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## Overview

- Background Information
- Design
- Components of the System
- Microchannel heat exchanger
- Unsteady-state heat transfer model
- Power Requirements and Supply
- Safety and Controls
- Unit Sizing
- Business Plan
- Happiness models
- Price/demand determination
- Risk Assessment


## Users of Oxygen Therapy

- Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) sufferers
- Including: emphysema and chronic bronchitis
- Not including asthma sufferers
- ALA estimates sufferers at 30 million ${ }^{1}$
- COPD cannot be reversed ${ }^{1}$
- Over 800,000 Oxygen Therapy Patients


## Types of Oxygen Therapy

- Compressed Oxygen
- Liquid Oxygen
- Require Professional to Refill
- Limited by Tank Size
- Oxygen Concentrators
- Very Large; Not Portable
- The Portable LifeStyle by AirSep
- Solid Oxide Membrane


## The Oxygen Therapy Market

- According to a Valley Inspired Products, LLC survey of oxygen therapy patients:
- The average patient receives 7 bottles of oxygen per week
- This correlates to a cost of \$300-\$500 per month
- The average patient leaves their home over 5 times per week
- They are away for an average of 3.9 hours


## Product Goals

- Portable Oxygen Supply
- 4 Hour Battery Life
- Less than 10 lbs.
- Low Noise Output
- User-Friendly Operation
- Unit Cost of Less than \$6000
- Consumer/Market Analysis


## Executive Summary

- Objective: Continue the design of a BICUVOX membrane system for mobile oxygen therapy
- Focus: Business Plan, Electrical System, Safety \& Controls, System Design
- Results: Produces a minimum 5 L/min of 99.9\% Oxygen from 15.2" $\times 9.5^{\prime \prime} \times 12.2^{\prime \prime}$ unit weighing 10 lbs at a selling price of $\$ 5500$


## Unit Design

## Overall System



## Thomas Rotary Air Compressor



- Power Requirement @ 5400 RPM $=2.3 \mathrm{~W}$
- Voltage Requirement $=12 \mathrm{~V}$
- Diameter = 2.25 in .
- Length $=4.45 \mathrm{in}$.
- Weight $=0.55 \mathrm{lbs}$.
- Flow rate $=29.76 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
- Pump Choice
- Oil-less Operation
- Maintenance Free
- Pulsation Free, Low Vibrations


## Microchannel Heat Exchangers

Two heat exchangers are used:

- One for Nitrogen and Air
- One for Oxygen and Air


## Heat Exchanger Theory

- According to Adams et. al, the limiting hydraulic diameter for application of standard Nusselt Number Correlations such as the Gneielinski, is approximately 1.22 mm
- The diameter of our microchannels are less than 1.22 mm , so new correlations will need to be used


## Heat Exchanger Theory

- A new Nusselt Number correlation was given by Choi et. al for flow of nitrogen in microchannels

$$
N u=0.00972 \mathrm{Re}^{1.17} \operatorname{Pr}^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \mathrm{Re}<2000
$$

Or Wu \& Little:

$$
N u=0.00222 \mathrm{Re}^{1.09} \operatorname{Pr}^{0.4} \quad \mathrm{Re}>3000
$$

## Heat Exchanger Theory (cont.)

- The friction factor in microchannels is not well understood, but generally the friction factor is greater than standard correlations
- As a simplification, the traditional fanning friction factor is used to calculate the pressure drop with a correction factor of 1.75
- This correction factor is given by M.J. Kohl to be the highest deviation in the literature


## Heat Exchanger Theory (cont.)

- The pressure drop is used to size the heat exchangers
- The total pressure drop of one pass through a heat exchanger is kept below 1 psi to account for other pressure drops in the system
- The area of foil used in the heat exchanger, the diameter of the tubes are minimized while the heat transfer is maximized


## Heat Exchanger Theory (cont.)

- The exchangers are sized at steady state using an overall heat exchanger coefficient and bulk properties
- The width and length of the heat exchangers are kept constant at 7 cm during sizing
- Air is diverted by a valve to each of heat exchanger to allow for maximum heat transfer between the streams


## Microchannel Heat Exchangers



TO2, in $=831.15 \mathrm{~K}$
Tair, in $=294.35 \mathrm{~K}$
TO2, out $=298.15 \mathrm{~K}$

$$
\text { Tair, out }=831.14 \mathrm{~K}
$$

Number of channels $=315$
Diameter of each channel $=.07 \mathrm{~mm}$
Flow rate air $=5.36 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$, Flow rate $02=5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$

## Microchannel Heat Exchangers



TN2, in $=831.15 \mathrm{~K}$
Tair, in $=294.35 \mathrm{~K}$
TN2, out $=298.98 \mathrm{~K}$
Tair, out $=831.14 \mathrm{~K}$
Number of channels $=127$
Diameter of each channel $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
Flow rate air $=18.54 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$, Flow rate $\mathrm{N} 2=18.8 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$

## Nichrome Wire Electrodes



Wichrome Resistance Wire

- Diameter $=0.005105 \mathrm{~m}$
- Length $=0.06096 \mathrm{~m}$
- Resistance $=0.0029811$ ohms
- Voltage Drop, at unsteady state $=2.15 \mathrm{~V}$
- Voltage Drop at steady state $=0.042 \mathrm{~V}$
- Time to heat up with air at $298 \mathrm{~K}=1.98 \mathrm{~s}$
- Power Requirements at steady state $=0.61527 \mathrm{~W}$
- Final Wire Temperature $=900 \mathrm{~K}$
- Temperature regulated by the control system


## Membranes Considered



- Yttria-Stabilized Zirconia (YSZ)
- Samarium Doped Ceria (SDC)
- Strontium \& Magnesium Doped Lanthanum (LSGM)
- Gadolinium Doped Ceria (GDC)


## Membrane Choice

- Bicuvox. 10
- $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{0.1} \mathrm{~V}_{0.9} \mathrm{O}_{5.35}$
- Crystal Structure
- Tetragonal v. Orthorhombic
- $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ interleaved with anion-deficient perovskite-like sheets $\mathrm{V}_{0.9} \mathrm{Cu}_{0.1} \mathrm{O}_{3.5}$
- Thermal Expansion
- $10^{-5} / \mathrm{K}$

$\mathrm{AXO}_{3}$ Structure


## Solid Oxide Membranes

- Relatively new technology
- Oxygen conducted through membrane by vacancies
- Oxygen is reduced at cathode to oxygen anion
- Combines at anode to form diatonic Oxygen
- Flux through the membrane


$$
N_{i}=\frac{P_{i}}{l}(\text { driving force })
$$

## Membrane Specifications

| number of plates | 208 | source | plates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature | 550 | source | C |
| total volumetric flow rate of permeate | 5 | spec | L/min |
| molar gas volume (STP) | 24.04 | calc | L/mol |
| molar flow rate of permeate/plate | 0.00002 | calc | $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{s} /$ plate |
| electron stoichiometry | 4 | source | mol electrons $/ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Faraday constant | 96485 | source | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol}$ electrons |
| current | 6.431 | calc | A |
| current density for BICUVOX. 10 | 0.75 | source | $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| total plate area required | 12.87 | calc | $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| side length of square plates | 1.41 | calc | in |
| thickness of plates | 0.3 | source | cm |
| air gap height | 0.5 | source | cm |
| electrode height | 0.2 | source | cm |
| total cell stack height | 287.24 | calc | cm |
| number of columns | 4 | spec |  |
| height per column | 6.65 | calc | in |
| electrical potential for each cell | 0.057 | calc | V |
| total potential for stack | 11.923 | calc | V |
| power required | 76.675 | calc | W |

Boivin et al. Electrode-Electrolyte BIMEVOX System for Moderate Temperature Oxygen Separation

## Membrane Stack Arrangement



## Electrical System

- Power Sources
- AC Power
- 12 V Lithium Ion Battery Power
- 4 hour battery
- 2 hour recharge
- Voltage is diverted with a voltage regulator to the nichrome wire to allow for a faster heat up time
- The voltage direct towards the feed pumps is compromised, but a flow rate of $14.88 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ for each pump is still achieved


## Electrical System (cont.)

- Initially a switching mechanism allows no current to pass across the membranes
- At steady state most of the voltage is fed to the pumps and the membrane


## Power Needed

| Unit | Wattage | Hours | Watt-Hours |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Membrane | 76.7 |  | 4 |
| Heating Element, Unsteady | 29325.54 | .00055 | 16.12905 |
| Heating Element, Steady | 0.61 | 0.166667 | 0.101667 |
| 2 Pumps | 4.6 |  | 4 |

## Lithium Ion Battery

- Specific Energy $=150 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{kg}$
- Energy Density $=400 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{L}$
- 341.43 W-h needed by the unit
- Results
- 52.11 in $^{3}$ (or $2.75 \times 2 \times 9.5$ )
- 5 lbs
- 4 Hour Battery Life
- 2 Hour Recharge


## Sealant

- Durabond 950
- High temperature application
- Up to $1200^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (922K)
- Aluminum base
- Safe for human use
- Ni, Cr bases carcinogenic
- Bond strength increases with temperature
- Thermal expansion coefficient
- $10^{-5} / \mathrm{K}$


## Inner Casing

- Magnesium oxide
- Used to support membrane stack and Insulpor®
0.5 cm thickness
- Safe for Humans
- Thermal expansion coefficient
- $10.8^{-5} / \mathrm{K}$


## Insulation

- Insulpor® vacuum insulation
- Use temperature up to $1050^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Thermal Conductivity
- $0.0043 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$
- 2.5 in. thickness
- Outside T=77 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
- Membrane Size
- $12.1 \times 9.4 \times 12.1$


## Equipment Sizing

| Sizes (in inches \& pounds) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Component | Height | Width/Diameter | Length | Weight |
| Membrane Stack | 12.1 | 9.4 | 12.1 | 2.4 |
| Pump 1 |  | 2.25 | 4.45 | 0.55 |
| Pump 2 |  | 2.25 | 4.45 | 0.55 |
| Heat Exchanger - O2 | 2.756 | 0.1005 | 2.756 | 0.22 |
| Heat Exchanger - LA | 2.756 | 0.0918 | 2.756 | 0.22 |
| Battery | 2.75 | 2 | 9.5 | 5 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9 4}$ |

## Unit Design



HX

- Dimensions
- Height - 15.2"
- Width - 9.5"
- Length - 12.2"
- Weight
- 9 lbs
- Membrane
- 81\% of Volume
- Battery
- $55 \%$ of Weight


## 3-D View



## Panel View



## Safety

Issues

- High Temperature of System
- High Temperature Exit Streams
- Low $\mathrm{O}_{2}$

Concentration

- Low Flow in Exit Streams


## Solutions

- Insulation \& Casing
- Temperature Sensors \& Alarms
- Concentration Controls
- Flow Controls


## Control System



Business Plan

## Nature of Business

- Our business will begin as a partnership between Brent Shambaugh and Justin Brady
- For additional funding as we grow, we will seek private investment


## Comparison with Competition

| AirSep |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lifestyle | Inogen One |
| Product |  |


| Avg. Noise <br> (Db) | 55 | 40 | 13 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power <br> (watts) | 35 | 38 | 341 |
| weight (lb) | 9.75 | 9.7 | 9.8 |
| length (ft) | 1.36 | 0.97 | 1.017 |
| width (ft) | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.95 |
| height (ft) | 0.46 | 1.03 | 1.034 |
| cost \$ | 3899 | 5495 | 5500 |

## Plant Location



- The market for oxygen is considered homogeneous in the United States
- Due to shipping expenses, it would best if we were centrally located
- The location that we have chosen is Denver, Colorado
- According to Forbes magazine, it has one of the lowest tax rates in the nation



## Objective

- Investigate how the NPW is affected by demand and price changes of our product
- Investigate the major factors affecting demand
- Consider three different scenarios: an in-car unit, an in-house unit, and a portable unit
- Focus on portable unit


## Justification for Portable Unit

- There are only two main competitors in this market, verses a total of four competitors for the in-house unit
- The in-car unit is not practical since it is limited to a car
- Our microchannel heat exchangers allow for the unit to be small. This small size is not needed for an in-house unit


## Demand Model



- Governed by two equations:
(equation 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta p_{1} d_{1}=\alpha p_{2} d_{2}\left(\frac{d_{1}^{\alpha}}{d_{2}^{\beta}}\right)
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=\text { the demand for our product } \\
& d_{2}=\text { the demand for the competitor's product }
\end{aligned}
$$

$p_{1}=$ the price for our product

$$
p_{1} d_{1}+p_{2} d_{2}=Y
$$

$p_{2}=$ the price for the competitor's product
(equation 2)
$\mathrm{Y}=$ the total money available in the market
$\$ 315 \mathrm{M}$
$\beta=$ the beta function
$\alpha=$ the alpha function

## Beta Function

The $\beta$ value is a ratio which describes how much happier the consumer is with product of interest compared to the competition.

$$
\beta=\frac{H_{c}}{H_{I}}
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ the happiness of the competitor's product $H_{l}=$ the happiness of the product being sold

Constraint: $0<\beta<1$, larger $\beta$ acceptable with lower selling price

## Happiness Determination

From the portable unit:

Happiness vs. Noise

http://www.josaka.com/Content/2000/Decibel-Chart.htm

## Happiness Determination

For the Portable Unit:
For noise: $\quad H_{N}=-0.197 N+1$
For power: $\quad H_{p}=-0.0008 P+1$
For weight: $\quad H_{w}=-0.0304 W+1$
For height: $\quad H_{h}=-0.1829 h+1 \quad 100 \%, 2 \mathrm{ft}: 0 \%, 3 \mathrm{zt}$
For width: $\quad H_{w}=-0.4886 W+1 \quad 100 \%,<8$ in : $0 \%, 2 \mathrm{ft}$
For length: $\quad H_{l}=-0.3735 l+1 \quad 0 \%$, 1ft

## Happiness Determination

Where:

$$
H_{I}=\sum_{i} w_{i} y_{i}
$$

$w_{i}=$ the weight of each variable
$y_{i}=$ happiness function for each variable

The sum of all weights must equal one

## Overall Happiness Function

For the Portable Unit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{I}=0.3 * H_{N}+0.05 * H_{p}+0.3 * H_{w} \\
& +0.1 * H_{h}+0.1 * H_{w}+0.15 * H_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Beta value $=0.865$


## Alpha Function

- The $\alpha$ value is an expression of how well the general public knows product being sold
- It may be expressed in terms of advertising rate and time

Where:

$$
\alpha=\frac{y t}{1+y t}
$$


$y=$ the advertising rate
t = time

## Alpha Function (cont.)

Alpha Function vs. Time


## Solving the Demand Model

- Solve these two equations simultaneously:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\beta p_{1} d_{1}=\alpha p_{2} d_{2}\left(\frac{d_{1}^{\alpha}}{d_{2}^{\beta}}\right) & \text { (equation 1) } \\
p_{1} d_{1}+p_{2} d_{2}=Y & \text { (equation 2) }
\end{array}
$$

- Solve for at constant $\alpha, \beta, Y, p 1$, and p2
- Use one of two methods, an iterative method or a graphical method


## Iterative Method for the Demand Model

Rearrange Equation 1 for $d_{1}$ :

$$
d_{1}=\left(\frac{\alpha p_{2}\left(d_{2}\right)^{1-\beta}}{\beta p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}
$$

Rearrange Equation 2 for $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ :

$$
d_{2}=\frac{Y-p_{1} d_{1}}{p_{2}}
$$

Substitute Equation 2 into 1:

$$
d_{1}=\left(\frac{\alpha p_{2}\left(\frac{Y-p_{1} d_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)^{1-\beta}}{\beta p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}
$$

$$
\longrightarrow d_{1}=f\left(d_{1}\right)
$$

Iterate $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ for solution

## Iterative Method

- Assume that the customer base is captivated to buy the product, so the total demand existing in the market is completely satisfied.
-The total demand is therefore the sum of the demand for the product of interest and the competitors:

$$
D=d_{1}+d_{2}
$$

## Iterative Method

- The American Lung Association says that 90,000 people will develop Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases (COPD) each year, and 15\% of these have the need for oxygen. This gives a total demand of 14,000 .
- In the case that the demand equation gives a demand that exceeds the total demand an alternate form of equation 1 needs to be used.

$$
d_{1}=\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha}\left(D-d_{1}\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha}} \text { instead of } \quad d_{1}=\left(\frac{\alpha p_{2}\left(d_{2}\right)^{1-\beta}}{\beta p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}
$$

## Graphical Method

- Rearrange equations $1 \& 2$ for $d_{1}$ and plot $d_{1}$ vs. $d_{2}$.
- For total demands greater than the market demand, use the same formula as given for the iterative method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=9.5 \\
& d_{2}=6650.65
\end{aligned}
$$



## Iterative vs. Graphical

- When using the development for scenario 1 , the following results are achieved (Selling Price $=\$ 5500, \beta=0.55$ ):



## Results at \$5500



## Demand at Different Selling Prices



Note: Production cost per unit $(\beta=0.865, \$ 5500)=\$ 3600$

## Time Dependence of Demand

## Demand vs. Time



## NPW calculation



## Determining Equipment Price

| Equipment | Use | Size | Price |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storage Tank | Bismuth Oxide | $50 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 33373 |
| Storage Tank | Vanadium Oxide | $50 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 33373 |
| Storage Tank | Magnesium Oxide | $50 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 33373 |
| Conveyor System | Plant Automation | $200 \mathrm{~m}, .4 \mathrm{~m}$ width | 254627 |
| Roller Conveyor | Finished Product | $21 \mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{~m}$ width | 6180 |
| Mixer, high solids | Bismuth Vanadate | $1.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 12361 |
| Mixer, high solids | MgO Slurry | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 12361 |
| Welder/ Brazing Equipment | Heat Exchanger |  | 1483265 |
| High Temperature Press | Membrane Sintering | $2000 \mathrm{~kW}, 100 \mathrm{Mpa}$ | 741633 |
| High Temperature Press | Mgo Sintering | $2000 \mathrm{~kW}, 100 \mathrm{Mpa}$ | 741633 |
| High precision cutter | Copper Cutting | Rotary cutter 10kg/s | 2224898 |
| Oven | Sealant Annealing | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 61803 |
| Grinder 100 mesh | Uniform Particle Size | $1.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}$ | 282202 |
| Automation Equipment | Plant Automation |  | 7416327 |

## Capital Investment

## - Based on percent of purchased equipment



Based on Table 6-9
Plant Design and Economics
Peters, Timmerhaus \& West

## NPW Beta Dependence



Advertising correction:
Alpha constraint, $y=5$
Cost $=T P C+\left(\frac{y}{100}\right) * T P C$

## NPW vs. Selling Price



## Properties of Acoustiblok

- Thickness = 0.11 inches
- Weight/Sq. Ft.
$=1 \mathrm{lb}$
- Estimate = \$10/Sq ft.



## Optimal Design

Avg. Noise (Db) 13
Power (W) 341
weight (lb) 9.94
length (ft) 1.017
width (ft) 0.95
height (ft) 1.034
cost \$ 5500

B-value: 0.75

## Optimal Design (cont.)



## Break Even Analysis



## Optimum Selling Price

Apha vs. Demand at Beta $=0.72$


## Conclusions

- Selling Price $\$ 5500$
- Maximum Selling Price $\sim \$ 12000$
- NPW of $3 \times 10^{6}$
- Min. Production rate of 4000 units/yr
- Economic Model is not very efficient, and does not consider advertising costs


## Any Questions?

## NPW as a Function of Advertising Rate

## Effect of Selling Price with Advertising



$$
\text { Cost }=T P C+\left(\frac{y}{100}\right) * T P C
$$

## Pump Performance

## Output Pressure vs. Flowrate



| Compressor Performance LPM @ PSI | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50316 | 20 | 18.5 | 17 | 14.5 | 4.5 |
| Maximum Pressure (PS) | Continuous | Intermittent | Restart |  |  |
| 50316 | 4.4 | 11.6 | 0 |  |  |

## Unsteady State Assumptions

- During the time that the nichrome wire is heating up, there is negligible deviation of the bulk air temperature from the ambient
- The time for the system to heat up is limited by the time for the heat exchangers to reach steady state


## Unsteady State Heat Transfer

- Assumed
- "Plug Flow"
- Heat is not transferred from exit of wire to beginning of HX
- Instantaneous wire heating
- Space-time of .52 s
- Pulsed heating model
- Model does not predict convergence.



## Tetragonal v. Orthorhombic

- Tetragonal
- $a=b \neq c$
- $\alpha=\beta=90^{\circ}, \gamma=120^{\circ}$
- Orthorhombic
- $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b} \neq \mathrm{c}$
- $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}$


## Membrane Stack

Specifications

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{m}=\frac{4 Q F}{n} \quad \text { (Current) } \\
& E_{M}=\frac{R T}{z F} \ln \frac{y_{O_{2}, h}}{y_{O_{2}, l}} \quad \text { (Voltage) } \\
& P_{M}=E_{M} \times I_{M} \quad \text { (Wattage) }
\end{aligned}
$$

| number of plates | 208 | plates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature | 585 | C |
| total volumetric flow rate of permeate | 5 | $\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| molar gas volume (STP) | 24.04 | $\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| molar flow rate of permeate/plate | 0.00002 | mol/s/plate |
| electron stoichiometry | 4 | mol electrons/mol $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Faraday constant | 96485 | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol}$ electrons |
| Current | 6.431 | A |
| current density for BICUV0X.10 | 0.75 | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| total plate area required | 9 | cm |
| side length of square plates | 3 | cm |
| thickness of plates | 0.38 | cm |
| air gap height | 0.4 | cm |
| electrode height | 0.2 | cm |
| total cell stack height | 287.24 | cm |
| number of columns | 8 |  |
| height per column | 14.14 | in |
| total potential for stack | 11.923 | V |
| power required | 76.675 | W |
| oxygen recovery from feed | 0.80 | $\%$ |


| Calculations |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cell } \\ \hline \text { B7 } \end{gathered}$ | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number of plates | 208 | source | plates |  |  |
| Temperature | 550 | source | C | B8 |  |
| total volumetric flow rate of permeate | 5 | spec | L/min | B9 |  |
| molar gas volume (STP) | 24.04 | calc | L/mol | B10 |  |
| molar flow rate of permeate/plate | 0.00002 | calc | $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{s} /$ plate | B11 | B9/B10/60/B7 |
| electron stoichiometry | 4 | source | mol electrons/mol $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | B12 |  |
| Faraday constant | 96485 | source | C/mol electrons | B13 |  |
| current | 6.431 | calc | A | B14 | B11*B12*B13 |
| current density for BICUVOX. 10 | 0.75 | source | $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | B15 |  |
| total plate area required | 9 | calc | $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | B16 | B14/B15 |
| side length of square plates | 3.00 | calc | cm | B17 | SQRT(B16) |
| thickness of plates | 0.38 | source | cm | B18 |  |
| air gap height | 0.40 | source | cm | B19 |  |
| electrode height | 0.2 | source | cm | B20 |  |
| total cell stack height | 287.24 | calc | cm | B21 | B7*B18+(B7+1)*B20+2*B7*B19 |
| number of columns | 4 | spec |  | B22 |  |
| height per column | 28.27 | calc | in | B23 | B21/(B22*2.54) |
| electrical potential for each cell | 0.055 | calc | V | B27 | 8.314*(B8+273)/2/B13*LN(0.99/0.2 <br> 1) |
| total potential for stack | 11.436 | calc | V | B28 | B27*B7 |
| power required | 73.548 | calc | W | B29 | B28*B14 |

