Regents Data Governance Committee Meeting Time: December 17th, 10:00 am

Meeting Location: Evans Hall 103

Meeting Attendees: Susannah Livingood, Chris Kennedy, Will Wayne, Heather Hendricks, Julie Jungman,

Sandra Nettleton, Joey Albin

- Review of Data Governance Policy document
  - Newest version incorporates suggested edits since the last meeting
    - Description of "Systems of Record" is in the Data Architecture section
    - Shadow systems discussion
      - Should include processes, not just software
      - Changed to "duplicates, combines, or circumvents"
- Evaluating compliance
  - How can this committee enforce violations in a constructive way, rather than strictly punitive?
- DART Comments
  - o Should there be a difference in treatment of internal vs external reporting?
  - Some parties take the position that permissions should be granted by default and restricted if needed, rather than permissions granted if needed
- ITC Comments
  - o Many scenarios listed depend on specific details of the situation
  - o Will need to develop use guidelines for approved external data services such as Turnitin
  - Will ask IT to create a list of products being used, in an effort to review them and develop usage guidelines
- Big Question: How will this committee "do" this policy (enactment, enforcement, instruction, etc.)?
- To finalize the interim policy
  - Approve policy with edits, but strongly stress the need for resources (including personnel) to carry out the policy
    - Data governance exists in various forms at other institutions
      - Freestanding (Chief Data Officer)
      - Part of IR
      - Part of IT
      - Part of Legal
      - Part of Audit
    - Data governance will have to come from a sufficiently high level of authority to have the necessary "teeth"
- To-do list for future meetings
  - o Review and edit the access forms
  - o Get more feedback from executives regarding available resources for data governance
  - Devise a assessment/enforcement protocol
    - Start with a high profile, more urgent case due to priority?
    - Start with a smaller, "easier" case to refine the process?
      - The Graduate College dashboard may be a candidate for this
- Next meeting will be on 1/14
  - 1/28 meeting will need to be rescheduled