

RECOMMENDATION OF FACULTY CONCERNING PROMOTION

(Deans are asked to verify information)

Candidate's Name

Department/School/Division

Current Rank

Time in Rank at OU (years)

Months: 9 or 12

1. DATA ON REGULAR FACULTY IN CANDIDATE'S ACADEMIC UNIT (EXCLUDING THE CANDIDATE):

| Rank: | Tenured | Tenured-Track | Ranked Renewable Term | Renewable Term | Totals |
|---------------------|---------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|
| Full Professor | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Associate Professor | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Assistant Professor | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Totals | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |

2. FACULTY ELIGIBLE TO VOTE ON PROMOTION: Attach a brief description of the department's policy on eligibility for voting on tenure and a list of names of those eligible to vote.

The definitions of a vote coded to **grant** or **deny** are self-evident.

A vote coded as **abstain** means that an eligible faculty member reviewed the dossier, participated in the eligible faculty discussion and elected to cast a vote of abstain; i.e., faculty does not want to make either a positive or negative recommendation.

A vote coded **unavailable** means that an *eligible* faculty member did not review the dossier or did not participate in the eligible faculty discussion and voting process. This happens most typically when a faculty member is on sabbatical and out of the country.

A vote coded **ineligible** means that an otherwise eligible faculty member is recusing themselves from casting a vote. This most typically occurs when the candidate is a spouse of the eligible faculty member.

The faculty vote, in order to be considered positive, should have a majority of the votes coded grant out of the total number of votes coded grant, deny, and abstain.

For faculty seeking Promotion who are appointed in two or more academic units, the full numerical results of the votes by the academic units shall be reported and fully considered. However, in characterizing the overall vote at the unit level as positive or negative, the Provost and Campus Tenure Committee will weigh the overall positive or negative result of each unit. Thus, for a candidate whose FTE is evenly split between two units and who receives a positive vote in one unit and a negative vote in the other, the vote will be characterized as split regardless of the absolute numbers of faculty voting positively or negatively across both units.

| | | |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| | Number of votes | Date of vote: _____ |
| GRANT | _____ | This vote verified as accurate by: |
| DENY | _____ | _____ Chair / Director |
| ABSTAIN | _____ | _____ Committee A Member |
| UNAVAILABLE | _____ | _____ Committee A Member |
| INELIGIBLE | _____ | _____ Committee A Member |
| TOTALS | _____ | _____ Committee A Member |