Course Evaluation Report

Report College: College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences

Semester: Summer 2008

Public: True

Report ID: 29

02/26/2010 1:38:29PM

this course.

Summer 2008

Course Level: 1000

Class Size:ALL

Enrollment Count:19

		555.55 25.56. 155 5.56. 155 5.56. 155 5.56. 155 5.56. 155 5.56. 155 5.56. 155 5.56. 155 5.56. 155 5.56. 155 5.												
Course=GEOG 1103-100 Title=HUI	itle=HUMAN GEO	GRAPHY		Instruc	tor=Bodo	Kubartz								
		Mean	Median	Standard	Z Score	Number of			Per	cent			Department	College
		Response	Response	Deviation		Responses	# 1	#2	#3	# 4	# 5	#6	Rank	Rank
6 This course helped me develop	INDIV	3.611	4	0.608		18	0.00	5.56	27.78	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
competence consistent with the cours	se DEPT	3.919	4	0.722		37	0.00	2.70	21.62	56.76	18.92	0.00		
objectives.	SIML	4.000	4	0.742	0.52	41	0.00	2.44	19.51	53.66	24.39	0.00		
objectives.	COLL	4.148	4	0.726	0.74	81	0.00	2.47	12.35	53.09	32.10	0.00		
7 The subject matter covered in the lec	tures INDIV	4.111	4	0.676		18	0.00	0.00	16.67	55.56	27.78	0.00	100.00	50.00
was well organized, comprehensible,	and DEPT	4.108	4	0.843		37	0.00	5.41	13.51	45.95	35.14	0.00		
enhanced learning.	SIML	4.171	4	0.834	0.07	41	0.00	4.88	12.20	43.90	39.02	0.00		
cinianoca icarning.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	0.20	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
8 Projects and assignments provided	INDIV	3.944	4	0.416		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	83.33	5.56	0.00	100.00	50.00
appropriate challenges that enhanced	d DEPT	3.919	4	0.759		37	0.00	5.41	16.22	59.46	18.92	0.00		
learning.	SIML	4.000	4	0.775	0.07	41	0.00	4.88	14.63	56.10	24.39	0.00		
iodining.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	0.42	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
12 Provide an overall grade for the quali	ity of INDIV	3.778	4	0.878		18	0.00	11.11	16.67	55.56	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00
the course.	DEPT	4.162	4	0.866		37	0.00	5.41	13.51	40.54	40.54	0.00		
	SIML	4.220	4	0.852	0.52	41	0.00	4.88	12.20	39.02	43.90	0.00		
	COLL	4.420	5	0.772	0.83	81	0.00	3.70	6.17	34.57	55.56	0.00		
				Que	stions fo	or Instructo	r Bodo K	ubartz						
23 Provide an overall grade for this instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of	ructor INDIV	4.278	4	0.669		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	50.00	38.89	0.00	0.00	0.00
		4.487	5	0.644		39	0.00	0.00	7.69	35.90	56.41	0.00	0.00	0.00
	CIMI	4 505	-	0.004	0.44	40	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.50	00.47	0.00		

43

85

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

6.98

Number of sections in the current department with the above criteria:

2

5

0.631

0.579

0.41

0.58

4.535

4.612

SIML

COLL

Number of sections in college with course level and class size considered: 3

60.47

65.88

32.56

29.41

Number of sections in the current college: 7

0.00

0.00

this course.

Course Level: 1000

Class Size:ALL

Enrollment Count:27

Course=GEOG 1114-100 Title=PH	YSICAL GI	EOGRAPH	Υ	Instruc	tor=Lacy	Burgess								
		Mean	Median	Standard	Z Score	Number of			Per	cent			Department	College
		Response	Response	Deviation		Responses	# 1	# 2	# 3	# 4	# 5	#6	Rank	Rank
6 This course helped me develop	INDIV	4.211	4	0.713		19	0.00	0.00	15.79	47.37	36.84	0.00	100.00	50.00
competence consistent with the course	DEPT	3.919	4	0.722		37	0.00	2.70	21.62	56.76	18.92	0.00		
objectives.	SIML	4.000	4	0.742	-0.28	41	0.00	2.44	19.51	53.66	24.39	0.00		
objectives.	COLL	4.148	4	0.726	-0.09	81	0.00	2.47	12.35	53.09	32.10	0.00		
7 The subject matter covered in the lectures	INDIV	4.105	4	0.994		19	0.00	10.53	10.53	36.84	42.11	0.00	0.00	0.00
was well organized, comprehensible, and	DEPT	4.108	4	0.843		37	0.00	5.41	13.51	45.95	35.14	0.00		
enhanced learning.	SIML	4.171	4	0.834	0.08	41	0.00	4.88	12.20	43.90	39.02	0.00		
ennanced learning.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	0.20	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
8 Projects and assignments provided	INDIV	3.895	4	0.994		19	0.00	10.53	21.05	36.84	31.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
appropriate challenges that enhanced	DEPT	3.919	4	0.759		37	0.00	5.41	16.22	59.46	18.92	0.00		
learning.	SIML	4.000	4	0.775	0.14	41	0.00	4.88	14.63	56.10	24.39	0.00		
isaming.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	0.48	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
12 Provide an overall grade for the quality of	INDIV	4.526	5	0.697		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	26.32	63.16	0.00	100.00	50.00
the course.	DEPT	4.162	4	0.866		37	0.00	5.41	13.51	40.54	40.54	0.00		
	SIML	4.220	4	0.852	-0.36	41	0.00	4.88	12.20	39.02	43.90	0.00		
	COLL	4.420	5	0.772	-0.14	81	0.00	3.70	6.17	34.57	55.56	0.00		
				Que	stions fo	r Instructo	r Lacy Bu	ırgess						
based on quality of his/her instruction of	INDIV	4.684	5	0.582		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	21.05	73.68	0.00	100.00	50.00
	DEPT	4.487	5	0.644		39	0.00	0.00	7.69	35.90	56.41	0.00		
	SIML	4.535	5	0.631	-0.24	43	0.00	0.00	6.98	32.56	60.47	0.00		
una coulat.														

0.00

0.00

Number of sections in the current department with the above criteria:

2

0.579

-0.13

4.612

COLL

Number of sections in college with course level and class size considered: 3

65.88

29.41

Number of sections in the current college: 7

0.00

Summer 2008

this course.

Summer 2008

Course Level: 2000 - 4000

Class Size:ALL

Enrollment Count:14

Response Response Deviation Response #1 #2 #3 #4 #5 #6 Rank Rank															
Response Response Deviation Response #1 #2 #3 #4 #5 #6 Rank Rank	Course=GEOG 3253-200 Title	ENVIRON CC	IRON CONSERVATN			tor=Soph	ia Morren								
6 This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives. 7 The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible, and enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 9 DEPT 4.884 5 0.6671 19 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 8.33 91.67 0.00 100.00			Mean	Median	Standard	Z Score	Number of		Percent					Department	College
Competence consistent with the course objectives. DEPT			Response	Response	Deviation		Responses	# 1	#2	#3		# 5	#6	Rank	Rank
objectives. SIML 4.300 4 0.687 -0.66 40 0.00 2.50 5.00 52.50 40.00 0.00 cOL 4.148 4 0.726 -0.83 81 0.00 2.47 12.35 53.09 32.10 0.00 cOL 4.148 4 0.726 -0.83 81 0.00 2.47 12.35 53.09 32.10 0.00 cOL 4.148 4 0.726 -0.83 81 0.00 2.47 12.35 53.09 32.10 0.00 cOL 4.148 4 0.726 -0.83 81 0.00 2.47 12.35 53.09 32.10 0.00 cOL 4.148 4 0.726 cOL 4.148 4 0.726 -0.83 81 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	competence consistent with the course	INDIV	4.750	5	0.452		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
SIML 4.300 4 0.687 -0.66 40 0.00 2.50 5.00 52.50 40.00 0.00		DEPT	4.474	5	0.772		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	36.84	57.89	0.00		
The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible, and enhanced learning. Note		SIML	4.300	4	0.687	-0.66	40	0.00	2.50	5.00	52.50	40.00	0.00		
was well organized, comprehensible, and enhanced learning. DEPT 4.368 4 0.684 19 0.00 0.00 10.53 42.11 47.37 0.00 0.0	objectives.	COLL	4.148	4	0.726	-0.83	81	0.00	2.47	12.35	53.09	32.10	0.00		
enhanced learning. SIML 4.350 4 0.662 -0.60 40 0.00 0.00 10.00 45.00 45.00 0.00	7 The subject matter covered in the lecture	s INDIV	4.750	5	0.452		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
enhanced learning. SIML 4.350 4 0.662 -0.60 40 0.00 0.00 10.00 45.00 45.00 0.00 COLL 4.259 4 0.755 -0.65 81 0.00 2.47 11.11 44.44 41.98 0.00 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. DEPT 4.684 5 0.671 19 0.00 0.00 10.53 10.53 78.95 0.00 ISIML 4.525 5 0.640 -0.61 40 0.00 0.00 7.50 32.50 60.00 0.00 COLL 4.259 4 0.755 -0.87 81 0.00 2.47 11.11 44.44 41.98 0.00 12 Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course. DEPT 4.737 5 0.733 19 0.00 5.26 0.00 10.53 84.21 0.00 DEPT 4.737 5 0.628 -0.60 40 0.00 5.26 0.00 10.53 84.21 0.00 COLL 4.420 5 0.772 -0.75 81 0.00 3.70 6.17 34.57 55.56 0.00 COLL 4.420 5 0.772 -0.75 81 0.00 3.70 6.17 34.57 55.56 0.00 DEPT 4.737 5 0.732 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	was well organized, comprehensible, and	DEPT	4.368	4	0.684		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	42.11	47.37	0.00		
8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. 10 DEPT 4.684 5 0.671 19 0.00 0.00 1.053 10.53 78.95 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0		SIML	4.350	4	0.662	-0.60	40	0.00	0.00	10.00	45.00	45.00	0.00		
appropriate challenges that enhanced learning. DEPT 4.684 5 0.671 19 0.00 0.00 10.53 10.53 78.95 0.00 10.00	ennanced learning.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	-0.65	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
learning. SIML 4.525 5 0.640 -0.61 40 0.00 0.00 7.50 32.50 60.00 0.00	8 Projects and assignments provided	INDIV	4.917	5	0.289		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	0.00	100.00	100.00
learning. SIML 4.525 5 0.640 -0.61 40 0.00 0.00 7.50 32.50 60.00 0.00	appropriate challenges that enhanced	DEPT	4.684	5	0.671		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	10.53	78.95	0.00		
COLL 4.259 4 0.755 -0.87 81 0.00 2.47 11.11 44.44 41.98 0.00 12 Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course. INDIV 5.000 5 0.000 12 0.00 0.00 0.00 100.00 0.00 100		SIML	4.525	5	0.640	-0.61	40	0.00	0.00	7.50	32.50	60.00	0.00		
the course. DEPT 4.737 5 0.733 19 0.00 5.26 0.00 10.53 84.21 0.00 SIML 4.625 5 0.628 -0.60 40 0.00 2.50 0.00 30.00 67.50 0.00 COLL 4.420 5 0.772 -0.75 81 0.00 3.70 6.17 34.57 55.56 0.00 COLL 4.420 5 0.772 -0.75 81 0.00 3.70 6.17 34.57 55.56 0.00 COLL 4.420 5 0.00 12 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 100.00 100.00 COLL 4.420 5 0.000 5 0.000 12 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 COLL 4.420 5 0.000 5 0.000 12 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 100.00	icarriing.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	-0.87	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
SIML 4.625 5 0.628 -0.60 40 0.00 2.50 0.00 30.00 67.50 0.00 COLL 4.420 5 0.772 -0.75 81 0.00 3.70 6.17 34.57 55.56 0.00 Questions for Instructor Sophia Morren Continuous C	12 Provide an overall grade for the quality of	INDIV	5.000	5	0.000		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
COLL 4.420 5 0.772 -0.75 81 0.00 3.70 6.17 34.57 55.56 0.00	the course.	DEPT	4.737	5	0.733		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	10.53	84.21	0.00		
Questions for Instructor Sophia Morren 23 Provide an overall grade for this instructor INDIV 5.000 5 0.000 12 0.00 0.00 0.00 100.00 0.00 100.		SIML	4.625	5	0.628	-0.60	40	0.00	2.50	0.00	30.00	67.50	0.00		
23 Provide an overall grade for this instructor INDIV 5.000 5 0.000 12 0.00 0.00 0.00 100.00 100.00 100		COLL	4.420	5	0.772	-0.75	81	0.00	3.70	6.17	34.57	55.56	0.00		
100.00					Ques	stions fo	r Instructor	Sophia I	Morren						
100.00	23 Provide an overall grade for this instructor	r INDIV	5.000	5	0.000		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00
	based on quality of his/her instruction of													100.00	100.00

42

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

2.38

4.71

Number of sections in the current department with the above criteria:

2

5

0.517

0.579

-0.60

-0.67

4.690

4.612

COLL

Number of sections in college with course level and class size considered: 4

71.43

65.88

26.19

29.41

Number of sections in the current college: 7

0.00

0.00

Summer 2008

Course Level: 2000 - 4000

Class Size:ALL

Enrollment Count:7

Course=GEOG 4453-200 Title=G	EOGRAPHI	C INFO SY	′S	Instruc	tor=Matth	ew Collier								
		Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	Z Score	Number of Responses	# 1	#2	Per #3	cent # 4	# 5	#6	Department Rank	College Rank
6 This course helped me develop	INDIV	4.000	4	1.000		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	57.14	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00
competence consistent with the course	DEPT	4.474	5	0.772		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	36.84	57.89	0.00		
objectives.	SIML	4.300	4	0.687	0.44	40	0.00	2.50	5.00	52.50	40.00	0.00		
	COLL	4.148	4	0.726	0.20	81	0.00	2.47	12.35	53.09	32.10	0.00		
7 The subject matter covered in the lectures	INDIV	3.714	4	0.488		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
was well organized, comprehensible, and	DEPT	4.368	4	0.684		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	42.11	47.37	0.00		
enhanced learning.	SIML	4.350	4	0.662	0.96	40	0.00	0.00	10.00	45.00	45.00	0.00		
ermanced learning.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	0.72	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
8 Projects and assignments provided	INDIV	4.286	5	0.951		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	14.29	57.14	0.00	0.00	33.33
appropriate challenges that enhanced	DEPT	4.684	5	0.671		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	10.53	78.95	0.00		
learning.	SIML	4.525	5	0.640	0.37	40	0.00	0.00	7.50	32.50	60.00	0.00		
learning.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	-0.04	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
12 Provide an overall grade for the quality of	INDIV	4.286	5	1.113		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	28.57	57.14	0.00	0.00	0.00
the course.	DEPT	4.737	5	0.733		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	10.53	84.21	0.00		
	SIML	4.625	5	0.628	0.54	40	0.00	2.50	0.00	30.00	67.50	0.00		
	COLL	4.420	5	0.772	0.17	81	0.00	3.70	6.17	34.57	55.56	0.00		
				Ques	tions fo	r Instructor	Matthew	Collier						
23 Provide an overall grade for this instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course.	INDIV	4.714	5	0.488		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	0.00	0.00	66.67
	DEPT	4.905	5	0.301		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	90.48	0.00	0.00	33.07
	SIML	4.690	5	0.517	-0.05	42	0.00	0.00	2.38	26.19	71.43	0.00		
	COLL	4.612	5	0.579	-0.18	85	0.00	0.00	4.71	29.41	65.88	0.00		

Number of sections in the current department with the above criteria:

2

Number of sections in college with course level and class size considered: 4

Number of sections in the current college: 7

Summer 2008

Course Level: 2000 - 4000

Class Size:ALL

Enrollment Count:12

Course=METR 2023-010

Title=INTRO-METR II

Instructor=Owen Shieh

Course-INIETR 2023-010 Title-INT	KO-ME I K	. 11		msuuc	loi-Owei	Silleii								
		Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	Z Score	Number of Responses	# 1	#2	Per #3	cent # 4	# 5	#6	Department Rank	College Rank
6 This course helped me develop	INDIV	4.250	4	0.452		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	0.00	100.00	66.67
competence consistent with the course	DEPT	4.143	4	0.573		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	66.67	23.81	0.00		
objectives.	SIML	4.300	4	0.687	0.07	40	0.00	2.50	5.00	52.50	40.00	0.00		
	COLL	4.148	4	0.726	-0.14	81	0.00	2.47	12.35	53.09	32.10	0.00		
7 The subject matter covered in the lectures	INDIV	4.667	5	0.492		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	100.00	66.67
was well organized, comprehensible, and	DEPT	4.333	4	0.658		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	47.62	42.86	0.00		
enhanced learning.	SIML	4.350	4	0.662	-0.48	40	0.00	0.00	10.00	45.00	45.00	0.00		
ennanced learning.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	-0.54	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
8 Projects and assignments provided	INDIV	4.500	5	0.674		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	0.00	100.00	66.67
appropriate challenges that enhanced	DEPT	4.381	4	0.590		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	52.38	42.86	0.00		
learning.	SIML	4.525	5	0.640	0.04	40	0.00	0.00	7.50	32.50	60.00	0.00		
.oug.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	-0.32	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
12 Provide an overall grade for the quality of	INDIV	4.583	5	0.515		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	0.00	100.00	66.67
the course.	DEPT	4.524	5	0.512		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.62	52.38	0.00		
	SIML	4.625	5	0.628	0.07	40	0.00	2.50	0.00	30.00	67.50	0.00		
	COLL	4.420	5	0.772	-0.21	81	0.00	3.70	6.17	34.57	55.56	0.00		
				Que	estions f	or Instructo	r Owen S	Shieh						
23 Provide an overall grade for this instructor	INDIV	4.545	5	0.522		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.45	54.55	0.00	100.00	33.33
based on quality of his/her instruction of this course.	DEPT	4.476	5	0.602		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	42.86	52.38	0.00		
	SIML	4.690	5	0.517	0.28	42	0.00	0.00	2.38	26.19	71.43	0.00		
	COLL	4.612	5	0.579	0.11	85	0.00	0.00	4.71	29.41	65.88	0.00		

Number of sections in the current department with the above criteria:

2

Number of sections in college with course level and class size considered: 4

Number of sections in the current college: 7

Course Level: 2000 - 4000

Class Size:ALL

Enrollment Count:11

course=METR 2603-001 Title=SE\	/ERE/UNL	ISUAL WT	HR	Instruc	tor=Jame	s Miller								
		Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	Z Score	Number of Responses	# 1	#2	Per #3	cent # 4	# 5	#6	Department Rank	College Rank
6 This course helped me develop	INDIV	4.000	4	0.707		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00	0.00	0.00
competence consistent with the course objectives.	DEPT	4.143	4	0.573		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	66.67	23.81	0.00		
	SIML	4.300	4	0.687	0.44	40	0.00	2.50	5.00	52.50	40.00	0.00		
	COLL	4.148	4	0.726	0.20	81	0.00	2.47	12.35	53.09	32.10	0.00		
7 The subject matter covered in the lectures	INDIV	3.889	4	0.601		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00	0.00	33.33
was well organized, comprehensible, and	DEPT	4.333	4	0.658		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	47.62	42.86	0.00		
enhanced learning.	SIML	4.350	4	0.662	0.70	40	0.00	0.00	10.00	45.00	45.00	0.00		
ennanced learning.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	0.49	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
8 Projects and assignments provided	INDIV	4.222	4	0.441		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00	0.00
appropriate challenges that enhanced	DEPT	4.381	4	0.590		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	52.38	42.86	0.00		
learning.	SIML	4.525	5	0.640	0.47	40	0.00	0.00	7.50	32.50	60.00	0.00		
loaning.	COLL	4.259	4	0.755	0.05	81	0.00	2.47	11.11	44.44	41.98	0.00		
2 Provide an overall grade for the quality of	INDIV	4.444	4	0.527		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00	33.33
the course.	DEPT	4.524	5	0.512		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.62	52.38	0.00		
	SIML	4.625	5	0.628	0.29	40	0.00	2.50	0.00	30.00	67.50	0.00		
	COLL	4.420	5	0.772	-0.03	81	0.00	3.70	6.17	34.57	55.56	0.00		
				Que	stions f	or Instructo	r James	Miller						
23 Provide an overall grade for this instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course.	INDIV	4.333	4	0.707		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPT	4.476	5	0.602		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	42.86	52.38	0.00	0.00	0.00
	SIML	4.690	5	0.517	0.69	42	0.00	0.00	2.38	26.19	71.43	0.00		
	COLL	4.612	5	0.579	0.48	85	0.00	0.00	4.71	29.41	65.88	0.00		

Number of sections in the current department with the above criteria:

2

Number of sections in college with course level and class size considered: 4

Number of sections in the current college: 7

- 1 Entering class for the first time, I had a positive attitude about the instructor.
- 2 Entering class for the first time, I had a positive attitude about the course.
- 3 In general, during class meetings I was attentive and stayed focused on the material being presented.
- 4 I felt I was well prepared prior to each class meeting.
- 5 On average, each week I devoted _____ hour(s) per credit hour studying for this class.
- 6 This course helped me develop competence consistent with the course objectives.
- 7 The subject matter covered in the lectures was well organized, comprehensible, and enhanced learning.
- 8 Projects and assignments provided appropriate challenges that enhanced learning.
- 9 Examinations were a fair assessment of the course material.
- 10 The course materials (e.g., text, handouts, readings, videos, field trips, etc.) were relevant to the course objectives.
- 11 The required workload was appropriate for the number of credit hours.
- 12 Provide an overall grade for the quality of the course.
- 13 At the beginning of the course, the instructor clearly described the course objectives and what was to be learned by the end of the course.
- 14 At the beginning of the course and with each assignment, the instructor clearly communicated his/her expectations for student performance.
- 15 The instructor maintained an atmosphere in class that was conducive to learning.
- 16 The instructor communicated enthusiasm about the subject.
- 17 The instructor was well prepared and organized.
- 18 The instructor graded my work fairly.
- 19 The instructor demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.
- 20 The instructor provided opportunities outside of class for student consultation.
- 21 The instructor always interacted with students in a professional manner.
- 22 The instructor provided constructive feedback on assignments, quizzes, and examinations
- 23 Provide an overall grade for this instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course.
- 24 At the beginning of the course, the instructor clearly described the course objectives and what was to be learned by the end of the course.
- 25 At the beginning of the course and with each assignment, the instructor clearly communicated his/her expectations for student performance.
- 26 The instructor maintained an atmosphere in class that was conducive to learning.
- 27 The instructor communicated enthusiasm about the subject.
- 28 The instructor was well prepared and organized.
- 29 The instructor graded my work fairly.
- 30 The instructor demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.
- 31 The instructor provided opportunities outside of class for student consultation.
- 32 The instructor always interacted with students in a professional manner.

```
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
<= 1 hr/credit hr - 2 hrs/credit hr - 3 hrs/credit hr - 4 hrs/credit hr - >= 5 hrs/credit hr
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
F-D-C-B-A
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
F-D-C-B-A
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree
```

- 33 The instructor provided constructive feedback on assignments, quizzes, and examinations
- 34 Provide an overall grade for this instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course.
- 35 At the beginning of the course, the instructor clearly described the course objectives and what was to be learned by the end of the course.
- 36 At the beginning of the course and with each assignment, the instructor clearly communicated his/her expectations for student performance.
- 37 The instructor maintained an atmosphere in class that was conducive to learning.
- 38 The instructor communicated enthusiasm about the subject.
- 39 The instructor was well prepared and organized.
- 40 The instructor graded my work fairly.
- 41 The instructor demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.
- 42 The instructor provided opportunities outside of class for student consultation.
- 43 The instructor always interacted with students in a professional manner.
- 44 The instructor provided constructive feedback on assignments, quizzes, and examinations
- 45 Provide an overall grade for this instructor based on quality of his/her instruction of this course.

Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree

F-D-C-B-A

Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree

Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree

Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree

Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree

Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree - Disagree - Neutral - Agree - Strongly Agree

F-D-C-B-A

SIMILAR Z-SCORE IS COMPUTED BY: (SIMILAR MEAN - COURSE MEAN) DIVIDED BY SIMILAR STANDARD DEVIATION COLLEGE Z-SCORE IS COMPUTED BY: (COLLEGE MEAN - COURSE MEAN) DIVIDED BY COLLEGE STANDARD DEVIATION