## Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy Written and Oral General Examination Rubric

Student's Name:			Date:
Evaluator's Name (pri	nted):		
Evaluator's Signature:			
Attribute for WRITTEN	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of science and/or scientific understanding	□ Does not demonstrate ability to understand and perform research □ Demonstrates rudimentary critical thinking skills □ Does not reflect understanding of subject matter and associated literature □ Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical concepts	<ul> <li>□ Demonstrates ability to understand and perform research</li> <li>□ Demonstrates average critical thinking skills</li> <li>□ Reflects understanding of subject matter and associated literature</li> <li>□ Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Demonstrates         exceptional potential         for conducting research</li> <li>□ Exhibits mature,         critical thinking skills</li> <li>□ Exhibits mastery of         subject matter and         associated literature.</li> <li>□ Demonstrates mastery         of theoretical concepts</li> </ul>
Quality of writing  Overall	<ul> <li>□ Writing is weak</li> <li>□ Numerous grammatical and spelling errors apparent</li> <li>□ Organization is poor</li> <li>□ Documentation and/or bibliography is poor</li> <li>□ Does not meet</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Writing is adequate</li> <li>□ Some grammatical and spelling errors apparent</li> <li>□ Organization is logical</li> <li>□ Documentation and/or bibliography is adequate</li> <li>□ Meets expectations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Writing is publication quality</li> <li>□ No grammatical or spelling errors apparent</li> <li>□ Organization is excellent</li> <li>□ Documentation and/or bibliography is excellent</li> <li>□ Exceeds expectations</li> </ul>
Assessment	expectations		
Confidential Comm	ents:		

Attribute for	Does Not Meet	Meets Expectations	<b>Exceeds Expectations</b>
ORAL	Expectations		
Overall quality of presentation	<ul><li>□ Poorly organized</li><li>□ Poor presentation</li></ul>	☐ Clearly organized☐ Clear presentation	☐ Well organized ☐ Professional presentation
	<ul><li>□ Poor communication skills</li><li>□ Slides and handouts difficult to read</li></ul>	<ul><li>☐ Good communication skills</li><li>☐ Slides and handouts clear</li></ul>	☐ Excellent communication skills ☐ Slides and handouts outstanding
Overall breadth of knowledge	<ul> <li>□ Presentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge in subject matter</li> <li>□ Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills</li> <li>□ Presentation is too</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter</li> <li>□ Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills</li> <li>□ Presentation is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Presentation reveals         exceptional depth of         subject knowledge</li> <li>□ Presentation reveals         well developed critical         thinking skills</li> <li>□ Presentation reveals</li> </ul>
	narrow in scope	adequate in scope	exceptional scope of knowledge across disciplines
Quality of response to questions	Responses are incomplete or require prompting	Responses are complete	☐ Responses are eloquent
	☐ Responses show no ability to think critically	Responses show reasonable ability to think critically	Responses show exceptional ability to think critically
	☐ Arguments are poorly presented ☐ Respondent exhibits	☐ Arguments are well organized ☐ Respondent exhibits	☐ Arguments are skillfully presented ☐ Respondent exhibits
	lack of knowledge in subject area  Responses do not meet level expected to perform research	adequate knowledge in subject area  Responses meet level expected to perform research	superior knowledge in subject area  Responses exceed level expected to perform research
Overall Assessment	☐ Does not meet expectations	☐ Meets expectations	☐ Exceeds expectations
Confidential Comm	•		