

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 1142-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 101

Section Title: Design, Construction & Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.68182	4	1.13895		66	6.06	9.09	21.21	37.88	25.76	20.46	22.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.23	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.51515	4	1.23091		66	10.61	9.09	19.70	39.39	21.21	25.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.31	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.21538	5	1.09676		65	6.15	1.54	9.23	30.77	52.31	67.39	58.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.19	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.04688	4	0.99888		64	1.56	4.69	23.44	28.13	42.19	65.22	54.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.08	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.07576	4	0.91669		66	1.52	4.55	15.15	42.42	36.36	50.00	43.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.09	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 1154-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	12.50	75.00	61.36	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.48	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	75.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.52	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.38873		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	25.00	62.50	73.91	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.21	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.40789		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.50	75.00	76.09	67.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.36	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	65.22	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.21	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 1154-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	45.46	42.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.24	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.97183		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	44.44	44.44	56.82	51.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.29	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.20185		9	0.00	22.22	11.11	33.33	33.33	34.78	31.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.19	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	0.91613		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	50.00	12.50	32.61	29.90
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.27	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.11803		9	0.00	11.11	22.22	22.22	44.44	36.96	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 1154-003

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.86972		13	0.00	0.00	23.08	15.38	61.54	54.55	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.38	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.15385	5	1.28103		13	0.00	23.08	0.00	15.38	61.54	54.55	48.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.23	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.09193		13	0.00	15.38	23.08	30.77	30.77	32.61	30.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.20	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.84615	4	1.28103		13	7.69	7.69	15.38	30.77	38.46	45.65	39.18
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.08	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.84615	4	1.21423		13	0.00	23.08	7.69	30.77	38.46	32.61	24.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.31	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 1154-004

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	18.18	21.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.24	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.32916		6	16.67	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	15.91	15.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.60	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	0.81650		6	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	0.00	2.17	5.16
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.14	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.63246		6	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	0.00	6.52	7.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.79	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.54919		6	16.67	33.33	0.00	33.33	16.67	2.17	1.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	1.13	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 1154-005

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	50.00	33.33	27.27	29.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.05	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	34.09	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.10	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.03280		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67	21.74	22.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.28	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	5	1.47196		6	0.00	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	43.48	37.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.09	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.04881		6	0.00	16.67	33.33	33.33	16.67	15.22	9.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.65	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 1154-006

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Cline

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	81.82	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.59	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.16496		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	12.50	62.50	59.09	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.31	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Thomas Cline													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.92582		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	62.50	25.00	43.48	40.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.00	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.92582		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	62.50	25.00	50.00	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	37.50	37.50	36.96	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 2243-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 83

Section Title: History-Built Environment I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Samuel Callahan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.91499		44	0.00	6.82	20.45	38.64	34.09	27.27	29.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.05	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.97619	4	0.78050		42	0.00	4.76	16.67	54.76	23.81	31.82	33.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.08	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Samuel Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.02326	4	0.98774		43	4.65	0.00	18.60	41.86	34.88	60.87	50.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.02	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.02273	4	1.15111		44	4.55	6.82	15.91	27.27	45.45	63.04	53.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.06	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.81395	4	1.20031		43	6.98	6.98	18.60	32.56	34.88	26.09	20.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.34	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 2333-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 42

Section Title: Architecture & the Environment

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.50000		25	0.00	0.00	4.00	12.00	84.00	90.91	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.74	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.84000	5	0.47258		25	0.00	0.00	4.00	8.00	88.00	95.46	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.81	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.92000	5	0.27689		25	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00	92.00	95.65	89.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.79	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.38069		24	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	91.30	89.69
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.74	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.88000	5	0.33166		25	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	88.00	91.30	90.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.70	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 2354-002

Section Title: Studio III

Instructors: Alan Moring / Stephanie Pilat

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	61.36	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.48	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.52	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Alan Moring													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	63.04	53.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.14	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	67.39	57.73
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.18	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	71.74	65.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.33	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
Stephanie Pilat													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 2354-003

Section Title: Studio III

Instructors: Samuel Callahan / Stephanie Pilat

Total Enrollment: 16

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	0.98319		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	50.00	40.91	40.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.19	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	34.09	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.10	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Samuel Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	36.96	34.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.14	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.50555		6	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	33.33	34.78	30.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.23	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	5	1.60208		6	16.67	0.00	16.67	16.67	50.00	28.26	21.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.32	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
Stephanie Pilat													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	43.48	40.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.00	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	50.00	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.73205		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	66.67	36.96	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 3013-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 60

Section Title: Architecture for Non-Majors

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bob Fillpot

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.70000	4	1.31700		30	6.67	16.67	13.33	26.67	36.67	22.73	23.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.21	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.44828	4	1.37805		29	13.79	10.34	20.69	27.59	27.59	20.46	22.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.36	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Bob Fillpot</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.24291		30	6.67	6.67	6.67	20.00	60.00	65.22	54.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.17	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.38962		30	10.00	10.00	3.33	23.33	53.33	50.00	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.31034	5	1.07250		29	6.90	0.00	3.45	34.48	55.17	58.70	54.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.14	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 3433-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Environmental Controls I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Donald Carter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	3	1.19024		25	8.00	20.00	32.00	24.00	16.00	13.64	15.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.65	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.48000	4	0.96264		25	4.00	8.00	36.00	40.00	12.00	22.73	23.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.34	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Donald Carter													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.88000	4	1.05357		25	4.00	4.00	24.00	36.00	32.00	39.13	36.08
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.10	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.86603		25	0.00	0.00	36.00	28.00	36.00	50.00	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.48000	3	0.77028		25	0.00	8.00	44.00	40.00	8.00	13.04	8.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.67	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 3433-901

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 43

Section Title: Environmental Controls I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kenneth Jorgenson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.83333	3	1.20583		30	20.00	13.33	36.67	23.33	6.67	4.55	5.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.97	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.13333	3	1.13664		30	10.00	20.00	23.33	40.00	6.67	13.64	14.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.63	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Kenneth Jorgenson													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	3	1.18613		30	10.00	13.33	40.00	20.00	16.67	13.04	15.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.68	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.23333	3	1.22287		30	10.00	16.67	30.00	26.67	16.67	17.39	17.53
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.59	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.63333	4	1.03335		30	6.67	3.33	26.67	46.67	16.67	19.57	13.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.52	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 3443-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 61

Section Title: Modern & Contemporary Arch

Course Level: All

Instructor: Stephanie Pilat

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.51111	5	0.78689		45	0.00	4.44	4.44	26.67	64.44	75.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.49	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.65905		45	0.00	0.00	8.89	26.67	64.44	86.36	72.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Stephanie Pilat													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.68889	5	0.73306		45	2.22	0.00	2.22	17.78	77.78	89.13	79.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.59	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.55958		45	0.00	0.00	6.67	8.89	84.44	89.13	86.60
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.69	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.69413		45	0.00	2.22	4.44	31.11	62.22	78.26	71.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.36	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 3533-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 43

Section Title: Architectural Materials

Course Level: All

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.32143	4	0.77237		28	0.00	3.57	7.14	42.86	46.43	50.00	46.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.33	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.80064		27	0.00	3.70	14.81	48.15	33.33	50.00	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.19	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.79015		28	0.00	3.57	7.14	32.14	57.14	78.26	67.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.37	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.82143	4	1.12393		28	0.00	17.86	17.86	28.57	35.71	41.30	36.08
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.10	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60714	5	0.78595		28	0.00	3.57	7.14	14.29	75.00	82.61	76.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.43	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 3554-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Studio V

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bob Fillpot

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.44444	3	0.88192		9	0.00	11.11	44.44	33.33	11.11	15.91	19.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.44	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.11803		9	11.11	11.11	11.11	66.67	0.00	18.18	19.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.46	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Bob Fillpot</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	0.92796		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	55.56	22.22	41.30	37.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.09	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.86603		9	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	50.00	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.83333		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	44.44	54.35	50.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.06	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 3554-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Studio V

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.14642	-0.22	15	6.67	0.00	13.33	26.67	53.33	43.18	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.30931		15	6.67	6.67	20.00	13.33	53.33	34.09	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		-0.10	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.06904	-0.00	15	6.67	0.00	13.33	46.67	33.33	43.48	40.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.46667	4	1.12546	0.40	15	6.67	13.33	20.00	46.67	13.33	23.91	22.68
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.89974	-0.17	15	0.00	6.67	6.67	33.33	53.33	60.87	55.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 3633-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Architectural Structures II

Course Level: All

Instructor: I-Kwang Chang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.77778	3	1.20185		9	11.11	33.33	33.33	11.11	11.11	2.27	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	1.02	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.88889	3	1.36423		9	22.22	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	2.27	5.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.84	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
I-Kwang Chang													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.32288		9	22.22	0.00	44.44	22.22	11.11	6.52	9.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.85	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	3	0.83333		9	0.00	11.11	66.67	11.11	11.11	15.22	16.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.60	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	3	1.23603		9	0.00	22.22	33.33	11.11	33.33	17.39	11.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.59	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 4173-900 / ARCH 5173-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Cinema & Architecture of City

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Total Enrollment: 17

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	1.05409		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	36.36	36.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.14	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	1.05409		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	50.00	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.19	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Khosrow Bozorgi</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.97183		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	44.44	44.44	71.74	60.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.19	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	73.91	65.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.32	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	65.22	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.21	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 4363-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Sustainability

Instructor: Hans Butzer

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.88641		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	47.73	43.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.27	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.92582		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	25.00	37.50	34.09	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.10	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Hans Butzer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	25.00	50.00	43.48	40.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.00	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.03510		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	37.50	50.00	71.74	63.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.25	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.40789		8	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.50	75.00	65.22	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.21	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 4733-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 44

Section Title: Architectural Structures III

Course Level: All

Instructor: I-Kwang Chang

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.12500	3	1.07012		32	9.38	15.63	34.38	34.38	6.25	11.36	11.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.71	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.93750	3	1.24272		32	18.75	15.63	25.00	34.38	6.25	4.55	6.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.79	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
I-Kwang Chang													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.81250	3	1.25563		32	25.00	9.38	28.13	34.38	3.13	4.35	7.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.01	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.70968	3	1.29598		31	25.81	16.13	25.81	25.81	6.45	2.17	2.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	1.03	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	1.04166		30	3.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	30.00	34.78	25.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.29	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4754-001

Section Title: Studio VII

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Total Enrollment: 13

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.84327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	56.82	53.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.40	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	68.18	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.44	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	0.82327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	50.00	76.09	64.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.26	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.90000	4	1.28668		10	10.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	47.83	41.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.04	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	80.44	72.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.43	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 4970-902

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Student Prof Org

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nickolas Harm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	59.09	57.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.42	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	70.46	63.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.46	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Nickolas Harm													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	84.78	74.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.49	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	80.44	71.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.40	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.52753		7	14.29	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	36.96	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 4970-904

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Computer Appl Arch

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.73205		3	33.33	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	6.82	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.82	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.73205		3	33.33	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	6.82	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.74	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	21.74	22.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.28	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	34.78	30.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.23	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.52753		3	0.00	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	21.74	14.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.48	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 5163-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Professional Practice I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Marjorie Callahan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.86957	4	1.25424		23	8.70	8.70	4.35	43.48	34.78	25.00	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.07	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.86957	4	1.25424		23	8.70	4.35	17.39	30.43	39.13	29.55	32.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.01	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Marjorie Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.21739	5	1.16605		23	4.35	8.70	4.35	26.09	56.52	69.57	59.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.19	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.21739	5	0.99802		23	0.00	8.70	13.04	26.09	52.17	69.57	62.89
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.23	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.52174	5	0.59311		23	0.00	0.00	4.35	39.13	56.52	76.09	70.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.35	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 5536-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Grad Architectural Design III

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	61.36	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.48	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	61.36	55.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.38	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.00000		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	17.39	19.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.43	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.29099		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	26.09	23.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.37	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	10.87	7.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.89	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 5955-001

Section Title: Studio IX

Instructor: Hans Butzer

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	86.36	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.70	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	93.18	89.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.73	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Hans Butzer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.62500	4	1.50594		8	12.50	12.50	12.50	25.00	37.50	19.57	21.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.32	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.69031		8	12.50	25.00	12.50	0.00	50.00	26.09	23.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.37	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.92582		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	71.74	65.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.33	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 5955-002

Section Title: Studio IX

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	2	1.41421		4	50.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	1.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	1.69	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	1.25831		4	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.95	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Khosrow Bozorgi</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.50000		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	30.44	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.21	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	1.50000		4	25.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	4.35	4.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	1.00	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	36.96	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 5955-003

College of Architecture

Section Title: Studio IX

Instructor: John Yowell

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	84.09	77.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.63	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	34.09	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.10	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.96	77.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.57	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.65	93.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.88	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.96	79.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.49	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 5960-007

College of Architecture

Section Title: Directed Readings

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.46	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.92	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.52	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	0.70711		2	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.28	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	2.12132		2	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	1.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	1.21	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	2.12132		2	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	1.62	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 6133-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Sustainable Design Analytics

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	14.29	38.64	39.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.17	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.34519		7	14.29	0.00	0.00	57.14	28.57	27.27	31.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.02	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.52753		7	14.29	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	43.48	40.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.00	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		7	14.29	0.00	0.00	42.86	42.86	50.00	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.52753		7	14.29	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	36.96	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 6143-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Theory of Sustainability

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans Butzer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593	-0.48	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	61.36	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402	-0.42	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	65.91	57.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Hans Butzer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593	-0.43	8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	80.44	68.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755	-0.36	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	76.09	67.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	5	1.12599	0.04	8	0.00	12.50	12.50	25.00	50.00	52.17	46.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 6156-001

Section Title: Graduate Studio I

Instructors: Lee Fithian / Daniel Wallace

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	52.27	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.34	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	61.36	55.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.38	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.50555		6	16.67	16.67	0.00	50.00	16.67	15.22	16.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.57	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.63299		6	16.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	19.57	19.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	5	1.60208		6	16.67	0.00	16.67	16.67	50.00	28.26	21.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.32	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
Daniel Wallace													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.75119		6	16.67	16.67	0.00	16.67	50.00	21.74	22.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.28	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.75119		6	16.67	16.67	0.00	16.67	50.00	34.78	30.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.23	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.75119		6	16.67	16.67	0.00	16.67	50.00	21.74	14.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.48	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 6356-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Graduate Studio III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	79.55	71.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.55	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	70.46	63.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.46	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	3	1.25357		7	0.00	14.29	42.86	0.00	42.86	28.26	25.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.24	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	3	1.51186		7	14.29	0.00	42.86	0.00	42.86	30.44	27.84
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.31	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.03280		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	60.87	55.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.17	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 6643-001 / L A 6643-001 / RCPL 6643-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Urban Design Theory

Course Level: All

Instructor: Blair Humphreys

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	77.27	68.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.53	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	86.36	72.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Blair Humphreys													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	91.30	81.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.67	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	82.61	75.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	93.48	91.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.71	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 6680-980

College of Architecture

Section Title: Advanced Arch Design III

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	61.36	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.48	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.52	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	97.83	91.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.65	93.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.88	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.65	92.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 6690-980

College of Architecture

Section Title: Professional Project

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.46	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.92	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	97.73	93.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.94	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	97.83	91.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.65	93.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.88	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.65	92.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: ARCH 6990-008

College of Architecture

Section Title: Special Studies

Instructor: Nickolas Harm

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	6.82	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.96667	4	1.12205		570	4.39	7.19	16.67	30.88	40.88		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.82	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	6.82	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.90283	4	1.14262		566	5.83	6.36	16.43	34.45	36.93		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.74	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Nickolas Harm													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	6.52	9.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.03460	4	1.15017		578	5.54	5.88	13.49	29.76	45.33		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.85	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	6.52	7.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.96522	4	1.17413		575	5.22	7.65	16.35	26.96	43.83		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.79	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	1.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.14136	4	1.04241		573	3.32	5.06	13.09	31.24	47.29		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	1.13	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 1113-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Construction-Impact on Society

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kenneth Robson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.13759	-0.05	18	5.56	5.56	11.11	38.89	38.89	42.11	29.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824		1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.04319	0.04	18	5.56	0.00	27.78	38.89	27.78	42.11	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795		1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Kenneth Robson													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.96338	-0.10	18	0.00	5.56	22.22	27.78	44.44	45.00	51.55
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934		1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.27778	4	0.66911	-0.28	18	0.00	0.00	11.11	50.00	38.89	60.00	64.95
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770		1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.05556	4	0.87260	0.11	18	0.00	0.00	33.33	27.78	38.89	30.00	41.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599		1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 2713-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 39

Section Title: Construct Materials & Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Ryan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	23.81	71.43	78.95	77.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.63	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.59761		21	0.00	0.00	4.76	33.33	61.90	73.68	75.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.58	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Richard Ryan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.95238	5	0.21822		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	95.24	90.00	90.72
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.82	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.35857		21	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	85.00	91.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.76	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.64365		21	0.00	0.00	9.52	9.52	80.95	85.00	82.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.54	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 2823-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 54

Section Title: Construction Mgt Fundamentals

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Mc Manus

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.27586	3	1.27885		29	13.79	10.34	27.59	31.03	17.24	21.05	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.58	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.22222	3	1.36814		27	14.81	14.81	25.93	22.22	22.22	15.79	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.55	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
William Mc Manus													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.39286	3	1.13331		28	7.14	10.71	35.71	28.57	17.86	20.00	18.56
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.52	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.58621	4	1.29607		29	10.34	10.34	17.24	34.48	27.59	25.00	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.30	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.75862	4	1.12298		29	6.90	3.45	24.14	37.93	27.59	5.00	18.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.39	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 3103-010

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Construction Surveying

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Ryan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.63332		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	71.43	73.68	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.61	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.65044		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	35.71	57.14	68.42	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.52	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Richard Ryan</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	60.00	74.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.49	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.21429	4	0.69929		14	0.00	0.00	14.29	50.00	35.71	55.00	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.22	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	4	0.63332		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	50.00	42.86	75.00	60.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.19	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 3103-011

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Lab-CNS 3103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Ryan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.05935		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	52.63	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.31	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.84327		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	63.16	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.44	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Richard Ryan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	65.00	76.29
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.51	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.87560		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	40.00	45.00	56.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.13	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.91894		10	0.00	0.00	30.00	20.00	50.00	50.00	47.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.04	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 3103-012

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Lab-CNS 3103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Ryan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	89.47	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.92	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.74	93.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.94	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Richard Ryan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.00	91.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.00	93.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.88	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	25.00	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 3123-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Statics/Strengths Materials

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lisa Holliday

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.72222	4	1.48742		18	11.11	16.67	5.56	22.22	44.44	36.84	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.19	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.30859		18	5.56	16.67	11.11	27.78	38.89	36.84	27.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.09	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Lisa Holliday													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.72222	5	1.60167		18	16.67	11.11	5.56	16.67	50.00	30.00	27.84
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.24	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	1.27827		18	5.56	11.11	16.67	22.22	44.44	30.00	40.21
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.05556	5	1.34917		18	11.11	0.00	16.67	16.67	55.56	30.00	41.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.11	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

College of Architecture

Course: CNS 3512-001

Section Title: Cost Estimating

Instructor: Tamera Mc Cuen

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.42105	4	1.01739		19	5.26	10.53	31.58	42.11	10.53	26.32	18.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.46	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.42105	4	1.26121		19	5.26	26.32	10.53	36.84	21.05	31.58	21.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.39	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.89474	4	0.99413		19	5.26	0.00	21.05	47.37	26.32	35.00	38.14
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.09	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.94281		19	0.00	5.26	26.32	31.58	36.84	35.00	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.21053	4	0.85498		19	0.00	0.00	26.32	26.32	47.37	55.00	49.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.05	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 3612-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Project Controls Lab I

Course Level: All

Instructors: Tamera Mc Cuen / William Mc Manus

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.64286	4	1.21574		14	7.14	7.14	28.57	28.57	28.57	31.58	20.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.26	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.35714	4	1.49908		14	14.29	21.43	7.14	28.57	28.57	26.32	20.65
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.44	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.13873		14	7.14	7.14	14.29	50.00	21.43	25.00	25.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.24	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.34450		14	14.29	7.14	14.29	42.86	21.43	20.00	23.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.37	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.20439		14	7.14	0.00	14.29	14.29	64.29	60.00	52.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.12	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
William Mc Manus													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.07143	4	1.26881		14	14.29	21.43	14.29	42.86	7.14	10.00	14.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.79	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.85714	3	1.79131		14	42.86	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	0.00	5.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.91	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.13873		14	7.14	0.00	7.14	28.57	57.14	60.00	52.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.12	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 3812-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Project Planning & Scheduling

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Mc Manus

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.93333	3	1.38701		15	13.33	33.33	20.00	13.33	20.00	0.00	6.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.88	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	3	1.08233		15	13.33	0.00	46.67	33.33	6.67	10.53	16.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.57	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
William Mc Manus													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.29099		15	13.33	6.67	33.33	26.67	20.00	15.00	16.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.57	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.93333	3	1.43759		15	20.00	20.00	26.67	13.33	20.00	5.00	6.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.85	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	4	1.03280		15	0.00	6.67	33.33	20.00	40.00	20.00	27.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.22	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 4112-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Understanding Design Services

Course Level: All

Instructor: Jeremy Carlisle

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.09091	3	1.22103		11	9.09	27.27	18.18	36.36	9.09	10.53	10.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.74	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.27273	4	1.19087		11	9.09	18.18	18.18	45.45	9.09	21.05	18.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.51	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Jeremy Carlisle													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	4	0.53936		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	72.73	9.09	40.00	39.18
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.08	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.13618		11	0.00	9.09	27.27	9.09	54.55	40.00	55.67
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.12	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	4	0.83121		11	0.00	0.00	27.27	36.36	36.36	40.00	44.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.07	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 4122-010

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Bldg Info Modeling for Constr

Course Level: All

Instructor: Tamera Mc Cuen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.66667		10	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	0.00	5.26	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.82	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.70000	3	1.25167		10	20.00	20.00	40.00	10.00	10.00	0.00	3.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.99	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	1.17851		10	20.00	30.00	40.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	1.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.28	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.10000	3	0.99443		10	0.00	30.00	40.00	20.00	10.00	15.00	14.43
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.71	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	45.00	45.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.06	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 4122-011

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Disc-CNS 4122-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Tamera Mc Cuen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.32916		6	16.67	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	15.79	14.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.68	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.67332		6	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	33.33	5.26	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.74	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	1.03280		6	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67	0.00	5.00	5.16
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.14	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.09545		6	16.67	0.00	50.00	33.33	0.00	10.00	7.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.79	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.50555		6	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	14.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.48	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 4523-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Pre-Construction Services

Course Level: All

Instructor: Douglas Gransberg

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	84.21	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.70	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	84.21	79.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.63	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Douglas Gransberg													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	55.00	63.92
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.25	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	65.00	67.01
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.36	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	0.00	37.50	37.50	25.00	15.00	26.80
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.28	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 4613-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Soils and Foundations

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nasir Marakah

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67	57.90	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.34	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.86603		12	0.00	8.33	0.00	50.00	41.67	52.63	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.31	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Nasir Marakah</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	16.67	75.00	70.00	77.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.57	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	70.00	72.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.46	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.83485		12	0.00	0.00	41.67	33.33	25.00	10.00	21.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.32	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 5513-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Project Management & Controls

Course Level: All

Instructor: Douglas Gransberg

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	63.16	71.74
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.55	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.95119		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	14.29	57.14	57.90	54.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.34	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Douglas Gransberg													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	80.00	85.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.71	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	80.00	80.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.60	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.00	88.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.68	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 5813-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Facilities Acquisitn Planning

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bryan Bloom

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	89.47	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.92	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	89.47	92.39
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.82	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Bryan Bloom													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	85.00	87.63
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.73	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	85.00	91.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.76	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.00	92.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 5823-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Quality Management-Constructn

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Mc Manus

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	68.42	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.57	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	78.95	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.61	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
William Mc Manus													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	50.00	54.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.17	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	50.00	58.76
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.21	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	80.00	72.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.43	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: CNS 5960-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Directed Readings

Course Level: All

Instructor: William Mc Manus

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	89.47	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.87234	4	1.18425		235	5.53	8.94	17.02	29.79	38.72		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.92	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	94.74	93.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.81974	4	1.20421		233	6.44	9.44	15.88	32.19	36.05		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.94	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
William Mc Manus													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.00	91.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.96748	4	1.16363		246	5.69	6.10	16.67	28.86	42.68		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.00	93.81
	DEPARTMENT	3.94758	4	1.18410		248	6.45	5.65	16.94	28.63	42.34		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.88	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	95.00	92.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.18072	4	0.97730		249	2.81	1.20	19.68	27.71	48.59		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.82	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 1154-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio I

Instructor: Jill Croka

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	40.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.34	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	80.00	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.66	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Jill Croka													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	68.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.43	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	75.00	78.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.55	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	91.67	86.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.65	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 1154-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Design and Graphics Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	60.00	68.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.53	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	50.00	65.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.47	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Mia Kile													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.60093		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	66.67	22.22	50.00	51.55
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.10	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.11803		9	11.11	0.00	44.44	33.33	11.11	33.33	19.59
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.51	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.55556	3	1.01379		9	0.00	11.11	44.44	22.22	22.22	16.67	11.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.59	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 2535-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Design and Graphics III

Course Level: All

Instructors: Elizabeth Pober / Janet Biddick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.70	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	70.00	80.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.64	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Elizabeth Pober</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.52223		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	68.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.43	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	66.67	77.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.53	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.62158		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	8.33	83.33	83.33	84.54
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.57	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
<i>Janet Biddick</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.86603		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	66.67	61.86
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.21	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.26730		12	0.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	41.67	58.33	37.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.09	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.77850		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	58.33	55.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.17	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 2535-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Design and Graphics III

Instructors: Elizabeth Pober / Janet Biddick

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	50.00	53.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.40	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.09545		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	30.00	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.07	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Elizabeth Pober</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.09545		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	33.33	32.99
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.17	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.09545		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	50.00	35.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.12	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	33.33	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		
<i>Janet Biddick</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	1.14018		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	20.00	25.00	20.62
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.34	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.41421		5	20.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	16.67	7.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.79	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	50.00	47.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.04	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 2763-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Computer Applications in I D

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.61721		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	73.33	70.00	77.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.63	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.79881		15	0.00	6.67	0.00	6.67	86.67	90.00	88.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.72	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.87706		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	23.08	69.23	91.67	71.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.46	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.56061		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	6.67	86.67	100.00	88.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.71	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	0.99043		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	6.67	73.33	75.00	64.95
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.30	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 3724-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Design II: Lighting Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Abimbola Asojo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.13333	4	1.18723		15	6.67	33.33	6.67	46.67	6.67	20.00	13.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.71	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.60000	3	1.35225		15	33.33	13.33	13.33	40.00	0.00	20.00	2.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	1.08	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Abimbola Asojo													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.26667	2	1.22280		15	40.00	13.33	26.67	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.48	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.73333	3	1.27988		15	20.00	26.67	20.00	26.67	6.67	8.33	3.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	1.01	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.04654		15	6.67	0.00	33.33	40.00	20.00	25.00	14.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.48	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 3753-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Interior Design Hist to 1800

Course Level: All

Instructor: Abimbola Asojo

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	1.06458		16	12.50	25.00	43.75	12.50	6.25	10.00	3.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	1.04	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	1.26491		16	31.25	18.75	18.75	31.25	0.00	10.00	1.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	1.16	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Abimbola Asojo													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.81250	3	1.37689		16	25.00	12.50	31.25	18.75	12.50	16.67	7.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.01	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.18750	3	1.51520		16	25.00	0.00	31.25	18.75	25.00	25.00	15.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.63	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.31656		16	12.50	6.25	25.00	31.25	25.00	8.33	9.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.65	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 3773-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Furniture Design

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.74	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	60.00	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.61	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Hans-Peter Wachter</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	58.33	54.64
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.17	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	75.00	78.35
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.55	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00	33.33	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 3773-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Furniture Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Janet Biddick

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.70	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	89.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.73	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Janet Biddick													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	80.41
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.64	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	91.67	83.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.67	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	100.00	89.69
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.69	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 4463-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Inter Design Office Pro Prac

Course Level: All

Instructor: Christina Hoehn

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	1.94737	2	1.17727		19	47.37	26.32	15.79	5.26	5.26	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	1.74	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	1.94737	1	1.26814		19	52.63	21.05	10.53	10.53	5.26	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	1.63	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Christina Hoehn													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.52632	2	1.38918		19	26.32	36.84	5.26	21.05	10.53	8.33	4.12
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.26	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.16667	2	1.38267		18	44.44	22.22	16.67	5.56	11.11	0.00	0.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	1.49	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.15789	3	1.46299		19	21.05	10.53	21.05	26.32	21.05	0.00	6.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.98	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: I D 4744-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Design IV: Institutional/Corp

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.26667	4	0.70373		15	0.00	0.00	13.33	46.67	40.00	30.00	44.57
	DEPARTMENT	3.76000	4	1.33441		125	9.60	11.20	12.00	28.00	39.20		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.28	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.86189		15	0.00	6.67	6.67	46.67	40.00	40.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.62097	4	1.47385		124	16.13	9.68	8.06	28.23	37.90		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.27	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Hans-Peter Wachter</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	0.83381		15	0.00	6.67	20.00	53.33	20.00	41.67	35.05
	DEPARTMENT	3.63571	4	1.30968		140	10.71	10.71	13.57	34.29	30.71		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.11	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.04654		15	6.67	6.67	13.33	60.00	13.33	41.67	30.93
	DEPARTMENT	3.62143	4	1.38579		140	12.86	10.00	14.29	27.86	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.23	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.89974		15	0.00	6.67	6.67	33.33	53.33	58.33	55.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.03521	4	1.13245		142	4.93	4.23	19.72	24.65	46.48		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.17	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: L A 5402-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Res Methods Landscape Arch

Instructor: Scott Williams

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	0.57735		4	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	1.26	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.81650		4	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.74	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421		4	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	16.67	9.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.85	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	1.50000		4	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	18.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.58	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.41421		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: L A 5515-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: L A Intro Graduate Studio I

Instructor: Shane Friese

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.48	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	33.33	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.10	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Shane Friese													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.41421		2	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	9.28
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.85	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: L A 5535-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: L A Intern Graduate Studio III

Instructor: Reid Coffman

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	50.00	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.34	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.66	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Reid Coffman													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	50.00	40.21
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.00	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	16.67	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	79.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.49	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: L A 5613-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: L A Computer Applications

Instructor: Jonathan Garner

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	16.30
	DEPARTMENT	3.90000	4	1.07115		20	0.00	15.00	15.00	35.00	35.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.61	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	25.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.88192		19	0.00	5.26	21.05	42.11	31.58		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.32	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Jonathan Garner													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	0.57735		4	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.03
	DEPARTMENT	3.75000	4	1.29269		20	0.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	45.00		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	1.28	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	72.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.25000	5	1.01955		20	0.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	55.00		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.46	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	33.33	65.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.55000	5	0.75915		20	0.00	5.00	0.00	30.00	65.00		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.33	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 4003-001 / GEOG 4003-001 / GEOG 5003-001 / RCPL 5003-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Global City & Planning Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Charles Warnken

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	33.33	60.00	55.56	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.51	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.48795		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.66	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Charles Warnken													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	55.56	83.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.68	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.45774		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.67	73.33	88.89	82.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.66	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.61721		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	73.33	77.78	79.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.49	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5013-001 / P SC 5013-001 / SOC 5013-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: History & Theory of Urban Plan

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	66.67	68.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.53	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	66.67	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.66	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	88.89	91.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	88.89	100.00	86.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.69	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	66.67	78.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.45	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5113-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Urban Planning Research Method

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Krimmer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	22.22	29.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.05	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		9	0.00	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22	22.22	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.10	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Matthew Krimmer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	44.44	73.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.48	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.22474		9	0.00	22.22	0.00	33.33	44.44	22.22	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	4	1.48137		9	11.11	11.11	11.11	22.22	44.44	11.11	19.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.38	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5213-900 / GEOG 5213-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Principles/Practice-Urban Plan

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fernando Costa

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	88.89	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.74	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	55.56	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.61	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Fernando Costa													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	88.89	91.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.86	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	1.34164		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	66.67	70.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.38	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	44.44	72.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.43	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5353-001 / P SC 5353-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: State & Local Public Finance

Course Level: All

Instructor: Richard Marshment

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	77.78	80.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.67	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	88.89	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.70	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Richard Marshment													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	77.78	87.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.73	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	85.71	77.78	81.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.64	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	88.89	82.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.54	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5483-001 / CEES 5483-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Urban & Regional Transportatn

Course Level: All

Instructor: Douglas Rex

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	33.33	53.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.40	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	33.33	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.44	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
<i>Douglas Rex</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	22.22	54.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.17	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	44.44	58.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.21	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	44.44	72.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.43	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5525-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Comprehensive Rcpl Project

Course Level: All

Instructor: Charles Warnken

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	33.33	53.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.40	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	33.33	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.44	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Charles Warnken													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	33.33	65.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.34	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	44.44	58.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.21	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	33.33	65.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.33	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5713-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Urban Econ Develop Planning

Instructor: Michael Southard

Total Enrollment: 9

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.09545		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	11.11	27.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.13	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.09545		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	11.11	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.07	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Michael Southard													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	55.56	83.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.68	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	22.22	42.27
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	-0.05	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	100.00	85.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	-0.62	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5823-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Rural and Regional Planning

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	26.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	0.17	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.81650		4	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	0.74	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	0.21	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.00000		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	11.11	23.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.37	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	22.22	28.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	0.16	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2010

Course: RCPL 5960-004

College of Architecture

Section Title: Directed Readings

Instructor: Charles Warnken

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	91.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.38462	4	0.70027		65	0.00	1.54	7.69	41.54	49.23		
	COLLEGE	3.94483	4	1.14824	-0.92	1,015	4.93	7.88	15.57	31.03	40.59		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	93.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.78201		65	0.00	3.08	9.23	35.38	52.31		
	COLLEGE	3.88083	4	1.18795	-0.94	1,007	6.75	7.25	14.90	33.37	37.74		
Charles Warnken													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	11.11	40.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.66154	5	0.53843		65	0.00	0.00	3.08	27.69	69.23		
	COLLEGE	3.99905	4	1.16934	-0.00	1,049	5.82	6.58	13.73	29.65	44.23		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.36923	5	0.87624		65	0.00	6.15	7.69	29.23	56.92		
	COLLEGE	3.94561	4	1.19770	0.79	1,048	6.11	7.44	15.55	27.58	43.32		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.46032	5	0.79971		63	1.59	1.59	4.76	33.33	58.73		
	COLLEGE	4.16332	4	1.02599	1.13	1,047	3.25	3.82	14.80	29.61	48.52		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent