	18.18	9.09	27.27	45.45	25.49	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	82.69	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.55	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	4	0.52223		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.55	45.45	75.00	76.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.47	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	59.62	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.46	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Angela Person

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.12	94.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.07	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	92.31	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.97	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.23	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-1.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.54	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Angela Person													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-026

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew McNair

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	62.75	67.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.38	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	65.39	68.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.35	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	55.77	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.35	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	11.54	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.28	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew McNair													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	17.31	23.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.01	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-027

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew McNair

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	44.44	44.44	11.11	15.69	12.94
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.30	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.83333		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	66.67	11.11	15.39	20.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.17	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	26.92	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.01	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.32288		9	11.11	0.00	11.11	33.33	44.44	11.54	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.28	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew McNair													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	51.92	60.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.43	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-028

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 1103-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew McNair

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	42.86	42.86	39.22	48.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.19	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.49603		7	14.29	0.00	0.00	14.29	71.43	53.85	61.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.30	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	92.31	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.88	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	57.69	63.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.45	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew McNair													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1103-920

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Human Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shannon Hall

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.56250	4	0.96393	0.41	16	6.25	0.00	37.50	43.75	12.50	13.73	11.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.06250	4	0.92871	-0.09	16	0.00	6.25	18.75	37.50	37.50	36.54	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.06458	0.25	16	0.00	18.75	12.50	43.75	25.00	11.54	15.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.93095	0.02	16	0.00	6.25	12.50	31.25	50.00	25.00	24.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Shannon Hall													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.96393	-0.09	16	0.00	6.25	12.50	12.50	68.75	23.08	32.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 97

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Monfredo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.38636	4	1.18549		44	11.36	9.09	22.73	43.18	13.64	5.88	5.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.59	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.34884	4	1.17278		43	11.63	9.30	23.26	44.19	11.63	5.77	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.57	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.29545	3	1.17294		44	11.36	9.09	31.82	34.09	13.64	3.85	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.71	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.65909	4	1.01025		44	4.55	9.09	18.18	52.27	15.91	5.77	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.62	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
William Monfredo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.95455	4	1.01052		44	2.27	9.09	11.36	45.45	31.82	7.69	12.90
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.38	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Andrew Dryanski

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Andrew Dryanski</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	3.58333	4	1.16450		12	8.33	8.33	16.67	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	1.28	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	55.56	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.09	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	33.33	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.18	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	22.22	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.12	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.75378		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	41.67	41.67	11.11	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.30	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Erich Keithly

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Erich Keithly</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	33.33	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.24	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.71774		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	33.33	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.31	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.62158		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	8.33	83.33	44.44	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.21	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.89	65.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.30	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	22.22	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.18	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Erich Keithly

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Erich Keithly</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	66.67	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.12	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	11.11	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.53	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	77.78	65.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.28	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	11.11	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.40	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	0.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.37	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Andrew Dryanski

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Andrew Dryanski</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	77.78	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.22	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	66.67	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.06	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	55.56	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.25	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	1.01379		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	11.11	77.78	33.33	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.05	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	88.89	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.13	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key		
1. Instructor is well prepared		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-020

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 99

Section Title: Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.53191	4	1.10042	0.44	47	6.38	10.64	23.40	42.55	17.02	11.77	10.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.65957	4	1.06886	0.28	47	4.26	10.64	21.28	42.55	21.28	9.62	12.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.78261	4	0.89226	0.21	46	4.35	2.17	19.57	58.70	15.22	13.46	16.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.82979	4	1.06972	0.45	47	2.13	10.64	21.28	34.04	31.91	9.62	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.23913	5	1.05798	0.10	46	2.17	4.35	19.57	15.22	58.70	15.39	20.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Carol Butler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Carol Butler													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	44.44	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.01	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	77.78	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.13	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.55	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.62	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	55.56	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.05	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree



# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-022

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Messick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Jennifer Messick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	100.00	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.28	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.84190		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	14.29	78.57	100.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.33	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.36314		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	88.89	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.36	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.85163		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	21.43	71.43	44.44	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.07	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.85485		14	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	64.29	55.56	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.05	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-023

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Carol Butler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Carol Butler</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	77.78	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.22	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	88.89	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.21	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	55.56	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.25	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	77.78	66.67	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.19	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	85.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.40	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 1114-024

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 1114-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jennifer Messick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Jennifer Messick</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	22.22	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.31	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	44.44	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.15	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	4	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	11.11	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.23	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	44.44	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.07	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	4	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	44.44	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.05	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 2453-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Intro-Computer Mapping/Analys

Course Level: All

Instructor: Trung Tran

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.31579	4	0.58239		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	57.89	36.84	58.82	64.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.37	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.21053	4	0.91766		19	0.00	5.26	15.79	31.58	47.37	44.23	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.23	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.47368	5	0.61178		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	42.11	52.63	76.92	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.49	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63158	5	0.68399		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	15.79	73.68	46.15	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.37	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Trung Tran													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.68421	5	0.67104		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	10.53	78.95	32.69	49.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.34	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 2603-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 77

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		37	0.00	5.41	8.11	67.57	18.92	25.49	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.29730	4	0.70178		37	0.00	2.70	5.41	51.35	40.54	59.62	65.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.31	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.91287		37	2.70	5.41	8.11	56.76	27.03	26.92	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.01	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40541	5	0.76229		37	0.00	0.00	16.22	27.03	56.76	30.77	38.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.14	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.59459	5	0.64375		37	0.00	0.00	8.11	24.32	67.57	30.77	45.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.25	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 2603-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Necati Anaz

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.47140		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	80.00	10.00	25.49	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.73786		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	30.00	38.46	45.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.13	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	44.44	33.33	26.92	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.01	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	38.46	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.23	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Necati Anaz</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	26.92	40.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.21	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 2603-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Necati Anaz

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	47.06	52.94
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.21	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	11.54	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	0.00	15.39	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.16	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.40	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Necati Anaz</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	25.00	34.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.16	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 2603-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Necati Anaz

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	7.84	8.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.47	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	17.31	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.12	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	66.67	16.67	15.39	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.16	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	21.15	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.11	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Necati Anaz													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	9.62	15.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.17	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 2603-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Disc-GEOG 2603-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Necati Anaz

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.37796	0.10	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	0.00	21.57	21.18
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.75593	0.23	7	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	0.00	13.46	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.37796	0.14	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	0.00	21.15	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795	-0.01	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	26.92	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Necati Anaz													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	-0.37	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	38.46	52.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 2603-920

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: World Regional Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	4	1.48137		9	11.11	33.33	0.00	33.33	22.22	3.92	3.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.76	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.77778	3	1.56347		9	33.33	11.11	11.11	33.33	11.11	1.92	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	1.10	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.88889	3	1.45297		9	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	11.11	1.92	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	1.12	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	4	1.71594		9	22.22	22.22	0.00	22.22	33.33	3.85	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	1.07	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Bret Wallach</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.58114		9	11.11	33.33	0.00	22.22	33.33	5.77	6.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.99	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 3003-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Interpreting Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Gary Gress

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	82.35	81.18
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.50	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	75.00	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.45	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	44.23	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.24	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.40	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Gary Gress													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	69.23	74.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.54	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 3023-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Principles of Phys Geog

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jason Julian

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.72222	4	1.31978		18	11.11	5.56	16.67	33.33	33.33	17.65	16.47
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.24	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.04319		18	0.00	11.11	11.11	27.78	50.00	42.31	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.19	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.45521		18	11.11	11.11	22.22	11.11	44.44	9.62	12.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.33	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.21537		18	0.00	22.22	16.67	22.22	38.89	7.69	8.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.50	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jason Julian													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.06027		18	0.00	11.11	11.11	22.22	55.56	13.46	19.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.12	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 3223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: British Empire-Successor State

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bret Wallach

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	5	1.12599		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	25.00	50.00	37.26	45.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.17	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.37500	4	1.59799		8	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	37.50	7.69	10.47
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.55	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.88641		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	48.08	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.26	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	5	0.99103		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	12.50	50.00	19.23	20.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.15	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Bret Wallach</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.91613		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	12.50	62.50	21.15	27.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.03	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 3243-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Principles-Economic Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	62.75	67.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.38	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.75378		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	41.67	41.67	48.08	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	55.77	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.35	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	63.46	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.49	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	63.46	67.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.48	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 3253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Environmental Conservation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sally Gros

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.65385	5	0.48516		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	34.62	65.38	92.16	88.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.71	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80769	5	0.40192		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.23	80.77	90.39	90.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.79	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.65385	5	0.62880		26	0.00	0.00	7.69	19.23	73.08	88.46	88.37
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.68	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27175		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	84.62	83.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.66	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Sally Gros													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 3633-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 103

Section Title: Historical Geography of U.S.

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.31915	4	0.81043		47	2.13	0.00	8.51	42.55	46.81	60.78	65.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.37	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.48936	5	0.74811		47	0.00	2.13	8.51	27.66	61.70	78.85	80.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.49	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.43478	5	0.74988		46	0.00	2.17	8.70	32.61	56.52	67.31	72.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.45	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44681	5	0.82905		47	2.13	0.00	8.51	29.79	59.57	36.54	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.18	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75556	5	0.52896		45	0.00	0.00	4.44	15.56	80.00	48.08	58.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.41	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 3773-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Geography of the United States

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	4	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.85	46.15	86.28	83.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.52	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	4	0.63043		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	53.85	38.46	61.54	66.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.32	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	4	0.80064		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	61.54	30.77	38.46	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.17	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	23.08	69.23	44.23	54.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.35	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.59914		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	7.69	84.62	50.00	59.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.42	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 3843-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Gender and Environment

Course Level: All

Instructor: Laurel Smith

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	62.75	67.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.38	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	88.46	88.37
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.66	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	90.39	89.54
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.69	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.54	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Laurel Smith													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 3890-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Climate Adaptation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	50.98	56.47
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.25	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.22474		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	23.08	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	42.31	47.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.21	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	71.15	72.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.54	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	57.69	64.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.45	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4233-001 / GEOG 5233-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Digital Image Processing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.99443	0.06	10	0.00	10.00	20.00	40.00	30.00	23.53	23.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	5	1.37032	0.06	10	10.00	0.00	30.00	10.00	50.00	19.23	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	1.37032	0.09	10	10.00	10.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	25.00	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	5	1.28668	0.18	10	10.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	50.00	17.31	19.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kirsten De Beurs													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.31656	0.14	10	10.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	11.54	18.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4253-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Latin America Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Karl Offen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.47059	5	0.71743		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	58.82	88.24	84.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.53	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64706	5	0.78591		17	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	76.47	86.54	87.21
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.64	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.26491		16	6.25	6.25	18.75	18.75	50.00	26.92	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.01	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.58824	5	0.79521		17	0.00	0.00	17.65	5.88	76.47	40.39	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.32	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Karl Offen</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.82353	5	0.52859		17	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	88.24	61.54	66.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.47	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4293-001 / GEOG 5293-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Hydrologic Science

Course Level: All

Instructor: Aondover Tarhule

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.78881		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	70.00	10.00	19.61	17.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.16	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	0.56765		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	70.00	10.00	19.23	25.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.06	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.66667		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	26.92	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.01	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	23.08	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.07	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Aondover Tarhule													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	34.62	50.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.35	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4433-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Cultural and Political Ecology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Karl Offen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	25.49	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	23.08	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	15.39	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.16	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	28.85	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.06	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Karl Offen</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	17.31	23.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.01	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4443-900 / GEOG 5443-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Urban Ecology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rood

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	4	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	76.47	76.47
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.44	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	4	0.48038		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	69.23	30.77	61.54	66.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.32	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	4	0.59914		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	61.54	30.77	46.15	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.24	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.59914		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	7.69	84.62	67.31	69.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.50	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Robert Rood</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4453-010 / GEOG 5453-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Geographic Information Systems

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Collier

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.84615	3	1.46322		13	30.77	7.69	15.38	38.46	7.69	1.96	2.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	1.14	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.84615	3	1.51911		13	30.77	7.69	23.08	23.08	15.38	3.85	3.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	1.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.30769	4	1.60128		13	23.08	7.69	15.38	23.08	30.77	5.77	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.70	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.52753		13	23.08	15.38	23.08	15.38	23.08	1.92	2.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	1.29	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Collier													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.30769	4	1.43670		13	15.38	15.38	15.38	30.77	23.08	3.85	5.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	1.02	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4453-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 4453-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rana Al-Jawarneh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Rana Al-Jawarneh</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	44.44	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.01	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	11.11	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.53	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	22.22	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.10	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	66.67	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.19	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	33.33	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.09	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4453-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Lab-GEOG 4453-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rana Al-Jawarneh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Rana Al-Jawarneh</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	11.11	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.52800	5	0.62959		125	0.00	1.60	2.40	37.60	58.40		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.70	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32000	5	0.83859		125	0.00	4.80	9.60	34.40	51.20		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.87	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.72000	5	0.48527		125	0.00	0.00	1.60	24.80	73.60		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	1.16	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.25831		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.50806	5	0.66865		124	0.00	1.61	4.84	34.68	58.87		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.97	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	55.56	55.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42400	5	0.78560		125	0.80	2.40	6.40	34.40	56.00		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.05	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

4. Instructor provides helpful explanations

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4543-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Life Cycle Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Meo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	90.20	85.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.56	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	92.31	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.97	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	78.85	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.52	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.54	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Meo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4553-001 / GEOG 5553-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: GIS Applications

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kirsten De Beurs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	1.19087		11	9.09	0.00	0.00	36.36	54.55	56.86	62.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.32	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.18322		11	9.09	0.00	9.09	45.45	36.36	23.08	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	18.18	72.73	84.62	83.72
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.57	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.92442		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	9.09	81.82	48.08	56.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.37	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Kirsten De Beurs</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.67495		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	80.00	34.62	50.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.35	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4953-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Proseminar in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Greene

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	62.75	67.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.38	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	75.00	77.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.45	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	69.23	73.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.46	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	34.62	41.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.17	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Greene													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	51.92	60.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.43	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4990-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Independent Study

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fred Shelley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	2.12132	0.47	2	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	7.84	8.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421	-0.04	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	23.08	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	2.12132	0.50	2	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	7.69	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421	0.28	2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	11.54	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Fred Shelley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	2.82843	1.32	2	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	1.92	3.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 4990-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Independent Study

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	62.75	67.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.38	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	65.39	68.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.35	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	55.77	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.35	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.40	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 5990-004

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Selected Studies in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bruce Hoagland

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.12	94.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.07	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	92.31	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.97	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.23	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-1.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.54	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Bruce Hoagland													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 5990-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Selected Studies in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.12	94.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.07	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	92.31	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.97	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.23	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-1.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.54	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 6210-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Seminar in Physical Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bruce Hoagland

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	52.94	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.30	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	48.08	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	78.85	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.52	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	63.46	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.49	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Bruce Hoagland													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	44.23	55.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.40	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 6240-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Seminar-Resource & Envir Geog

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Rundstrom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.12	94.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.07	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	23.08	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	78.85	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.52	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.54	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Robert Rundstrom													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 6950-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Research Problems in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	92.31	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.97	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.23	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-1.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.54	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	75.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GEOG 6953-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Research Methods in Geography

Course Level: All

Instructor: Darren Purcell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	39.22	48.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.91183	4	0.95259		862	3.02	5.45	15.66	49.07	26.80		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.19	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	23.08	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.95354	4	1.05331		861	3.95	6.74	13.94	40.77	34.61		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	42.86	28.57	21.15	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.95476	4	0.99431		862	3.13	6.03	14.85	44.20	31.79		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.14	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	14.29	71.43	32.69	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.22997	4	0.95751		861	1.97	4.18	12.54	31.48	49.83		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.16	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Darren Purcell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	28.85	41.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.38254	5	0.96049		928	2.48	3.34	9.38	23.06	61.75		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.23	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GIS 4453-001 / GIS 5453-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: GIS and Spatial Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Mc Intosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.78174	-0.16	9	0.00	0.00	22.22	44.44	33.33	66.67	44.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.00000	0.27	9	0.00	11.11	33.33	33.33	22.22	33.33	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	1.06066		8	0.00	12.50	37.50	25.00	25.00	33.33	8.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	0.92796		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	55.56	22.22	33.33	10.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Mc Intosh													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	0.97183	0.56	9	0.00	11.11	22.22	44.44	22.22	33.33	9.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GIS 4953-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Geoinformatics Capstone Sem

Course Level: All

Instructor: May Yuan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	77.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.45	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.22	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	100.00	67.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.42	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	66.67	36.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.13	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
May Yuan													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	1.34164		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	66.67	29.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.06	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GIS 4970-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: GIS Visualization

Course Level: All

Instructor: Benjamin Branch

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	1.37840		6	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	1.18
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	1.50	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.50000	2	0.54772		6	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	2.29	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	2	1.09545		6	33.33	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	2.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	2	0.63246		6	16.67	66.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	2.31	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Benjamin Branch													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.16667	2	0.75277		6	16.67	50.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	2.14	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: GIS 5970-002 / GIS 4970-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Auto Analysis of Spatial Grids

Course Level: All

Instructor: Valliappa Lakshmanan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	33.33	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.94737	4	1.02598		19	0.00	15.79	5.26	47.37	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	66.67	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	0.96791		20	5.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	25.00		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	66.67	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.70000	4	1.03110		20	0.00	20.00	10.00	50.00	20.00		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.01	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.93330		20	0.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Valliappa Lakshmanan													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.65000	5	0.67082		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	15.00	75.00		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 125

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Bryan Burkholder / Mason Rowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.40426	4	0.90071		47	4.26	12.77	23.40	57.45	2.13	3.45	7.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.57	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.18367	4	1.18451		49	10.20	22.45	14.29	44.90	8.16	3.45	5.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.72	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.65306	4	0.87918		49	2.04	10.20	18.37	59.18	10.20	10.35	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.34	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.69388	4	1.06466		49	2.04	12.24	26.53	32.65	26.53	0.00	5.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.59	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Bryan Burkholder</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.65306	4	0.96934		49	0.00	12.24	32.65	32.65	22.45	8.33	8.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.68	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
<i>Mason Rowell</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	2.65217	3	1.26522		23	30.43	0.00	52.17	8.70	8.70	0.00	1.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	1.66	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A												

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Blumberg

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
William Blumberg													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.24722		10	10.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	0.00	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.70	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.24722		10	10.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	10.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.53	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.33333		10	10.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	50.00	10.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.82	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.33749		10	10.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	70.00	30.00	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.27	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		10	10.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	30.00	10.00	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.65	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eEvaluate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Andrew Mac Kenzie

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Andrew Mac Kenzie													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	60.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.37	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	60.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.36	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	70.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.40	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	70.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.34	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	80.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.60	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-013

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brett Zielke

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Brett Zielke</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.67	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	60.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.13	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	90.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.55	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	50.00	65.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.30	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.75	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-014

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brett Zielke

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Brett Zielke</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	60.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.37	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	60.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.36	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	70.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.40	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	90.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.48	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	80.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.60	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-015

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sean Arms

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Sean Arms													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.40	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	90.00	95.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.54	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	90.00	90.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.55	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	100.00	95.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.49	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	70.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.33	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-910

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 93

Section Title: Intro to Weather and Climate

Course Level: All

Instructors: Phillip Spencer / Mason Rowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.08108	4	0.89376		37	0.00	8.11	10.81	45.95	35.14	55.17	42.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.13	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18421	4	0.89610		38	0.00	7.89	7.89	42.11	42.11	65.52	52.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.21	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.23684	4	0.78617		38	0.00	5.26	5.26	50.00	39.47	65.52	54.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.25	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.34211	5	0.81461		38	0.00	5.26	5.26	39.47	50.00	37.93	33.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.07	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Phillip Spencer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.47368	5	0.76182		38	0.00	2.63	7.89	28.95	60.53	41.67	33.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.13	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Mason Rowell													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	5	1.35888		28	10.71	3.57	17.86	17.86	50.00	13.89	11.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.41	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-911

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Leeman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>John Leeman</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	40.00	65.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.25	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.58	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38925		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	60.00	70.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	-0.32	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	60.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.32	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	60.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	-0.32	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-912

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nicole Ramsey

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Nicole Ramsey													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	18.18	72.73	30.00	45.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.05	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.92442		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	36.36	54.55	40.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.05	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.93420		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	18.18	72.73	40.00	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.07	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.92442		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	36.36	54.55	40.00	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.19	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.92442		11	0.00	9.09	0.00	36.36	54.55	30.00	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.14	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-913

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kristen Bradford

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Kristen Bradford</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	57.14	28.57	0.00	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.70	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	42.86	28.57	0.00	5.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.72	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	4	1.47196		6	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	1.96	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.11270		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	28.57	28.57	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	1.01	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.95119		7	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	14.29	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	1.05	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 1014-914

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Lab-METR 1014-020

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kristen Bradford

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
<i>Kristen Bradford</i>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	20.00	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	0.11	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	30.00	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.15	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.03280		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	30.00	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.36	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	20.00	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.47	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	20.00	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.25	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

<b>Response Key</b>													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 2013-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeffrey Basara

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	27.59	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	82.76	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.50	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	31.04	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.01	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	51.72	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.23	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jeffrey Basara													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	44.44	34.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.16	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 2021-011

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bradley Illston

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Bradley Illston													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	90.00	95.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.52	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	60.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	-0.36	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	50.00	35.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.06	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	70.00	80.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	-0.34	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	4	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.03	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

## Response Key

1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 2021-012

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Intro to Meteorology II Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bradley Illston

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
Bradley Illston													
1. Instructor is well prepared	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.45241		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	73.68	50.00	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.42581	5	0.80541		155	1.29	1.94	6.45	33.55	56.77		
	COLLEGE	4.47143	5	0.73268	-0.31	280	0.71	1.79	4.64	35.36	57.50		
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	INDIVIDUAL	4.26316	4	0.73349		19	0.00	0.00	15.79	42.11	42.11	20.00	30.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24516	4	0.89255		155	1.94	3.23	9.03	40.00	45.81		
	COLLEGE	4.27857	4	0.86814	0.18	280	1.07	3.93	9.29	37.50	48.21		
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	INDIVIDUAL	4.31579	4	0.67104		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	47.37	42.11	20.00	15.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60645	5	0.71620		155	1.29	0.65	3.87	24.52	69.68		
	COLLEGE	4.65714	5	0.62529	0.38	280	0.71	0.36	2.86	24.64	71.43		
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.90025		18	0.00	11.11	0.00	55.56	33.33	10.00	10.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39610	5	0.85113		154	1.95	1.95	6.49	33.77	55.84		
	COLLEGE	4.44604	5	0.77574	0.51	278	1.08	1.80	5.76	34.17	57.19		
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	INDIVIDUAL	4.42105	5	0.69248		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	36.84	52.63	40.00	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.31818	4	0.86851		154	1.95	3.25	5.19	40.26	49.35		
	COLLEGE	4.36559	5	0.83259	0.06	279	1.43	2.87	5.73	37.63	52.33		

Response Key													
1. Instructor is well prepared	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
2. Instructor communicates the subject matter clearly	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
3. Instructor is responsive to students' questions	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
4. Instructor provides helpful explanations	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												
5. Instructor makes clear the purpose of the lab	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree												



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 2023-010

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 46

Section Title: Introduction to Meteorology II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mark Morrissey

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.61237	-0.17	24	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	58.62	45.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	0.82970	0.04	24	0.00	8.33	12.50	58.33	20.83	34.48	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20833	4	0.65801	-0.22	24	0.00	0.00	12.50	54.17	33.33	58.62	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.57578	-0.10	24	0.00	0.00	4.17	54.17	41.67	41.38	34.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Mark Morrissey													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.65386	-0.07	24	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	36.11	30.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 2603-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 66

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructors: Therese Thompson / Jordan Yozzo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.81250	4	0.86679	0.15	48	2.08	6.25	16.67	58.33	16.67	13.79	18.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	1.00707	0.04	48	2.08	10.42	10.42	47.92	29.17	34.48	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	0.82083		48	2.08	4.17	12.50	62.50	18.75	27.59	27.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.97917	4	0.95627		48	4.17	2.08	14.58	50.00	29.17	10.35	12.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Jordan Yozzo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.40683	0.99	33	18.18	3.03	33.33	18.18	27.27	5.56	6.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 2603-900

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 44

Section Title: Severe and Unusual Weather

Course Level: All

Instructors: Matthew Van Den Broeke / Jordan Yozzo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.76696		18	0.00	11.11	16.67	66.67	5.56	6.90	12.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.30	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	4	0.60768		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	50.00	44.44	75.86	73.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.40	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.80845		18	0.00	5.56	5.56	50.00	38.89	62.07	51.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.24	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.84017		18	0.00	5.56	5.56	38.89	50.00	31.04	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.06	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Matthew Van Den Broeke													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.54832		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	83.33	72.22	60.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.43	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Jordan Yozzo													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.27273	4	1.61808		11	27.27	0.00	18.18	27.27	27.27	2.78	4.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	1.05	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 3123-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 47

Section Title: Atmospheric Dynamics II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alan Shapiro

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	4	0.63968		30	0.00	3.33	6.67	70.00	20.00	48.28	40.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.11	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.79148		30	0.00	3.33	13.33	46.67	36.67	58.62	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.19	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.94989		30	3.33	6.67	13.33	56.67	20.00	17.24	17.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.16	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.98261		30	0.00	6.67	26.67	26.67	40.00	13.79	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.28	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Alan Shapiro													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.87691		30	0.00	3.33	16.67	26.67	53.33	27.78	22.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.04	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 3223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 45

Section Title: Cloud Physics-Electric/Optics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guifu Zhang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.82143	4	0.61183		28	0.00	3.57	17.86	71.43	7.14	17.24	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.14	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.28571	4	0.93718		28	3.57	17.86	28.57	46.43	3.57	6.90	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.63	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.76290		28	0.00	10.71	14.29	67.86	7.14	13.79	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.28	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.70370	4	0.86890		27	3.70	3.70	22.22	59.26	11.11	3.45	6.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.58	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Guifu Zhang													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	3.89286	4	0.91649		28	3.57	3.57	14.29	57.14	21.43	11.11	10.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.44	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4330-995 / METR 5330-995

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Information Technology Skills

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640	-0.38	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	75.86	67.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277	-0.19	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	58.62	48.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.40825	-0.18	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	51.72	45.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640	-0.40	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	72.41	58.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	-0.48	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	75.00	67.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4433-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Mesoscale Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kelvin Droegemeier / Nicholas Engerer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	4	0.57124		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	60.00	35.00	72.41	63.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.35	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.76777		20	0.00	5.00	5.00	55.00	35.00	68.97	53.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.22	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.65000	4	1.03999		20	0.00	15.00	30.00	30.00	25.00	3.45	9.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.35	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.88258		20	0.00	5.00	10.00	25.00	60.00	44.83	36.05
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.13	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kelvin Droegemeier													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.68056		20	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	63.89	46.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.25	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Nicholas Engerer													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.78640		20	0.00	0.00	20.00	35.00	45.00	25.00	21.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.09	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key													
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning							1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree						
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course							1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A						

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4491-001 / METR 5491-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Weather Briefing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Alexander Zwink

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	27.59	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	41.38	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	31.04	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.01	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.21	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Alexander Zwink													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.11	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4553-001 / METR 5553-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 47

Section Title: Climate and Renewable Energy

Course Level: All

Instructors: Mark Morrissey / Susan Postawko

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.95652	4	0.70571		23	0.00	0.00	26.09	52.17	21.74	24.14	24.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.00	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.78261	4	0.85048		23	0.00	8.70	21.74	52.17	17.39	27.59	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.17	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.65217	4	1.11227		23	4.35	17.39	4.35	56.52	17.39	6.90	10.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.34	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.08696	4	0.79275		23	0.00	0.00	26.09	39.13	34.78	17.24	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.19	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Mark Morrissey</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.34783	4	0.71406		23	0.00	0.00	13.04	39.13	47.83	33.33	26.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.01	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
<i>Susan Postawko</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.43478	5	0.72777		23	0.00	0.00	13.04	30.43	56.52	38.89	31.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.09	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key		
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning		1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course		1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4624-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Radar Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Biggerstaff

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	40.00	30.00	27.59	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.66667		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	41.38	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.73786		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	50.00	30.00	44.83	40.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.11	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	65.52	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.29	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Michael Biggerstaff													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	63.89	46.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.25	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4713-001 / METR 5713-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Private Sector Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Snow

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.41404	-0.25	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	65.52	56.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.63994	-0.16	15	0.00	0.00	13.33	60.00	26.67	55.17	46.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.74322	-0.15	15	0.00	0.00	20.00	46.67	33.33	48.28	43.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.63246	-0.33	15	0.00	0.00	6.67	26.67	66.67	68.97	52.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
John Snow													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.63994	-0.19	15	0.00	0.00	6.67	33.33	60.00	55.56	39.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4803-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Global Climate Change: IPCC

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Richman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246	-0.25	10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	65.52	56.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.72727	4	0.64667	0.22	11	0.00	0.00	36.36	54.55	9.09	20.69	18.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	0.83121		11	0.00	0.00	36.36	36.36	27.27	24.14	26.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	4	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	54.55	36.36	24.14	26.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		-0.00	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	4	0.75076	0.16	11	0.00	0.00	18.18	45.45	36.36	22.22	17.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4922-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Senior Seminar II (Capstone)

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Beasley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.32288		9	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	22.22	0.00	4.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	0.64	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	3	1.01379		9	0.00	11.11	55.56	11.11	22.22	13.79	11.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.48	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	82.76	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.58	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	1.36423		9	11.11	0.00	22.22	22.22	44.44	6.90	10.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	0.39	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
William Beasley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.32288		9	11.11	0.00	11.11	33.33	44.44	16.67	13.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.34	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4922-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Senior Seminar II (Capstone)

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Beasley

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	27.59	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	41.38	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	79.31	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.52	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	51.72	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.23	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
William Beasley													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	44.44	34.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.16	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 4990-021

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Special Problems-Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kevin Kloesel

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	96.55	94.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.07	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	93.10	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.97	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	93.10	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-1.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.21	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kevin Kloesel													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.11	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5103-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Boundary Layer Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Evgeni Fedorovich

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.47140		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	80.00	10.00	27.59	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.04	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	79.31	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.41	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.63246		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	60.00	30.00	55.17	47.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.21	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52705		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	51.72	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.23	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Evgeni Fedorovich													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.90000	5	0.31623		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	90.00	83.33	76.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.55	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5223-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Atmospheric Radiation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Brian Fiedler

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.75593	-0.04	8	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	27.59	25.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.88641	0.20	8	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	24.14	19.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795	-0.30	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	72.41	60.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	20.69	24.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Brian Fiedler													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452	-0.16	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	44.44	34.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5373-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Resampling/Permutation Stats

Course Level: All

Instructors: Kimberly Elmore / Michael Richman

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	82.76	89.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.73	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	17.24	13.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	0.27	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	75.86	62.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.35	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	31.04	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.06	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Kimberly Elmore													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.15470		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	30.56	23.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	0.01	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		
Michael Richman													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	69.44	48.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.32	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

## Response Key

6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course

1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5413-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Advanced Synoptic Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Howard Bluestein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.07143	4	0.99725		14	0.00	7.14	21.43	28.57	42.86	51.72	41.18
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.12	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.10940		14	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	41.38	30.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.04	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.02711		14	0.00	14.29	14.29	42.86	28.57	20.69	22.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	0.14	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.93761		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	64.29	48.28	39.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.16	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Howard Bluestein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	58.33	41.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.23	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5503-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Climate Dynamics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Peter Lamb

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	82.76	89.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.73	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	93.10	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.97	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	93.10	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-1.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.21	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
<i>Peter Lamb</i>													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.11	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5653-001 / METR 4653-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Air Pollution Meteorology

Course Level: All

Instructor: Petra Klein

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593	-0.78	7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	89.66	91.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	-0.70	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	89.66	89.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795	-0.74	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	89.66	90.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.86	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		-0.59	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59		
Petra Klein													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	-0.65	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.11	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5683-001 / ECE 5683-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Weather Radar Applications

Course Level: All

Instructor: Phillip Chilson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277	-0.21	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	62.07	52.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.75277	0.12	6	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	31.04	23.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	31.04	29.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	51.72	44.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Phillip Chilson													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666	-0.16	6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	44.44	34.41
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5803-003

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Forecast Evaluation

Course Level: All

Instructor: Harold Brooks

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	93.10	92.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-0.81	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	72.41	70.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.39	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	86.21	87.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-0.65	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	82.76	79.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.61	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Harold Brooks													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	80.56	72.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.52	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5970-002 / METR 4970-002

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Auto Analysis of Spatial Grids

Course Level: All

Instructor: Valliappa Lakshmanan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735	-0.56	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	79.31	85.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	-0.50	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	82.76	81.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000	-0.26	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	68.97	55.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	-0.74	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.21	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Valliappa Lakshmanan													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	-0.65	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.11	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A



# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 5990-047

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Independent Study

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ming Xue

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	96.55	94.12
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112	-1.07	1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	93.10	91.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113	-0.97	1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	93.10	93.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118	-1.03	1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.21	84.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128	-0.74	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59	50.79		
Ming Xue													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	86.11	78.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573	-0.65	1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A

# eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2011

Course: METR 6970-001

College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Parsons

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	0.75593	0.25	7	0.00	0.00	42.86	42.86	14.29	10.35	15.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.00859	4	0.86722		582	1.20	4.47	16.49	47.94	29.90		
	COLLEGE	3.95079	4	0.92112		1,463	2.26	5.19	15.86	48.60	28.09		
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	0.40825	0.74	6	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.02234	4	0.97358		582	1.72	6.53	16.32	38.66	36.77		
	COLLEGE	3.98018	4	1.02113		1,463	3.08	6.56	14.97	40.05	35.34		
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.42857	3	0.53452	0.57	7	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	5.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.01389	4	0.90591		576	1.22	5.73	15.80	44.97	32.29		
	COLLEGE	3.97462	4	0.96118		1,458	2.33	6.10	15.16	44.58	31.82		
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	75.86	74.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.32517	5	0.83437		572	0.87	1.75	13.29	32.17	51.92		
	COLLEGE	4.26910	5	0.91128		-0.59	1,453	1.51	3.23	12.87	31.59		
David Parsons													
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825	-0.48	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	75.00	67.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.38543	5	0.93570		659	2.58	2.28	9.56	25.19	60.39		
	COLLEGE	4.38824	5	0.94573		1,621	2.47	2.84	9.38	23.81	61.14		

Response Key	
6. This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
7. The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible and enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
8. Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning	1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree
12. Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A
23. Provide an overall grade for the instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course	1 = F, 2 = D, 3 = C, 4 = B, 5 = A